

PROFESSIONAL PAPERS

ON

INDIAN ENGINEERING.

SECOND SERIES.

EDITED BY

MAJOR A. M. LANG, R.E.,

PRINCIPAL, THOMASON COLLEGE, ROORKEE.

VOL. I.

ROORKEE:

PRINTED AND PUBLISHED AT THE THOMASON COLLEGE PRESS.

CALCUTTA: THACKER, SPINK & Co. BOMBAY: THACKER, VINING & Co.

MADRAS: GANTZ, BROTHERS. LONDON: H & F N. SPON & Co.

1872.

JAMES JOHNSTON, SUPERINTENDENT.

PREFACE TO VOL. I.

THE first volume of the New Series is now concluded, and will be acknowledged, I hope, to be equal in interest to any of its predecessors in the First Series. For this I have to thank the many able contributors who have furnished original articles, and the Government of India in the P W Department, who have supplied Resolutions and Reports of general interest to the Engineering profession in this country

Considering, however, the large number of Officers serving the Government of India in the P W Department, (more than 1000 in the Engineer Establishment,) in addition to very many Engineers employed upon Railways, &c, under private Companies, it must be admitted that the staff of Contributors to this periodical bears but a very small proportion to the total number of Engineers who might be reasonably expected to support it as an organ for disseminating information and ventilating opinions on "Indian Engineering." This may be attributed principally to the fact that all Engineers in this country are very heavily burdened with work, and the greater their ability and experience the more extensive and engrossing are their duties, so that very few have leisure to devote to writing "Articles," or Reports beyond such as are required from them by Government. Many, moreover, who might find time to supply short articles are averse from writing on topics and details which from their familiarity appear to be trite and common place. Such details, however, are often those which would prove of most interest and value to their brother Engineers, who having to undertake some particular work, may at the

outset lack the familiarity which has been gradually and insensibly acquired by other Members of the Profession who have been for some time perhaps engaged on work of an exactly similar nature.

I would request those Contributors and Subscribers who have hitherto supported this Periodical, to exert their influence to extend its circulation, so that a greater variety of subjects may be represented in its pages, and that some reduction may be made in its price, which is necessarily at present high, owing to the comparatively small list of Subscribers.

Of the 62 papers forming this volume, 13 refer to Irrigation, Water Supply or Drainage: 5 are descriptive of Public Buildings: 5 relate to Cements and Mortars; 5 to Surveying and Mathematical Instruments: while Road-Making, Bridge Building, Brick Manufacture, Timber, Iron, Masonry, Well Sinking, Strength of Materials and Structures, Light-houses, Rail and Tramways, and other subjects are discussed in one or more articles.

-----The absence of articles on Railways in India is to be regretted, and will, it is hoped, be rectified in future numbers: the progress of the State Railways on the New Metre gauge will furnish for description and discussion matter of a most interesting nature, and involving much of novelty.

It is proposed that the Second Volume shall consist of Four Quarterly Numbers, issued in January, April, July and October, 1873: the cost remaining 14 Rs. for Subscribers in advance; but 4 Rs. a number for those paying in arrears, and for purchasers of single numbers.

A. M. L.

ERRATA.

- Page 25, line 7 from bottom, for "as x is $\frac{1}{2}$, or x ," read "as x is half our x "
- " 28, in note—insert the word *not* between "are" and "measured"
- " 29, first line for
- $$"q' x = \frac{x}{2} - \frac{t}{2} \therefore q = \frac{P h}{2 V} - \frac{x - t}{2}" \text{ read}$$
- $$"q' x = \frac{x - t}{2} \cdot \frac{x + 2t}{3(x + t)} \therefore q = \frac{P h}{3 V x} - \frac{x - t}{2} \cdot \frac{x + 2t}{3x(x + t)}"$$
- " 29, line 10 from bottom, for "40½ and 7,20,000," read "32 1 and 72,000"
- " 29, " 5, for " $2 \left(1 + \frac{t}{x}\right)$," read " $2 \div \left(1 + \frac{t}{x}\right)$ "
- " 30, Table VIII, for "1,23,000, and 72,000," read "12,300, and 72 000"
- " 38, line 14 and 16 from top, insert a semicolon after "foot," and a period after "cases"
- " 148, line 2 and 3, for "in its," read "it has a"
- " 154, " 6 from bottom, for "d," read "p"
- " 158, " 3, for
- $$" \therefore CG' = \left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{rh}{3} + \frac{t}{6} - \frac{x}{6}\right) \frac{x + 2t}{x + t} = \left(\frac{x + rh}{6} + \frac{t}{6}\right) \frac{x + 2t}{x + t}"$$
- read
- $$" \therefore CG' = \frac{x}{2} + \left(\frac{rh}{3} + \frac{t - x}{6}\right) \frac{x + 2t}{x + t}."$$
- " 158, line 7, for "(a)," read "(f)"
- " 158, " 10, for
- $$" \therefore CG' = \left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{x}{6} - \frac{rh}{3} - \frac{t}{6}\right) \frac{x + 2t}{x + t} = \left(\frac{2x - rh}{3} - \frac{t}{6}\right) \frac{x + 2t}{x + t}."$$
- read
- $$" \therefore CG' = \frac{x}{2} + \left(\frac{x - t}{6} - \frac{rh}{3}\right) \frac{x + 2t}{x + t}."$$
- In article No XV (No 2) throughout article, for "Dr Brewster," read "Dr. Brewer"
- Page 243, line 20, for "rarely," read "rarely"
- " 250, " 33, for "be determined," read "be determined"
- " 260, " 15, for "here is" read "there is"
- " 260, " 24, for "feet," read "feel"
- " 263, " 28, for "insufficient," read "in sufficient"
- " 280, " 3, for " $m_1 \cos 2\theta$," read " $m_2 \cos 2\theta$ "
- " 285, " 5, for " $m_2 \sin 3\theta$," read " $m_3 \cos 3\theta$ "
- " 288, " 15, for "Since $\phi = bop$ " read "Since $\phi = bop$ "
- " 290, " 2, for " $c(k_1 \sin \theta + k_2 \cos \theta)$," read " $c(k_1 \sin \theta + k_1 \cos \theta)$ "
- " 291, " 18, for "simple," read "single"
- " 292, " 15, for " $+(ab_1^2 + b_1^2 + b_1^2) Y^2$," read " $+(ab_1^2 + b_1^2 + b_1^2) Y^2$."
- " 294, " 8, for " $= \left\{ a_1 \cos^2 \theta + \frac{a_1' + b_1'}{2} \sin 2\theta + b_1 \sin \theta, \right\}$ "
- read " $= R \left\{ a_1 \cos^2 \theta + \frac{a_1' + b_1'}{2} \sin 2\theta + b_1 \sin^2 \theta \right\}$ "

- Page 310, line 3, for "skil," read "skill."
 " 310, " 4, from foot, for "orof," read "or of."
 " 311, " 27, for "ignorent," read "ignorant."
 " 312, " 4&3 from foot, for "wedged-shaped," read "wedge-shape"
 " 313, " 5 from foot, for "13-inche," read "13-inch."
 " 315, " 3, for "Persiafrom," read "Persia from."
 " 333, " 22, leading," read "leading."
 " 339, " 29, for "diminshed," read "diminished."
 " 347, " 14, for "to the," read "to be."
 " 347, " last, for "shoul," read "should."
 " 351, " 15, for "unæerated," read "unærated."
 " 352, " 2, for "synonomous," read "synonymous."
 " 353, " 3, for "ocular," read "ocular."
 " 353, " 21, for "æerated," read "ærated."
 " 356, " 23, for "Suttleworth," read "Shuttleworth."
 " 379, " 17, for "39-390720," read "39-370790."
 " 379, " 23, 3 blanks under Square Inches, insert Square Yds.
 " " 24, Square Feet.
 " " 25, Square Yds.
 " 379, " last, for "Hectare," read "1 Hectare."
 " 380, " 11, for "076451," read "0 76451."
 " 380, " 9, for "1637207," read "16-37207."
 " 414, " 28, for "pleasent," read "pleasant."
 " 466, " 9, for "perimental," read "experimental."
 " 470, " 18, for "no," read "on."
 " 485, " 4, from foot, for "filling," read "filing."
 " 499, " 10, for "Q₃L," read "Q₃L₃."
 " 507, " 3, for " $Q_2N_2 \cdot \frac{Q_1N_2}{Q_2N_2}$," read " $Q_2N_2 \cdot \frac{Q_1N_4}{Q_1N_2}$."
 " 509, " 29, for "planes," read "plane."
 " 523, " 12, for "patents," read "patients."
 " 534, " 18, for "particular," read "particular."
 " 537, " 26, for "stress—intensity," read "stress-intensity."
 " 538, " 8, for "Muschenbrock," read "Muschenbrock."
 " 540, last line, for "No. LVII," read "No. LII."
 " 547, line 1, for "nders," read "cinders."
 " 547, last line but one, for "notgood," read "not good."
 " 548, line 4, for "ft. c.," read "Ft. C."
 " 573, " last but one, for "les," read "less."
 " 574, " 13, for "one," read "one's."
 " 580, " 15 and 19, for "o," read "0."
 " 582, " 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, for "o," read "0."
 " 583 " 9, for " $\frac{\pi x^2}{2}$," read " $\frac{\pi x^2}{2}$."
 " 586, " 9, for "it it," read "it its."
 " 590, " 13, for "an ϕ ," read "tan ϕ ."
 " 592, " 24, for "initated," read "imitated."
 " 596, " 5, from foot, for "approxmate," read "approximate."
 " 608, " 17, for "analyses," read "analysis."
 " 615, " 27, for "Aiguille," read "Aiguille."
 " 617, " 5 from foot, for "sufficent," read "sufficient."

INDEX to VOL. I.

(SECOND SERIES)

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| Al oia Irrigation Project By Lewis Jackson, Esq, C E, Exec Engineer, | 299 |
| Andaman Woods, Experiments on By J Bennett, C E, Exec Engineer, | 511 |
| Annular Kiln, Bulls By W Bull, Esq, Resident Engineer, Oudh and Rohilcund Railway, | 516 |
| Bassein Bridge, Sinking Piers of the, | 21 |
| Beams "Fixed and "Supported By Capt Allan Cunningham, R E, Hony Fellow of King's College, London, | 588 |
| Brieks, Burning, with Oopla By the late Major J T Tovey, Exec Engineer, | 67 |
| Bridge Foundations on Punjab State Railways, | 386 |
| Cement in India, Manufacture of By Lieut Col H A Brownlow, R E, Chief Engineer of Irrigation N W P, and P Dejoux Esq, Exec Engineer of Cement Experiments, Calcutta | 604 |
| Cement Concrete, Memorandum on Examples of Buildings in By Lieut H C Fox, R E, | 546 |
| Coleroon Anicut Failure of the By Col E Lawford R E, | 85 |
| Dallousie Church By W Pardon, Esq, M Inst C E, F G S, and Superintending Engineer, | 417 |
| Deflction of Timber, and 'Factor of Safety By A Valentine, Esq, A I C E, Assist Engineer, | 56 |
| Delhi Iron Pillar, The, | 309 |
| Drainage of Cawnpore, Memoranda on the By Edwin Chadwick, Esq, C B, | 126 |
| Earth Currents and Auroræ Boreales By J J Fahie, Esq, Indo-European Telegraph Department, | 553 |

| | PAGE. |
|--|-----------|
| Earthwork Estimates. By Capt. A. M. Brandreth, R.E., ... | 575 |
| Eclipse of December 11-12, 1871. By Lieut.-Col. J. F. Tennant, R.E., F.R.S., ... | 201 |
| Elasticity and on Deflexion, On the Moduli of. By Lieut. Allan Cunningham, R.E., Hony. Fellow of King's College, London. | 461 |
| Errors of Graduation of the Limbs of Instruments, On the. By Lieut. Allan Cunningham, R.E., Hony. Fellow of King's College, London. | 283 |
| Excavator. Fouracres' Deep-Well. By C. Fouracres, Esq., C.E., ... | 542 |
| Experiments. Records of. By J. H. E. Hart, Esq., Bombay Reclamation Works, ... | i |
| Flat Roofs. Timbering of, Useful Rules and Tables for. By Major W. H. Mackesy, R.S.C., Exec. Engineer, ... | 559 |
| Felling Timber in the Himalayas. By George Pellew Paul, C.E., | 386 |
| Floors in Bombay. Notes on. By J. H. E. Hart, Esq., C.E., Acting Supdg. Engineer. Bombay, ... | 457 |
| French India. The Irrigation of. By Lieut. W. G. Ross, R.E., ... | 40 |
| Gravatt's "Method" of Adjusting the "Line of Collimation" in Altitude. On. By Lieut. Allan Cunningham, R.E., Hony. Fellow of King's College, London, ... | 497 |
| Hand-Dredger, Bull's. By G. Woodbridge, Esq., M.I.C.E., Offg. Supdg. Engineer, ... | 276 |
| Hydraulic Cement, Manufacture of. By J. W. Glass, Esq., Assist. Engineer, ... | 71 |
| Indian Crops, Tables of. By Capt. J. M. Heywood, R.E., ... | 381 |
| Indian Timber Trees. By Major A. M. Lang, R.E., Principal, Thomason C. E. College, ... | 420 |
| Irrigation Experiments. By E. C. Palmer, Esq., C.E., Exec. Engineer, ... | 360 |
| Irrigation Necessary in Upper India? Is. By Major A. F. Corbett, B.S.C., ... | 3 and 101 |
| Is Irrigation Necessary? By Capt. Thomason, R.E., ... | 349 |
| Kamateepoora Church. By J. H. E. Hart, Esq., C.E., Supdg. Engineer, ... | 296 |
| Kunkur and Mortar Analysis. By Murray Thomson, M.D., F.R.S.E., ... | 491 |

| | PAGE |
|---|------------|
| Kunkur E say on the Geology of By A Nielly Esq Assistant Engineer Baree Doab Canal | 598 |
| Light Houses on the Coast of British Burma Note by Col Fraser, C B R E , | 174 |
| Light Railways By Lieut J A Armstrong R E | 37 |
| Line of Collimation On the By Lieut Allan Cunningham R E Hony Fellow of King's College London | 193 |
| Masonry in a Trap Country By Horace Bell Esq , Engineer, P W Department | 162 |
| Mayo Hospital Lahore Communicated by W Pardon Esq M Inst C E F G S Supdg Engineer Photograph executed by Rai Kunhya Lal Assoc Inst C E Exec Engineer, | 521 |
| Metrical Weights and Measures Equivalents of, | 379 |
| Module New Canal By R Froude Esq , Assist Engineer, | 51 |
| Mountain Traffic Wire Rope Way for, | 578 |
| Mountain Tramway The By the late William Sanderson, C E | 472 |
| Movement of Water in Pipes, Canals and Rivers, On the By Lieut W G Ross, R E , | 238 |
| Omnimeter, The By Major E A Laughton Supdt Bombay Revenue Survey | 184 |
| Planimeter, The By John Filthitt Esq M A , Fellow of St John's College Cambridge, | 446 |
| Retaining Walls, Notes on By J H L Hart, Esq Exec Engineer, Dharwar, | 23 and 144 |
| Revenue Board Buildings in Madras The, | 1 |
| Rice Crops, Irrigation of By Major J O Mayne R E , Supdg Engineer, | 302 |
| Sleeper and Fish plate Joint for Permanent Way, Bulls By W Bull, Esq , Resident Engineer, Oudh and Rohilkund Railway, Lucknow, | 529 |
| Snag Boats on American Rivers By Capt J M Heywood, R E , | 74 |
| Stars Simple Rules for Recognizing By Lieut H W Clarke, R E , | 77 |
| Steam Rollers in the Central Provinces, Metal Consolidation by By G W MacGeorge, Exec Engineer, Kankan Division, | 205 |
| St John the Baptist's Church, Roorkee By Capt A M Lang, R E , Principal, Thomason C E College, | 99 |

| | PAGE. |
|---|-------------|
| Sub-Aqueous Excavator, Webb's. By E. W. Stoney, Esq., Resident Engineer, Madras Railway,... | 550 |
| Toolsee Water Supply Project. By Rienzi G. Walton, C.E., Acting Exec. Municipal Engineer, ... | 320 |
| Vehar Lake Dams. By Captain Hector Tulloch, R.E., Exec. Engineer, ... | 259 |
| Walls and Arch Buildings in Cement Concrete, Memorandum on some Examples of. By Lieut. H. C. Fox, R.E., ... | 546 |
| Walls for Retaining Water, Profile for. By E. L. Asher, Exec. Engineer, ... | 438 and 560 |
| Wire-Rope-Way for Mountain Traffics, ... | 573 |

LIST OF PLATES.

PHOTOGRAPHS.

| | PAGE |
|--|------|
| The Revenue Board Buildings, Madras (<i>Frontispiece</i>), ... | 1 |
| The Dalhousie Church, ... | 417 |
| The Mayo Hospital, Lahore, ... | 521 |

ENGRAVINGS.


| | |
|---|-----|
| St. John the Baptist's Church, Roorkee, ... | 99 |
| Steam Road Rollers in India, ... | 205 |
| The Delhi Iron Pillar, ... | 309 |

LITHOGRAPHS.

| | |
|---|-----|
| Sinking Piers of the Bassein Bridge, ... | 22 |
| Notes on Retaining Walls. For Water Pressures, ... | 36 |
| Light Railways. Plan of Underside of Railway, ... | 38 |
| New Canal Module. Plans and Elevations, ... | 52 |
| Burning Bricks with Oopla. Plan, &c., of Flame Kiln, ... | 68 |
| Failure of the Coleroon Anicut. Lower Coleroon Anicut, Tanjore. Sections, ... | 94 |
| St. John the Baptist's Church, Roorkee. Plan, Sections and Elevations, ... | 100 |

| | | |
|--|---|-----|
| Drainage of Cawnpore | Drainage of Courts, Westminster District (134)—Main Sewers (136)—Plan and Sections of Single House Drains (140)—Plans and Transverse Section showing the relative frictional area of the self-cleansing New System as compared with the Old System of house drains in London, ... | 142 |
| Masonry in a Trap Country | Map of Part of India showing area of trap overflow in Central and Western India, ... | 162 |
| Light-houses on the Coast of British Burma | Chart of the East Coast of the Bay of Bengal, and of the Gulf of Martaban and Andaman Islands, showing the Light-houses erected on the Coasts of British Burma (174)—Elevations showing the Light-houses on the Coast of British Burma (176)—Elevations showing Screwpile Light-houses on the Coast of British Burma, ... | 178 |
| Metal Consolidation by Steam Rollers, | | 222 |
| Vehar Lake Dams | Cross Sections of Dams and Plan and Section of Outlet Works (262)—Details of Tower showing how the Water is drawn out of the Vehar Lake, ... | 272 |
| Bull's Hand Dredger. | Showing Method of working the Hand-Dredger, ... | 278 |
| Kamrteeipoora Church | Ground Plan and Front Elevation (296)—North Elevation, Cross Section, ... | 298 |
| Toolsee Water Supply Project | Contoured Plan of the Toolsee Reservoir (320)—Plan and Sections of No 1 Dam (328)—Plan and Section of dividing Ridge between Toolsee and Vehar (330)—Plan and Section of Channel Line for 35 feet dam, ... | 332 |
| Irrigation Experiments | Plan of Chavinda Khal and Tank (362)—Plan of Pressure Tank (364)—Elevation of Gauge and Guides and Section through Floating Iron Dish, ... | 366 |
| Felling Timber in the Himalayas | Rough Map of Sapni and Koomkoomee Forests, showing Slides (400)—Do of Joompan and Phinla Forests, showing Slides, ... | 406 |
| The Dillhousie Church | Front Elevation, Ground Plan, and Section of Truss for Roof, ... | 418 |
| Profile for Walls Retaining Water, | ... | 444 |
| Notes on Floors in Boubay | Sections, ... | 458 |

| | PAGE. |
|---|-------------|
| The Mountain Tramway. Transverse Section of Propeller (476)— | |
| Longitudinal Section of Propeller and Carriage (478)—Trans- | |
| verse Section of the several Forms of Construction,... | 480 |
| Gravatt's Method of Adjustment, | 500 |
| Bull's Annular Kiln. Flange Kiln for continuous firing, ... | 516 |
| Mayo Hospital, Lahore. Elevation and Plans (522)—Sections | |
| and Elevation, | 524 |
| Bull's Sleeper and Fish-Plate Joint for Permanent Way. Section, | |
| Elevation and Plan, | 530 |
| Fouracres' Patent Deep-well Excavator (542)—Self-closing Deep- | |
| Well Excavator, | 544 |
| Memorandum on Cement Concrete, | 548 |
| Webb's Sub-Aqueous Excavator, | 550 and 552 |
| Timbering of Flat Roofs, | 561 |
| Earthwork Estimates. Sections, | 576 |
| Essay on the Geology of Kunkur. Sketch Map of Country, Rough | |
| Sketch of Strata in Dhangoo Cut, | 600 |
| Manufacture of Cement in India. Plan, Section and Elevation of | |
| Lime Kiln (618)—Do. of Betonnière, | 620 |



THE REVENUE BOARD BUILDINGS, MADRAS.

No. I.

THE REVENUE BOARD BUILDINGS IN MADRAS.

[*Vide Frontispiece.*]

THE Revenue Board Buildings in Madras stand adjacent to the old palace of the Nawabs of the Carnatic. The latter structure, which is now used as a college, is constructed in the mixed Hindoo-Mahomedan style so common in the south of India; and although much of the detail is meaningless, and much objectionable, the general effect of the exterior (which is colored dark red and white), is more pleasing than that of many buildings subsequently erected by Anglo-Indians. The Government found it necessary to make extensive alterations and additions, and, by the desire of his excellency Lord Napier, these works have been carried out so as to assimilate the Revenue Board buildings with the older adjacent structure. Mr. Chisholm, the Government architect, while keeping to the general lines of the old structure, has taken his details and many forms from purer types of the style, and superior materials have enabled him to adopt a much lighter form of construction. When the offices have been completed, the outlay will scarcely be felt, as the amount of rent now paid by Government for private offices represents capital equal to the expenditure involved.

The materials is the fine polished chunam of this coast, which is too well known to need further description.

The building finds favor in the locality; both Europeans and Natives seem to take a general interest in its progress, and Lord Napier, in a lecture delivered there some time back, makes the following allusion to it:—

"The Government has endeavored, with the advice of an accomplished architect, to exhibit in the improvements at the Revenue Board an

example of the adoption of the Mussulman style to contemporaneous use. Mr. Chisholm would be the first to disclaim and condemn the material which has been forced upon him by necessities to which we are still subjected, but his design will be a practical demonstration of the views which I have here advocated. He has paid the first tribute to the genius of the past; he has set the first example of a revival in native art which I hope will not remain unappreciated and unfruitful."

•

NOTE.—The above is an Extract from the "Builder," December 31st, 1870.

The Plate (Frontispiece) was reduced from the engraving in the "Builder," by Sergt. G. T. Sparke, Photographic Instructor, Thomason C. E. College.

A. M. L.

No. II.

IS IRRIGATION NECESSARY IN UPPER INDIA?

BY MAJOR A. F. CORBETT, B. S. C. (*Published by desire of H. E. the Viceroy.*)

At a time when extensive irrigational works are being carried out and more proposed, it may not be out of place to consider to what extent such works are required and what quantity of water is useful, or otherwise, to crops in general.

It may in the first place be as well to consider the general condition of land and the present system of cultivation of both irrigable and unirrigable lands. I shall here, where not otherwise mentioned, refer more particularly to the rubbee crops, and take wheat as an example, it being the most valuable of these crops, as a food for man.

The soil of cultivated fields has lying immediately below the cultivated surface soil a hard layer or crust; this is not a natural, but an artificial, formation, caused by the treading of men and cattle, and the pressure of the sole of the plough. This is called by farmers in England the *pan*, and is known to the natives by the word "tawa," which is almost identical in meaning. This pan is much denser in stiff than in sandy soils, and in some clays and stiff loams is almost impermeable to water: the roots of cereals can hardly force their way into this pan.

As the irrigable land is prepared in this country for sowing by being ploughed and reploughed about three or four inches deep, the cereals are limited to a little more than this depth of soil in which to seek moisture and nourishment, and this too in a soil impoverished by yearly cropping

The moisture quickly evaporates from this loosened upper layer of soil above the pan, and irrigation becomes necessary to keep the plants alive.

I believe indiscriminate irrigation to be the bane of Indian farming, for as long as a cultivator can, by scratching the surface soil and swamping it with water, get a crop of four or five-fold the amount of the seed sown, he lets things follow the old course, and does not trouble himself to look about for a better system.

Below this pan the soil is generally more free and open and contains moisture held there by capillary attraction; the amount of water is small, as most of the rain falling on the land or water supplied by irrigation is evaporated from the surface or taken up by the crops before passing through the pan.

All porous soils have a greater or less power of capillary attraction; the smaller the particles composing the soil the less will be the power of capillary attraction possessed by it. Thus clay soils, which can be rubbed into an almost impalpable powder, have a much less power of capillary attraction than gritty sandy soils; but whilst clay or loamy soils have a less power of capillary attraction, they have a greater retentive power for moisture, that is, they will retain moisture longer when once it has penetrated into them, and will not so soon lose it by evaporation or filtration.

We have a familiar example of capillary attraction in a flower-pot. If we fill a flower-pot with dry loose earth and place it in a shallow pan of water, moisture will rise in the flower-pot by capillary attraction to the surface of the soil, although much higher than the water was in the pan. If however, instead of a flower-pot, we use tubes, we can ascertain what height the water will rise in different descriptions of soils.

Rain falling on the surface requires some time to penetrate the hard pan, and should hot sunshiny weather succeed the falls of rain, the greater part of the water is evaporated. In Upper India it requires a heavy fall of rain, or rather a continuance of wet weather, to produce what the natives call the "*Milwan*" or meeting of the surface water with the water held by capillary attraction in the lower strata of the soil, below or in the lower part of the pan.

As I said before, under the present system irrigation is required to keep the plants alive. This irrigation tends to consolidate the soil, the smaller particles being washed into any fissures there may be in the pan,

and the surface soil binds together. The roots of plants, however, require air as well as water, but the air can hardly penetrate the now almost hermetically sealed surface soil. Weeds grow amongst the crop, and in hoeing these out the soil is loosened. Here we see the use of weeds, in the absence of which the surface soil would not be stirred. When the crops are cut, there is a little grass, which is eaten off by cattle, after which the bare fields are left a smooth hardened surface, almost impervious to air and moisture.

The more water has been supplied to the land by irrigation, the more parched the land becomes when the crops are removed and irrigation ceases. Solar heat is reflected from the hardened surface of the soil and heats the air, causing the hot winds, the direct rays of the sun having very little effect in raising the temperature of the air.

It is difficult to say what amount of water is applied to irrigated lands, but where the fields are irrigated from wells, I think we may calculate the amount of water to be about two inches for each irrigation, so by doubling the number of times the lands are irrigated, we get a fair approximation of the depth in inches of the water supplied to the land. As the land irrigated from wells is generally watered three or four times during the growth of the crop, we may consider it receives a depth of six or eight inches of water.

I believe where canal water is used, very much more water is applied to the land than where water has to be drawn from wells, and if I am correct in my reading of a tabular statement in a late *Government of India Gazette*, the amount of water supplied by the Ganges Canal during the month of February last was equal to a depth of six inches over the whole of the irrigated area.

There is a report on Tank Irrigation in Ajmere and Mhairwarra, by Lieutenant Home, R.E., in No. 4, Vol. I, second series, "Selections from Records of Government, N.W.P.", and a letter from Major Stewart, R.E., forwarding the said Report, in which he says—"The average required to irrigate one acre is shown by Lieutenant Home to be 177,261 cubic feet, whereas in our calculations we have allowed 200,000 to 300,000, and generally the latter." Now 177,261 cubic feet per acre is equal to a depth of 4.07 feet, and the 300,000 cubic feet is nearly 7 feet, say 80 inches. This seems excessive, as one inch depth of water over an acre of ground is upwards of 100 tons in weight, so every inch of rain that

falls, or water that is applied to the land by irrigation, supplies upwards of 100 tons of water to the acre. By weighing the produce of a few square yards of churree (jowar),* I calculated the weight per acre of the crop, when at its full growth and full of sap, to be about 100 tons. The amount of water in churree cut green for cattle is about 80 per cent. of the total weight of the crop; and an inch of water, being say 100 tons, the crop only contains eight-tenths, *i. e.*, four-fifths of an inch of water.

A good crop of wheat with its straw, at the time of its greatest succulency, just before the formation of the blossom, may weigh about ten tons: this may contain about 75 per cent., or three-fourths of one-tenth of an inch of water, or say one-tenth the amount taken up by churree.

In round numbers, we may say a crop of jowar, bajra, maize retains one inch, and a rubbee crop only one-tenth of an inch of water when at its full growth.

As plants, however, lose a great quantity of water by evaporation from their leaves, the above-mentioned amount of water would be altogether insufficient for their support.

Mr. Lawes found from experiments carried out in England, that common plants (wheat, barley, beans, peas and clover) exhaled during five months of growth more than 200 times their dry weight of water. A crop of wheat of 19 bushels of 60 lbs., equal to half a ton of grain, is, I believe greatly above the average of the wheat crops now grown in Upper India. To grow a crop of half ton per acre with its straw,—which may be put down as double the weight of grain, or 1 ton—total weight of grain and straw, $1\frac{1}{2}$ tons,—would require 300 tons or 3 inches of water in England; if we allow the amount of water exhaled or evaporated from the crops to be in India double what it is in England, the crops would require to absorb from the soil 600 tons, or 6 inches depth of water. The water evaporated from the crops would not all be lost to the soil, as a great amount of it would be returned in dew and rain. I do not think there would be any difficulty in retaining double this amount of water in the soil, if the pan were broken up and the soil deeply ploughed; and I believe it would be a simple matter with the aid of manure, which can be had in any quantity, to raise the average of wheat crops in Upper India to one ton, or say 37 bushels per acre. The soil and sub-soil in many parts, for instance Rohilkund, is a rich alluvial deposit, and only wants

* *Holcus Sorghum*.

thorough cultivation to make it one of the most productive in the world, and the climate during the time the cereals are growing is all that can be desired to bring them to perfection

A fair index, however, to the amount of water required by the wheat and other rubbee crops is obtained by observation of unirrigated lands, most of which are light sandy soils called "bhoor"

Under the present system of farming in Upper India, with an average rainy season and cold weather rains, crops of wheat and barley are obtained from these lands. Being light soils, they are ploughed deeper than irrigated lands, perhaps six inches deep, and the water that remains in the sub-soil, together with the cold weather rain, is sufficient to raise the crops, and these bhoor lands have some grass on them throughout the hot weather which the irrigated lands have not. I allow the crops on these bhoor lands are light, but this is from a want of manure and a deficiency of water, which can be remedied

These unirrigated land crops are in a great measure dependent on the cold-weather rains. If we can retain in them the moisture from the regular monsoon rains, we shall be independent of what may fall in the cold weather. I know of no reason sufficiently valid to deter experiments being made at once on these lands on an extensive scale

The average rain fall during the rains may be from 20 to 30 inches, and the cold weather rain from 1 to 3 inches. I believe, with an improved system, one half, or even less rain, would be ample

My reason for this belief is, that a greater part of the water which falls on these soils, either runs off the surface, or is lodged on, or in the soil, so near the surface above the pan, that it is lost by evaporation before it can penetrate into the sub soil

Now if these light soils, which are much less retentive of moisture than irrigable land, can retain sufficient moisture to produce a crop, succeeded by some scanty herbage, during the hot weather, what necessity can there be for irrigating soils of a closer texture, which have a greater power of retaining moisture? I maintain that if we prevent the rain running off the land, and as much as possible prevent evaporation and use manure, the annual rain-fall even in dry seasons will be found sufficient to produce even better grain crops than are now obtained by irrigation under the present system. Irrigation applies water to the surface, crops do not want water on the land, but in it

I give here a rough sketch of a country plough and a modification I have made of it.

Fig. 1.



The old plough, *Fig. 1*, is made in three principal pieces—the *haras* or draught pole which rests on the yoke, the stilt or handle, and the share in which an iron point is fixed. The angle at which the share is to the draught pole will not allow of its penetrating deep into the soil; true the share is fitted into a groove in the stilt and fixed there by wedges, by altering which a slight difference in the angle can be made, and a somewhat deeper ploughing effected; still pressure on the stilt depresses the heel and not the point of the plough, which is thus brought to the surface.

Fig. 2.



My altered plough, No. 2, is made in two pieces, the draught pole and, the stilt which is continued, the lower end of it forming the share, which is like, in shape and action, one of the tines of the modern cultivator. Pressure on the stilt forces the point (which is covered with iron) into the ground. This is simpler than the country plough, being in two

pieces, and less likely to get out of order, and it is also cheaper. It could be strengthened by having a piece of iron from the point of the share to the pole (where the dotted line is), thus forming a coulter.

The natives have a prejudice against deep ploughing, they say it turns up bad soil. I believe this is merely an excuse for laziness, as although I have asked hundreds of natives whether they have tried it I have not met one who told me he had. Thirty or forty years ago there was a strong objection on the part of farmers in many parts of England to breaking up the pan, but now it has been done they acknowledge the advantages of deep cultivation. Cereal crops are always better here after cotton or indigo. This is, I believe, because these crops have, with their deeply penetrating roots, loosened the sub soil, which enables the roots of cereals to penetrate deeper into it.

Another objection made by natives to deep ploughing is that their bullocks cannot draw the plough. If one pair of bullocks cannot draw the plough I have made, two pairs can do so easily. As, however, the deep ploughing would be effected, not by one ploughing, but by several, each going a few inches deeper than the former, there would not be much more power required with my plough than there is at present with the ordinary country plough.

Secondly, banks must be raised round the fields to prevent rain water running off by surface drainage. Where the land is tolerably level, there will be no difficulty in this, the ordinary ridges will be sufficient when the land is deeply ploughed, but where the land is undulating, long narrow fields should be made with the length of the fields running across the direction of the slope, in fact, the fields will require to be terraced, as they are in the hills. By raising the ridges between the fields, any manure there may be on the surface, droppings of cattle, stubble of old crops, &c., is all retained on the land, and, when the land is also deeply cultivated, sinks into the soil, where it remains available for crops. Now, all this manuring matter is carried down by streams to the Ganges and deposited on the low lands of Bengal, where it is the cause of malaria and disease on the subsidence of the floods which have carried it there in suspension. Not only is manure lying on the land lost by surface drainage, but the rain water, which is required to moisten the sub soil, and also contains valuable manurial ingredients, is lost to the land of these provinces, and carried down to Bengal, which does not require it. Ben-

gal, with an ample rain-fall, is flooded and manured by the Ganges, as Egypt is by the Nile; only Egypt has next to no rain-fall and requires it, whereas it is injurious in every way to Bengal.

The water being arrested in the soil of the fields where it fell, would slowly filter through the sub-soil to the rivers, which would be kept at a more equal depth throughout the year; and Bengal would not suffer to the extent it now does from floods. Another advantage resulting from deep ploughing would be that solar heat, instead of being reflected and heating the air, would be absorbed and radiated by the loosened surface soil, and the intense heat of the hot weather would be moderated; as also would the steamy atmosphere of the rains, by the rain sinking into the lower soil before it had time to evaporate. The temperature being lowered, the evaporation would be lessened, consequently less rain would be sufficient, and possibly the climate might be so altered as to adapt it to many plants, such as tea, which cannot now be grown in the plains, and also to the culture of the silk-worm.

With modified hot winds there would be less difficulty in growing grasses and forage crops in the hot weather, and the crops that have now to be irrigated almost daily, to keep them alive, might possibly, after a few years, when the sub-soil was more moistened, hardly require irrigation. A reduced temperature would render the climate more adapted to Europeans and lessen the necessity for hill sanatoria for troops. To what extent the temperature might be reduced I have no means of judging, further than some balloon experiments of the British Association gave a decrease of 1° Fahrenheit for every 276 feet of altitude. If therefore, we divide the difference of altitude in feet of hill stations and the plains by 276, we get the theoretical difference of temperature. Thus 5,520 feet, which is about the difference between the altitude of parts of the Upper Doab and Nynee Tal, should give a temperature in the Upper Doab only 20° higher than that of Nynee Tal. A loosened soil of $1\frac{1}{2}$ or 2 feet in depth would be useful as a sanitary measure for the country generally, porous earth being a great absorbent of malaria and noxious vapours. Troops moved into camp on cholera breaking out in military stations soon improve in health, I believe chiefly from getting away from the sodden consolidated soil of cantonments to the vicinity of more cultivated land. Cantonment lands cannot well be cultivated, but if they were sub-soil drained, I believe we should have less sickness

in the stations I am here straying from my question I have, however, brought the subject of sub soil drainage of military stations to the notice of the Sanitary Commissioner with the Government of India

So convinced was I of the benefits of deep ploughing, that last year, in the rains, I ploughed and re ploughed a piece of ground, stated to be four *Lucha* beeghas, as deeply as I could with the country plough. The land was hard "doomat," which had always been irrigated. I thought I had ploughed this field ten to twelve inches deep, but on testing it, it proved to have only been ploughed eight or nine inches deep. I sowed wheat in this field on the 4th November, $7\frac{1}{2}$ seers Budaon weight per *Lucha* beegah. The last fall of rain there had been on it, previous to sowing, was on the 9th October. Hardly a blade of this was touched by white ants, and as the crop looked very healthy up to the 12th January, I determined not to irrigate it. I did not see it again from 12th January till I returned from camp on 1st March, when it seemed to be in want of water, and I was persuaded to irrigate a few beds (kiarees) (possibly one twentieth part of the field) one side of the field, which looked more dried up than the rest the irrigated part at once became laid and the irrigation did more harm than good. The next field to mine (said to be three beeghas), farmed by a native in the usual way, was ploughed three or four inches deep, and also sown on the 4th November, the same day as mine, he showed ten seers Budaon weight per *Lucha* beegah, a great quantity, I should think three fourths of his plants, were destroyed by white-ants when the crop was a few inches high, he irrigated his field three times from sowing to harvesting.

As the *Lucha* beegah is rather a vague measurement, I had these fields measured, and found the area of mine was 3,213 and the native's field 1,944 square yards. The crop from my field was 9 maunds 6 seers 8 chittacks Budaon weight of 100 tolahs to the seer, and the native's crop was 2 maunds 10 seers, according to his statement. Reducing this to bushels of 60 lbs, my crop was at the rate of nearly 23 bushels per acre, and his a little over 9 bushels. I must mention that I manured my land with a dressing of farm-yard manure, on one part of it I applied some broken bones and afterwards gave a dressing of brick kiln ashes over the whole.

It is impossible to say what amount of crop was due to manure and what to deep-ploughing.

Had I, after the manure was applied, ploughed my land like the native

three or four inches deep and watered, I do not think I should have as good a crop as I had. This is only conjecture. However, had I only ploughed three or four inches deep and not irrigated, I am certain I should have no crop at all. But, had my land been ploughed even five or six inches deeper than it was, I think I should have had nearly double the crop I had; as a great many of the ears of my crop were altogether empty, and a great quantity of the grain was shrivelled from want of moisture when the grain was forming, which would not have been the case had there been a greater depth of pulverized moist soil under the plants, and the roots been able to penetrate deeper. My land being ploughed to a depth of only eight or nine inches, the roots of the plants could not strike down sufficiently deep in the soil to be unaffected by drought and the heat of the sun, and the moisture was dried up out of the depth of soil they could reach, before the grain was perfected.

My field sloped down to one side, and perhaps half the rain which fell on it ran off by surface drainage, it not having been properly banked up: this makes me consider that half the average rain-fall would be sufficient if it were retained in the land.

The cultivators about Budaon have been enquiring how it was I obtained a better crop without, than they did with irrigation. Some say they irrigated four and even five times, and only got about half the return I did, and from the same description of soil. I merely tell them to "manure well and plough deeply," and have shown them my new plough, which they seem to have taken a fancy to, and say they will adopt.

As it is, my crop does not compare badly with the average crops of European countries, as mine was nearly 23 bushels per acre. In a late number of the *Farmer*, the average of wheat in bushels per acre in different countries is given as follows:—

Ireland, 26 bushels, high farming 30 to 40; England and Scotland, 28, high farming 44; Belgium, 21; France, 14; Russia, 17; Silesia, 10; Austria, 15 to 16 bushels.

The rain-fall at Budaon, from the 10th October to within two or three days previous to the time I cut my crop, was 1.3 inches. I do not include rain falling just previous to cutting the crop, as it delayed my harvesting and did it more harm than good.

The natives sow a large quantity of seed per acre, as they expect a

great quantity of the young plants will be destroyed by white-ants. White-ants, I believe, will not attack strong healthy plants. It is only when, in the struggle for existence caused by the poverty of, and want of nourishment in, the soil, the weaker plants begin to droop, that they become the prey of the white-ants.

After my crop was cut I could easily push a walking stick into my field to the depth it had been ploughed, but could not push it above an inch deep into the native's field. The soil of my field being thus light and porous, I ploughed it to the depth it had been originally ploughed, and left it in the rough, to get the benefit of the ameliorating influences of the air and rain. Had the occupier of the land next to mine attempted to plough his land after removing his crop, he could not have done so above two inches deep.

Under the present revenue system, unirrigable lands are assessed at a much lower rate than lands which are irrigable: if my theory is correct, there is no reason why the unirrigable lands should not be rated as highly as the irrigable. At present, in general they are of a lighter or more sandy composition, and as such more suited to barley than wheat, but in ploughing deeply the sandy particles now on the surface would fall down into the furrows, and be mixed with the minuter particles of the denser sub-soil, and the texture of the soil would be improved and become more suited for wheat: at the same time the soil of lands now irrigated would, by deep ploughing and ceasing to irrigate them, become more light and porous and better suited for all agricultural crops.

Every year we hear of the cotton crop being damaged in some district or other from either excess or want of rain. Were the land deeply cultivated, so that rain could easily penetrate to the sub-soil, I do not think we should hear of damage from either of these causes, and instead of getting a crop of from 50 to 70 lbs. an acre, we should have one of from 200 to 300 lbs., or even more.

As it is, a great amount of the vital energy of the Cotton plant is expended in forcing its roots into the hardened pan, and we have a dwarfed plant.

With reference to lands barren from being covered with "reh," I believe wherever kunkur has been quarried from these lands they have become fertile. This probably is from the reh becoming mixed with a mass of soil, instead of being collected on the surface; it might be worth

trying whether deep ploughing and thus mixing the *rich* with the sub-soil would have the effect of making these barren soils fertile.

Whether I am right or wrong is a question which could be settled by a few simple experiments carried on in different parts of the country. If I am right, the money spent in irrigational works, except, under certain conditions of the soil and subsoil above explained, is simply money thrown away.

Note by (the late) Colonel J. C. Anderson, R.E., Inspector General of Irrigation.

I think Major Corbett should be encouraged to propagate his opinions. If they are sound they will probably prevail in the end, without any authoritative intervention on the part of the Government of India. The Government of the N. W. Provinces will doubtless be very willing to order some experiments to test the general accuracy of his conclusions; and it might be suggested that the Roorkee Professional Papers will be a convenient vehicle for distributing the new doctrines among Canal Officers, and other professional men.

The experiment made by Major Corbett himself described, at pages 15 &c., of his pamphlet, is not conclusive as to the advantage of deep ploughing, and a small quantity of water, over the ordinary treatment, for, as he himself admits, it was impossible to say how far the increased out-turn was due to manure, or how far to deep ploughing.

I believe it is generally admitted that the native system of ploughing is very defective. But though the husbandry of the natives is of a primitive character, I am under the impression that their practical shortcomings are not so much due to a want of agricultural skill or knowledge, as to apathy and the force of custom. Major Corbett used manure for his experimental field while the cultivators around used none; this was not because they do not know the value of manure, but because they either had none to spare, or did not consider the outlay that they would have to incur in obtaining it would be repaid by the increased out-turn of crop. They use manure largely for gardens, and for lands from which two or three crops are taken in the year, and they would use it generally if they had it, but it does not form with them as it does at home, one of the principal elements for determining the limits to which agricultural operations of different kinds can be profitably carried on.

And something to the same effect may be said of their system of ploughing. They probably know perfectly well, that heavy clay soils would be improved by deep ploughing, but ploughing does not simply mean raking the soil, but turning it up, and the deeper an implement has to be worked, the heavier the cattle, or the greater the number of them that are required. In many parts of India, however, very great care is taken in preparing the fields for the seed, and when a sufficient supply of water and manure is obtainable, seed time and harvest may be seen going on without any interruption, and in the same fields, from one end of the year to the other. It may also be mentioned that some of the fat clayey soils, such as the black cotton soil of the Deccan, are cracked and fissured in every direction under the action of the burning sun of April and May, and when such tracts are irrigated by water loaded with rich alluvial deposit, the cracks are filled in by this fertilizing matter, and one of the objects of deep ploughing is thus fulfilled. We are accustomed to think the intense heat of the summer months an unmitigated evil, but in Tanjore, which is one of the most richly cultivated Provinces in India, it is notorious that the more intense is that heat of the hot weather, the better will be the out turn of the crop raised during the rainy months.

As regards deep ploughing in the N W Provinces, I believe it would be very advantageous in clayey soils. Of course the practice described by Major Corbett of merely scraping such soils by the native plough, and of allowing the water used for irrigation, or the rain water, to soak and then evaporate from a depth of only a few inches must be very noxious. Water to have full effect in promoting vegetation should be changed frequently, and the matter exuded from the roots should be cleansed away. This is well known with regard to irrigation of meadow lands, which cannot be watered too often, and grass grows nowhere better than in sandy or gravelly soil, with only a coating of alluvium on the surface, showing that the more free the passage for the water into the soil and away from the plants, the richer will be the growth.

In a clayey soil I have no doubt that Major Corbett's plan of deep ploughing with the object of allowing the rain water to sink to a considerable depth and there to remain in store, would be preferable to irrigation of a thin coating of loose soil resting on an impervious bottom, but I think he errs in describing this as the general character of the soil in

the N. W. Provinces. There is in fact great variety of soil in these Provinces, some tracts are formed of a coating of clay of very variable thickness overlying sand, and in others again sand predominates. In the Khadir land, that is the low lands bordering on the rivers, and liable to be inundated by them, there is an upper coating of alluvial matter, sometimes very thin, and sand with occasional thin films of clay underneath. This soil is very rich, and is covered with grass when the higher lands are dry and bare. Wells may there be only from 6 to 10 or 12 feet deep and the soil generally is always more or less moist, partly perhaps from the proximity of the well water, and partly from the fogs thrown off by the river. But no one ever heard of such lands being injured by excess of water, unless where jheels or swamps are formed; on the contrary, the more they are inundated, that is naturally irrigated, the more fertile they become.

As regards the higher lands, some, as before said, are clayey, but that the soil generally is not of an impervious character is sufficiently proved by the extraordinary rise produced in the surface of the wells by Canal Irrigation in the N. W. Provinces and Punjab. I do not happen to have the figures by me, but I believe the elevation in some places has been as much as 15 or 20 feet, and the Canal Officers know very well that the canal water will go much further in one district than another, simply because in one case the soil is more sandy and permeable than in the other. So that while I have no objection to deep ploughing in such soil, it would not have the effect of hoarding the rain water in the manner desired by Major Corbett, but would on the contrary allow of its passing away much more freely than it can at present.

Major Corbett, describes the cultivation of "bhoor" lands at page 7 of his pamphlet. Bhoor lands are to all appearance pure sand, and have generally an undulating surface. Major Corbett attributes to lightness of the crops raised upon them to want of sufficient water and of manure, being apparently under the impression that a great part of the rain water that falls upon them runs off the surface or is lodged in the soil a few inches from it. He speaks also of these lands being less retentive of moisture than most ordinary land. But I believe he has not formed a correct conclusion regarding the physical character of these Bhoor lands. They are, if I mistake not, formed of drift sand and particles of alluvium, which overlay stiff soil. The rain water penetrates the

surface immediately and is retained by the impervious stratum underneath, it may be at a considerable depth below the surface. Were it otherwise it would seem impossible that any crop could be raised from such land. The process by which the moisture is obtained by the roots of the plants is in reality very different from that described by Major Corbett. Deep ploughing in this case would be of little use, but no doubt the addition of manure would enrich the naturally poor character of the soil.

I have only one other remark to make. Major Corbett says that a very small amount of rain, if utilized in the manner he proposes, would suffice to mature a wheat crop in the N W Provinces. But he has not considered that, let the amount of rain be as small as he chooses to mention, he cannot reckon on getting it, at the proper time. If rain does not fall at all in October, November, or December what has he to fall back upon, unless artificial irrigation? Yet this was what happened the year before last, and had it not been for the canals, there would have been a fearful famine throughout a large section of the N W Provinces. But if Major Corbett can help us to economize water we shall be very thankful, and if he can show us how to make the canals do twice the duty they do at present, he would add largely to the wealth of the country and to the Government revenues.

[NOTE BY THE EDITOR]

As bearing on the question of how far the increased out-turn on Major Corbett's land was due to manure, or to deep ploughing, the following extract from the proceedings of the Agricultural and Horticultural Society of India, 20th April, 1871, may be advantageously here quoted.

It will be observed, that from Mr Halsey's point of view, deep ploughing on an Indian Zemindar's land may be beneficial as a mode of manuring, by bringing within reach of the crops new and virgin soil, and this effect combined with the surface dressing of manure applied by Major Corbett, might fully account for the increased productiveness of the land in his experiment, without having recourse to the theory of the retention of moisture in the deep and porous soil being secured by deep ploughing.

* In an interesting letter of 9th April (of which the following are extracts) Mr Halsey shows the beneficial effects of *deep ploughing* the success attending, their annual cattle fairs, &c. —

"To show you the effects of deep ploughing, I would mention that this year, or rather last September, I sowed a piece of land with carrot (country) using only half the amount of seed that the Zemindars' do. The land had only been ploughed once with Stalkartt's plough, and side by side with the land I farmed was another field of carrots sown on the same day as mine, but ploughed eight times with an ordinary native plough. My carrots were ready for consumption a full month before the Zemindar's; his were two inches across at the head by six inches long, mine averaged eight to ten inches, and many were 12 and even 14 inches across and a foot long.

"A European who *rents* land in these parts has literally no reason to use manure if he will employ an English plough, as at ten inches deep, he turns up maiden soil: you have to change your land every year."

A. M. L.

No. III.

SINKING PIERS OF THE BASSEIN BRIDGE.

[Vide Plate I., page 22.]

Reports on the Sinking of one of the new piles at Pier No. 23 of the South Bassein Bridge, Bombay, Baroda and Central India Railway.

HAVING levelled the fourth column of this pier at the rate of seven piles below cap level, the column was cored to within 2 feet 8 inches of the screw. After the lapse of four hours it was found that the column had sunk 2 feet, the core having risen to a height of 11 feet within the column, the eighth pile was then mounted and screwed to cap level; whilst coring, and with a 2 feet 8 inches depth of core in the pile, the column suddenly sunk 6 feet, the core rising to a height of 27 feet inside the pile column; the ninth pile was then mounted and screwed 2 feet in order to stop the water, after which the column was cored to within 2 feet of the screw, this depth being reached and while coring and the tide being out, the pile column gradually sunk 1 foot 10 inches, the core rising to a height of 18 feet inside the column. After screwing again a few feet the column was cored to within 3 feet of the screw, when the pile column suddenly sunk 5 feet, the core rising to a height of 31 feet inside the pile. The tenth pile has been mounted, and when screwed, I shall inform you of the result. The material passed through consists of fine sand mixed with soft blue clay, the sand being much in excess of the clay.

In continuation of my report on the screwing of pier No. 23, Bassein Bridge, South channel, I have to add as follows:—

After mounting the 10th pile, and have cored the column to within 2 feet 6 inches of the screw, the pile column suddenly sunk 5 feet, jamming the collar arms in the staging, the core rising to a height of 27 feet inside the column. The 11th pile was then mounted, and on attempting to core the column it was found impossible to decrease the water in the pile. In order to accomplish this, it was found necessary to screw down the pile, but scarcely had the pile been twisted through a quarter of a revolution, when a sound was heard proceeding from the pile, indicating that it was cracked. The pile column was then abandoned till the next day, in order to let the sand settle, and so prevent the water coming into the pile. Subsequently the water having been cleared from the pile column, the place where the crack existed was discovered at a depth of 22 feet below the bed of the river. The pile is severed throughout its whole circumference. When the sound was heard which indicated that the pile was cracked, there were only* sixteen men at each capstan, hence I do not attribute the cracking of this pile to any undue force being used in the screwing operation. This column has on three different occasions fallen through a space of 5 feet suddenly in each case, jamming the staging, and it is not unlikely that this crack was caused by the abrupt stoppage received in its descent from the staging. I shall have the broken pile examined after extraction, in order to discover any hidden flaw which might have existed and escaped observation.

15th October, 1870.


* *Extract from Roorkee Treatise of Civil Engineering, Vol. I., page 282.*

† As an example may be cited, the wrought-iron piles used in the piers of railway bridges on the Bombay and Baroda Railway. Each of these was screwed into the ground by means of four levers, each 40 feet long, and each having eight bullocks yoked to it. According to this example, the greatest working load upon each screw of 4 feet 6 inches in diameter, *exclusive* of the earth and water above it, is nearly as follows:—

Pier 25 tons + superstructure 12 + train 30 = 67 tons = 150,080 lbs., being at the rate of nearly 100 lbs. per square inch of the horizontal projection of the screw blade.

As these piles are screwed from 20 to 45 feet into the earth, the weight of earth above each screw-blade may be taken as ranging from 14 lbs. to 30 lbs. per square inch; so that the load on each screw blade, *exclusive* of the weight of earth above it, ranges from 3 times to 7 times that weight, and including the weight of earth, from 4 times to 8 times.

The chief uses of screw piles are to form the vertical supports of platforms of open-work piers, whether of timber or iron, and of such structures as harbour-jetties and light-houses, and to fasten down permanent mooring-chains in harbours.



Shore work done this season
 " proposed work
 " old work when Bridge


of horizontal and vertical elements, P and V which may be supposed to act at the point where R cuts the base.

The former of these components can have no effect in producing vertical pressure; so that we have only occasion to examine the distribution of the vertical element V over the base.

When R, and therefore V, is applied at the centre of the base, the pressure will be uniformly distributed, and may be represented by the rectangle ABCD in Fig. 34.

When R does not pass through the centre of the base the pressure varies uniformly, decreasing towards A as it increases towards B, and is

Fig. 34.



represented by the ideal figure ABC' D', through the centre of gravity of which V passes as the resultant of the vertical pressures. The ordinates of this figure AC', OO'; BD', represent the pressures respectively at the points A, O and B.

The mean pressure, therefore, increases towards B by the ordinates of the triangle O' DD', and decreases towards A by those of the similar, and equal, triangle O' CC'.

The maximum pressure, $p' = BD'$, is therefore represented by a pressure of mean intensity $p = BD$, plus an increment $DD' = \Delta p$.

To find the value of this increment, we equate the moment of ABD' C' with the moments of its component figures AOO' C' and OBD' O'† about the neutral axis OO'.

If x be the breadth of base, qx the deviation of V from the centre of the base, the area $ABD' C' =$ the area $ABCD = px$; therefore the moment of $ABD' C' = px \times qx$:

Also, if A' be the area of $AOO' C' = OO' BD$, and if we consider the moments of $AOO' C'$ to be negative with respect to the axis OO' we have $pxqx = A' \frac{x}{4} + \left(\frac{\Delta_p x}{4} \times \frac{2x}{6} \right) - \left\{ \frac{A' x}{4} - \left(\frac{\Delta_p x}{4} \times \frac{2x}{6} \right) \right\} = \frac{\Delta_p x^2}{6}$ (25).

therefore $\Delta_p = 6pq$, and the maximum pressure is $p' = p + 6pq = p (1 + 6q)^\dagger$(26).

* The moment of AOO' C is made up of the moment of AOO' C - the moment of O' CC', and that of OO' BD is made up of the moment OBD O' + the moment of O' DD'.
† The above reasoning we owe to Lieut. O. Chadwick, R.E., who arrives at the following general formulae for the maximum pressure of structure on its base, such as shown in Fig. 34a.

This equation however fails to represent the maximum pressure at B, as soon as there exists a tension at any point of the base; that is, as soon as c' lies between A and B, unless we take the tension into account. The effect of a tensile force in masonry is much too uncertain to deal with in practice; and we shall, therefore, neglect it in estimating the maximum pressure in retaining walls. When c' coincides with A there is no tension,

$$Qqx = Spqx = \frac{Qd}{3} + Qd' - \left(\frac{Qd}{2} - Qd'\right) = 2Qd' \dots\dots\dots(25a).$$

from which, by substituting values for Q , d , and Σ is obtained the increment of maximum pressure p' over the mean pressure p ; and, consequently, the maximum pressure itself in terms of the mean pressure and deviation qx , of the resultant pressure from the centre of base.

In the formula,

Q = the volume of ideal solid representing the total pressure on the base AOB whose area = Σ .

Fig 34a.

Q' = the volume of the equal wedges $OO'DD'$ and $OO'CC'$ representing the increase and decrease of pressure on either side of the neutral axis $O'O$.

d = the distance of the centre of gravity of the half of figure ABCD from the vertical through the neutral axis.

d' = the distance of the centre of gravity of the wedges Q' from the axis

$\Delta p = DD' =$ the increment of pressure at the outer edge (B).

Examples —

For a Rectangular based structure—

$$l = \text{length of base } x = \text{thickness } \Sigma = lx; Q' = \frac{DD' lx}{4}; d' =$$

$$\frac{x}{3} Spqx = lpx^2 = 2 \times \frac{\Delta p lx}{4} \times \frac{x}{3}$$

$$\text{therefore } \Delta p = 6pq, \text{ and, as before, } p' = p(1 + 6q) \dots (A).$$

For Circles—

$$r = \text{radius} = \frac{x}{2}, \quad \Sigma = \pi r^2, \quad Q' = \frac{2DD'r^2}{3}, \quad d' = \frac{3}{16}\pi r$$

$$Spqx = \frac{\pi x^3}{4} qp = \frac{2 \Delta p x^2}{3 \times 4} \times \frac{3}{16} \frac{\pi x}{2}$$

Therefore

$$\Delta p = 8pq, \text{ and } p' = p(1 + 8q) \dots\dots\dots(B).$$

It will be found also that these formulae (A and B) may be derived from equations and tables in Rankine's Applied Mechanics, articles 94 and 205, pages 77 and 229, in which we find " $p' = p + ax$,"

which equation as x is $\frac{2}{3}$, or x becomes in our notation $p' = p + \frac{a \cdot x}{2}$; and $a = \frac{x_0 Q}{I}$; $x_0 = qx$, I is the moment of inertia round the neutral axis, as given in the tables of such in the same work therefore —

$$p' = p + \frac{Qqx^2}{2I} \dots\dots\dots(25b).$$

Examples—

$$\text{For Rectangles } Q = plx; I = \frac{l x^3}{12} \therefore p' = p + \frac{p l x q x^2}{\frac{2 x^3 l}{12}} = p(1 + 6q)$$

$$\text{For Circles } Q = p \frac{\pi x^2}{4}; I = \frac{\pi x^4}{64} \therefore p' = p + \frac{p \frac{\pi x^2}{4} q x}{\frac{2 \pi x^4}{64}} = p(1 + 8q)$$

and q is $\frac{1}{6}$, p' being equal $2p$; but if q exceed $\frac{1}{6}$ the ideal figure representing the distribution of the pressure is $c'''BD'''$.

The vertical through the centre of gravity of this figure, and consequently the direction of V , cuts the base at a distance, from B , $= \frac{\overline{BC}'''}{3}$, and consequently at a distance $qx = \frac{x}{2} - \frac{\overline{BC}'''}{3}$ from the centre of the base; therefore $\overline{BC}''' = 3\left(\frac{x}{2} - qx\right)$.

The total pressure, represented by the area of the triangle $C'''BD'''$, is $\frac{\overline{BD}'' \times \overline{BC}'''}{2} = \frac{3}{2} p' x \left(\frac{1}{2} - q\right)$ and is also represented by the area $ACBD = px$; therefore $px = \frac{3}{2} p' x \left(\frac{1}{2} - q\right)$ and the maximum pressure when q is greater than $\frac{1}{6}$ is

$$p' = \frac{2}{3} \frac{p}{\left(\frac{1}{2} - q\right)} = \frac{4p}{3(1-2q)} \dots\dots\dots (26a).$$

We shall, therefore, use whichever of these equations (26), or (26a), may suit the case; that is, according as q is less than, equal to, or greater, than $\frac{1}{6}$, and the process of designing walls for limit values of p' will be tentative.*

The above alternative formulæ—(26) and (26a)—are respectively used

TABLE VII.

TABLE OF RATIOS OF MAXIMUM TO MEAN PRESSURES CORRESPONDING TO VALUES OF q AS FOLLOWS:—

| Formula (26), $q \leq \frac{1}{6}$ | | | | | | Formula (26a), $q > \frac{1}{6}$ | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|
| $q = 0$ | $\frac{1}{16}$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ | $\frac{1}{10}$ | $\frac{1}{8}$ | $\frac{1}{7}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{5}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{7}{18}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $p' = p$ | $\frac{11}{8} p$ | $\frac{3}{2} p$ | $\frac{8}{5} p$ | $\frac{7}{4} p$ | $\frac{13}{7} p$ | $2 p$ | $\frac{20}{9} p$ | $\frac{8}{3} p$ | $4 p$ | $\frac{16}{3} p$ | $\frac{32}{3} p$ | ∞ |
| $\frac{p'}{p} = 1$ | 1.375 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.75 | 1.857 | 2 | 2.223 | 2.667 | 4 | 5.334 | 10.667 | ∞ |

* Equations (26) and (26a) are identical with equations (1) and (2) given for the same purpose in M. Delocre's Mémoire, a most valuable translation of which has been prepared by Colonel Fife, R.E., for the use of the Bombay Irrigation Department, as mentioned at the conclusion of No. CLXIX. in Vol. IV., of Indian Professional Papers.

In that Mémoire U is the distance from where the resultant cuts the base to the outer edge, and therefore equal, $\frac{x}{2} - qx$ of our equations. If we substitute this value for U in his equations, and remembering that $p = \frac{V}{x} = \frac{P}{l}$, we obtain, allowing for differences of notation, those in this paper.

† \leq is less than or equal to;
 \geq is greater than or equal to;

∞ is infinity;
 $>$ is greater than.

according as q is greater, or less than $\frac{1}{6}$, and Table VII., is calculated from them:—

According to the hypothesis of these papers, which neglects, as an element of safety and for sake of greater simplicity in the formula, the vertical components of the pressures against the backs of walls, we have

$$p = \frac{V}{x} \dots\dots\dots(27).$$

in which V is simply the weight of the walls.

For most practical sections of walls there is little error involved in this hypothesis, and for vertical backed walls the equation (27) is strictly true.

For Vertical rectangular walls

$$V = W_1 h x, \text{ and } p = W_1 h \dots\dots\dots(28).$$

For Vertical backed triangular walls

$$V = \frac{W h x}{2} \text{ and } p = \frac{W_1 h}{2} \dots\dots\dots(29).$$

For Vertical backed trapezoidal walls

$$V = W h \frac{x+t}{2} \text{ and } p = \frac{W_1 h}{2} \left(1 + \frac{t}{x}\right) \dots\dots(30).$$

or if $t = n x$; $p = \frac{W_1 h}{2} (1 + n).$

We see, therefore, that p' varies as W_1, h , directly, and as q inversely, so that the higher the wall the less must q be; also that if we reduce the weight of the wall per cubic foot we decrease p , and therefore p' .

In walls of similar sections with equal values of q , we have p' proportional to h .

If we substitute these values [equations (28), (29), (30),] for p in equations (26) and (26a); or in the table, for the desired value of q , we obtain the maximum pressures p' .

For Vertical Rectangular walls—

$$\left. \begin{array}{ll} q \leq \frac{1}{6}; & p' = W_1 h (1 + 6 q) \\ q > \frac{1}{6}; & p' = \frac{4}{3} \frac{W_1 h}{(1 - 2 q)} \end{array} \right\} \dots\dots\dots$$

For Triangular walls, with plumb backs—

$$\left. \begin{array}{ll} q \leq \frac{1}{6}; & p' = \frac{W_1 h}{2} (1 + 6 q) \\ q > \frac{1}{6}; & p' = \frac{2}{3} \frac{W_1 h}{(1 - 2 q)} \end{array} \right\}$$


For Trapezoidal walls, with plumb backs—

$$\left. \begin{aligned} q &\leq \frac{1}{6}; & p' &= \frac{W_1 h}{2} \left(1 + \frac{t}{x}\right) (1 + 6q) \\ q &> \frac{1}{6}; & p' &= \frac{2}{3} \frac{W_1 h \left(1 + \frac{t}{x}\right)}{(1 - 2q)} \end{aligned} \right\} \dots\dots\dots (33)$$

The tables of ratios given above will be useful in the tentative process which becomes necessary in designing walls by these formulæ, because having by either formula, obtained a provisional breadth, we are enabled to test by a provisional ratio of p to p' whether the appropriate formula of the two (26 and 26a) has been used to calculate the breadth of base.

We may, indeed, moreover, by method of trial and error, obtain the breadth of base for a wall. We would in calculating in this way *assume* a value for q , and design the wall by one of the formula in Table VI. (No. CLXXIV., Vol. IV., First Series, Professional Papers); then calculate p' by one of the preceding formulæ, if this exceeded or fell short of our limit pressure by much, we would produce a fresh design with a less or greater value for q , and so on till a satisfactory result was obtained. This is not so philosophical as the method mentioned further on, but it may be adopted if thought fit.

In any given wall, *Fig. 35*, if $q'x = KO_1$ be the distance from the centre of the base to the vertical let fall on it, through the centre of gravity of the section of the wall, measured on the base; and $qx = O_1E$, as before, the deviation of the resultant, we



have $(q' \pm q)x : \frac{h}{3} :: P : V$

$$\therefore q = \frac{Ph}{3Vx} \mp q' \dots\dots\dots (34^*).$$

Whence we may obtain q if we know the value of $q'x$.

For Vertical Rectangular walls—

$$q'x = 0 \quad \therefore q = \frac{Ph}{3Vx} \dots\dots\dots (35).$$

For Triangular walls, with plumb backs—

$$q'x = \frac{x}{6}, \therefore q = \frac{Ph}{3Vx} - \frac{1}{6} \dots\dots\dots (36).$$

For Trapezoidal walls, with plumb backs—

* The upper sign is used when $q'x$ and qx are measured on the same side of the centre of the base; that is, when the vertical through the centre of gravity of the section falls at opposite side of centre of base from the point where the resultant cuts the base.

$$q'x = \frac{x}{2} - \frac{t}{2} \therefore q = \frac{Ph}{3V} - \frac{x-t}{2} \dots\dots\dots (37).$$

And according to the value obtained for q , we use, in calculating the maximum pressure, the first (26) or second (26a) of the alternative formula.

Also, if in equations (31), (32), (33), we substitute for p' the safe limit f' to be assigned to the maximum pressure in the masonry used—equation (24)—we obtain limiting heights for walls, for given deviations qx , of the resultant from the centre of figure of the base.

For Vertical Rectangular walls—

$$\left. \begin{aligned} q \leq \frac{1}{6}; \quad h &= \frac{f'}{W_1(1+6q)} \\ q > \frac{1}{6}; \quad h &= \frac{3f'(1-2q)}{4W_1} \end{aligned} \right\} \dots\dots\dots (38).$$

For Triangular Walls, with plumb backs—

$$\left. \begin{aligned} q \leq \frac{1}{6}; \quad h &= \frac{2f'}{W_1(1+6q)} \\ q > \frac{1}{6}; \quad h &= \frac{3f'(1-2q)}{2W_1} \end{aligned} \right\} \dots\dots\dots (39).$$

For Trapezoidal Walls, with plumb backs—

$$\left. \begin{aligned} q \leq \frac{1}{6}; \quad h &= \frac{2f'}{W_1 \left(1 + \frac{t}{x}\right) (1+6q)} \\ q > \frac{1}{6}; \quad h &= \frac{3f'(1-2q)}{2W_1 \left(1 + \frac{t}{x}\right)} \end{aligned} \right\} \dots\dots\dots (40).$$

Taking the *safe* loading for rubble to be $5\frac{1}{2}$ tons, or about 12,300 pounds per square foot* (85·46 lbs. per square inch); and its weight per cubic foot to be 140 pounds. For brickwork, the same load, and its weight 100 lbs. For ashlar, the safe load $40\frac{1}{2}$ tons, or 7,20,000 lbs. per square foot, and its weight 170 lbs. per cubic foot—we calculate the following table of limiting heights for Rectangular walls, for various values of q .

[For *Triangular walls* the heights will be double those in the table; and for *Trapezoidal walls* they will be increased in the ratio of $2 \left(1 + \frac{t}{x}\right)$ to 1.

The first column—that in which $q = 0$, shows the height to which an ordinary parallel faced wall may be built provided it be not acted on by any external force.]

* For rubble f' ought not to exceed the safe resistance of the mortar used in building it.

$$h = \frac{f' x^2}{2P - W_1 x^2} \dots \dots \dots (46).$$

$$x = \sqrt{\frac{2Ph}{f' - W_1 h}} \dots \dots \dots (47).$$

or by equation (45a)

when $q > \frac{1}{6}$

$$f' = \frac{4W_1 h}{3\left(1 - \frac{2P}{3W_1 x^2} \mp 0\right)}$$

$$h = \frac{3W_1 f' x^2 - 2Pf'}{4W_1^2 x^2} \dots \dots \dots (48).$$

$$x = \sqrt{\frac{2Pf'}{W_1(3f' - 4W_1 h)}} \dots \dots \dots (49).$$

For a plumb backed triangular wall

$$V = \frac{W_1 h x}{2} \text{ and } q' = \frac{1}{6}$$

Therefore by equation (45)

$$f' = \frac{2Ph}{x^2}; \quad h = \frac{f' x^2}{2P} \dots \dots \dots (50).$$

$$x = \sqrt{\frac{2Ph}{f'}} \dots \dots \dots (51).$$

And by equation (45a)

$$f' = \frac{W_1 h x^2}{2W_1 x^2 - 2P}; \quad h = \frac{2W_1 f' x^2 - 2Pf'}{W_1^2 x^2} \dots \dots \dots (52).$$

$$x = \sqrt{\frac{2Pf'}{W_1(2f' - W_1 h)}} \dots \dots \dots (53).$$

Applications of equations (45) and (45a) might be multiplied to almost any extent for walls of various sections, but we shall merely here give another application, and leave it to the reader, to extend the formulæ as he may desire.

For a triangular wall, with back batter given. See section 26, Table VI.

Let r h be the given back batter, in this section we have

$$V_1 - V + V_0 = \frac{W_1 h x}{2} + Pr \text{ and } q' = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{rh}{3x}$$

therefore, by equation (45)

$$f' = \left(\frac{W_1 h x}{2x} + \frac{Pr}{x}\right) \left\{ 1 + 6 \left[\frac{Ph}{3W_1 h x^2 + Pr} \mp \left(\frac{1}{6} - \frac{rh}{3x}\right) \right] \right\}$$

whence h and x may be obtained.

It may assist to give values of V_1 and q' for various sections, as follows:—
(For figures, see Table VI. in 3rd Paper, Vol. V. First Series).

Sect. 20 vertical rectangular walls, .. $V_1 = W_1 h x$; and $q' = 0$

„ 21 and 23 rhomboidal reclining walls, $V_1 = W_1 h x - Pr$; and $q' = \frac{rh}{2x}$

Sect 26 and 27 triangular wall, back } $V_1 = \frac{Whx}{2} \pm Pr$ and $q = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{rh}{3x}$
 batter given

Sect 30 trapezoidal wall, back plumb, $V_1 = \frac{Wh(x+t)}{2}$ and

$$q' = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{2x} \right) \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{(x-t)}{3(x+t)} \right)$$

Example of the calculation of the breadth of base for a reservoir wall or dam under similar conditions to those in *Plate V*, Vol V [First Series] (3rd Paper), so that the maximum pressure shall not exceed 20,000 pounds per square foot

Section—*Vertical Rectangular*—In this case $h = 40$, $W_1 = 140$, $P = 50,000$

Let $f = 20,000$ lbs $= 9.9$ tons per square foot (140 lbs per square inch, nearly)

We use formula (50) for reasons given further on—

$$\therefore x = \sqrt{\frac{2Pf}{W_1(3f' - 4W_1h)}} = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 50000 \times 20000}{140(3 \times 20000 - 4 \times 140 \times 40)}} = \sqrt{\frac{2000000}{8739}} = 19.5 \text{ nearly}$$

In this example we have an instance of one of the uses of Table VII. We find that for a rectangular wall $p = W_1h = 140 \times 40 = 5,600$ lbs, therefore $\frac{f}{p} = \frac{p}{p} = \frac{20000}{5600} = 3.5$, consequently, we see, by the table, that q must lie between one fourth and one-third, and therefore we at once know which formula to calculate by

Also in a plumb backed triangular wall $—p = \frac{Wh}{2}$, and the value of $\frac{f}{p}$ would in a similar way show us which formula to use

But in a plumb backed Trapezoidal wall $p = \frac{Wh}{2} \left(1 + \frac{t}{x} \right)$ would leave us in doubt, although it might help us to approximate. So also in walls with battered backs, x appears in the members of the equation for the pressure due to the vertical element of N , and, therefore, we are unable to do more than approximate to the probability as to which of the alternative formulæ is to be adopted

In designing a wall we may make use of the principle of limit pressures merely, and guide us in determining a value of q which would approximately give us the desired limit pressure, and then using the value of q

* This last is merely a particular case of the general one of a trapezoidal wall with back batter in which $V_1 = \frac{Wh(x+t)}{2} \pm Pr$ and

$$q' = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{rh}{x} - \frac{t}{2x} \right) \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{(x-t)}{3(x+t)} \right)$$

arrived at, we may calculate the base breadth by one of the formulæ in Table VI.*

For a Vertical rectangular wall, by equation (26a), we get—

$$q\dagger = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{V}{xf'}, \dots\dots\dots (54).$$


and so on for other sections.

We have thus indicated the direction which calculations for determining the sections of walls according to this principle of limited unit pressure should take; but we must refer the reader to M. Delocre's elaborate *Mémoire*† for its development in detail for the particular case of Reservoir Walls.

The method he has adopted for determining the profile of masonry dams is in our opinion the most theoretically and practically perfect yet developed; but the calculations are long and tedious; and, although essentially necessary in structures of large dimensions are not suited for reproduction in notes of this description, which treat of ordinary structures only. His process is briefly this:—He divides his walls into three parts, see Fig. 36.

1st. A Vertical rectangular portion CDBA of a breadth equal that

Fig. 36.



selected for the top of wall; in this portion the maximum unit pressure will at all points, except the lower portion at A, be less than the limiting pressure f' .

2nd. A Vertical backed trapezoidal portion BAMN, in which the pressure at N and M shall not exceed f' when the reservoir is either full or empty.

* We wish to add to the "general formulæ" in Table VI., page 44, of Vol. V., First Series Professional Papers the following cases of trapezoidal walls—

(29a) Trapezoidal wall, with *face batter*, rh , given.

$$x_1 = \sqrt{\frac{6b_1^2q - 2rht - t^2}{3(q - \frac{1}{6})}} + \left(\frac{rh}{6(q - \frac{1}{6})} + \frac{t}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{rh}{6(q - \frac{1}{6})} + \frac{t}{2}\right)$$

(30a) Trapezoidal wall with *back batter*, rh , given.

$$x_1 = \sqrt{\frac{6b_1^2q + 2rht + t^2}{3(q + \frac{1}{6})}} + \left(\frac{rh}{6(q + \frac{1}{6})} - \frac{t}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{rh}{6(q + \frac{1}{6})} - \frac{t}{2}\right)$$

Also to note that in case 32, q is to be taken as a fraction of z ; and that to obtain x , in terms of P and W_1 we may replace b , in any of the equations of the table by $\frac{P}{3W_1q}$.

† See Rankine's Civil Engineering, Art 263, page 397, 2nd Edition.

† Translations published in the Engineer (London) of 10th July, 1868 and following numbers; and also by Government of Bombay, as No. IX, of the Irrigation Series, 1869; also in Spon's Dictionary of Engineering.

3rd. A part MNST, which has inclinations on both face and back, and in which the limit pressure is not exceeded at the points M' N' or ST in a series of horizontal sections taken in the profile.

The first portion is determined by finding the height which will give the limit pressure at A for the given base $= t$. This is done by equations identical with formula (46) and (48).

The second by determining the height from the combination of equations containing two unknowns, so that the limit pressure shall not be exceeded at either the front or rear of the wall. One of the equations is obtained expressing the unit pressure at N, the other expressing the unit pressure at M.

The third portion is determined by dividing the profile into layers, more or less numerous, according to the accuracy with which it is required to lay down the curve or batter, and operating as in last case; also taking care to introduce the effect of the vertical element of the water pressure on the sloping back.

In every case the process must be tentative, owing to the impossibility of determining beforehand what will be the value of q , and consequently of selecting which of the alternative formula we shall make use of.

As the dimension at top is a preliminary in designing a dam, we would suggest the following practical rule for it:—

$$t = 2 + \frac{h}{10} \dots\dots\dots(55).$$

the first member of the equation would represent the top breadth for all walls, such as retaining or wing-walls for earth pressures, the second members would give the increase for dams to enable the crest to resist the shocks of ice, trees, or other floating bodies; this equation leads to the following values of t for given heights:—

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| $h =$ | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 100 | 120 | 140 | 150 | feet. |
| $t =$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 17 | „ |

In the *Mémoire* referred to, the author has taken $W_1 = 125$ lbs. per cubic foot nearly; and he considers f' to lie between the extreme limits of 0 kilogrammes per square centimetre $= 5.49$ tons per square foot $= 85.3$ lbs. per square inch.

and

14 kilogrammes per square centimetre $= 12.8$ tons per square foot $= 156.1$ lbs. per square inch.

In his designs of the Furens dam, he has taken for rubble—

$f' = 6$ kilogrammes per square centimetre $= 5.49$ tons per square foot.

And in that of the Ban, he has taken for the rubble in good hydraulic mortar—

$f' = 8$ kilogrammes per square centimetre $= 7.314$ tons per square foot
 $= 113.8$ lbs. per square inch.

Profiles of these dams are given by Col. Fife in his Paper, No. CLXIX., page 411, Vol. IV., of the Professional Papers. (First Series).

The Furens dam is designed so that the maximum pressure shall not exceed $6\frac{1}{2}$ kilogrammes per square centimetre, or about 6 tons per square foot.

The Ban dam also is so arranged that the maximum pressure shall not exceed 8 kilogrammes per square centimetre, or about 7.3 tons per square foot.

The weight of the masonry being 124.8 lbs. per cubic foot in both cases, *Plate II.*, shows in *Figs. 1* and *2* examples of walls similar to those in *Plate V.*, Vol. V., First Series. In these diagrams the breadth of base is obtained from the data shown below the figure, and the calculations based on the principle of limit pressures.

In the same Plate, are Diagrams of Walls, designed by Messrs. Graeff and Delocre, in which the maximum pressure is, as shown on the outside at back and front of the figures, written on the slope, and given in tons per square foot.



The dimensions are given in feet and decimals.

From these diagrams, and from the sections of the Furens and Ban dams, a reservoir wall to suit almost any conditions may be obtained by merely cutting off the selected profile at the required height; but in preparing projects of any unusual magnitude, we earnestly urge the reader to consult the *Mémoire* referred to; and with the data of his situation to use the principles there set forth in calculating the dimensions of his work: he will thus secure safety and economy in his design.


J. H. E. H.

FOR WATER PRESSURES.


Diagrams of Retaining Walls in which the Maximum Pressure at the outer angle of base shall not exceed 20000 lbs = 8.9 tons per sq foot.



Scale 35 ft 1 inch



*Diagrams of Retaining Walls
from M Graeff and M Delocre papers*



In his designs of the Furens dam, he has taken for rubble—

$f = 6$ kilogrammes per square centimetre $= 5.49$ tons per square foot.

And in that of the Ban, he has taken for the rubble in good hydraulic mortar—

$f' = 8$ kilogrammes per square centimetre $= 7.314$ tons per square foot
 $= 113.8$ lbs. per square inch.

Profiles of these dams are given by Col. Fife in his Paper, No. CLXIX., page 411, Vol. IV., of the Professional Papers. (First Series).

The Furens dam is designed so that the maximum pressure shall not exceed $6\frac{1}{2}$ kilogrammes per square centimetre, or about 6 tons per square foot.

The Ban dam also is so arranged that the maximum pressure shall not exceed 8 kilogrammes per square centimetre, or about 7.3 tons per square foot.

The weight of the masonry being 124.8 lbs. per cubic foot in both cases, *Plate II.*, shows in *Figs. 1* and *2* examples of walls similar to those in *Plate V.*, Vol. V., First Series. In these diagrams the breadth of base is obtained from the data shown below the figure, and the calculations based on the principle of limit pressures.

In the same Plate, are Diagrams of Walls, designed by Messrs. Graeff and Delocre, in which the maximum pressure is, as shown on the outside at back and front of the figures, written on the slope, and given in tons per square foot.



The dimensions are given in feet and decimals.

From these diagrams, and from the sections of the Furens and Ban dams, a reservoir wall to suit almost any conditions may be obtained by merely cutting off the selected profile at the required height; but in preparing projects of any unusual magnitude, we earnestly urge the reader to consult the *Mémoire* referred to; and with the data of his situation to use the principles there set forth in calculating the dimensions of his work: he will thus secure safety and economy in his design.

J. H. E. H.


FOR WATER PRESSURES.

Diagrams of Retaining Walls, in which the Maximum Pressure at the outer angle of base shall not exceed 20000 lbs = 8.9 tons per sq foot.



Scale 35 ft 1 inch
5 10 15 20 25 30 35
Figs 1 and 2

Diagrams of Retaining Walls from M Graeff and M Delocre's papers



No. V.

LIGHT RAILWAYS.

[Vide Plate III., page 38.]

Project for a Light Tram or Railroad to be laid on any Ordinary Road
 BY LIEUT. J. A. ARMSTRONG, R.E.

THE question of narrow gauges and light tramways having lately come a good deal again into general notice, the enclosed little Project, which was laid before Colonel Strachey last year, may be useful in setting the example of opening the question at what expenditure per mile in first cost and maintenance a tramway or light railway should replace the ordinary pukka Trunk Roads. The cost of maintaining the Lahore and Peshawur Road up to its proper standard, I estimate yearly at Rs. 700 per mile. The tramway might, I think, be well constructed on an existing road at 14,000 Rs. per mile, or at a cost equal to 20 years of road repairs. The advantages in traction alone by horse or bullock power would pay for the little special rolling stock and maintenance required. The wheels might be fitted with loose flanges, or broad flanges on which they could run on the ordinary roads. A portable ramp or skid, would enable them to leave, or gain the track, when required. Where timber is plentiful, the longitudinal sleeper system would be adopted to carry the angle iron rail. Over sand the line might be converted into one big longitudinal sand-pot, by closing the bracing, with buckled plates, or corrugated iron.

The wheels having a sliding motion in the direction of their axles could accomodate themselves to very quick curves.

The fish-plate is equally strong horizontally and vertically.

In this system the wheel flanges are placed outside the rails. The rails are of angle-iron secured to each other, and partly supported by cross bracing of angle iron, which take the part of sleepers and chairs, and keep the line in gauge.

Plate III., Figs. 1, 2 and 3, shows the method proposed, and details of a line of 3-feet gauge with 3-inch angle iron rails, and $2\frac{1}{4}$ angle iron bracing: on levels a lighter bracing would probably suffice.

The bracing here adopted is a single system of equilateral triangles.

The whole is put together with screw bolts fitted with square heads countersunk in the rails; the counter skids in the fish-joints, are lengthened to admit of expansion.

As will be seen from the Plate there are but three patterns of iron-work in the structure.

The line would be laid on an ordinary metalled road, the surface of which would be loosened and levelled; the filling or ballasting of the permanent way, would be of rammed kunkur or concrete; if these materials be not found sufficiently elastic, asphalte, or mastic cement might be used.

The material used as ballasting is boxed up, and cannot spread, it is hence capable of bearing a considerable pressure per unit of area, and unless the wheels of the wagons carried a very great weight, the railway would compare very favorably with the weight per unit of surface that the Lahore and Peshawur Road has to carry daily in all weathers. It is not, I think, generally known, that country carts carry often 100 maunds on two wheels, which transmit all this weight to the road through an iron tire $1\frac{1}{4}$ -inch breadth. A load of double that amount, or nearly 4 tons per wheel on the 3-inch table of the tramway would hence represent the same conditions of wheel load to area. But in the road, this pressure is not distributed over any large area by the cohesion of its substance. In the tramway the case is very different, so much so that at low velocities a layer of grass or reeds would, I think, float it on sand.

When once laid repairs must be reduced to a minimum, there is no wood-work to decay, and the ballast cannot shift. Such a line laid on a common road, would be less liable to injure cattle passing over it and to be itself injured by a cart going over it than any of the ordinary forms.

The road might be most easily dismantled and reconstructed in advance, as it was replaced by the railway, although if used as a per-

PLAN OF UNDERSIDE OF RAILWAY.

scale, 2 feet = 1 inch

Fig 1




Fig 2




Fig 3




Fig 4





Fig 5



manent line it would be probably advisable to rivet up all but the fish joints.

No skilled labor would be required, except perhaps on curves, but this might be obviated by having special lengths constructed for curves of different degrees.

If worked by bullock power three abreast would probably be the best arrangement.

I now propose mentioning the special facilities that this plan would furnish to steam power over a hilly road, and sharp curves.

It has been before observed, that the flanges of the wheels are placed outside the rails, and that the rails themselves, are strongly braced together in a horizontal plane; this forms at once my track and central rail.

The load is carried on the horizontal surface of the rail, the vertical edge of the rail is gripped by the wheel flange.

Figs. 4 to 5, Plate III., show several arrangements of loose wheels, loose flanges, &c., for effecting this object: a sliding wheel on feathers, with the flange removable for renewal, or returning, compressed in couples, would probably be the simplest arrangement; also on removal of the flange the engine could travel on common roads by its own power or otherwise.

The cost of such a Line may be judged from the following rough data:—

PER MILE AS IN PLATE.

| | | |
|--|---|---------------------------|
| 3-inch angle iron, at 10 4 lbs. per foot. | $2 \times 5280 \times 10 \frac{1}{4}$ lbs | ≈ 49 tons nearly. |
| 2 1/2 inches angle iron, at 5 lbs. per foot. | $2 \times 5280 \times 5$ | ≈ 21 |
| Total, .. | | <u>73 tons per mile.</u> |

ABSTRACT.

| | | | |
|---|----|----|---------------|
| Angle iron, 73 tons, at 150 Rs. per ton, | .. | .. | 10 950 |
| Bolts, 8000, at 8 Rs. per gross, | .. | .. | 440 |
| Ballasting, 5280 cubic feet, at 10 Rs. per 100, | .. | .. | 528 |
| Laying, 73 tons, at 5 Rs per ton, | .. | .. | 365 |
| Total, .. | .. | .. | <u>12,283</u> |

No. VI.

THE IRRIGATION OF FRENCH INDIA.

Extracted by LIEUT. W. G. ROSS, R.E. from an article on Indian Irrigation, by M. LAMAIRESSE, Chief Engineer of the "Ponts et Chaussées," in the volume of the "Annales" for October, 1869.

M. LAMAIRESSE has written an exhaustive article on the irrigation, principally of Southern India. The matter relating to English works appears to have been mostly derived from Baird Smith's work on the irrigation of the Madras presidency, and it is not necessary to extract it here. Such portions of the article as relate to French territory may however be extracted with advantage, as some useful tables and data are given. To facilitate the conversion of French weights, measures, &c., into corresponding English quantities, the following tables and formulæ are appended.

1. To convert degrees Centigrade into degrees Fahrenheit:—

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Let } F &= \text{degrees Fahrenheit.} \\ C &= \text{ " Centigrade.}\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$F = \frac{9}{5} \times C + 32^{\circ}.$$

2. Long measure:—
1 Mètre = 10 décimètres = 100 centimètres,
= 1000 millimètres = 39.371 inches.
10 mètres = 1 décamètre; 100 mètres = 1 hectomètre.
3. Square measure:—
An are = 10 deciares = 100 centiares = 1000 milli-

= 119 60 square yards

= 1 square decametre (10 metres square)

10 ares = one decare, 100 ares = 1 hectare

One hectare = 2 4712 acres

4 *Fluid and dry measure* —

One litre = a cubic decimètre = 1 761 imperial pints

= 61 028 cubic inches

TEMPERATURE — *Pondicherry* There are two seasons, the hot which is the longer, and the cold (*fraiche*) commencing in October and ending in February SE winds blow during the first half of the warm season, and hot land winds from the west during the last half of the warm season North winds, which are excessively cold when they come from the North east, blow during the cold weather The hottest weather is from the 15th March to the 15th June The climate, however, is generally healthy The mean day temperature is 82° and the night is 26°

Chandernagore This station is situated on the Ganges, and is covered with a large number of tanks and groves, which make the climate more agreeable than that of Calcutta From the commencement of October to that of March the mean temperature is 22°, with a variation from 17° to 27° From the beginning of March to the commencement of October the mean temperature is 31°, and varies between 30° and 33°, with occasional rises to 37° The hottest month of the year at this place is May

Mahe on the west coast at about $\frac{1}{3}$ of the distance between Cape Comorin and Bombay has a more regular, fresh, and healthy climate than the stations on the East coast The temperature varies from 22° to 26° in January February, and March, from 25° to 30° in the months from April and September, and from 23° to 27° in October, November and December

EVAPORATION — English Engineers are said by M Lemaire to treat this subject in quite an empirical manner Thus, without being able to prove their assertions, they are in the habit of saying that the daily loss due to this cause is on every square metre 0 083 metres (This is equivalent to a depth of 3 26 inches!)

At Chandernagore, where tanks are not used for irrigation, and where they are found under various conditions, a series of observations which were put in hand lately gave the following results

From the 27th September 1865 to 15th December, (76 days,) the

evaporation, deduced from gauges on 60 tanks, whose surfaces varied from 1 to 150 ares, and whose depths were from 1.80 mètres to 5.60 mètres, varied from 0.63 mètres to 0.90 mètres. (This gives a daily evaporation of 0.3261 to 0.4662 inches per diem—a result far nearer the recorded English belief, though M. Lamairesse seems to have somewhere found the astounding statement of 3.26 inches per diem.)

M. Lamairesse then appends the following table (Table A.) of observations made at the Red Hills near Madras by an English Engineer, Ludnow (Ludlow?). This table is a useful one, and as I have not met it before, it is translated from the French and added. The observations were taken with two evaporators, which were most rigorously tested and verified. Of the two instruments used, one was placed in a tank and the other in the open, at about 1 kilomètre from the first. In comparing the results obtained by these instruments, it will be observed that the ratio of the evaporation in the open to that in the tank was—

| | | | | | | | |
|---------|----|----|----|----|--------|----|---|
| April, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1.3897 | to | 1 |
| May, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1.2741 | " | 1 |
| June, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1.239 | " | 1 |
| July, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1.1881 | " | 1 |
| August, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1.1736 | " | 1 |
| Mean, | | | | | 1.2329 | to | 1 |

This proportion it will be observed is a gradually decreasing one; the elements that have a recognized influence on evaporation such as, temperature of the air, its hygrometric state, the force of wind, the state of the sky, did not show a corresponding gradation. Only the water diminished progressively in the tank in the ratio given above; and it is clear that had the height of the water become nothing, the ratio of the evaporation inside and outside the tank would have been equal to unity.

The true cause of the constant decrease of the ratio is the lowering of the level of the tank.

During the five months there was a total rain-fall of 0.203 mètres, and as the water of the tank fell during these five months 1.905 mètres, the total fall in the tank was 2.108 mètres.

The fall in the evaporator placed in the tank during the same period was only 1.346 mètres. The difference therefore $2^m.108 - 1^m.346 = 0.76$ mètres was employed in irrigation.

In this particular case it will be seen that only $\frac{3}{8}$ of the water was

A.

AT THE RED HILLS

AT MADRAS

| Month | EVAPORATION IN MILLIMETRES | | | | | Ratio of column 2 to column 3 | RAINFALL IN MILLIMETRES | | TEMPERATURE OF EVAPORATING WATER | | DIFFERENCE | | TEMPERATURE OF TANK WATER | | Difference | THERMOMETER IN SUN | | Cloudy sky expressed in 10 parts. | Black bulb of Thermometer in sun | Temperature at dawn from observations |
|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|---------------------------|------------|------------|--------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Total | | Daily mean | | | | Total | Daily means | In tank | On ground | On surface | At bottom | Difference | On surface | | At bottom | | | | |
| | Tank. | Ground. | Tank. | Ground. | Ground. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | | | |
| April, .. | 252 20054 | 360 24038 | 10 30838 | 14 40062 | 1 3897 | Nil | Nil | 23 76 | 20 23 | 1 54 | 31 72 | 29 48 | 2 24 | 41 57 | | 40 75 | 23 81 | | | |
| May, .. | 284 09188 | 361 01608 | 9 46971 | 12 06390 | 1 2744 | 64 643 | 16 1607 | 27 36 | 28 20 | 0 85 | 29 00 | 27 27 | 1 73 | 38 91 | | 41 61 | 26 08 | | | |
| June, .. | 298 04820 | 383 48690 | 10 29820 | 12 78290 | 1 2390 | 142 3797 | 15 8199 | 26 38 | 27 57 | 1 24 | 27 78 | 26 03 | 1 75 | 38 53 | | 43 92 | 23 07 | | | |
| July, .. | 250 40690 | 304 51800 | 8 27312 | 9 82316 | 1 1681 | 179 4868 | 8 15636 | 26 01 | 27 75 | 1 14 | 28 35 | 26 99 | 1 36 | 30 22 | Nil | 39 22 | 24 07 | | | |
| August, .. | 182 87520 | 214 67820 | 9 14376 | 10 73331 | 1 1730 | 48 4327 | 3 72565 | 26 86 | 27 80 | 0 94 | 27 59 | 26 83 | 1 06 | 30 91 | | 40 06 | 21 83 | | | |
| Means for 6 months, | | | 9 570634 | 11 062698 | | | 8 772522 | 27 18 | 28 32 | | 27 95 | 28 32 | | 38 428 | | | 40 09225 | 25 342 | | |

B -- It is evident from columns 4 and 5 that the observations during the months of April and August do not embrace the whole of those months

used for irrigation the balance having been evaporated and lost. Attached (Table B.) is an abstract of the observations recorded at the Pondicherry dispensary, the influence of the wind on the evaporation is remarkable, for owing to this cause the night evaporation is occasionally as much as that of the day.

WATER-SUPPLY.—The comparatively small streams in the establishment of Pondicherry, and of the whole coast between Chellumbrum and Madras, take their rise in the mountains of Salem, Scheraroy, &c. These hills run parallel to the East coast, at an average distance of about 150 kilômetres, with occasional outlying detached hills which stand out like advanced sentinels. These hills look over plains covered with tanks, which is a common feature in the South of India, in places where neither hills or deltas exist.

The floods in all streams derived from these hills have first to fill, concurrently with the rain that may fall on the limited gathering grounds of the tanks, the tanks in their course. By the time they arrive at the coast, therefore, they have parted with all their superabundant flood water, and are swelled only with the water supplied by rain on the country through which they pass. It thus happens that the continuance of each of these floods is never longer than that of the fall of rain that causes it. There is one invariable flood, that of the secondary monsoon, at the end of October. This flood causes the streams to overflow their banks for 24 to 48 hours, after which the rivers fall and continue to fall till November or December, when, with very few exceptions, they are dry. Another constant but very slight flood takes place in April at the first setting in of the monsoon, but it is insignificant as compared with the October flood.

TANKS.—M. Lamairesse, under this heading, enters into a general description of irrigation from tanks, which in the French possessions in India, seems to be conducted on the same system as in the English presidency of Madras ; it is therefore quite unnecessary to notice this part of the article. As may be seen from the map, in the territory of Pondicherry, there are an immense number of small tanks, formed generally, not on any marked drainage line, but on ordinary sloping ground. These tanks are made in the form of a very sprawling V, the point of the V being down the line of slope, and the arms inclined up the slope. The rain water is gathered in these tanks, and not only is the water used directly

| Month | MEAN MONTHLY EVAPORATION (MILLIMETRES). | | | Corresponding Mean tempe- rature | MAXIMUM EVAPORATION. | | | Corresponding temperature. | MINIMUM EVAPORATION | | | Corresponding temperature |
|------------------------------|--|--------|------------------|--|----------------------|--------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|------------------|------------------------------|
| | Day. | Night | Day and night | | Day | Night. | Day and night. | | Day | Night. | Day and night | |
| 1864. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| July, | 6 483 | 5 129 | | 30 135 | 14 | 10 | 23 | 30 3 | 3 | (once) 0 | 4 | 30 0 |
| August, | 3 839 | 3 645 | | 29 110 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 28 9 | 1 | (twice) 0 | 1 | 27 7 |
| September, | 4 367 | 3 000 | | 29 553 | 7 | 6 | 11 | 29 6 | 2 | (once) 0 | 4 | 29 8 |
| October, | 3 419 | 2 677 | | 28 467 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 29 6 | 1 | (twice) 0 | 1 | 25 0 26 4 |
| November, | 3 467 | 1 733 | | 26 853 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 28 6 | (once) 0 | (once) 0 | 1 | 23 5-23 6 |
| December, | 3 355 | 1 806 | | 25 742 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 24 7 to 26 5 | 1 | (twice) 0 | 1 | 25 5 |
| 1865. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| January, | 4 290 | 3 097 | | 25 364 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 23 1 to 25 5 | 1 | (once) 0 | 1 | 24 6 |
| February, | 4 780 | 3 178 | | 27 290 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 27 6 to 28 5 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 26 4 |
| March, | 4 774 | 4 104 | | 28 548 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 28 8 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 28 4 |
| April, | 4 870 | 3 980 | | 29 763 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 30 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 28 6 |
| May, | 7 742 | 3 645 | | 29 971 | 15 | 5 | 20 | 29 9 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 29 3-29 9 |
| June, | 9 777 | 3 233 | | 31 360 | 14 | 6 | 15 | 31 5 to 32 0 | 4 | (once) 0 | 8 | 32 2 |
| Annual totals, . | 1841 1 | 1215 4 | 3056 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| Daily mean in year, | 5 0166 | 3 3264 | 8 373 | | | | | | | | | |

Totals of preceding two columns.

for irrigation, but owing to the holding up of water in these tanks springs are formed, and the water level is raised in wells from which irrigation is carried on when the rivers are perfectly dry.

IRRIGATION IN PONDICHERRY.—The establishment of Pondicherry is situated on two principal drainage lines, the Ponéar river, forming for a length of 14 kilomètres its boundary on the South, and the Gingy traversing the territory almost diagonally from North West to South East.

The Ponéar is a torrent of rapid fall, even in the French territory; its width is 400 or 500 mètres, its discharge varies from zero in summer to 3655 cubic mètres in flood.

Thirty-four kilomètres of the Gingy are situated in French territory; it is also a mountain torrent with a width at its point of entry into the territory of 350 mètres. Its discharge varies from 0·139 cubic mètres in summer, to 4647 cubic mètres when in flood. At a distance of 7 kilomètres from its embouchure it divides into two branches, the one to the left being called the Arcancoupom, and the main stream being called the Choumamber.

The Ponéar has no affluents on the left or French bank; two minor drainage lines start close to its left bank and fall one (the Maltar) into the sea, and the other, the higher, (the Condouréar) into the river Gingy. In addition to the rain-fall on their basins, these two drainage lines received formerly the tail water of two canals derived from the Ponéar at distances respectively of 49 and 42 kilomètres from its embouchure. The higher of these two canals had a width of 8 mètres at its head, and at the village of Vadanour where it now ends, it has a width of 3 or 4 mètres.

The land between the Ponéar and the Maltar is irrigated by water courses from the former, the principal of these are—

| Distance from l'embouchure | Name. |
|-------------------------------|--|
| 24 kilomètres | Canal Pacom. |
| 22·833 „ | „ Couttiamcoupom. |
| 21·80 „ | „ Bangarikal, or canal of the greater Bahour tank. |
| 15·133 „ | „ l'Ajiguirattonviakal. |
| 8·20 „ | „ Sitteryvaikal, or canal of the lesser Bahour tank. |

The first two canals only have dams in the river at their “prise.”

From the Condouvar are derived

On the right bank, the Kypour canal, with an earthen dam in the river at its head. Above this on the left bank the Pallichery canal, and below this with a bridge and dam connected the canal Mangalom.

Above the Condouvar the Gingy has an affluent on the right bank, the Pambeur, which rises in the hills opposite Teroul ouvelour. This river has a total length of 71 kilometres of which 18 are in French territory. It has also an affluent called the Vicravandy which joins it just above the boundary of French with English territory.

A canal of the same name derived from it irrigates in both French and English ground.

The principal rivers in French territory it will be observed have all the affluents on the right bank, and all canals derived from them irrigate on their left banks.

The principal water courses from the Gingy, the canals Southoukeny and Villenour, have dams in the river at their heads at distances from the embouchure respectively of 26.88 kilometres and 19.1 kilometres.

The Villenour canal was made in 1828-29. It is 5.76 kilometres in length, slope of bed $\frac{1}{10000}$, and its bottom width is 2 metres. About the middle of its length it passes under the apron of the Cordepacon weir of the large tank of Oussindom by means of a 3 metre arch. The river dam is one metre higher than the general level of the bed, and causes no appreciable afflux when the river is in flood. This dam is made similarly to that of the Soutonkam canal, which will be described further on.

The map represents a total area of 53,000 hectares, of which only 28,258 hectares belong to France, of this number 20,258 hectares are under cultivation, while the rest belongs to the public in general.

The total number of tanks in this area (53,000) is 213, of which 144 are French. The surface of these 144 tanks is 8,623 hectares, and 1,253 hectares of these are under irrigation.

The total irrigable land is 24,413 hectares, the amount of land actually irrigated (under rice) is 6,806 hectares.

From these data the following proportions are obtained —

Surface of tanks as compared with total surface

$$\frac{3693}{858} = 0.128$$

Rice cultivation as compared with total surface cultivated

$$\frac{6800}{20258} = 0.335.$$

The following list gives the total irrigating power of the establishment of Pondicherry :—

| | |
|--|------|
| Large tanks, | 3 |
| Secondary tanks and attached reservoirs, | 111 |
| Permanent springs, | 202 |
| Rivers or hill torrents, | 8 |
| Principal canals, | 9 |
| Small village tanks, | 381 |
| Wells, | 2000 |

The grand tank of Oussondon is situated midway between the sea and the north point of French territory. The following will give an idea of its size.

| | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Total perimeter, | 17,300 mètres. |
| Area, | 9,738 hectares. |
| Corresponding volume, | 12,000,000 cub. mètres. |
| Amount of irrigation, | 1,311 hectares. |

In 1833 the canal of Soutonkani was commenced with a view of supplying this tank; a dam was placed in the Gingy 6.7 kilomètres up-stream of the prise of the Villenour canal.

This canal has a slope of $\frac{1}{10000}$, and is 4 mètres broad at bottom, with sides slopes of $1\frac{1}{2}$ to 1. It is 11.239 kilomètres long from the head dam to the tank. The dam in the river was originally 1.25 mètres above the bed, and is 355 mètres long. It was made in the following manner :—

Two rows of palm trees were driven into the bed of the river 50 mètres apart, by means of a pile engine. The sand for a width of 10 mètres and a depth of 0.317 mètres in front of up-stream row was dug out and replaced by very stiff clay. The space between the two rows of close piling was filled with earth sloping 0.025 mètres in 1 mètre, and the whole was reveted with turf, and “végels,” a herb of long and tenacious root, and rapid growth, was planted over the surface. It has since become necessary to raise this dam and increase the depth of the water in the canal as also to raise the embankment of the tank owing to the silting up of the latter.

The works of partition and discharge of waters are similar to those

in use in tanks in the Madras presidency, and need not be further described. It only remains to give some of the data given by M. Lemaire regarding duty of machines for raising water.

Paecottah or Latha (Tr. Picote)—This is the well known lever with an earthen vessel suspended from one end and worked by one, two, or three men. The following instances are given of its working powers—

Foundations of bridge of Chounambar near Pondicherry—Picote worked by 3 men, height raised 3.5 metres, amount of water raised (mean of a very large number of experiments) 130 to 135 litres per minute.

The limit of easy work for two men, one at the lever, and the other at the well is said to be a height of 4.27 metres.

Reconstruction of one of the bastions of Fort St. George, Madras—Capacity of vessel (of sheet iron half spheres) 0.0283 cubic metres.

Deduction for loss one sixth of this.

Rate of work 10 lifts per minute.

So that a picote lifted per hour 141.5 cubic metres to a height of 0.30 metres.

Working day and night for 73 days at a height of 3.048 metres 322,280.4 cubic metres were raised at a cost of 2,965.62 francs, representing 73 days work of 52 men, or $73 \times 52 = 3,796$ days work of one man.

Dals (Paniers) were also used at the same place, but did not give fair return when more than one lift was required.

The *Môt* or *Churrus* (Cuppelay) and the double churrus (Retta Cuppelay) are also alluded to, and they are said to be very useful for lifting water to considerable heights, and it is said that when the heights to be raised are 12=19, to 13.72 metres the quantity is $\frac{2}{3}$ of that raised when the height is only 11.35 metres to 11.66 metres.

The experiments of one Captain Best show that 2 good oxen raised in one hour to a height of 11.10 metres, 90 churrus-fulls, containing each 117.434 litres.

With the double churrus 4 oxen raised in one hour to a height of 4.572 metres, 180 churrus fulls, each containing 150 litres.

With an ordinary pump one man can raise about 226.4 litres, 11.048 metres in one minute. From these data the following table has been calculated.

2

| Machines. | Height raised, mètres. | Men and oxen employed. | | Number of lifts per hour. | Cubic mètres raised. | | Number of hours of work. | Volume of water raised in time (column 8). |
|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|--|
| | | Men. | Oxen | | Each lift. | Per hour. | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 1 Picote, | 3.05 | 2 | 0 | 600 | 0.0234 | 14.15 | 6 | 84.900 |
| 2 Picote, | 6.25 | 2 | 0 | 300 | 0.0407 | 12.226 | 6 | 73.354 |
| 3 Picote, | 6.25 | 2 | 0 | 240 | 0.0453 | 10.872 | 6 | 65.203 |
| 4 Paniers (Dál), | 3.05 | 6 | 0 | 1320 | 0.0094 | 12.45 | 6 | 74.712 |
| 5 Cuppelay, | 3.35 | 0 | 1 | 44 | 0.05 | 2.18 | 12 | 26.149 |
| 6 Do., | 6.10 | 0 | 1 | 90 | 0.0566 | 5.09 | 6 | 30.244 |
| 7 Do., | 13.72 | 0 | 1 | 32 | 0.0495 | 1.58 | 12 | 19.018 |
| 8 Retta Cuppelay, .. | 6.86 | 0 | 1 | 180 | 0.0374 (per min.) | 6.72 | 8 | 53.793 |
| 9 Ordinary pump, .. | 3.05 | 1 | 0 | 60 | 0.22 | 13.58 | 8 | 108.672 |

W. G. R.

No VII.

NLW CANAL MODULE

[*Vide* Plate IV , page 52]

Scheme for a Module to Regulate a discharge of 1 cubic foot per second BY R FROUDE, Esq , Assistant Engineer, Oudh Irrigation Department

Object of the design —The object of this apparatus is to effect self acting regulation of the delivery of the water from a Rajbaha on to the fields. The accompanying design shows the arrangement of the apparatus to meet the following requirements

That the regulation be effected between a maximum level of the rajbaha water 6 inches below the top of the bank, and the minimum level 3 feet 8 inches below the top of the bank, that is a difference of 3 feet between the highest and lowest water level of the rajbaha

That the water be delivered over a gauging notch on the outer side of the bank, the crest of the fall over the notch being scarcely lower than the lowest water level of the rajbaha

That a uniform discharge of one cubic foot per second be maintained under all heads within the above mentioned limits

General explanation of the design —The following is the mode of action. A float rising and falling with the rajbaha water closes and opens a specially designed valve through the medium of a wheel shaft and fusce

A brickwork well is constructed in the rajbaha bank, through the bottom of which the discharge passage passes, an efficient grating covers the supply ends, so there will be no obstruction in the rajbaha, and floating matter will not get foul of the valve

Fig 1 is a drawing ($\frac{1}{2}$ actual size) showing the construction of the valve

Fig. 2 ($\frac{1}{24}$ of the full size) shows the float floating on the water in the well (in this instance at its highest level) the float chain wheel, shaft valve, fusee and valve chain.

Fig. 3 shows (same scale) the proposed method for securing the valve and gear against being tampered with or accidentally injured.

Fig. 4. shows the well and passage, and the arrangement of the float and valve gear.

Detailed explanation of the various parts.—The valve consists of a sheet of leather with wooden stiffeners on each side, those on the pressure side being bevilled to admit of the lower end of the valve being turned back and raised up. The valve aperture will be uncovered by lifting the so turned up lower end, and again closed by lowering it. This will be readily understood by referring to *Fig. 1*.

Slight variations from the above-mentioned method of construction may be made.

I have constructed a small working model of the apparatus, the size being $\frac{1}{3}$ of the module shown in the design. In the valve the back and front stiffeners were not separate, but in one piece, and two strings passing through the successive pieces kept them all together, the sheet of leather being altogether dispensed with. Although the joints were not perfectly water-tight, the regulation was properly carried out, and the slight escape of water through the joints did not cause any accumulation of the matter mixed up with the water. This was seen markedly, when on one occasion the water was full of suspended matter, an old beer cask being used to hold the supply water and all the refuse of hops purposely left in the cask and allowed to mix up with the water supply.

I consider that leather, however, would last longer than cord, although not quite so easily replaced. I expect also that India-rubber $\frac{1}{16}$ -inch thick would be still more durable and efficient, and cost very little more. If preferable a cheap and simple system of brass hinge work could be made, and would last longer than the wood itself; the wear and tear would be very slight, the angular motion of each piece being slow, and through a small angle. My experience of the working of the model makes me feel confident that no difficulty in the practical working of the valve on account of the number of joints can be apprehended.

The special merits of a valve of this description.—A valve of this description is opened and closed without friction, while at the same time


CHARGE OF

Fig 5

from



Front Elevations



METHOD OF SHUTTING SUPPLY

21 ch 1 foot


2 feet

Fig 1 3 size

Lowest for 6 size 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 74 76 78 80 82 84 86 88 90 92 94 96 98 100



Side



WITH TRESTLE



there is no long narrow leaking space between the valve and the valve face liable to choke and perhaps fix the valve altogether

The force required to open the valve is small compared with the area of the valve aperture. It is proportional to the diameter of the semicircle formed by the valve in the process of opening and not to the whole area of the valve aperture

When the valve is nearly closed under the maximum head, the bulk of the pressure on the valve is sustained immediately by the face of the brickwork, so there are no great strains on the working parts, which can, therefore, be made small and slight

This would enable a valve of this description to be applied to regulate much greater discharges

The motion of the valve corresponding to alterations of head, when the head is great, is very small, the motion of the float is therefore great compared with the motion of the valve, and the leverage by which it moves the valve is great, so a comparatively small float will balance the pressure

Reducing thus the size of the float, the space enclosed by the brickwork can be reduced, considerably economizing the amount of brickwork

The fusee —The form of the fusee, as shown in the plan, is deduced from the practical working of the small model before-mentioned. The exact form will have to be found by trial, and all other fusees for the same circumstances will be identical

I performed this adjustment in the model with no difficulty, and on a larger scale it would be effected much more easily

The lock up arrangement —The lock up arrangement will be more easily understood from the drawing than from any description. I consider it will effectually close the valve gear against the cultivator, or against accidental injuries

Grating —I have provided a grating to prevent anything large enough to choke the water-way getting into the supply passage. It resembles a venetian blind with laggings vertical instead of horizontal. It will be kept from choking by the wash of the stream of the rajbaha past the outer face

Fig 5 shows the method of closing the supply end of the passage

It consists of a sliding shutter with strips of leather round the edges of the face, the taper piece on the back of the shutter will by its wedge like action press it firmly against the face of the brickwork

If desirable I could arrange that the closing of the supply should come under the lock and key of the valve-well. The valve could be removed and a water-tight shutter placed over the valve aperture. No doubt a certain amount of silt would be deposited at the supply end of the passage, but it would be washed clean away whenever the passage is again opened.

Model made and tried.—I will say a few words about the model to which I have alluded above. It was $\frac{1}{3}$ of the size of the module shown in the design. A pump maintained a supply of water to a cask, the module and well were placed at the side of the cask. The supply entering at the side of the cask caused the water to revolve and represented the flow of the rajbuha water. The grating fully served its purpose of keeping bits of grass, shavings and scraps of paper from entering the well.

The cask represented the rajbuba, the depth of water in the cask could be varied at pleasure. The module discharged into a shoot 3 feet 6 inches long, at the end of which was a gauging notch, under different heads of water in the cask, the discharge shown on the notch remained as uniform as if a man had been watching the notch and working the valve.

The gauging notch and consequent abrupt loss of level of water delivered are not necessary to the accurate working of the module. The irrigating channel could commence at the point where on the design the gauging notch is shown with its bed flush with the bottom of the notch. The notch, as shown, is only a means of testing the accuracy with which the module may be made to work.

It is evident that the valve and gear are of the most simple construction possible such as can be made by any village carpenter. The wrought-iron work requires no fitting.

Regulating large discharge.—I wish to put forward the following question. Could it be arranged that several kolabas of ordinary construction and without their own regulating apparatus attached should be subservient to, and consequently derive their regulation from one regulation of large capacity? Under the impression that regulation at the rajbuha head was the great desideratum, I had given the subject a good deal of attention previous to the consideration of the regulation of small discharges.

It was with a view to the former that I first thought of this description of valve or sluice about $1\frac{1}{2}$ years ago, since when I have devoted much spare time to the subject, and I consider that there will be no mechanical

difficulty in constructing a regulator of this kind, and regulate a discharge of any magnitude.

Estimated cost.—The cost of the module as shown on the plan will be Rs. 38, of which sum the cost of the brickwork and concrete forms the greater part, namely Rs. 27. This amount, however, would be much reduced if the difference of the lowest and highest water level of the rajbaha were diminished.

R. H. F.

20th September, 1870.

No. VIII.

DEFLECTION OF TIMBER, AND "FACTOR OF SAFETY."

By A. VALENTINE, Esq., *Assist. Engineer, D. P. Works, Oudh, and A.I.C.E.*

As some confusion seems to exist amongst Engineers as to the use of the Deflection Formula in calculating the dimensions of timbers, the present writer begs to offer a few remarks in order to assist in forming a right conception of it.

On comparing this formula with that for finding the breaking weight, the first source of perplexity is that the results *do not correspond*. That is, whilst with the breaking formula, we employ a constant factor of safety, usually 10, with the elastic formula, we find the factor of safety (so-called) constantly increasing as the beams increase in span: we say "so-called" factor of safety, because it is obviously illogical to suppose that a long beam equally with a short one cannot carry safely the *same fraction* of its own breaking weight. That is to say, if a long and a short beam will first break with 4 tons and one ton respectively, they will obviously carry $\frac{1}{10}$ of these loads respectively with exactly the same safety.

In other words, the factor of safety is not a Mathematical quantity, or one in any way involved in the equation, but is simply a multiplier suggested by prudential considerations, and is the same for all scantlings whose breaking dimensions have been ascertained.


To return to the deflection formula. The reasons of the discrepancy are very simple when ascertained, and give a complete and satisfactory reconciliation of the two formulæ. At the same time, they do not readily suggest themselves. Even Mr. Keay, in his carefully explained analysis

and equating of the two formulæ has overlooked both these causes (for there are two), and his deductions in consequence are erroneous. After obtaining, as his factor of safety, about 6 times the fourth root of the length (which we shall see presently has no existence in fact) he owns to the dis-satisfaction he feels at his own conclusions, by adding (page 23),* "The above conclusions appear reasonable enough as regards r , but scarcely so for s and w . The fact, however, is there, be the reason what it may."

The first reason, then, lies in the absurdity of attempting to compare unlike things together. The elastic formula is true of *all* elastic substances, and of *all* substances within the limits of their elasticity, but does not offer the remotest clue, as to how far that elasticity extends, much less, as to the absolute strength of any material to resist fracture. This brings us to the second reason why the factor $6\sqrt[4]{l}$ is erroneous. Seeing there is no connection between the two formulæ, how does it happen that this is the numerical result of equating them? The answer is simple; instead of using the formula in its unadulterated state, as given in Barlow, i. e., $\delta = \frac{l}{32} \frac{W}{E \delta^3}$, we find the variable and elastic δ replaced by an unyielding amount called $\frac{l}{40}$ inches.

Admitting for a moment that there are *practical* reasons for substituting $\frac{l}{40}$ for δ , the fact still remains that the formula is no longer a general expression. We will refer to this $\frac{l}{40}$ presently, in the mean time we will for a few moments see what δ really is, and, having restored it, in the elasticity formula, again go through Mr. Keay's equating, when the result will be found to be highly satisfactory.

If a beam be uniformly strained per unit of its *own* length, it will obvi-



ously assume a circular curve, let δ be its vertical deflection. Now if the length (only) of the beam

be doubled, then *by law of circular arcs*, δ will become 4 times as great, that is, the scantling being the same, the deflection is as l^2 .

But δ varies inversely as depth of the beam.

Now this depth, as shown by breaking formula, increases in ratio of

* Keay's "Scantlings of Timbers for Roofs."

$\sqrt[3]{l.W}$. But W involves l , for $W = l.w$, where w is unit of weight per foot, so that the beam's depth increases as $\sqrt[3]{l.l}$ or $\sqrt[3]{l^2}$.

So that the deflection is really $\frac{l^2}{\sqrt[3]{l^2}} = \sqrt[3]{l^1} = \delta$.

We will now equate the two formulæ only writing δ in place of $\frac{l}{40}$.

$$r^3 b^4 = \frac{5}{8} \times \frac{l^4 s . w}{32 E \delta} = \frac{5 l^4 s . w}{8 \times 32 E \delta} \therefore b^4 = \frac{5 l^4 s . w}{8 \times 32 E . \delta . r^3}$$

$$\therefore b = \sqrt[4]{\frac{l^4 s . w}{1.6 \times 32 E \delta r^3}}$$

$$\left(\frac{l^4 s . w}{1.6 \times 32 E \delta r^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} = \left(\frac{n l^2 s . w}{2 r^2 p} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

$$\left(\frac{l^4 s . w}{1.6 \times 32 E \delta r^3} \right)^3 = \left(\frac{n l^2 s . w}{2 r^2 p} \right)^4$$

$$\frac{l^{12} s^3 w^3}{4.096 \times 32 E^3 \times \delta^3 \times r^9} = \frac{n^4 l^8 s^4 w^4}{16 r^8 p^4}$$

$$\frac{l^4}{(32 E)^3 \times \delta^3 \times r} = \frac{n^4 s . w}{3.90625 p^4}$$

$$\therefore n = \sqrt[4]{\frac{l^4 \cdot 3.90625 p^4}{(32 E)^3 \delta^3 r . s . w}}$$

and all being constant but l and δ .

n varies as $\sqrt[4]{\frac{l^4}{\delta^3}}$. But it is above shown that δ^3 is as l^4 . Therefore n

$$\text{varies as } \sqrt[4]{\frac{l^4}{l^4}} = \sqrt[4]{1} = 1.$$

The factor of safety thus turns out to be unity?

The following Table is constructed showing at how rapid a ratio the deflection may safely increase, as compared with the increase of span and the practical deduction from it is this, that in constructions where the deflection (within the safe limits) is not objectionable, the deflection may be rapidly increased with increased length of beams, without in any way decreasing the factor of safety, and that in many cases a waste of timber must occur, in order to secure a limited deflection increasing only as the span.

$$\text{Argument } \delta = \sqrt[3]{\frac{l}{t}} *$$

| Span and deflection according to rule of δ $= \frac{l}{40}$ inches | Deflection according to Theory and Barlow | Span and deflection according to rule of δ $= \frac{l}{40}$ inches | Deflection according to Theory and Barlow |
|---|--|---|--|
| 1 | 1 0000 | 12 | 27 474 |
| 2 | 2 5199 | 13 | 03 568 |
| 3 | 4 3269 | 14 | 83 742 |
| 4 | 6 3497 | 15 | 36 994 |
| 5 | 8 5007 | 16 | 40 318 |
| 6 | 10 903 | 17 | 43 712 |
| 7 | 13 390 | 18 | 47 175 |
| 8 | 16 000 | 19 | 50 700 |
| 9 | 18 721 | 20 | 54 289 |
| 10 | 21 545 | 21 | 57 939 |
| 11 | 24 465 | 22 | 61 662 |

With regard to the difficulty before referred to in Mr Keay's Treatise about r , s and w , it will now be seen that if we increase r (the proportion of breadth to depth) whilst we still calculate for a beam of the same strength, its depth being increased, it is less capable of deflection, and δ therefore becomes smaller, and restores the balance of the equation. Again, if we increase s or w (the distance apart, or the weight per foot) we must provide deeper beams, and therefore less capable of deflection, δ again is diminished, and thus compensates for the increase of s or w , and the balance of the equation is still preserved.

No one can read the portion of the Treatise above referred to, without perceiving that the writer, though clearly and correctly defining what he means by the most inappropriate expression "factor of safety," (a term which cannot exist in a deflection formula,) is nevertheless continually confusing himself by thinking of the words in their literal meaning. The corrected equating of the formula strikes out this so called factor altogether, or leaves it only as unity. But if, for whatever reasons, the inelastic value of deflection is still to be retained as $\frac{l}{40}$ inches, then the resulting "factor" might be called "the factor for providing for a finite deflection," and its varying value in each case would represent the relative safety of the beams. So that longer beams are made stronger in proportion than shorter beams, simply to provide against a deflection exceeding $\frac{l}{40}$.

To show how inconstant, in various woods, is the ratio between greatest safe weight and breaking weight, the following table is condensed from Barlow —

* The factor of safety is constant in all the cases.

| Wood. | Ratio of safe to breaking weight, | Wood. | Ratio of safe to breaking weight. |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Teak, | $\frac{1}{3.13}$ | Beech, | $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| Poon, | $\frac{1}{5.60}$ | Elm, | $\frac{1}{3.1}$ |
| English oak, | $\frac{1}{3.00}$ | Pitch pine, | $\frac{1}{4.1}$ |
| Dantzic ditto, | $\frac{1}{2.5}$ | Red ditto, | $\frac{1}{3.4}$ |
| Adriatic, | $\frac{1}{3.5}$ | New England fir, | $\frac{1}{2.8}$ |

So that whilst Poon will only bear about $\frac{1}{5}$ of its weight without injury, Dantzic oak is not injured by a load of $\frac{1}{3}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ its breaking weight.

The above remarks may be briefly abstracted as follows:—

The elasticity formula only shows the amount of deflection under given conditions of weight and scantling within certain fixed limits, and gives no clue as to how near those limits approach the breaking weight, or to find, conversely, necessary conditions of weight and scantling, so as to produce a given deflection.

In constructions where the amount of deflection is of *no consequence* the deflection formula of $\frac{l}{40}$ should not be used, as it is *wastefully strong*.

In such cases, find the necessary scantling by *breaking* formula, and then if desired, the amount of deflection can be ascertained by elasticity formula, to determine whether the amount of deflection is likely to be injurious in any way.

A word about the amount, namely $\frac{1}{40}$ inch per foot, I do not find *how it originated*. Keay merely says, *without any reason being assigned*, that the deflection may be assumed at $\frac{1}{40}$ inch per foot of half span. He quotes Boileau's Tables of many year's previous date, but this writer, too, gives *neither reason nor authority* for the amount. I have sometimes wondered if they have mistaken Barlow's meaning when he says (p. 178 of 1851): "The deflection of $\frac{1}{40}$ inch for each foot in length is not injurious to ceilings, indeed the usual allowance for settlement is about *twice* that quantity, ceilings have been found to settle about 4 times that quantity without causing cracks, and have been raised again without injury."

This evidently refers to the ceilings, not to the timber, and they are here stated to settle usually "about double that quantity," i. e., of $\frac{1}{40}$. In another place, on the subject of ceilings, he says, that the *great elasticity of timber prevents it practically from being of such small scantlings only as are requisite for strength*.

That a fixed amount per linear foot should be assigned as the safe deflection of a given piece of timber, no matter what load it may bear, i. e., what its depth may be is simply inconsistent that is, as regards its safety at least, for, as has been before stated, and as is almost self evident, the capacity of the same material for deflection is inversely as the depth.

A V

Remarks upon the DEFLECTION OF TIMBER and the "FACTOR OF SAFETY" as applied to the formula for breaking weight for beams subject to transverse strain, with reference to some observations on the same subject by A. VALENTINE, ESQ., A. I. C. E. B. P. KEAY, Head Master, 2nd Department, Thomason College

The two formula in general use for calculating the scantlings of beams subject to cross strains, are so well known that I need merely note them down, and proceed with any remarks the subject may appear to require.

$$\text{Formula for deflection, } D = \frac{L^3}{8} \times \frac{W}{b d^3 \delta} \quad (i)$$

$$\text{Ditto for breaking weight, } P = \frac{I W}{2 b d^3} \quad (ii)$$

In both of these formulæ, the weight (W) is supposed to be uniformly distributed, the length (L) in feet, and b, d and δ , (the breadth, depth and deflection, of the beam,) in inches.

In calculating the scantling of a beam by the first of these formulæ, no factor of safety is required, because a certain value, such as experience warrants as being suitable, is given to (δ), and by this means a beam is determined which will support the load (W) without deflecting more than the limit fixed for (δ). The value usually given to (δ) is $\frac{1}{480}$ of the beam's length, or stating it in another way—The beam may be deflected, without injury to the general structure of which it forms a part to the extent of $\frac{1}{20}$ of an inch for each running foot of the beam's length, counted from the point of support to the lowest deflection of the beam.

Now, in the case of a beam supported at both ends and loaded either uniformly or at the centre, the above rate of deflection amounts to $\frac{1}{40}$ of an inch for each running foot of the beam's *total* length between the points of support. So that if the length of the beam, *in feet*, be L , the deflection at the centre, *in inches*, will be $L \times \frac{1}{40}$; or $\delta = \frac{L}{40}$ inches.

There is no other reason for giving the above value to (δ) , so far as I know, except the simple fact that experience has shown in the case of numerous trials, and of structures that have successfully stood the test of Time, that Roof timbers may be deflected to this extent *without injury* to their coverings.

With reference to the objection raised by Mr. Valentine, that by assigning a fixed value to (δ) as above, or rather a fixed proportion of the beam's length as the value of (δ) , the formula ceases to be general; I cannot see that there are any good grounds for such an objection; as all formulæ of this kind *must* have particular values assigned to some of the symbols, if we are to apply the formulæ to the solution of any *practical* problems.

Of course, the formulæ will not then be "general," but they will be general so far as our purpose requires them to be so, and no farther. If we are not to give particular values to such of the symbols as our purpose may require, of what *practical* use are the formulæ?

I may have mistaken Mr. Valentine's meaning, but such appears to be its purport, so far as I can judge.

If the scantling of a beam be determined by the second of the above formulæ, the beam will just break with the weight (W). To make use of this formula then, for practical purposes, it becomes necessary to use some factor of safety, such as *experience* has shown to be suitable.

This term, "factor of safety," is used by people in two different ways, but the meaning is really the same.

One person will say, for instance, that the factor of safety is one-tenth, while another will say it is ten; both meaning in reality the same thing. The first would explain his meaning by saying that the beam is to be loaded with one-tenth of its breaking weight, whereas the other would say that the beam is to be made strong enough to require ten times its permanent load to break it.

These are only two different ways, of course, of stating the same conditions, as to the strength of the beam compared with its permanent load.

Regarding the value of the factor of safety, as determined in the College Manual on the Scantlings of Beams, (pages 20 to 25,) it is necessary to notice clearly what the investigations are intended to determine. It will be seen that the whole object of them is merely to ascertain what the factor of safety must be so that the formula for breaking weight may give a beam of the same scantling as that obtained by the formula for deflection, when the value of (δ), in the latter, is fixed at $\frac{1}{40}$ inches, as explained before. Under these conditions it will be seen that the factor of safety varies as the fourth root of L . Again, for a certain rate of loading on the beam, that is at the rate of 400 lbs. per running foot of the beam's length, and when the ratio of the breadth of the beam to its depth is that of $1 : \sqrt{2}$, it is shown, beyond a doubt, I think, that the factor of safety is about $6 \sqrt[4]{L}$.

The shortest and simplest way to settle any doubt there may be as to the correctness of the conclusion arrived at in this value for the factor of safety, is simply to use it in the formula for the Breaking weight, and see whether the scantling of beam, so determined, be the same, or nearly the same, as that determined by the formula for deflection.

Take the case of a s\AA l beam, 20 feet between the points of support, and loaded with 8,878 lbs. uniformly distributed. By the breaking weight formula, and using $5.962 \sqrt[4]{L}$ as the factor of safety, as found for s\AA l (page 22 of Manual) we find :—

$$b = \sqrt[3]{\frac{L \cdot W \cdot 5.962 \sqrt[4]{L}}{4 \cdot p.}} = \sqrt[3]{\frac{L^{\frac{3}{4}} \times 8878 \times 5.962}{4 \times 769}} \\ = \sqrt[3]{\frac{20^{\frac{3}{4}} \times 8878 \times 5.962}{4 \times 769}} = 8.995 \text{ inches.}$$

$$\text{and } d = b \sqrt{2} = 12.72 \text{ inches.}$$

By the formula for Deflection we find—

$$b = \sqrt[4]{L^3 \times W \times \frac{25 \sqrt{2}}{4 \times E}} = \sqrt[4]{20^3 \times 8878 \times \frac{25 \sqrt{2}}{4 \times 4963}} \\ = 8.918 \text{ inches.}$$

$$\text{and } d = b \sqrt{2} = 12.612 \text{ inches.}$$

The slight difference observable in these two results is due to the fact that the factor of safety, as used in the first equation, was deduced from the case of the beam being loaded at the rate of 400 lbs. per running foot of the beam's length; whereas in this case, the rate of loading exceeds that by 878 lbs; being an allowance made for the weight of the beam itself.

It may be more satisfactory if we compare the results obtained by the two formulæ in a more general way.

Suppose L = the length in feet as before; $d = b\sqrt[3]{2}$, $W = 400 \times L$ lbs.; and the timber sal, whose constants for Breaking weight and Deflection are 769 and 4963 respectively; and let $5.962\sqrt[3]{L}$ be the factor of safety.

The value of b by the formula for Breaking weight will be—

$$\begin{aligned} b &= \sqrt[3]{\frac{L \cdot W \cdot 5.962 \sqrt[3]{L}}{4p}} = \sqrt[3]{\frac{L \times 400L \times 5.962 L^{\frac{1}{3}}}{4 \times 769}} \\ &= \sqrt[3]{\frac{L^{\frac{4}{3}} \times 596.2}{769}} = .9187 \times L^{\frac{1}{3}} \end{aligned}$$

Again, by the formula for Deflection—

$$\begin{aligned} b &= \sqrt[4]{L^2 \times W \times \frac{25\sqrt[3]{2}}{4E}} = \sqrt[4]{L^2 \times 400L \times \frac{25\sqrt[3]{2}}{4 \times 4963}} \\ &= \sqrt[4]{L^3 \times \frac{2500\sqrt[3]{2}}{4963}} = .9187 \times L^{\frac{1}{3}} \end{aligned}$$

being identically the same as the other value.

These examples show clearly enough that the problem proposed in the "Manual on Scantlings," (pages 20 to 25,) has been correctly solved.

I think Mr. Valentine is in error as regards his remarks commencing—"If a beam be uniformly strained per unit of its own length, it will obviously assume a circular curve, &c."

Suppose the beam to assume the form of a *circular* curve under these circumstances, (I do not know that it does so, although it seems reasonable to suppose it will assume the form of a curve of some kind,) and the length (only) of the beam to be doubled; it appears to me that (δ) will not become *four times* as great as before, as Mr. Valentine states, but it will become *eight times* as great; and if the length be trebled, δ will become 27 times as great as before, and so on.

This is evident, I think, from the general formula—

$$E = \frac{5}{8} \times \frac{L^3 \cdot W}{b d^3 \cdot \delta}$$

For all values of L , W , b , d , and δ in this expression, so long as the material is the same, and the deflection is not carried so far as to injure

the elasticity of the material, we derive the same constant quantity E . Now, this being the case, it is clear that if we double L , and all the other quantities, except δ , remain as they are, we shall have $(2L)^3$, or $8L^3$, in the numerator, and as E is constant, δ will be changed to (8δ) . In fact the curve, if circular, will evidently be that of a circle different from what it was before.

This could be very easily tested by an experiment upon any rectangular slip of wood of a few feet in length, and an inch or two in scantling.*

Some of the latter portions of Mr. Valentine's reasonings I have not succeeded in following very clearly, so I cannot form much of an opinion on them, but I have stated my own views of the matter so far as I understand it. I know of no foundation, except that of experience, for any limit to the *deflection* or any value to the *factor of safety*. What I attempted in the "Manual on Scantlings," was merely to assimilate the latter to the former, and this I believe I have done. Of course, for a different rate of loading the factor of safety would differ somewhat from what I have found it, but in all cases it will be in the form of $m\sqrt[4]{L}$, m being some numerical factor whose value will depend upon the rate of loading of the beam, per running foot of its length, and also upon the ratio of b to d .

In the case of a beam supporting 400 lbs. per running foot of its length the factor of safety, as has been shown, is about $6\sqrt[4]{L}$.

In all structures the practical question to be considered is the *deflection*, rather than the chances of *breaking*, and this being the case, the principle of making the factor correspond to some known safe rate of deflection, appears to be a sound one. We know then exactly what we are doing. In the case of trusses for instance, it is very essential that the rafters should not be deflected beyond a certain moderate limit, otherwise the consequent increased thrust on the foot of the rafter, and hence also, an increased tension on the tie beam, may seriously endanger the safety of the whole roof.

P. K.

* Since this was written I got Mr. Campbell to test this point in the Peckee Workshops, and the results clearly corroborated what is inferred above from the formula.

Mr. Valentine's theory about the beam assuming the form of a circular curve is therefore not borne out by Darlow's formula, and hence also all the reasonings and conclusions based upon this theory require the support of reasons not deducible from the formula which is under discussion.

[NOTE BY EDITOR.]

The use of the term *factor of safety* in reference to the deflection formula is certainly liable to cause confusion; and I agree with Mr. Valentine in considering that it should be replaced by some other term, such as "practical co-efficient."

Barlow's formula does not treat (directly at least) of *breaking* weight, and of this of course Mr. Keay (when comparing the different formulæ) was aware: as he shows (on p. 14, of the manual) that E represents the weight which would cause a *deflection* of 1 inch at the centre of a piece of wood, one inch square, and spanning one foot.

By substituting, for the variable " δ " of Barlow's general formula, the term $\frac{L}{40}$ inches, we specialize the formula to denote the conditions of a water-tight roof; assuming that no roof covering can be secure from displacement, and consequently cracks, if the deflection exceed $\frac{1}{40}$ th of an inch for every foot of span.

However interesting it may be to try to equate the results given by using the different formulæ, I do not consider it of much practical value.

In practice I (as probably most Engineers) have always adopted the plan of ascertaining the proper scantlings of roof timber by formulæ exhibiting their breaking weights: and the results I have checked by applying the (specialized) deflection formula, in order to ascertain if the requisite *stiffness* had been ensured.

It is thus, *separately*, that the formulæ for strength and stiffness should be used. The formula has, I believe, yet to be found, from which can be deduced, in one simple operation, scantlings which will satisfy the conditions of possessing the requisite strength to resist fracture, and the stiffness to avoid a specified amount of deflection, ensuring at the same time economy of material.

A. M. L.

No IX.

BURNING BRICKS WITH OOPLA

[See Plate V, page 68]

By (the late) MAJOR J T TOVEY, Executive Engineer, P W. Department Cawnpore—31st August, 1870

In consequence of the necessity of manufacturing bricks in Bundelkhund, where firewood is procurable with difficulty, the writer made experiments to determine the possibility of firing bricks in flame kilns with the dried cowdung used as fuel by Natives

There were several serious objections to the use of cowdung —

- (1) It clinkers, and chokes the fires
- (2) The quantity of ash is so great as to stop the draught, and to extinguish the furnaces
- (3) The length of flame is small
- (4) The lightness of the material and its bulk make it difficult to feed the furnaces

The first three difficulties were successfully overcome by using transverse fire bars, on which the clinkers could be broken with pokers, and by making a door to the ashpit through which the ashes could be raked out. The shortness of the flame was met by reducing the height of the kiln to 8 feet above the sill of the furnace-door. Unfortunately, the flame kilns could not be reduced in their width of 18 feet, but it has been determined that a width of 14 feet will answer well. The extra width of 4 feet made little practical difference in the cost, although there is a great difference in the proportional out turn of underburnt bricks.

Attached is a comparison of the respective out turns and cost of kiln of wood burnt bricks and of a kiln of cowdung burnt bricks. In such a

comparison, the test of brick manufacture is the out-turn of bricks of the first class fit for bridge-work:—

The out-turn from the flame-kiln was 172,250, out of 211,100 loaded, at a cost of Rs. 10 per 1,000 of first class only, including all charges incurred on account of peela bricks, &c.; being a percentage of $81\frac{1}{2}$ of first class.

The out-turn from the cowdung-kiln was 24,900, out of 38,050 loaded, at a cost of Rs. 9-8-0 per 1,000 of first class only, including all charges incurred on account of peela bricks, &c.; being a percentage of $65\frac{1}{2}$ of first class.

However, owing to the width of the kiln being 18 instead of 14 feet, about 8,000 more bricks were loaded than could be properly fired. If allowance is made for these, the percentage will be raised to 82 per cent. of first class; and the undersigned believes this percentage of out-turn will be attained in kilns built for the purpose, at a less cost than wood-burnt bricks.

Annexed are detailed drawings of a kiln adapted for firing with cowdung, and a memorandum of the method of loading and firing, that perhaps may be useful to others.

The undersigned believes less skill will be needed for firing with cowdung than with wood.


J.

Memorandum on the method of Loading and Firing Bricks in Kilns with Cowdung.



I. Loading.—The most important thing to attend to is the packing of the bricks in the kiln. If the closeness of the bricks checks the draught through the furnaces, the fires will not burn, and the bricks will turn out badly. Also, if the bricks are packed in such a way that, in sinking from the construction of firing, they jam together and check the draught, the same effect will be produced. This effect will be produced by packing the bricks all across or all along the kiln.

A detailed drawing of a method of packing that has proved successful accompanies. Beneath the fire-bars, the bricks may be set touching each other. Above the fire-bars to the 13th course they may be set half an inch apart. The 14th or chain-course is intended to prevent the bricks from getting jammed, and supplies spaces for the fires to rise through. The courses above this are alternately set along and across the kiln, the ends of the bricks touching, but with a clear open space of not less than

WITH DETAILS




Plan of fire bar bars bound with wire only




Cour

Scale 3 feet



Scale



bright. Too much air will cool the furnaces; there should be as little air as will do.

It must be remembered that cowdung, although bulky, is not dense, and if a furnace is filled quite full, it will burn out in twenty minutes. The furnaces must be filled four times an hour, and, however quickly the furnaces are refilled, enough cold will be admitted to prevent the bricks from being overburnt. There is no danger of oversiring cowdung, and the furnaces must be kept as full and as bright as possible to produce a good out-turn.

The firing should be kept up for about five days and five nights, though it cannot be said that this will be the exact time required for firing. Damp or stormy weather will delay the firing, and perhaps require another day, whilst it is equally possible a day less may be sufficient. Time is no test whatever. The only test is whether the top course of the kiln is burnt to a sound red. To ascertain this, carefully abstract a brick from one of the most favored places of the top course (replace it with another at once) and as soon as cool you will see the quality by breaking if it is stained with ash. This should be done at the end of the fourth day, and at intervals of six hours. As soon as the bricks are of the proper color just over the furnaces damp that place with earth, and lead the fire to the underburnt spots. When the whole is of the proper color on the top, damp the whole top complete, and close after firing moderately for six hours; filling the furnaces as full of cowdung as they will hold before bricking up.

The points to be attended to are:—

- (1) To pack the bricks in the kiln at not less than three-fourths of an inch apart; everything depends on a draught through these interstices. An inch would be better than three-fourths of an inch.
- (2) To keep the fires as strong as possible. It is believed cowdung will not melt the bricks, as the doors must be opened three or four times an hour, by which the heat is much reduced. The ashpits must also be kept clear.
- (3) To continue the firing until the bricks on the top of the kiln are thoroughly burned. This is the only test of successful firing. The quantity of fuel used and the time are no tests at all of whether the contents of the kiln are burnt enough.

No. X.

MANUFACTURE OF HYDRAULIC CEMENT.

Used in the Second Barrier Works of the Upper Godavery Circle, Central Provinces. BY J. W. GLASS, Esq., Assistant Engineer, P. W. Department.

THE Lime Stone is of a bluish color, has a coarse grain, and is interspersed with veins of carbonate of lime. It contains hydraulic properties in a slight degree, but mortar prepared from it without the admixture of clay cannot be used in masonry exposed to the action of water. The quarry from which the stone is obtained is close to the kilns, and the cost of the stone, delivered at the kilns and broken into pieces to pass through a $2\frac{1}{2}$ " ring, is Rs. 4-8 per 100 cubic feet. The kilns used for burning the stone are circular in plan and oval in vertical section, and the fuel used is wood, in the proportion of two of wood to one of stone. The kilns are generally drawn about 86 hours after being set fire to. The stone is cleared as much as possible from the ashes of the wood expended in burning it, and it is there slaked and sifted through a screen made with $\frac{3}{8}$ " wire one-eighth of an inch apart, and placed at an angle of 45° . 100 cubic feet of stone produce 150 cubic feet of lime nearly, and the cost of production is Rs. 3 per 100 cubic feet.

The Clay with which the lime is mixed is of a dark blue color, and is found overlaying the lime stone at about from two to four feet below the surface. There is, however, no apparent difference between surface soil and that which we use, and I think it would be found to be equally as good a mixture as the other. The surface shows extensive fissures in the hot weather, which contract somewhat in the rains, and the soil has the appearance of what is generally known as "cotton soil."

Lime and clay are mixed in the proportion of five parts of the former to four parts of the latter, (the clay is freed from all lumps before being used,) and put into a mortar mill, worked by steam; water is added and it is ground till it is of the consistency of a thick paste, when it is ready for being made into cakes. The mortar mill holds 15 cubic feet; the time occupied in grinding varies from 12 to 16 minutes; and the loss is about one-fourth of the quantity put in.

This "raw cement" is then removed in wheel-barrows to the "drying ground," a space cleared from grass and bushes, where it is made into cakes of 6 inches diameter and one inch thick, and is left exposed till thoroughly dried. In the hot weather, the drying occupies about 24 successive hours. When *thoroughly dry*, they are ready for burning. The kilns used for this purpose are circular in form, 20 feet in diameter and about 9 feet high. The cakes are packed *on edge* in courses, and to four such courses, one course of wood about 6" thick is allowed. The wood is in lengths of $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet and should not be too dry, and split wood is better than branches.

The time occupied in burning is about 12 hours and the same time is allowed for cooling. The process is then complete. The cement costs Rs. 14-5 per 100 cubic feet, including all labor and material, likewise native superintendence. The hire of a coolie is four annas per day, and of a woman two annas.

In making and burning the cakes the greatest care is necessary. If they be made thicker than one inch, a larger quantity of wood will be required and a greater quantity of ashes will get mixed with the cement, and as a considerable number of the cakes get pulverized in the loading kilns and burning, it is impossible to separate the ashes, and the mortar produced will consequently be inferior. If an excess of fuel be used, the cement will be over burned, and *vice versa*. To distinguish properly burned cakes from over and under burned ones, break a cake. and if the surfaces present a *pale red* color it is good, but if a dark gray or dirty yellow, it is under burned in the one case and over burned in the other. The under burned cakes may be recalcined, but they should be placed farthest from the heart of the kiln. They are never knowingly used for mortar, and if any should happen to get mixed with good cakes, the appearance of the mortar is sufficient to betray the mixture. Cement of which 10 to 15 per cent. is over burned and the rest good produces a very fair mortar, but

we have now seldom occasion to use that mixture, as the process of burning is so thoroughly understood that it rarely happens there in a kiln with so much as 10 per cent overburned

The mortar is composed of one part of cement, and one part of clean, sharp river sand, and is ground in mortar mills worked by steam, as soon as ground, it is used. From repeated experiments I have found that freshly ground mortar made into a ball about 3 diameter, and compressed with the hands sets firmly under water in about 24 hours, and in about 60 hours is quite hard, and the longer it remains under water the better it seems to get. Balls of it that have been under water for two months, I have allowed to fall from a height of 8 feet on to stone without their breaking, and it was only after repeating it two or three times that they broke. In the locks and other masonry works now under construction here, this mortar sets slightly in about 12 hours, and in from four to six days it is quite hard, it requires to be kept constantly wet during the day for at least a month after building. If it is not, the result is that the mortar crumbles into dust on being rubbed with the fingers, and is about as useful to the strength of the work as so much sand would be. In my subdivision, I have the masonry kept wet for *at least* two months after building, and I think it is beneficial to do so. I have had occasion to knock down small portions of masonry which had been built for two or three months, and I invariably found it more difficult and much more expensive than hard rock excavation. As a rule the stone broke before the mortar.

Doubtless there is as good mortar in a few parts of India, but I am certain a better does not exist.

I may mention that at the end of the working season of '68, the Executive Engineer of the 1st Barrier Division, Mr Rhind, and I, tried an experiment with the nodular lime stone, (the ordinary gooting,) and we found that manufactured in the same way as described, it produced a very fair cement, but inferior to that from the other stone. The reason no doubt was that we had not found the proper proportion of the clay to use with it, and the experiments were not continued to enable us to do so.

ENCHAMPILLY, }
11th February, 1871 }

J W G.

No. XI.

SNAG BOATS ON AMERICAN RIVERS.

BY CAPTAIN J. M. HEYWOOD, R.E.

THE immense increase now taking place in the population, and wealth of those States known as the Western States, has naturally caused a great amount of newly awakened interest in the channels of communication with the countries and States lying beyond them. The most important of these is the Mississippi, and its tributary rivers the Ohio, Missouri, &c. Owing to the densely wooded nature of their banks, and the eroding power of the above vast rivers on their margins, their beds are strewn with huge trees, which swept in on the falling of the undermined bank, sink root downwards, and present one of the most serious obstacles to navigation. When the water falls, the heads of these trees or snags, are to be seen in hundreds; every fresh lowering of the level discloses others which from their more inclined position or shorter length had previously remained hidden. It may readily be imagined that a steamer leaving the middle channel to avoid the rapid current, and steaming against one of these Snags, runs a chance of seriously damaging itself. Such is too often the case, and the reports of the various Chambers of Commerce of the cities between which the steamers ply are filled with such items, as "steamers sunk," a "total loss."

The Snag boats employed on the Ohio and Lower Mississippi, whilst I was on those waters in 1869, were the *Abert*, *DeRussy* and *Song*. Two others were in full operation on the Upper Mississippi and Missouri, and a new boat of light draught was being built at Cincinnati for the Arkansas river.

A Snag boat is simply a double hulled boat with powerful machinery

for lifting the Snags, and for cutting them up when so lifted. Previous to the Great Civil War in the States, the machinery for propelling the boat was also utilised for pulling the Snags: now however the two operations are performed by independent machinery to the great improvement of the working.

The great number of auxiliary engines on American steamers of the kind under description is one of the most noticable features; for instance in a model of one which it is intended to build when funds are voted for the purpose, there were

2 Main engines, cylinders 22", length of stroke 6 feet.

2 Doctor engines, each to supply two of the boilers.

■ Auxiliary engines, cylinders 6" diameter and 12 inch stroke to drive two geared capstans.

3 Auxiliary engines, to drive the saws required to cut up the Snags when on the cradle.

2 Auxiliary engines, cylinder 10" diameter and 15" stroke, to drive the gearing of the hoisting machinery.

The hulls of the boats to carry the above machinery are intended to be each 160 feet long, 26 feet beam \times 25 feet floor and 7 feet in depth of hold. The operation of pulling the Snags and removing them from the bed of the river is very simple. The double hulled boat is steamed against the stream towards the Snag, which is caught by a chain slung across from hull to hull. A small chain which is wound round a capstan in the deck is then attached and drawn upon, till the Snag has been pulled sufficiently to allow of the men adjusting a larger chain; the latter is wound round a steam drum midships, and being acted upon by independent auxiliary engines of considerable power, is usually easily set in motion with the attached Snag. The Snag once lifted in to the cradle is cut up into convenient lengths by the side saws, and thrown over into the water in pieces. The work done by the three Snag boats I have mentioned as plying on the lower Mississippi and Ohio is very large. Thus the *Abert* between 28th March and 30th June, 1868, pulled 158 Snags: the *DeRussy* between the 11th of May and 30th June, 1868, pulled 222; and the *Song* between the 25th of April and 30th June pulled 149. Some of the Snags pulled were enormous; for instance on the 8th April, 1868, the *Abert* pulled a Snag 104 feet long 6 feet 3 inches diameter at butt and 3 feet 3 inches at top; on the 11th of the same month, it disposed

of one 130 feet long, 6 feet 4 inches at butt and 3 feet at top. The largest drawn up during the season (1868) was 119 feet long, 7 feet diameter at butt and 4 feet 4 inches at top. This Snag was imbedded 27 feet in the bed of the river, and was removed and brought on to the cradle with the soil adhering to its roots without having caused any injury to the machinery or rigging. Later in the year (September, 1868) the *Abert* drew up an enormous sycamore log, 45 feet long, 35 feet 6 inches round the butt, and 16 feet round the top, the operation took $4\frac{1}{2}$ days. This again was exceeded, for the *Song* removed at a place below Memphis a Snag 74 feet long with a girth round the butt of $34\frac{1}{2}$ feet and top of $17\frac{2}{3}$ feet, weighing 103 tons. The cost of a double hulled Snag boat similar to those now at work is about £10,000, and the monthly expense including fuel, wages, &c., £450.

J. M. H.

No. XII


SIMPLE RULES FOR RECOGNIZING STARS

BY LIEUT H W. CLARKE, R E

MANY persons find considerable difficulty in learning the positions of the principal stars, it is, therefore, proposed, in this paper, to give a few simple directions, by aid of which some of the stars can, immediately, be recognised. Some fixed, known points having been, thus, obtained, less difficulty will be experienced, in learning the positions of other stars, not so easily observed.

In observatories, a star is found, by means of its right ascension $r N$ and its declination $N S$, and these co ordinates of a star's position, are, for stars which are frequently observed, kept recorded in the observing room, for easy reference.

Fig 1



But, we are not supposed to be thus furnished. If one stand, on a clear night, facing the east, and observe a bright star, it will be noticed that the star rises higher and higher, reaches its culminating point, passes it, descends, and sets in the west. It will further be

observed that that star, each succeeding night, reaches its place (the place in the heavens in which it was first seen) sooner.

The rate of this change is six hours in three months. Let us take a station in the northern hemisphere and look towards the north.

Since the earth makes an entire revolution from west to east, in the space of 24 hours, we should, but for the light of the sun, be able to

view the entire starry firmament in the northern hemisphere in that time; but, as it is, we are unable to do so.

The small change in the time, at which a star occupies the position, it had, on some previous night enables one to view all the stars, in succession.

The motion, that the stars appear to have, is due to the rotation of the earth, from west to east, making the apparent motion of the stars, from east to west, on its axis.


The path of each star is parallel to the plane of the equator.

Hence, to an observer, at the pole, the stars will appear to describe circles parallel to his horizon, and the stars will never set.

While, to an observer, on the equator, the stars will appear to take a vertical path and will daily set.

It is evident, that near the pole, there will be some stars the path of which, to an observer in the northern hemisphere, will always appear above the horizon. These stars are called circumpolar stars.

Fig. 1.



Since, the entire rotation of the diurnal movements is effected in 24 hours, a quarter of the total movement is accomplished in six hours.

It, therefore follows that a constellation, such as the Great Bear, represented on the right of the pole, at mid night, on the 20th December, will be, at 6 o'clock in the morning above the pole star, the rotation taking place from right to left (against the hands of a watch), as stated before.

The appearance of the plate will alter, also, with the season, owing to the advance of the earth in its orbit, each star occupying earlier the position of the preceding night the following table will show this —

| | 22nd March | 21st June | 2nd September |
|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|
| <i>Fig 1</i> , as it stands, .. | 6 P M | Noon | 6 A M |
| Right hand side at bottom, | Noon. | 6 A M | Mid night. |
| Top side at bottom, .. . | 6 A M | Mid night | 6 P M |
| Left hand side at bottom, | Mid night | 6 P M | Noon |

The farther one goes from the pole, the fewer are there circumpolar stars

Let it be mid-night, near the 20th December, let us look towards the north

We shall see, removed not far from the pole, a group of seven stars, four of which form a quadrilateral, and three the tail, called the Great Bear

Join, by an imaginary line, the two extreme stars of the quadrilateral, farthest from the tail, and produce it, this line will pass through Polaris, the pole star, at a distance equal to five times that between α and β , Ursa Majoris join δ Ursa Minoris and Polaris by an imaginary line, produce it, and at a distance as great, from the pole star, as δ Ursa Majoris is itself from the pole star, β Cassiopea is reached

Cassiopea is a constellation having the form of a chair, legs towards Polaris


By drawing a line through the two stars of the quadrilateral of the Great Bear, nearest Polaris, and producing it, Capella (a star of first magnitude), in the constellation of Auriga, is met, near the zenith

β Algol, in the head of Perseus is to the west, above Cassiopea

Looking south, on the 20th December, at mid-night, we shall see the constellation of Orion recognisable by four stars, two of which are of the first magnitude, forming a quadrilateral, higher than it is broad,

and distinguished by three stars of the second magnitude, placed in a straight line in the middle of the quadrilateral: of which α (Betelgeuse) has a reddish tint.

Fig. 2.



The figure as it stands represents:—

Mid-night, 20th December.

Six o'clock in the evening, 22nd March.

Noon, 20th June.

Six o'clock in the morning, 22nd September.

Prolong the line passing through the three stars forming the belt of Orion, towards the north-west, and a red star, first magnitude, Aldebaran by name, will be seen. This star belongs to the constellation of the Bull, and is situated in a group of small stars called the Hyades.

A little farther will be seen the Pleiades, numbering six visible to the naked eye.


Prolong the line through the three stars in the belt of Orion towards the south east, and we reach the star α (Sirius) of the constellation Canis Major. This star is remarkable for its scintillation and is of the most dazzling whiteness; it is the most brilliant star in the two hemispheres.

Towards the east, and at the same height (circa) as the belt of Orion

is Procyon, a star of the first magnitude, situated in the constellation of Canis Minor

Observe that Betelgeuse, Sirius and Procyon form a triangle, the three sides of which appear to be of equal length

Above Procyon, towards the zenith are seen Castor and Pollux of the constellation of the Twins, these stars are of the first and second magnitude. The heavens defile before us, from east to west, and we now see, on the 22nd March, at mid-night —To the east of Procyon, a little higher, α Regulus, star of the first magnitude, in the constellation of Leo this constellation can be recognised by the sickle-like form, which the stars present towards the west, and by its proximity, on the west, to Procyon of Canis Minor



Denebola β is situated in the tail of the Lion, at the other extremity of the trapezium, formed by α , γ , ν and η

At the same altitude, as Procyon (which is setting) is Spica Virginis nearly due south of Denebola of the Lion

Farther to the east, is seen Arcturus, in the constellation of Bootes

Spica, Arcturus and Denebola, form a triangle, the sides of which are nearly equal, and of which the line joining Arcturus and Denebola is nearly parallel to the horizon

This figure represents the stars when seen—


On the 22nd March, at mid night

On the 20th June, six o'clock in the evening

On the 22nd September, noon

and distinguished by three stars of the second magnitude, placed in a straight line in the middle of the quadrilateral: of which α (Betelgeuse) has a reddish tint.

Fig. 2.



The figure as it stands represents :—

Mid-night, 20th December.

Six o'clock in the evening, 22nd March.

Noon, 20th June.

Six o'clock in the morning, 22nd September.

Prolong the line passing through the three stars forming the belt of Orion, towards the north-west, and a red star, first magnitude, Aldebaran by name, will be seen. This star belongs to the constellation of the Bull, and is situated in a group of small stars called the Hyades.

A little farther will be seen the Pleiades, numbering six visible to the naked eye.

Prolong the line through the three stars in the belt of Orion towards the south east, and we reach the star α (Sirius) of the constellation Canis Major. This star is remarkable for its scintillation and is of the most dazzling whiteness; it is the most brilliant star in the two hemispheres.


Towards the east, and at the same height (circumference) as the belt of Orion

is Procyon, a star of the first magnitude, situated in the constellation of Canis Minor.

Observe that Betelgeuse α , Sirius and Procyon form a triangle, the three sides of which appear to be of equal length.

Above Procyon towards the zenith are seen Castor and Pollux of the constellation of the Twins, these stars are of the first and second magnitude. The heavens decline before us, from east to west, and we now see, on the 22nd March, at midnight.—To the east of Procyon, a little higher, is Rigel, a star of the first magnitude, in the constellation of Leo. The constellation can be recognized by the visible form, which the star presents toward the west, and by its proximity, on the west, to Procyon and Castor.

Fig. 2.



The constellation of Canis Minor is situated in the northern hemisphere, and is visible from the north pole of the Earth.

The constellation of Canis Minor is situated in the northern hemisphere, and is visible from the north pole of the Earth.

The constellation of Canis Minor is situated in the northern hemisphere, and is visible from the north pole of the Earth.

The constellation of Canis Minor is situated in the northern hemisphere, and is visible from the north pole of the Earth.


The constellation of Canis Minor is situated in the northern hemisphere, and is visible from the north pole of the Earth.

The constellation of Canis Minor is situated in the northern hemisphere, and is visible from the north pole of the Earth.

The constellation of Canis Minor is situated in the northern hemisphere, and is visible from the north pole of the Earth.

and distinguished by three stars of the second magnitude, placed in a straight line in the middle of the quadrilateral: of which α (Betelgeuse) has a reddish tint.

Fig. 2.



The figure as it stands represents:—

Mid-night, 20th December.

Six o'clock in the evening, 22nd March.

Noon, 20th June.

Six o'clock in the morning, 22nd September.

Prolong the line passing through the three stars forming the belt of Orion, towards the north-west, and a red star, first magnitude, Aldebaran by name, will be seen. This star belongs to the constellation of the Bull, and is situated in a group of small stars called the Hyades.

A little farther will be seen the Pleiades, numbering six visible to the naked eye.

Prolong the line through the three stars in the belt of Orion towards the south east, and we reach the star α (Sirius) of the constellation Canis Major. This star is remarkable for its scintillation and is of the most dazzling whiteness; it is the most brilliant star in the two hemispheres.

Towards the east, and at the same height (circa) as the belt of Orion

is Procyon, a star of the first magnitude, situated in the constellation of Canis Minor

Observe that Betelgeuse, Sirius and Procyon form a triangle, the three sides of which appear to be of equal length

Above Procyon, towards the zenith are seen Castor and Pollux of the constellation of the Twins, these stars are of the first and second magnitude : The heavens defile before us, from east to west, and we now see, on the 22nd March, at mid-night —To the east of Procyon, a little higher, α Regulus, star of the first magnitude, in the constellation of Leo this constellation can be recognised by the sickle like form, which the stars present towards the west, and by its proximity, on the west, to Procyon of Canis Minor

Fig 3



Denebola β is situated in the tail of the Lion, at the other extremity of the trapezium, formed by α , γ , ϵ and θ

At the same altitude, as Procyon (which is setting) is Spica Virginis nearly due south of Denebola of the Lion

Farther to the east, is seen Arcturus, in the constellation of Bootes

Spica, Arcturus and Denebola, form a triangle, the sides of which are nearly equal, and of which the line joining Arcturus and Denebola is nearly parallel to the horizon

This figure represents the stars when seen—

On the 22nd March, at mid-night

On the 20th June, six o'clock in the evening

On the 22nd September, noon

On the 20th December, six o'clock, in the morning.

The heavens defile before us, and we see, on the 20th June, at mid-night—

The Milky Way traversing the heavens, from the southern horizon to the north-east.

Near the Milky Way to the west is (Vega) α Lyrae, first magnitude, which may be recognised by a small elongated parallelogram below it, the declination of this star is nearly 40° north.


Near the equator will be seen three stars in one line of which one is of the first magnitude (Atair) α Aquilæ, and two of the third magnitude.

A line drawn through (α) Aquilæ and (δ) Aquilæ and produced towards the south will meet (Antares) α Scorpii, a star of the first magnitude. To the east of (Vega) α Lyrae, is α Cygni, a star of the first magnitude.

Observe that—

α Cygni, Atair and Vega form an isosceles triangle.

Fig. 4.



This zone, Fig. 4, presents the same appearance—

On June 20th, at mid-night.

On September 22nd, six o'clock in the evening.

On December 20th, noon.

On March 22nd, six o'clock in the morning.

On the 22nd September, at mid-night, we behold a large square of four stars, three of which are of the second, and one of the third magni-

| | |
|---|--------------|
| α Sirius, | Canis Major. |
| Procyon, | Canis Minor. |
| α Castor, β Pollux, | Gemini. |
| α Aldebaran, | Taurus. |
| α Regulus, β Denebola, | Leo. |
| α Spica, | Virgo. |
| α Arcturus, | Bootes. |
| α Vega, | Lyra. |
| α Atair, | Aquila. |
| α | Cygnus. |
| α , β , γ , and Alpherat, | Pegasus. |
| β , γ | Andromeda. |
| α Fomalhaut, | Piscis. |

This system of ascertaining the positions of stars has been adopted, from a belief that it is easier to draw an imaginary line through stars, visible in the heavens, to ascertain the position of some other star, than to imagine a figure which can only have existence in the imagination.

It must be conceded that celestial globes and charts of the heavens would be far clearer, and much more intelligible, were the figures, which serve chiefly to distract the eye, omitted.

I have to acknowledge the assistance I have obtained, from the work on Astronomy, entitled "The Heavens," by Amédée Guillemin.

No XIII

FAILURE OF THE COLEROON ANICUT

[See Plate VI, page 94]

Remarks on the failure of the Lower Coleroon Anicut in Tanjore, in four successive years BY COL E LAWFORD, R E, late Chief Engineer of Mysore

THIS Weir as well as the Upper Anicut at the head of the Cauvery Delta, was built in 1836, and although damaged by the following freshes, had remained uninjured until the close of 1862. The work is divided into two arms or branches of about equal length by an island which is above flood level, and large channels are taken off from each bank, for the irrigation of extensive lands in Tanjore and South Arcot.

Before leaving the Tanjore District, after a continuous service there of thirteen years, I had proposed and planned the improvement of the anicut, and the construction of a bridge along it to connect Tanjore and South Arcot.

The improvement of the anicut itself consisted in extending its south branch for about 300 feet, raising the body one foot, and enlarging the old sluices, so as to afford free discharge to the flood water in the freshes.

The works were completed by 1858, having been interrupted and partially injured by the early freshes in 1857.

The anicut and bridge appear to have stood perfectly well for three years after the latter was finished, even during the disastrous season of 1859, when the district was severely inundated, but late in 1862, four arches of the bridge fell, and were rebuilt in the following year.

On the 14th December, 1863, the Superintending Engineer, 6th Division, reported the fall of six arches of the same branch of the anicut.

bridge and stated, that "when the old foundations were being cleared last year, a great deal of indifferent work was seen. Many of the wells had evidently not been sunk down half the depth necessary in such a situation, and if the rest of the foundation has been laid in the same way the fall of the whole bridge is only a question of time, unless measures are adopted for preventing further undermining of the arch. I recommend the construction of a retaining wall along the whole front of the work."

On the 15th March, 1864, the Superintending Engineer reported thus :—"In the estimates I have only allowed for rebuilding the retaining wall and flooring of the arches to correspond with that of the portion now standing. I am not sure that I am correct in inferring that Government was not aware that the bridge in front of the anicut had a retaining wall from pier to pier, but I do not believe my predecessor was aware of it, and the impression conveyed by his letter would lead one to suppose that he advocated such a protective work from the omission of such wells in the original plans. I found by the level that the depths of these wells were only $8\frac{1}{2}$ feet in the old work, but this I think insufficient and I have allowed for 16 feet to the bottom of the wells." As regards the scour said to be caused along the face of the anicuts, I must say that I did not discover any very great traces of it, and certainly that shown in the north branch has not worked injuriously. There is no appearance whatever of this action in the southern branch, but this may be owing to the partial blocking up of the vents and to the shutters having been left in the anicut most of last season." The Superintending Engineer then states, that only one and half of a second sluice had been closed, and that "no groynes of any kind had been thrown out." He strongly recommended that the sluices be now left as they are, being of opinion that they served to regulate the bed above the anicut, the level of which he stated to be at that season on the average the same as the crown of the of the work, though he did not "foresee any evil consequences from the raising of the natural bed in this manner."

On the 18th June, 1864, he reported, "that 140 running feet of the body of the anicut apron and front retaining wall, as well as five piers and seven arches, had been rebuilt from the foundations upwards without any accident, and all the remains and débris of the old work first carefully removed, a report which elicited the expression of the satisfaction of Government, but on the 12th August following the same officer in report-

ing the results of the severe floods in the latter part of July, stated that portions between the piers of the body of the anicut had been carried away, which he then ascribed to the work having been only just completed, and not thoroughly dry, when a flood rising six feet over the anicut came down, and continued to the date of his letter, pouring over the crown though in a diminished degree. The fall of the six new arches of this anicut bridge was further reported on the 16th September, 1861, and was ascribed "to the high and continued floods acting on masonry which had not time to become dry"

In his letter of the 23rd September, 1861, the Superintending Engineer states, that the breached part consisted of 96 feet of new and 47 feet of old work, and argues from that fact, and the reports of the anicut servants, "that the old work first gave way and then caused the destruction of the adjoining new work and fall of the arches," and, further he tries to prove that it was the old part of the dam that was first breached, alleging that in rebuilding the work the old wells were removed to the full depth of 10 feet before the new foundations were laid, thus showing that the old wells were actually 10 feet deep.

His final report of the 7th November, 1861, No 1905, contains the most distinct enunciation of his views regarding the causes of the repeated breaches in the anicut, and the means of preventing their recurrence, yet recorded. Having in para 1 reiterated his belief in "the probable defective state of the foundations from leakage," and "that leakage does take place generally to a considerable extent, he proceeds, in para 5 to state, "that the accidents which have lately occurred to this anicut have taken place when the river was nearly on a level with the top of the anicut." At such times there are seven and a half feet in depth of water in front of the work and none in the rear. The section of the anicut consists of wells six feet in depth with solid brick in chunam work four feet in depth above them for the foundations, with a body wall above seven and a half feet high. I believe that the pressure forcing its way below the solid foundation causes leakage through the wells and disturbs the front aprons. It is true that the floorings of the arches between the piers which were constructed when the bridge was built and which are one and a half feet thick of brick in chunam, must offer some counteracting effect to such pressure, but I believe not sufficiently great to remedy the evils I complain of. Again, "the front retaining walls on the line

of the cut waters of the piers though said to be sunk on wells ten feet below the bed, on the same level as the body of the work may possibly prevent any leakage, but here also I am not at all sure that the effects complained of do not occur, and that the sand is not disturbed in the neighbourhood of the retaining wall. A lateral scour along the face of the work was supposed to have occurred from the draught through the sluices, but the results of the Government order directing the closing of the sluices and the remedial works which I propose, and will refer to presently will, I believe, effectually remove such a scour. When the river rises above the anicut there is a considerable depth of the water on the lower apron, and the pressure is more equalized as well as an additional weight added to the apron to counteract such pressure. It is well known that leaks occur in the upper Coleroon Anicut, and are traced to such causes as I have described."

Para. 6. "To remedy these defects, therefore, and to remove them as far as possible, I would propose to adopt the following work, as described below. We cannot well interfere with the present section of the anicut; but to prevent leakage as much as possible, I would add two layers of granite stone in hydraulic chunam to the flooring of the arches between the piers in front of the anicut to its full breadth, which would make the thickness two and a half feet, in place of one and a half feet, and I would add an apron on the upper side of the bridge, six yards wide, and two and a half feet thick, faced with granite stone imbedded in concrete, and resting on its outer edge on a row of wells sunk nine and a half feet below the bed, or seven feet below the apron. In the body of the work I would endeavor to lay the wells to a greater depth, and sink them twelve feet in place of ten feet, as in the present anicut, the solid work would thus be six feet in thickness above the wells."

In their order of the 7th December, No. 3486, the Government observe (para. 3), that "the Superintending Engineer states that on each of the occasions of the Anicut giving way, it yielded when the water stood against it nearly up to its crest, and if this be the case, and if no over-fall had previously scooped out the sand to any considerable depth from the rear of the lower apron, it is clear the work has been each time destroyed by the leakage removing the sand from around the foundations and allowing the upward pressure of the water standing in front of the anicut to act on the lower side of the thin rear apron, and blowing it up

to form a breach." Para. 4. "The addition of an apron faced with a deep retaining wall in front of the anicut, and the strengthening of the apron behind the work as proposed by the Superintending Engineer appear to be measures well adapted to check leakage and generally to strengthen the work, but steps must be at the same time taken to regulate the discharge down each arm of the river, with reference to the length of the two sections of the dam, and prevent all irregularity of over-fall on either branch of the work."

From the foregoing précis of the correspondence relative to the repeated breaching of the lower Coleroon Anicut, it appears that the following causes have been assigned for this remarkable series of disasters to a work which had previously stood uninjured for nearly a quarter of a century (24 years), viz.:—

Firstly. Colonel B. declared the first breach to be caused by the scour along the face of the work caused by accumulations of sand in the river above the anicut.

Secondly. Lieutenant-Colonel F. was of opinion that the second breach of 1863 was owing to the old foundations being sunk not half the depth necessary in such a situation, and to the want of a retaining wall along the whole front of the work.

Thirdly. Captain B. after first reporting that the third breach of 1864 was caused by the high and continued floods acting on masonry which had not time to dry, then stated that the accident was caused by excessive leakage through the wells owing to their insufficient depth and defectiveness, and he also believed that the pressure of the water when level with the crown of the dam had forced it below the solid foundation, and disturbed the front apron.

In reviewing the whole of the above circumstances, the fact must be self-evident that the injuries sustained by the dam and bridge since 1861 are owing to causes which had not operated from 1837 and 1857 to that time, and therefore it is all but certain that they are not caused by any inherent defectiveness in the original construction or subsequent improvement of the work.

I am aware that some of the wells both in the bridge and apron were not carried down to the full depth of 10 feet, for the very good reason that a solid bed of clay was met with in some places at a less depth, into which no wells could be sunk, and which could not be undermined by

any pressure from standing water though it might be removed by a lateral current, and that such currents were formed in 1861, is proved by the statement of Colonel B.; while I am informed by the Collector of Tanjore, who saw the work in April last, "that the scour in the northern division of the anicut in the south branch had been tremendous, and that the foundations were turned topsy turvy."

Another fact rendered patent by these reports is that the injury of the south branch of the anicut has been owing to causes which do not affect the northern branch of the work, which is of precisely similar section, and it may therefore be fairly argued on this ground that defectiveness of construction is not the cause of failure.

Having thus stated on what I consider sufficient grounds that no fault of principle or practice in the construction of the anicut has led to these repeated disasters, I may without presumption proceed to point out what certainly appear to be adequate causes of the mischief. Any one familiar with Delta Engineering is aware that changes in the beds of rivers are brought about by the most simple causes, the operation of which demands unceasing vigilance and counteraction, and that such causes may lead to, and have produced, serious consequences.

The destruction of the Vellaur Anicut and Bridge in 1853, originated in the immense accumulation of deposit above the work, owing to which no direct overfall took place, but the current sweeping along the face wall was discharged in overwhelming force at one end of the dam where a deep hole was formed that soon caused its ruin. Captain B., indeed, urges that the accidents to the Coleroon Anicut have always occurred during a low state of the freshes when the water stood only at the level of the crown, but if such be the fact it is also observable that it has been invariably *after* the great floods of the year that the breaches have happened, and the mischief caused by irregular action must have already taken effect.

My reason for recommending the enlargement of the sluices in the anicut was, that I found the bed of the river had silted up to a serious extent, and it was evident that a powerful scour during the high freshes would alone remove or mitigate the evil, for although Captain B. does not foresee any ill consequences from this change, I think most Engineers will admit that the bed of an embanked river cannot be allowed to rise eight feet with impunity.

Captain B. distinctly states, that the bed of the Coleroon had risen to

the level of the dam, which must have affected it for three miles upwards, or to the limit of slack water, and in some cases as at the Upper Anicut I have known the bed to be raised by sandy accumulations higher than the weir itself. It is easy to see that under such conditions the river cannot be discharged uniformly over the dam, but must be divided into currents impinging with accelerated force on uncertain parts.

The sluices being in my opinion necessary works, I provided for their construction at regular intervals in order to control and distribute the current equally, which was done by incessant watchfulness and temporarily closing those vents which seemed to draw too powerfully during the floods. But I earnestly remonstrated in the early part of 1863 against the intention then first known to me of blocking up the sluices permanently, the future result of which must inevitably be most disastrous.

* The year 1859 was remarkable for the highest floods in the Cauvery and Coleroon known for half a century, and while the most calamitous inundations occurred throughout Tanjore, the Lower Anicut was not injured. But it is in such seasons that changes in the beds of Deltaic rivers take place, which if not rectified lead to incalculable evils, and considering the uniform effect of the floods of 1861, 1862, 1863, it is impossible to doubt that its origin lies in some formation above the Anicut, which drives an overwhelming and oblique current on the south branch, against which no mere strengthening of the work itself will avail.

Further remarks on the causes of the failure of the Lower Coleroon Anicut in Tanjore

I stated in my former paper that a very material cause of danger might be found in the formation of irregular currents, owing to possible accumulations of sand above the anicut, but this view is now declared to be mistaken, as Colonel G has reported, that "the bed of the river above bridge is level, and the channel remarkably straight, there having been no gullies cut by draft from the sluices." Yet in the same paragraph that officer states—"The only irregularity in the bed was a very objectionable island, that lay opposite the 5th and 6th weirs from the north abutment (now carried away), and which doubtless was an aggravating agency in the causes to which I attribute the breach." Again, in para 19, it is said, "If anything should exist to cause an eddy

above the work (as the island before-mentioned), or a disproportionate amount of overfall (improvided for) at any particular point, it would evidently accelerate the result."

The foregoing extracts contain ample demonstration of the truth of my supposition, that irregularity of overfall did actually occur before the anicut was breached at that very part, and the only difference between Colonel G. and myself appears to be that, he regards as secondary that which I believe to have been the primary cause of disaster.

Further, Colonel G. alleges that the average level of the river bed at half a mile above the anicut is about two feet higher than the crown, or nine and a half feet above the apron, thus greatly adding to the slope of the surface, and increasing the velocity and distance of the overfall, so that the apron which was calculated for a weir of seven and a half feet, and a slope of two and a half feet per mile, would necessarily prove insufficient, and it was this rise of the bed which the sluices were expressly designed to counteract.

I *know* that the entire work rests on a bed in which both clay and sand occur, but the excessive scour through the south branch, for three successive seasons, has doubtless left nothing visible but sand.

But were Colonel G.'s surmises correct, it would not invalidate my belief that the anicut would have stood firm under proper regulation, for the upper anicut of the same section, but not quite so high, rests on an unmixed bed of sand, and was breached only in 1859 by a lateral scour from the Jyaur river, which joins the Coleroon immediately above that work.

It is a mistake to suppose that there was no rough stone behind the apron, as such a protection to the dam existed for 20 years before 1857, and was annually renewed wherever it had suffered injury. It was about six yards wide, and *at that time* proved sufficient for its purpose; I can only suppose that it has since been destroyed without renewal.

A third mistake was made in the statement that the retaining wall of the cut-stone apron had no wells to support it, as there were undoubtedly such supports to the original work, though I cannot say whether they were omitted in the recent restorations, but I can hardly imagine so.

A very remarkable proof of the correctness of my opinion as to the excessive discharge over the south branch is afforded in para. 17, where the bed above the north branch is said to be "covered with an unctuous black earth, and over-grown with grass and weeds," this upper

crust over laying the sand being simply a deposit of alluvium, which as well as the vegetation could not possibly be found with a very rapid current on that side, and such was the state of this part of the river in 1853, when the only powerful sluices were at the north end of the dam, the effect of which was to cause a scour along the face of the work, which it was the object of my separate sluices to prevent, by increasing the direct discharge

These sluices, four in number, which consist of two narrow arches of six feet each, with sills on a level with the river bed, are placed at equal distances of 190 feet, giving altogether 48 feet of sluice way, through which the flood water is discharged without obstruction, at its full depth, and it is certain they must exert great influence on the upper bed, provided the necessary means are adopted to ensure their effect. Colonel G has never seen these sluices in action, and judges of their power by the state of the river bed when dry, after the gradual subsidence of the freshes has caused an almost uniform deposit of sand and silt. I proposed these sluices after trial of those of the same character, which I designed and constructed in the Upper Anicut several years previously

I neither designed nor built the original work, but I maintained it in efficiency from 1812 till 1855, and the additional length proposed, but not actually executed by me, can scarcely be supposed to have diminished its security, but no hydraulic work in the delta of the Cauvery or any other river can stand for many years if constant attention be not paid to those changes which, as I have before observed, are produced by every year's floods

It may be useful to remark with reference to the statement that the bed of the river above the anicut is now very level, and remarkably straight, that it was impossible for any one to judge from observation of its condition during the freshes, the gradual subsidence of which would tend to cause deposit of sand in the deeper parts, besides which the embankment across the river (that is the south branch) would render accurate examination difficult, and considering that for three successive years a broad gap has been formed in this part of the dam, through which the flood-water has flowed, it is impossible to doubt that the tendency of the current in that direction must have increased. The effect would in a degree be the same as that of the Upper Anicut on the head of the Cauvery, which in 1812, had been dangerously deepened by the increased volume of water thrown into it

I may remark that in almost every instance of the destruction of an anicut, even when far more substantially built than the one now under consideration, I have found irregularity of discharge to be the principal cause. This has been lately very evident in Mysore, where the numerous ancient weirs formed of enormous masses of stone, and founded on rock, are generally constructed obliquely across the river, and frequently in a bend, causing the current to act with disproportionate force on the part forming an acute angle, with the bank, which has been the first point to give away.

My fears having been unhappily realized by the breaching of the lower Coleroon Anicut for the fourth time, I make the following observations on the circumstance :—

The diagrams submitted with Captain O.'s letter of the 16th May 1865, establish beyond doubt the correctness of my original opinions of the cause of the series of disasters which have attended this work, and show that a most violent and dangerous action has been in progress which has been aggravated by each successive breach, because the bed of the river in that direction must have been increasingly deepened, and it is certain that if some remedial measures are not adopted to restore the equilibrium, no work can possibly stand on that site.

At this early period of the season of freshes with an open breach in the dam, it is impossible to calculate on its condition when the river shall finally subside, but the state of the foundations of the north sluice renders it but too probable, that the ruin may extend southward, and it *may* be of such magnitude that it would be a question of economy whether an entirely new dam on a different site would not be preferable to the restoration of the old work.


But in the hope that such a costly undertaking may not be called for, it is to be considered how best to rebuild the ruined part, and secure the rest of the dam, when every effort has hitherto proved abortive.

It is difficult without actual inspection to point out how the current of the river may be forced to take a more southerly direction, so as to sweep away the mass of sand which on that side obstructs it, but powerful groynes may be rendered effectual combined with an embankment cutting off the angle which the dam makes with the island, where the breaches have always taken place.


These earthworks, the construction of which is well understood in Tan-

LOWER COLEROON ANICUT.—TANJORE


*Section up the South Branch of the Coleroon above
the Anicut taken nearly in mid Stream*



*Section across South Branch of Coleroon
525 yards above the Lower Anicut*



Vertical of Dam



I may remark that in almost every instance of the destruction of an anicut, even when far more substantially built than the one now under consideration, I have found irregularity of discharge to be the principal cause. This has been lately very evident in Mysore, where the numerous ancient weirs formed of enormous masses of stone, and founded on rock, are generally constructed obliquely across the river, and frequently in a bend, causing the current to act with disproportionate force on the part forming an acute angle, with the bank, which has been the first point to give away.

My fears having been unhappily realized by the breaching of the lower Coleroon Anicut for the fourth time, I make the following observations on the circumstance :—

The diagrams submitted with Captain O.'s letter of the 16th May 1865, establish beyond doubt the correctness of my original opinions of the cause of the series of disasters which have attended this work, and show that a most violent and dangerous action has been in progress which has been aggravated by each successive breach, because the bed of the river in that direction must have been increasingly deepened, and it is certain that if some remedial measures are not adopted to restore the equilibrium, no work can possibly stand on that site.

At this early period of the season of freshes with an open breach in the dam, it is impossible to calculate on its condition when the river shall finally subside, but the state of the foundations of the north sluice renders it but too probable, that the ruin may extend southward, and it *may* be of such magnitude that it would be a question of economy whether an entirely new dam on a different site would not be preferable to the restoration of the old work.


But in the hope that such a costly undertaking may not be called for, it is to be considered how best to rebuild the ruined part, and secure the rest of the dam, when every effort has hitherto proved abortive.

It is difficult without actual inspection to point out how the current of the river may be forced to take a more southerly direction, so as to sweep away the mass of sand which on that side obstructs it, but powerful groynes may be rendered effectual combined with an embankment cutting off the angle which the dam makes with the island, where the breaches have always taken place.



These earthworks, the construction of which is well understood in Tan-

LOWER COLEROON ANICUT.—TANJORE

Section up the South Branch of the Coleroon above
the Anicut taken nearly in mid Stream



Section across South Branch of Coleroon
525 yards above the Lower Anicut



jore, must be carefully and strongly built and stoutly defended against the current, by which means the bed will be cleared and the direction of the stream altered, the sluices on the south side being kept open to create a powerful indraught

Whether it would be prudent to rebuild the ruined dam before these temporary works have wrought their effect may be doubtful, but at present I should incline only to lay the foundations in the first year

The plans should be determined in December at latest, and the works vigorously carried on during the ensuing three months, so that the early freshes of April may tend to consolidate the bank before their great trial of strength comes on in June

If the embankment should, as it certainly may, outlive one season, a vast improvement will be effected, and though it may be necessary to maintain the works for two years, yet their further security need not be doubtful, and if the objection be urged that the waterway would be too much obstructed, I reply that the amcut is much longer than it was during 20 years prior to 1856, and the present obstruction caused by accumulations of sand and silt exceeds what would probably be taken up by the embankment

I would further observe that the idea, that the uniform rise of the bed of a river above a dam would not be injurious to its stability, seems to me opposed to experience in such rivers as the Cauvery and Coleroon, where no natural bed of rock exists to receive the overfall

When a dam is first built the current above it is not affected until it reaches the obstruction where the velocity is checked, and which it then surmounts by a sudden rise and fall, striking the bed below at a distance proportioned to the slope of the bed, and height of dam, but as the upper bed is gradually filled up with sand, the overfall becomes smoother and the distance of its point of impact greater until it reaches beyond the artificial bed or apron prepared to receive it, and acting on the sand soon produces deep holes, which eventually undermine and destroy the apron and body of the dam, and that this has been the process by which the existing evils at the Coleroon Amcut have been occasioned there can be no doubt, in addition to those caused by oblique action and lateral currents

In his remarks on the Upper Coleroon Amcut, the late Col and Genl

Smith appeared to undervalue the effects of the enlarged under-sluices, because, he "found (in January, 1853) the bed of the river above the dam on the same general level as the crown of the work, and merely channels of about the same width as the sluices cut through the sand opposite to each set." But, as I have before observed, the state of the flooded river cannot be altogether judged of when the bed is dry, for the sluices being opened only during the freshes cause powerful currents to set towards them, bringing down the sand in suspension, but when the sluices are closed the sand is deposited, filling up the channels and taking a pretty uniform level. Had Colonel Smith seen the state of the Coleroon ten years sooner, he would have observed the bed for some distance above the anicuts raised higher than the crown, by deposits of sand since swept away by the action of the sluices, the utility of which he fully recognizes in the following words:—"Although however the primary object of their construction has not been attained, their influence in combination with other works, to be referred to presently, *in maintaining an uniform distribution of the deposits and in preventing the formation of sand banks in front of the dam*, has doubtless been of important service."

I may, therefore, fairly appeal to the high authority, just quoted, in support of my plan of distributing the enlarged sluices uniformly along the dam, and I firmly believe that with due attention to their working, combined with such operations in the river bed when dry, as would tend to remove casual obstructions, and preserve the direct flow of the current, these outlets will prove adequate to their purpose, and as large as would be conveniently manageable, as well as safe with regard to their action on the down-stream bed.

Since the foregoing observations were written, I have had an opportunity of reading Captain O.'s reports of the 23rd June and 12th July, 1865, with the orders of Government thereon, and I notice the remarks on the difference between the aprons and bed of the river in the north and south branches of the anicut, but I believe that some misconception exists on this point from inattention to the great changes that have taken place at the anicut during the last seven or eight years, for both branches were originally constructed alike with a single apron, over about 12 feet of which another course of cut stone was afterwards laid to receive the overfall.

The effect of the raised apron however was found to be an extension of the overfall to the rough stone packing beyond, and it was removed

from the work in the south branch and the apron relaid on one level, which at the time was certainly not above the down stream bed, but it is quite intelligible that the increased action of the last few years, and especially since the breach of 1862, has had the effect of deepening the bed, and leaving the apron above it and I must here repeat that both aprons were protected by rough stone till 1857

With regard to the actual circumstance of the recent breach, it seems the European officer and subordinate observed that the current at first set towards the north, or new section, over which it flowed before overtopping the rest of the dam, a fact which though contradicted by others seems to me perfectly in accordance with the state of the river, as shown by the sections, as the deep channel *must* fill at once, and the sand banks to the south being higher than the dam would necessarily intercept the stream on that side

The sections show a bed of 10 feet 9 inches below the crown, or about 3 feet below the base of the dam, on the north, and "a high ridge" of sand above the level of the crown on the south, and under such conditions, the torrent, bursting from the temporary embankment 700 yards higher up the river, must have set violently in an oblique direction on the very foundations of the newly built work, which "rolled over *en masse* when 2 feet of water was flowing over it," a body which could not possibly have disturbed a solid mass of masonry, 8 feet thick, however new, had it not been undermined, and whatever may be the appearances now, I have no doubt whatever that such will prove to be the fact.

Subsequent examination of the anicut has shown that the breach of last year extended to the very foundations, and that the bed of the river was scoured out for some distance above the work to depths of from six to nine feet, while the hole in rear measured 21 feet, and the opinion of the Superintending Engineer is, that the causes of destruction were such as I have pointed out, though he cannot agree with me in considering the foundations to have been originally of sufficient depth, in which view the Government have expressed concurrence.

Assuming this opinion to be correct, it would at once set at rest the long vexed question between the Madras and Bengal Schools as to the necessity for deep foundations on sand but this can scarcely be admitted


The anicut has unquestionably been exposed to an action which was not contemplated on its construction, while numerous other works in the Madras Presidency, built on the same principle, attest its efficiency when care is taken to secure the foundations from such action; and these precautions are found to be as necessary in the Godavery and Kistna as on the Coleroon.

E. L.

The anicut has unquestionably been exposed to an action which was not contemplated on its construction, while numerous other works in the Madras Presidency, built on the same principle, attest its efficiency when care is taken to secure the foundations from such action; and these precautions are found to be as necessary in the Godavery and Kistna as on the Coleroon.

E. L.





ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST'S CHURCH—ROORKEE.

No XIV

ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST'S CHURCH, ROORKEE

[*Vide Frontispiece and Plate VII*]

As Engineers are often called upon to submit designs for Churches, especially for small Civil Stations, it may be useful to furnish drawings of one of the prettiest of the small Churches which have yet been built in India and with this view an Elevation, Plan, and Section of St John the Baptist's Church, at Roorkee, are given in this number of the "Professional Papers of Indian Engineering"

This Church was built eighteen years ago, from the design of Lieut. George Price, of the (late) 1st Bengal Fusiliers, and is said to have cost £2,300, which sum was raised by voluntary subscriptions, supplemented by a small grant from Government

As the building covers an area of about 4000 square feet, and can accommodate 200 persons, the cost may be assumed (on the above data) as Rs 5-12 per square foot of area, and Rs 115 per sitting

The Church consists of a Nave and Chancel, without any Transept, Aisles, or Verandahs, it has, however, a small entrance porch on the South of the Nave, and a Vestry on the North of the Chancel

It is furnished with a masonry pulpit, (which communicates directly with the Chancel by means of a flight of steps in a vaulted passage through the main wall,) a wooden reading desk, brass lectern, a font, Communion table, harmonium, and (at present) sittings for 195 persons [165 in the nave, and 30 in the chancel]

The area occupied by each sitting varies from $1\ 10' \times 2\ 3''$ to $1\ 10' \times 3\ 0''$, and the central passage is $4\ 6''$ in clear width

The design is simple, well proportioned, and accurate in all details, the architecture is "Early English," and the mouldings, and finish and execution generally are very good

The east window of painted glass is of very handsome design, representing the Apostles, and was the gift of the late Sir Proby Cautley.


Notwithstanding the want of Verandahs, this Church is cool in the hot season, as compared with Indian Churches generally: the thick walls, frequent buttresses and narrow windows conducing to keep out heat and glare. It can hardly be doubted however that exterior aisles, or verandahs are appropriate for Churches in India, and should render Churches provided with such adjuncts, cooler than those consisting of a simple unprotected nave. But it is principally through the large Eastern windows that glare and heat are admitted into all Churches built on the usual European designs: and the skill of the designer would be advantageously devoted to adapting the conventional requirements of English Church architecture to the necessities of a tropical climate in this particular, the arrangement of the Church windows, more perhaps than in any other structural detail.

A. M. L.




Note.—The Frontispiece, representing this Church, is a Wood Engraving executed in the College Press, from a Photograph taken expressly for the purpose, by Sergeant G. Sparke, Instructor in Photography, Thomason Civil Engineering College, Roorkee.

SAINT JOHN THE BAPTIST'S CHURCH, ROORKEE

Pulpit



Nave window inside



*Scales for
Plan & section &
for window & pulpit*
48

*a. Pulpit
b. Reading Desk
c. Littern
d. Font
e. Communion*

No. XV

IS IRRIGATION NECESSARY IN UPPER INDIA?

(2ND PAPER)

[*Vide P P*, New Series, No I, page 3 20]

BY MAJOR A F CORBETT, B S C (*In letters to Private Secretary to the Viceroy*). (*Published by desire of H E the Viceroy*)

Budaon, 24th December, 1870

I HAVE received your letter of 6th instant, forwarding a copy of remarks by the late Colonel Anderson on my pamphlet, questioning the necessity of irrigation in Upper India for which I beg to return thanks

I am happy to learn that His Excellency the Viceroy has considered the pamphlet in question of sufficient importance to suggest its publication in the Roorkee Professional Papers

I have carefully perused Colonel Anderson's remarks which I believe, convey the opinions of perhaps 99 out of every 100 persons in the country, still I believe them to be incorrect from the following reasons —

It is found that where land, which formerly sometimes suffered from an excess and sometimes from a want of moisture, has been sub soil drained, the upper soil to the depth of the drains is never in the driest seasons so devoid of moisture as it used to be previous to being drained, from the particles of the soil being more divided, and holding in the interstices between them moisture held there by capillary attraction and the retentive power of the soil

This is also the case with soil deeply ploughed or cultivated, as will be seen by an extract I here give from a paper read by Mr. Ruston before the Farmers' Club in London on 7th November, and reported in the *Farmer* of 14th ultimo, in which he says—

“There can be no doubt that deep cultivation tends to preserve moisture in the soil in dry seasons, and to facilitate drainage in wet ones. It also unlocks those hidden treasures in which are so many elements of fertility, and consequently increases the producing power of the land.”

During the present year, which has been one of exceptional drought in Europe, numbers of similar statements have appeared in the *English Agricultural Papers*.

Colonel Anderson has stated, “water to have full effect in promoting vegetation should be changed frequently, and the matter exuded from the roots should be cleansed away.”

I suppose Colonel Anderson has here alluded to the theory of DeCandolle formerly believed in by continental agriculturists, which is mentioned by the late Mr. Rham in his *Dictionary of the Farm*, and who seemed to attach some degree of credence to it—that plants voided through their roots some excreta which were obnoxious to the same description of plant, if the land were not purified by some means before a second crop of the same description were sown on it. This theory is now exploded, and no belief is placed in it by agricultural writers of the present time, as will be seen by the following extract from an article on the theory of agriculture by Dr. Voelcker in the *Cyclopedia of Agriculture*, published in 1855 :—

“It was supposed by DeCandolle and his followers, that the roots of all plants excrete certain substances analogous to the excrements of animals, and that these substances exercise an injurious effect on those plants from the roots of which they have been excreted, but that they are harmless to other species, or even promote their luxuriant growth. When a field, after a succession of years, refused to grow any longer a remunerative crop of wheat, but repaid well the cost of raising a green crop, this experience was explained by the excretion theory in an apparently simple and intelligible manner. The excretions of the roots of the wheat plants of former crops, said the adherents of DeCandolle's theory, have accumulated in the soil to such a degree that it has

become poisonous to plants of its own kind, but these poisonous excretions of the roots of wheat are food for a green crop, and thus turnips, for instance, succeed well after wheat. The experience that wheat could be cultivated again after a bare fallow, was explained in an equally simple manner. During the time of rest, it was said, the poisonous excretions of former crops have time to decompose and to become converted into food for another crop of wheat. Beautiful and intelligible as this theory may at first sight appear, it is marked by the great fault of not resting upon facts and observations, and as this is now generally admitted by all natural philosophers of any authority, we shall not stop to expose the errors on which it is based, but shall at once briefly direct attention to the chemical mineral theory, which at the present time is believed to explain more fully and consistently the beneficial effects of a rotation of crops."

With regard to the rise in the surface water of wells or spring level it is not stated whether this has occurred in land formerly irrigated by wells, or in unirrigated lands, perhaps some Canal Officers may be able to give information regarding this, if in land, formerly irrigated by wells, may it not be possible that formerly, when irrigation from wells was practised, the spring level may have been reduced below its normal level by the water being withdrawn for irrigation, which normal level would gradually be regained by ceasing to use the wells for such purposes, again the great amount of water used for irrigation may have had some influence.

The well water is supplied by springs. How these springs are fed I am not prepared to say, but I do not think that much water finds its way to the spring level by sinking direct down through the soil in lands irrigated by wells. I am inclined to think the water reaches the spring level by lateral or horizontal percolation, through porous strata of soil, from tanks, jheels and other depressions on the surface of the soil, as throughout the North-Western Provinces we find grain regularly stored in pits in the ground without being damaged by wet. If rain or other water sank vertically through the soil these pits would not be sufficiently dry for storing grain.

I think this might easily be tested by examining the soil of irrigated, and also of unirrigated fields, at various depths from three or four feet to ten or twelve feet, or even down to near the water level, and comparing

the percentage of water contained in the sub-soil at the different depths I do not think much difference would be found between the amount of water in the sub-soil of a field irrigated from a well and an unirrigated field.

Colonel Anderson states, with reference to bhoor lands—"The rain water penetrates the surface immediately and is retained in the impervious stratum underneath."

If such were the case, how are we to account for the increase of ravines on these bhoor lands, by which much valuable land is cut away every year of which there are ample evidences close to this station. How also is a common statement, that sufficient water-way was not in former years allowed for culverts and bridges, to be accounted for, but by the increased area from which the surface drainage finds its way to the water-courses. The culverts and bridges may have had sufficient water-way at the time they were built, but since the area drained has been increased, the water-way is now found insufficient.

I do not know to which part of my pamphlet Colonel Anderson referred, when he stated—"The process by which the moisture is obtained by the roots of the plants is in reality very different from that described by Major Corbett,"—but I think I can bring the statements of numbers of agricultural writers in proof of what I have stated.

Colonel Anderson has also said, with reference to my views as laid down in the pamphlet—"But he has not considered that, let the amount of rain be as small as he chooses to mention, he cannot reckon on getting it at the proper time. If rain does not fall at all in October, November or December, what has he to fall back upon unless artificial irrigation?" I believe that if the land is thoroughly cultivated to a proper depth and farm-yard manure used, as it should be, we shall be independent of rain in the months above-mentioned, and crops may be raised without a drop of rain from seed time to harvest. I think I stated this clearly (on page 7, No. I. P. P., New Series,) where I said, referring to unirrigated lands—"If we can retain in them the moisture from the regular monsoon rains, we shall be independent of what may fall in the cold weather." At the same time, I believe the more reliance is placed on irrigation and the more we trust to it *alone* as a safeguard against famine, the more we shall be disappointed.

I do not know whether the meteorological returns will bear me out,

but I believe there has been an increase, if not in the temperature, at least in the aridity of the country, because during the years 1868-69-70 I have, as Police Officer of this District, in the months of May, June and July, had numbers of cases of deaths of natives reported from heat apoplexy (*luhke märe*). It was uncommon to hear of deaths of natives from heat apoplexy in Rohilkund in former years. Also during the last three years I have noticed the drying up of young mango trees from the scorching effects of the hot winds. By young mango trees I here mean trees of from one to two feet in diameter, and which may be considered half grown trees. I know of no cause for this further than a general dessication of the country produced by increased surface drainage and evaporation which would be prevented by raising and keeping in order the ridges round the fields, and deep cultivation.

In a tabular statement of surveyed and assessed area in the North-Western Provinces for 1868-69, page 304, *Annals of Indian Administration*, Volume 14, Part 2, of a total of 24,105,849 acres cultivated 8,912,235 are returned as irrigated and 11,919,996 as unirrigated, details of irrigated and unirrigated not being given for five districts, and in this district only 200,891 acres are returned as irrigated, while 628,549 acres, or more than three fourths of the cultivated area, is unirrigated. These unirrigated lands do yearly produce some crops, and it was primarily with a view to their improvement I wrote the pamphlet—"Is irrigation necessary, &c.?"

I am sending to your address, by book post, a copy of notes on agriculture and sanitation published by me in 1868, and request you will oblige me by offering it for the acceptance of His Excellency the Viceroy. My ideas regarding irrigation have been somewhat modified since I wrote it.

I have explained the system of manure pits and manuring to numbers of the zemindars and cultivators of this district, but am sorry to say they are very apathetic on the subject, although those who have tried it in a careless way acknowledge its benefits, still I am convinced that unless model farms are established where farming is practised on a rational and paying system, years will elapse before we can induce the natives to alter their present style of farming.

What the natives require is not precept but example, and when they

see the solid benefits of good farming, they will not be long in following a system giving greater remunerative results than their present one.

I have as yet only been able to carry on experiments on a very small scale, but should the Government think fit to order me to try further experiments on a larger scale, I shall be most happy to do so.

I have only to add that I have prepared a list of forage plants, which I think might be tried in these provinces with a fair chance of success.

Budaon 12th May 1871.

In my letter to your address of 24th December last, replying to some remarks of the late Colonel Anderson on my pamphlet "Is irrigation necessary in Upper India;" after giving an extract from the *Farmer* newspaper, I stated, with reference to deep cultivation tending to preserve moisture in the soil in dry seasons, that "during the present season which has been one of exceptional drought in Europe numbers of similar statements have appeared in the English Agricultural Papers." At the risk of being considered tedious I send a few extracts bearing on the subject; as, however, I have, since writing the above-mentioned letter to you, procured Volumes 1, 2 and 3 of the *Country Gentleman's Magazine*, which I had not before seen, I shall also quote from these volumes.

In an article headed "Deep culture in relation to the retention of moisture in arable land," on page 116, Volume 2, *Country Gentleman's Magazine*, it is stated—

"Deep digging, trenching, or subsoil ploughing are means for preserving the moisture in the soil during heat and drought."

Again on the same page.—"The pulverizing of the soil also contributes to the retention of moisture."

On page 520 of the same volume, occurs—"the grain crop this last peculiarly dry season" (alluding here to 1868) "was a good average, and I attribute this to the rootlets getting further down, and the deep stirring keeping the moisture better."

In the *Farmer* of 20th July, 1870, is an extract from the *Journal Officiel de l'Empire Francais*, giving an ordinance of the Minister of Trade and Agriculture, urgently requesting the Prefects of different departments to call the attention of agriculturists to a report drawn up, at the solicitation of Government, by Professor Henze, Inspector of

Agriculture and Teacher at the Agronomic School of Grignon, from which I make the following extract —

“For twenty years past the advantages attendant on deep cultivation have been constantly expatiated upon, and this year the beneficial effects of the system are strikingly apparent. In those localities in which the sub-soil plough has been judiciously and persistently employed for any considerable length of time, the crops are now suffering far less from the drought than in those districts in which farmers have not resorted to it”

The words *persistently employed* in the above quotation convey the idea that the land was *well pulverized*. These opinions of practical farmers discovered by actual experience, are exactly in accordance with what I said in my pamphlet about capillary attraction. I arrived at my ideas from experiments carried on with soils in tubes to see to what height moisture would rise. I was induced to make these trials by reading some of the works of Liebig. In his letters on modern agriculture, talking of the attraction of soils for moisture, Liebig says—

“With the chemical properties of soils just described, there is associated a physical quality not less remarkable in its nature and influence, viz, the power which they possess of attracting moisture from the air and condensing it in their pores, &c”

Again Liebig says—

“When in a hot summer the surface of the ground is dried, and there is no replacement of moisture by capillary attraction from their lower strata, the powerful attraction of the soil for the vapours of water in the air provides the means for supporting vegetation

“The vapour of water which is thus condensed by the soil is derived from two sources. During the night the temperature of the air falls, the tension of its watery vapour becomes less, and then, without the temperature of the air falling to the dew-point, there follows, through the attraction of the soil, absorption of moisture (with ammonia and carbonic acid) accompanied by evolution of heat which moderates the cooling of the ground from radiation. *In rainless tropical regions particularly, this phenomenon must be of the most palpable influence, &c.*” (The Italics are my own) “A second source from which the dry soil derives by absorption its moisture is presented by the deeper lying moist strata. From these a constant distillation of water is taking place

towards the surface, accompanied by a corresponding evolution of heat in the upper strata on its absorption. By drainage the water, which rises by capillary attraction, being placed at a greater depth, the dry soil now receives from the lower strata a quantity of moisture in the form of vapour, which supplies the wants of plants and at the same time raises the temperature of the ground.

"In the above facts we recognize one of the most remarkable natural laws—*The outermost crust of the earth is destined for the development of organic life, and its broken particles are endowed, by the wisest arrangement, with the power of collecting all the elements of food which are essential for the purpose.* This power preserves to the productive soil, even in apparently the most unfavourable circumstances, the conditions of fertility either contained therein or bestowed upon it."

From the above quotations from Liebig it is evident, he presupposed the existence of water in the sub-soil within a depth of two or three feet from the surface, as he says "the water by drainage is placed at a greater depth;" and as drains can only carry off water from above or at the same level with themselves, he must have alluded to the depth of the drains.

Liebig's work above quoted was published in 1859, when the average depth of drains was perhaps not more than three feet, if so much. Again he presupposed the pulverization of the soil, as he says in the passage italicised in the translation "*its broken particles are endowed, &c.*"

Having mentioned drainage, and that the drains a few years ago were on an average perhaps three feet deep, I will here state that lately deeper drains have become the rage in England. These deeper drains have succeeded so effectually in carrying the moisture from the land, or placing it at such a depth below the surface, that it is now almost beyond the reach of the roots of ordinary agricultural crops; consequently the opinion is gaining ground that *sub-soil drainage has been overdone*, and that irrigation will have to be resorted to, to counteract the evil effects of over drainage. This too in lands formerly too wet. Had English landed proprietors carefully considered the opinions of Liebig, and tested the height to which water would rise in their lands by capillary attraction, &c., they might have avoided laying out money in a way that, under certain circumstances of soil and climate, cannot be remunerative, but that causes actual loss.

A good test of the necessity or otherwise of subsoil drainage is obtained by digging pits three or four feet deep in a field, if in these pits water accumulates and stagnates, drainage was necessary but not otherwise. In England drainage was necessary, because in most fields water would accumulate and stagnate in such pits, and drainage having been found of use in some lands, it was almost indiscriminately applied to all lands, till now people are beginning to think they may have drained lands which did not require it

The *Pioneer*, reviewing my pamphlet in its copy of 13th August last, said "ample supplies of water are found at a depth of from ten to thirty feet" I nowhere in my pamphlet stated or even suggested that water would rise from such a depth by capillary attraction. The idea is preposterous

From some experiments I made on rather stiff garden soil last year, I found moisture would not readily rise more than about ten inches or a foot high, so as to make the soil perceptibly moist. To what extent this rising of the moisture, say one foot high, was due to capillary attraction, and to what extent it was due to the distillation of the vapour or in other words, where the moistening of the soil by capillary attraction ceased, and the moistening by distillation commenced, it may be difficult or impossible to say, but that moisture does by some means rise in soils, is a fact on which we may at once act.

Supposing we have a field, the soil of which is perceptibly moistened by moisture rising one foot, it is not sufficient to stir the soil of that field one foot deep, because the moisture rising to the surface would be rapidly evaporated, and the rising of the moisture and evaporation going on continuously, the field would shortly become dried up.

What is required is that the field should be ploughed up a few inches deeper, so that we may have a few inches of pulverized soil above the perceptibly moist soil, to act as a mulch, and prevent or rather retard desiccation.

Gardeners in England are well aware of the advantages of a mulch *i. e.*, an application of straw, dead leaves, or anything of that description, on the surface) as conducive to the retention of moisture in the soil. The natives of India also know this, and its practical application can be seen anywhere in these provinces.

The market gardeners (Murao) nearly always place old thatch, or

towards the surface, accompanied by a corresponding evolution of heat in the upper strata on its absorption. By drainage the water, which rises by capillary attraction, being placed at a greater depth, the dry soil now receives from the lower strata a quantity of moisture in the form of vapour, which supplies the wants of plants and at the same time raises the temperature of the ground.

“In the above facts we recognize one of the most remarkable natural laws—*The outermost crust of the earth is destined for the development of organic life, and its broken particles are endowed, by the wisest arrangement, with the power of collecting all the elements of food which are essential for the purpose.* This power preserves to the productive soil, even in apparently the most unfavourable circumstances, the conditions of fertility either contained therein or bestowed upon it.”

From the above quotations from Liebig it is evident, he presupposed the existence of water in the sub-soil within a depth of two or three feet from the surface, as he says “the water by drainage is placed at a greater depth;” and as drains can only carry off water from above or at the same level with themselves, he must have alluded to the depth of the drains.

Liebig’s work above quoted was published in 1859, when the average depth of drains was perhaps not more than three feet, if so much. Again he presupposed the pulverization of the soil, as he says in the passage italicised in the translation “its *broken* particles are endowed, &c.”

Having mentioned drainage, and that the drains a few years ago were on an average perhaps three feet deep, I will here state that lately deeper drains have become the rage in England. These deeper drains have succeeded so effectually in carrying the moisture from the land, or placing it at such a depth below the surface, that it is now almost beyond the reach of the roots of ordinary agricultural crops; consequently the opinion is gaining ground that *sub-soil drainage has been overdone*, and that irrigation will have to be resorted to, to counteract the evil effects of over drainage. This too in lands formerly too wet. Had English landed proprietors carefully considered the opinions of Liebig, and tested the height to which water would rise in their lands by capillary attraction, &c., they might have avoided laying out money in a way that, under certain circumstances of soil and climate, cannot be remunerative, but that causes actual loss.

A good test of the necessity or otherwise of subsoil drainage is obtained by digging pits three or four feet deep in a field, if in these pits water accumulates and stagnates, drainage was necessary but not otherwise. In England drainage was necessary, because in most fields water would accumulate and stagnate in such pits, and drainage having been found of use in some lands, it was almost indiscriminately applied to all lands, till now people are beginning to think they may have drained lands which did not require it

The *Pioneer*, reviewing my pamphlet in its copy of 13th August last, said "ample supplies of water are found at a depth of from ten to thirty feet" I nowhere in my pamphlet stated or even suggested that water would rise from such a depth by capillary attraction. The idea is preposterous

From some experiments I made on rather stiff garden soil last year, I found moisture would not readily rise more than about ten inches or a foot high, so as to make the soil perceptibly moist. To what extent this rising of the moisture, say one foot high, was due to capillary attraction, and to what extent it was due to the distillation of the vapour or in other words, where the moistening of the soil by capillary attraction ceased, and the moistening by distillation commenced, it may be difficult or impossible to say, but that moisture does by some means rise in soils, is a fact on which we may at once act.

Supposing we have a field, the soil of which is perceptibly moistened by moisture rising one foot, it is not sufficient to stir the soil of that field one foot deep, because the moisture rising to the surface would be rapidly evaporated, and the rising of the moisture and evaporation going on continuously, the field would shortly become dried up

What is required is that the field should be ploughed up a few inches deeper, so that we may have a few inches of pulverized soil above the perceptibly moist soil, to act as a mulch, and prevent or rather retard desiccation.

Gardeners in England are well aware of the advantages of a mulch (i.e., an application of straw, dead leaves, or anything of that description, on the surface) as conducive to the retention of moisture in the soil. The natives of India also know this, and its practical application can be seen anywhere in these provinces

The market gardeners (Muraos) nearly always place old thatch, or

some similar litter, on the land they plant turmeric in, to retain the moisture.

As further proofs of the benefits of a mulch, and in corroboration of what Liebig has said, I produce the following examples : The grain parchers (bhoorjees) collect dead leaves as fuel to parch grain with ; where the remains of an old heap are left (which is often the case) it will be found, even in the driest part of the hot weather, that the remains of the leaves are perfectly moist, if we turn over the surface and look at the interior of the heap, and the ground under the heap will be also moist.

The manure heaps round native villages are composed for the most part of sweepings of the houses and ashes, apparently thoroughly dry materials ; still if we dig into one of these unpromising looking manure heaps, we find perceptible moisture within perhaps a foot of the surface.

If these apparently dry ash heaps can abstract moisture from the atmosphere (or collect it from any other source) and retain it, why should not ordinary arable soils do the same ?

In the quotation I have made from Liebig, he says :—"This power preserves in the productive soil, &c.," making the productiveness of the soil a necessary condition. I am prepared to go a step further than Liebig, and ignore this condition.

In these provinces where a well is dug, it frequently happens that a stratum of *white* silicious sand is met with ; this is thrown aside ; this silicious sand cannot be said to contain any fertilizing matter beyond silicic acid ; still this heap of sand will obtain from the air or the underlying soil the necessary elements of fertility *including moisture*, which will be found in the heap, although *not a single drop of rain* may have fallen on it, as is evident from the fact that it will be covered with grass, provided the surface is kept open and porous. The whole subject hinges on its being porous, which enables the sand to collect and retain the elements of fertility.

I think I have said enough on the subject of deep cultivation and keeping the soil free and open ; but before quitting it, I will merely mention that the chief arguments in favor of steam cultivation are based on the grounds of its penetrating deeper, its smashing the pan, and consequently better retaining the moisture.

I will now prove that the *excessive heat* of the hot weather and the

winds are *simply and entirely manufactured articles*, and that irrigation, commonly considered an antidote, is one of the main causes of the manufacture

I will simply quote a few passages from a book written, I believe, by a man of high scientific attainments, but as the book consists of questions and answers, I feel myself at liberty to slightly alter the wording, to enable me to condense his facts, and to comment on them where necessary

Dr Brewster in his *Guide to Science* says — "Those substances which radiate most, also absorb most heat

"Radiation depends on the roughness of the radiating surface, thus if metal be scratched, its radiating power is increased, because the heat has more points to escape from. Those things which absorb heat best reflect heat worst, &c. If a reflector were spotted, dull or scratched, it would absorb heat instead of reflecting it, &c. All bright surfaces and light colors are the best reflectors of heat. Rocks and barren lands are so compact and hard that they can neither absorb nor radiate much heat, and (as their temperature varies very little) very little dew distils upon them"

Again, "cultivated soils (being loose and porous) very freely radiate by night the heat which they absorb by day, in consequence of which they are much cooled down, and plentifully condense the vapour of the passing air into dew"

Will any person, reading the above which may be very true in many cases, and without doubt is, under the ordinary conditions of unirrigated cultivated lands, properly treated, seriously assert, after due reflection, that the cultivated lands of Upper India are loose and porous? Are they not, on the contrary, particularly where irrigated, made harder, smoother, and greater reflectors of heat, and are we not in Upper India, living, so to say, in a sort of Dutch oven, or plate-warmer of our own manufacture?

The principal causes of winds are the variations of heat and cold, heat causes the air to expand and it is thus made lighter and ascends. Thus the hardened surface soil heats the air that comes in contact with it, this ascends, and cooler denser air rushes to the spot from which it ascended to preserve an equilibrium, and fills what would otherwise be a vacuum

As places to the east are first warmed by solar heat and the heated air first ascends from them, the cooler air from the west, has which been for a longer period (*i. e.*, all night) unaffected by the rays of the sun heating the surface soil, rushes to supply its place, causing westerly and in these provinces *hot winds* from their coming into contact with the hard reflecting surface of the country as it passes over it.

His Excellency the Viceroy in a conversation I had the honor of having with his Lordship on the 27th April at this station, stated, if I remember rightly, that he considered the deserts of Rajpootana and Scinde were the causes of the hot winds, and asked how I proposed to set these causes aside.

With all due deference to His Excellency's opinion, I beg to state I do not consider these deserts can have much influence on the temperature of these provinces, or on places lying fifty or even twenty miles to the east of them, because heated air must obey a law of nature and rise, and being lighter than cold denser air would pass over and not displace it.

Hurrianah, so called from its being a verdant country, lies on the east of the deserts—I have not seen it—but it contains the towns of Hansi and Hissar, the former place famous for its cows, which are considered better than any others in Upper India, and the latter place was, I believe, selected for the Ordnance Cattle Farm, from the fact of forage being more plentiful there than anywhere else in Upper India : and is not the fact of forage being more plentiful there, the cause of the superiority of Hansi cattle ?

There may be local causes for the better grazing grounds of Hurrianah with which I am not acquainted ; but I believe I am correct in saying that the hot winds from the deserts can have but little effect on them. I have not seen the deserts, but I believe they are sandy ; if so, the surface must be more loose and porous, and better able to absorb heat than hardened irrigated lands : consequently the air passing over them should, were there not local causes at work, (operating unfavorably) be cooler than the air passing over irrigated lands.

Somewhere about two-thirds of the area of the North-Western Provinces are cultivated land ; perhaps one-third of the cultivated land, or 22 per cent. of the whole area of these provinces, is annually under rain crops. These rain crops are cut in October or November, and

from the time the crops are cut till the following rains in June or July, the land is not ploughed but left to consolidate with every shower of rain, &c All soils too become somewhat consolidated and caked on the surface during the growth of crops on them If in the cold weather we pass a decent area, say 100 acres of this description of land, and then pass another on which a cold weather crop is growing, we cannot fail to notice the heat is greater on the soil without a crop on it The cold weather crops sown in October and November are cut in March or April, and as long as they are on the ground the heat is moderated by their verdure, as soon, however, as they are cut down, we in a few days experience a sudden increase of heat, which is not altogether to be attributed to the change of seasons, but rather to the increased reflection from the surface of the soil

The heat is greater on the surface of a metalled kunkur road than on the grass, or even the dried up parade ground it may cross, partly, because the parade ground, although trodden and retrodden by troops, is still less consolidated than the road, and partly because it is of a darker color (We can darken the color of cultivated lands by using manure) I trust the facts above stated will be sufficient to convince His Excellency the Viceroy that heat is generated locally, and that the deserts of Scinde and Rajpootana can have but little effect on the temperature of Upper India

There has been a great deal said and written particularly in late years of the effects of forests in producing rain-fall, and also of the effects of rain fall in producing forests, some people holding the opinion that forests produce rain fall, others that rain-fall produces forests The truth most probably is, that forests and rain fall act and react on one another.

The late Sir W Denison, in his "Varieties of Viceregal life," on page 319, said, he first attributed the presence of trees to the rain, but is now disposed to look upon the jungle as the cause of rain, and not the effect of it "

I have not his book by me to refer to, and possibly I may, in making a rough note, have inadvertently made an error, but the passage can be found. I think it is in Volume II Sir W. Denison in the above book mentioned, "Marsh's book on the Action of Man on Nature." I believe the title of the work referred to is "Physical Geography as modified by

Human Action"—a book, I regret to say, I have not been able to procure. Dr. Robert Brown in his *Horæ Sylvanæ*, or Studies of the Forests, &c., of North-West America, on page 34, published as a supplement to the *Country Gentleman's Magazine*, says:—

"Probably one great reason of the abundant forests on the western slopes of the Cascades in the northern portion and on the coast range to the south is the abundant rains which visit the sections: and again these rains are to great extent due to the condensing power of the forests."

On pages 34 and 35, he notices Mr. Clements Markham, and Drs. Bidie and Cleghorn's report on desiccation of parts of India from destruction of forests, that "Its effect in India is to increase surface drainage and cause floods."

I showed in my pamphlet how surface drainage and floods could in a great measure be prevented by deep ploughing and raising the banks round the fields.

Many people tell me the floods come from the hills, and we cannot check them. We have passing through this district two rivers, the Sote and the Aril, the waters of which eventually find their way into the Ganges, and both these rivers have their *sources in the plains*. Last year a bridge over the former, near Bissowlee, in this district, which was only built three or four years ago, at a cost of perhaps Rs. 15,000 or 20,000, was carried away, and a bridge over the Aril on the road to Bareilly as well as a great part of a raised road was also carried away by floods.

Supposing two-thirds of the area, from which the surface drainage finds its way into these rivers, to be cultivated land, had precautions (such as I suggested) been taken to retain the rain where it fell, it is, I think, most probable these bridges would not have been carried away.

Dr. Brewster says that forests produce cold—

1stly, because they *detain and condense the passing clouds* ;

2ndly, they prevent the access of both wind and sun ;

3rdly, the soil of forests is always *covered with long damp grass, rotting leaves, and thick brushwood* ; and

4thly, in every forest there are always many hollows full of stagnant water.

There is another reason not given by Brewster, which is the mechani-

cal effects of the growth of the roots, which force apart the particles of the soil and make it more porous

This forcing apart of the particles of the soil may be partly due, I think, to the leverage caused by the wind on the trees

If we omit the last reason given by Brewster, and which may not be strictly correct in all cases, there is nothing stated which is not equally applicable to cultivated fields, *as long as there is a crop on them*. If there is a difference, it is merely a difference of degree

If land is stirred, as I have suggested, so that there may be a well pulverized bed of soil above the height to which the soil is palpably moistened by capillary attraction, or distillation of water from below, this upper layer of loose well pulverized soil will act as a mulch to the moist soil below it, and retain moisture in it *more effectually* than any forest or crop. Dr Brewster says "capillary attraction is the power which very minute tubes possess of causing a liquid to rise in them above its level"

This seems contrary to what I stated in (No I, P P New Series,) page 4, viz, that "the smaller the particles composing the soil, the less will be the power of capillary attraction possessed by it". In the sentence, however, I mentioned the retentive powers of soil

Dr Brewster may be perfectly right, and I believe he is, talking of tubes, simply as tubes, but I think we should be wrong to accept this as an absolute rule with regard to soil

My reasons for this are—

I look on capillary attraction in soils as the power the soils possess of containing moisture in the interstices between their minute particles, and I consider the retentive power of soils to be the power which they possess of retaining moisture in their *very particles* and not in the interstices between them

As we know that the law of gravitation is moderated by that of capillary attraction, is it not reasonable to suppose that the law of capillary attraction is modified by that of the retentive power of the soil? and in fact we find this is the case, as water will rise higher in free open soils than in retentive clays

Dr Brewster again says—"The effects of the heated air become more intense when it is in motion, because fresh portions are then continually applied to the surface of the body"

We see in the hot weather the leaves of trees are more shrivelled up in the evening after a day during which there has been a hot wind blowing than on a day when there has been no wind. I myself have had rather an unpleasant experience of heated air in motion. I was on fort duty in Gobindgurh (Umritsur) in June 1853, when the thermometer at daybreak stood at about 100° Fahrenheit in my quarters, and used to rise to about 115° or 120° in the day. When the heat was about 105° it became too hot for a punkah, and the heat was more bearable without one.

By looking at Dr. Brewster's *Dicta* on reflection and absorption I was led the other day to make some experiments.

On the 12th April I found at 4 p. m. in a field near my garden which had been irrigated twice for the cold weather crop. that the thermometer stood (held in my hand about two feet above the ground and shaded) at 100° . When placed on the surface of the ground also shaded it stood 111° , and when a hole was dug, the lower earth ten inches or a foot from the surface loosened, and the thermometer covered with an inch of the loosened soil it went down in less than ten minutes to 82° .

This was an imperfect experiment, because I could not keep away the external heat whilst the soil was being dug and broken up, still it showed a difference of 29° Fahrenheit between the surface and some 10 inches, or at the most one foot below it.

I had the hole, which was about two feet in diameter, filled up with the soil I had taken out of it, and on the 30th April, at 4 p. m., I made further trials in the same field.

On the surface of the field, and within three or four yards of where I had made the hole in the previous experiment, I found the thermometer shaded stood at 108° , and at about ten inches deep it was 90° .

This only gave a difference of 18° , much less than in the former trial, which I account for from the fact of numbers of men and cattle having passed backwards over it, it being directly between the village and the threshing floor, and by their passing and repassing had broken up the smooth surface.

At the same time I tested the surface and also the soil ten inches deep of the hole I made and had filled up on the 12th April, and here within three or four yards of the place where the last experiment was made

the thermometer shaded but exposed to external air registered 102° on the loosened surface and also 102° ten inches below it

In the first case where the surface soil was smooth, I got a difference of 29° of heat between the surface soil and soil, say, a foot deep, in the second case where the smooth surface had been merely loosened by men and cattle walking over it, the difference was reduced to 18° , showing greater absorbent power from the fact of the smooth surface having been imperfectly broken into a slight layer of dust. In the third case there was no difference between the heat on the surface and the heat ten inches or a foot below the surface

On the first occasion, on 12th April, Dr Lades, the Civil Assistant Surgeon of Budaon was with me, and on the second, 30th April, Mr. Debnam, an Indigo Planter living in this district, helped me to carry out the experiment

On the 12th April we had a dry hot west wind, and on the 30th April there was hardly any wind, and a few clouds

I think it will be found that when we have had reasonable falls of rain in the cold weather, which have enabled the cultivators to dispense with artificial irrigation wholly or even partly, the succeeding hot weather will be cooler from the fact of there being less reflected heat, the surface being less consolidated

The present hot season is, I think, a proof of this, during the cold weather we had rain several times which enabled the cultivators to forego irrigation

In my letter to you of 21st December, I stated (quoting Government records) that three quarters of the cultivated land of this district is unirrigated. If from this small proportion of the area not having been consolidated as much as usual, we have a cooler season, what results might we not expect if the whole cultivated area were loose and porous

I stated in my pamphlet, on pages 14 and 15, that the hot winds might be modified and the temperature of the Upper Doab should only be about 20° higher than that of Nynee Tal

Having last year seen the position of the Observatory at Nynee Tal, I am certain I spoke well within the mark, as there are close to it a bazar, a metalled road and stony soil, which must make the thermometrical readings taken there upwards of 5° higher than they should

be, and I now think I am justified in saying, taking into consideration the differences of altitude and latitude, that the stations in Rohilcund in the plains should not be more than 15° or 16° hotter what is now registered at Nynco Tal.

I was told only the other day that the peculiarly mild, hot weather we are having this year is owing to the easterly winds. Yes, but what are the easterly winds caused by? I hope I have proved satisfactorily how the hot west winds are manufactured, and I will now again quote Brewster to show how east winds are caused, and that east winds should be the normal condition*. I will quote him in this instance word for word. The question he puts is:—

“ *Question.*—How does the rotation of the earth upon its axis affect the motion of the air?

“ *Answer.*—In two ways—*Firstly.*—As the earth moves round its axis, the air is left somewhat *behind*, and, therefore, seems to a body carried with the surface of the revolving earth, to be going in a contrary direction.

“ *Secondly.*—As the earth revolves, different portions of its surface are continually passing under the vertical rays of the sun.”

I could make many more quotations in corroboration of what I have advanced, but this would only be cumulative evidence.

I have as yet only quoted Dr. Brewster in my favour; but as it is possible I may by this letter have called attention to his book, I will at once quote another paragraph from his book which is dead against me.†

He says:—

“If the soil did not become *crusty and hard in dry weather*, the heat and drought would *penetrate the soil* and kill both seeds and roots.”

It is evident Dr. Brewster was not a practical farmer, and that he knew nothing of the system of hoeing crops originally introduced into England by Jethro Tull, and which is now recommended by every practical and scientific farmer as one of the best methods of allowing moisture to penetrate the soil.

To cool Upper India and in every way to improve its climate, we

* Dr. Brewster explains merely how the rotation of the earth on its axis can occasion—*firstly*, East winds; *secondly*, West winds. He does not assert that East winds should be the normal condition.—[ED.]

† It accords with Major Corbett's Experiments (*vide* p. 15,) which showed how heat penetrated the soil when it had been broken up and pulverised.—(ED).

simply require that the soil of every cultivated field should be ploughed up immediately a crop is taken from it, and whenever a crust forms on the surface it should be broken. This can be done by dragging a branch of a tree over the land.

Any person or persons committing a nuisance can be punished under various sections of Penal Code, but the heat of India, which is its greatest nuisance, seems to have been omitted from the list of punishable nuisances.

I think I have shown how it can be abated.

If I am right I think it is time that Government should legislate on the subject, if I am wrong, there is not much harm done. All I request is, a thorough ventilation of the opinions I have advanced, and that the opinions of the leading scientific men and agriculturists of the time may be taken on them.

Some natives have told me they do not plough up their land after taking off their crops, because it would destroy any little grass that might otherwise possibly grow on it, this is a mistake, as we know from experience, and can show that the more the land is cultivated after a crop is removed, the more grass will spontaneously grow on it.

Upwards of twenty years ago when I was stationed with my Regiment at Shahjehanpore, I said that the natives were very ignorant of the science of agriculture, since then I have watched their methods, and occasionally jotted down a few notes, and I have not yet discovered anything to make me alter my opinion.

In conclusion, I may say that I was induced to take an interest in the subject of climatology from a paragraph I saw in a newspaper perhaps two years ago, stating that Sir James Simpson, of Edinburgh, had expressed an opinion that man would be able by the careful study of nature and her laws, to cause great alterations in climate, and moderate it to his own requirements. There seemed a missing link in the chain which I have tried to discover, and with reference to Upper India I believe that by keeping the soil open and porous, we enable it to absorb solar heat instead of reflecting it, and also enable it to absorb carbonic acid, ammonia, &c, which are wanted for crops, instead of allowing these substances to taint the air and make it unhealthy.

By cooling the air we lessen evaporation, and render the air less capable of retaining vapour, consequently more rain and dew would be

desposited on the surface of the ground, and we also should be enabled to grow forage and other crops during the hot weather without irrigation which cannot now be done; consequently, we could improve the breeds of cattle of all descriptions, by having food for them, which we have not now.

I have spoken of the advantages of breaking up the soil directly a crop is reaped, as tending to improve the climate; as regards its benefits to agriculture, I will here mention that an eminent French Chemist, M. Barral, has calculated that a well made fallow ensures a supply of nitrogen equal to a dressing of 2 cwts. of Peruvian guano per acre.

Peruvian guano now sells at about 14 shillings per cwt.

I enclose herewith a few extracts from the *Farmer* newspaper and *Country Gentleman's Magazine*, the latter being a sort of abridgment of the former, which I think bear on the subjects I have written on, and corroborate what I have said.

EXTRACT FROM "FARMER" NEWSPAPER OF 10TH APRIL, 1871.

Report on the effect of the cutting down of Forests on the climate and health of Mauritius, by H. ROGERS, Senior Assistant Surgeon, Civil Hospital, Port Louis, Mauritius,—Communicated by ALEXANDER BUCHAN, M.A.

"To understand rightly the cause and spread of the late epidemic, it is necessary to revert to the condition of the colony a few years back. When I left Mauritius in 1854, this island was resorted to by invalids from India in quest of health; it was popularly known as the "Pearl" of the Indian Ocean; it might then have been described as one mass of verdure. From the highest mountain slopes to the sea-shore, the most luxuriant vegetation was everywhere to be seen, the seasons were well marked, the heat of Summer was tempered by abundant rains, and the cool season numbered many chilly days. On my return in 1862, vast tracts of forests had disappeared, and districts before considered unfit for cane cultivation were cleared of tress and converted into sugar plantations; even the mountain ridges were dotted with canes, and what were formerly large and rapid rivers had dwindled down to muddy streams, which served alike for the ablution of man and beast. The consequence of this extensive and indiscriminate denudation of

forest land was a diminished amount of rain fall, an increased amount of dryness, and a proportionate elevation of temperature. The earth's surface, formerly spongy and porous, became converted into a hard crust, which under the influence of the solar rays split up into numerous cracks and crevices into which, when the rain fell, the waters collected and became stagnant, while over the greater portion of this hardened crust, the waters flowed till they reached the most depressed parts, and there, on the sea shore, they accumulated and became stagnant, and under the influence of a tropical sun, noxious exhalations were given off. As moisture was no longer retained by leaves and branches, and as rootlets were no longer present to absorb the water, the sources of streams and rivers dried up, and moisture decreased, the temperature changed, and with the change in temperature came modification in disease. The difference in season is now much less marked, rains are scarce, droughts frequent and excessive, thunder is seldom heard, and lightning rarely seen. Vast tracts of land formerly productive are now barren and desolate, and districts before noted for salubrity are now notoriously unhealthy, and those which, years ago, were remarkable for dampness from excessive rain fall, have become comparatively dry. Though the average rain fall has considerably decreased of late years, yet when rain comes down it falls heavily and in torrents, and is in turn followed by a period of dryness. The numerous lagoons, (or Barachois area of the sea, where salt and fresh waters meet) the marshes and swamps stretching along the seaboard from Black River to Grand Bay, which were formerly submerged, are now dried up, and their sides exposed to the sun's rays. Such was the state of things in February 1865, when a violent inundation occurred followed by a period of complete dryness. Soon after this flood, numerous cases of fever of a low type broke out in Port Louis, and among the patients brought to the Civil Hospital of this town, I remarked how utterly useless were the remedies employed in ordinary febrile cases, and how modified the disease became when treated with small doses of quinine. As the hot season of 1865-66 (now April) came on, the sides of the lagoons, then above water, and acted on by the rays of a tropical sun, began to give off noxious gases, and from the decomposed animal and vegetable matters exposed, pestilential evacuations were given off. A planter in the district of Black River, whose statement I have no rea-

arrangements are still very defective, the marshes around Port Louis remain undrained, the new Rouge, a vast expanse of muddy soil, covered at all times by salt water, is still unreclaimed, vast tracts of land formerly covered with trees are unplanted, and the prolonged drought we have had warns us that if the felling of forest trees is to go on much longer, the sources of our rivers and streams will, at no distant day, be dried up altogether, and a pestilence far more dire will breed among us. The case is urgent, the laws relating to forests and rivers must be amended, sanitary measures must be fully and comprehensively carried out, and sanitary laws must be rigidly enforced, the plateaus and high lands of the country must be replanted.

"Although I am inclined to believe that, in so far as regards its epidemic influences, this fever is in a period of decline, yet I am afraid that unless stringent sanitary measures be adopted, it will become endemic in this colony, that during the hot season it will prevail more or less, and will disappear during the cool months. As yet few cases have been admitted into hospital, but will not the return of the hot weather be the signal for its appearance among us, although in a modified form? Modified though it be, the presence of malaria must, to a certain extent, influence the nature and progress of disease in this colony."

Are not the results of inattention to the laws of nature, here narrated, almost identical with the results of over irrigation, as stated by Dr Cutcliffe in his report on parts of the Meerut Division?

Extremes meet

"Country Gentleman's Magazine"

Volume 1, pages 167 and 168

"WATERING should never be done unless actually required to keep up healthy vitality in plants and when done, it should be thorough so as to reach fully further than the extremities of the roots

"Again talking of watering plants. The uninitiated are apt to suppose that when they have thoroughly wetted the surface, till the water is seen running off, they have done all that is required. This is, however, a fatal mistake, for even when discharged from the finest watering pan rose, the water will soon so clog, fill up or puddle the surface, that it will run more easily off, than into the soil, although it may not

have penetrated more than an inch or two beneath its surface, and will consequently be absorbed by evaporation in less than a single day."

Volume 1, page 338.

"TUN longer the land is allowed to be in the same condition, as it is left after the corn crop is taken from it, just so much more completely will the weeds be allowed to take possession of the soil."

Volume 1, page 200.

"LAND covered with a rich and productive sward improves in condition more rapidly than that which is covered with a poor and scanty vegetation.

"To keep the fields well covered with a rich sward then is the best receipt for successful farming."

Note by COLONEL F. H. RUNDALL, R.E., Officiating Inspector General of Irrigation, dated 1st June, 1871.

I have read Major Corbett's pamphlet with much interest, and believe that he is correct in many of the advantages which he attributes to deep ploughing; but I cannot altogether acquiesce in his conclusion that it can prove a substitute for artificial irrigation. I have no pretensions to a knowledge of either the theory or practice of farming beyond that which has come under my own observation in the agriculture of this country, with the exception of the cultivation of the sugar cane, which plant is perhaps nowhere raised with so much care, attention and expense as in the Delta of the Godavery, where the best farmers obtain as much as 5 tons of goor (locally termed jagghery) off an acre, and where, when two tons are not obtained, the crop is considered a poor one. The soil was there often turned up with the crowbar to a depth of 18 inches, highly manured, and pulverized, but invariably highly irrigated. During the time the crop was on the ground it was frequently hoed and carefully weeded, and in fact the farming was high, the expenses amounting to as much as Rs. 80, while the value of the crop raised varied from Rs. 250 to 300 per acre. Without irrigation or wherever the supply of water was not *ample*, the yield was very in-

ferior The description of soil in which the cane was grown principally was a rich loam, with a porous substratum, while the rain-fall averaged about 36 inches per annum, so that the conditions were as favorable as Major Corbett could desire, but yet it is simply a matter of fact that artificial irrigation, and abundance of it, was essential for the successful cultivation of the plant

In retentive black clayey soils where the "jowar" (*holcus sorghum*) was grown, the invariable practice was, immediately after the crops was reaped, to turn up the soil with the crowbar to a depth of from 18 inches to 2 feet, the object being to give the soil a "wintering," so as to kill the weeds Jowar is a plant which requires scarcely any moisture for its growth, and is never irrigated in that locality, but the ground is sometimes moistened before it is ploughed and the seed sown The advantage to be derived from deep ploughing, I have usually heard, was that a comparatively virgin soil is reached, and to this is attributed, principally the greatly increased weight of produce which is obtained It appears to me moreover that if deep ploughing renders the moisture in the soil, more accessible to the plant, it must at the same time expose it more directly to the effects of the sun's rays, and so cause the subsoil to be more rapidly desiccated With regard to rice cultivation it was notable in the Delta of the Godavery that the valleys between the sand ridges near the coast, which before a supply of artificial irrigation was available, yielded but poorly, produced some of the heaviest crops in the Delta In this soil there was of course ample drainage, as well as opportunity for capillary attraction but though crops were raised in it in sole dependence on the rains, they were but poor and of small value. The fact is that artificial irrigation supplies manure as well as water, and I have always understood it to be an acknowledged principle in farming that the more water that can be passed *through* the soil the better, so long as it is not allowed to remain in it The conclusion to be arrived at from the perusal of Major Corbett's pamphlet, coupled with the fact which pass under one's observation in this country, seems to me to be that deep ploughing would doubtless effect great improvement in Indian agriculture, but that deep ploughing, combined with irrigation, will do much more

No. XVI.

THE DRAINAGE OF CAWNPORE.

Memoranda on the plan and estimate for the drainage of Cawnpore, by MR. EDWIN CHADWICK, C.B., late Metropolitan Sanitary Commissioner, and Chief Executive Officer of the first General Board of Health.

THE plan of the main drainage works of Cawnpore, as it involves a principle of sewerage which may affect all town drainage works, their efficiency, and the means of paying for them, is of very great importance for the whole of India, perhaps as well as for that important city.

For the consideration of this plan of Cawnpore, it is requisite to revert to first general principles of town drainage works, involving two distinct systems. For those who have paid little attention to the subject, an endeavour is made to give concisely results spread over several volumes of sanitary reports.

The general plan is for main sewers, calculated for the removal not alone of ordinary rain-falls, but of extraordinary storm waters, from the whole surface, covered and uncovered, urban and suburban, of the civic area.


The scheme has probably been laid out from old text-books on plans of old town drainage works, or of those of the forms and sizes mostly adopted in London about half a century ago.

The results of the works on this principle were examined by our Metropolitan Sanitary Commission in 1848, when it was found that, at enormous cost, they extensively detained deposit, and engendered noxious decomposition from the excreta intended to be removed.

Being constructed to receive all storm waters, even of such extraordinary storms as occur only once in some twenty years, and having only flat bottoms or very flat segments, the effect was that the ordinary sewerage

from the houses, and often even the ordinary rain-fall on the street surfaces, formed during the greater part of the year a very small stream, which often did not cover the whole of the surface of the large sewer bottom. The flow of the thin stream spread over the wide surface, was retarded by increased friction, deposit was occasioned, and it went on increasing, until sewers of the largest size were choked up with deposit.

Hence it became necessary for men to enter them periodically, and remove the deposit by hand labor and cartage. The branch as well as the main sewers were also constructed on the scale for the removal of



storm waters, and deposit being occasioned in them, they were generally made "man-sized," that men might enter and cleanse them. The products of decomposition of the deposit occasioned in them give off noxious vapours, which enter the houses through the house drains and the streets through

the gully shoots, pollute the air breathed by the population and lower the general health, and aggravate all other causes of excessive mortality.

The above cross section shows the general scheme of these sewers, and what we found to be the ordinary rate of flow of the house sewage proper, and what was the greatest height of storm water, and their consequent excess of construction and cost.

One improvement was made in the egg-shaped form, chiefly to enable the deposit to be removed by flushing with pent up water more easily and less expensively than by the hand labor and cartage required for the cleansing of the flat bottomed sewers of deposit.

This egg-shaped form, as it concentrates small and intermittent " of water, accumulates less of deposit, than the flat segmented is less expensive in material and construction. But still constructed of excessive sizes, and did regularly accumulate a fundamental default, inasmuch as provision for system, provided, not for regular cleansing, but only for

At a late visit to the camp at Aldershot to see the sewerage farm there, I was enabled at once to pronounce the drainage of the camp to be false in theory and pernicious in result. On being asked why, I ventured to say so without having seen the plan of the works. I stated that I was enabled to say so decidedly by the smell; the smell from the sewerage at the outfall was the smell from decomposition, and decomposition denoted stagnant deposit, and stagnant deposit denoted bad engineering. You may at once and decidedly test all town engineering works by the smell. In the instance of that camp, the mistake had been made of making large sized 18-inch sewers with the view of taking in extraordinary storm water, when 6-inch pipes would have been self-cleansing for all ordinary occasions.

* * * * *

It has been the practice of Civil Engineers and Architects to enlarge the area of any main pipe in proportion to the sectional area of each junction into it, whereas it was found by trial works we got made, that the addition of eight junctions, each of three inches in diameter, into a main line of only four inches in diameter, so increased the velocity of the stream that the 4-inch pipe carried it away.

This principle of accelerated velocities with small additional heads of water is of great practical importance, as enabling much ordinary rainfall to be admitted from covered surfaces, the roofs of houses, and paved streets in to the sewers primarily adapted to remove the sewerage and waste water from houses, with which alone in dry weather they should be kept sufficiently full to be self-cleansing.

By careful adaptations of sizes, and in house drainage works getting improved falls by draining at the back instead of through the houses to the front streets, on a system of combined back drainage works (which would appear to present peculiar advantage for Indian cities) it has been found that three houses might be drained well at an expense heretofore incurred for one.

The difference of system is displayed in the annexed plans.

The late Mr. George Stephenson, the celebrated Railway Engineer, made an estimate for sewers works for the city of Carlisle, on much the same principle as that proposed for Cawnpore, and apparently as those described as adopted for Calcutta. They were all to be large "man-sized" sewers, to be entered and cleansed by hand labor. The estimated ex-

pense was £70,000. The city has since been sewered by Mr Robert Rawlinson, C B, one of our Inspectors, on correct principles, as laid down by the Metropolitan Sanitary Commission and the General Board of Health, with self cleansing sewers, at an expense of about £20,000

It must be observed that there are gross interests on the part of contractors as well as of Civil Engineers in the profits of works on the larger scales, which were cut down by the General Board of Health, which thereby subjected itself to violent organized hostility, and from these interests, which are hardly yet appeased or reconciled to the large economies of the new system or by the new work created by it, requiring a change of practice

In illustration of the principle of concentration, or of the close adaptation of channels for drainage to the ordinary dry weather flows of sewerage, I quote the following passage from an expository paper of mine on land drainage —

“Near the metropolis there are, besides the common ditches, large open water courses, which serve to carry away flood waters. When there are no floods, the water in the shallow streams or in threads of water moves sluggishly over the uneven bottoms, or it lodges in stagnant pools in these ditches, giving off offensive and insalubrious effluvia, for many of these ditches are used as outfalls for the drainage of suburban houses, and, with the addition of such house drainage, the effluvia becomes at times highly noxious, and even fatal. The courses of these open water courses were marked by excessive ravages of the cholera amongst the population living near them

* * * * *

I do not see the exact method recommended for the treatment of the Cawnpore nullah, but it is stated that “its cost alone is estimated at Rs 40,000, and it is therefore not likely to be made for some time to come. The Lieutenant Governor does not feel justified in authorizing the expenditure of large sums of money upon what, after all, might fail of success


Just so! With such works and such expense, that has been precisely the state of things in England, where, however, it has been thus obviated

“In some cases the course was taken of laying down tubular drains of about 18 inches in diameter, below the bed of the natural water course and (leaving openings at intervals for junctions of side drains) covering


over the tubular drain. The bed of the stream being re-formed with clay and gravel, so as to form a better channel, such extraordinary flood water as will not pass through the pipe beneath is taken on that bed. In this mode a better fall is got, the flow and the sweep of the water is accelerated and comparative cleanliness and salubrity is obtained at from one-fourth to one-eighth the expense which would have been required to have covered the culverts of a sufficient capacity for extreme floods. So great is the acceleration of the flow through the pipe in the water-courses, that it is only on comparatively rare occasions, perhaps two or three times a year, that there is any overflow above them, and the surfaces usually present the appearance of a dry and narrow road. The cost of the work was from 2s. 6d. to 4s. 6d. per foot of run; to have arched over such a stream would have cost vastly more. In some cases the foul water of open streams may be diverted into neighbouring streams, when no further expense need be incurred, except for re-forming the bed of the brook for the conveyance of flood water."

The following transverse sections will illustrate the treatment, the principle of which, it is submitted, might well be made known in India where it would be extensively applicable.

Ordinary Flow of Water.



Flood.



Scale—15 feet to 1 inch.

It appears that the area of Cawnpore is about 320 statute acres, and that it is proposed to construct sewers to remove half an inch per hour of rain when the sewers are half full. Now it is confidently averred that any calculations of dimensions and costs of sewers made from such data ought not to be adopted. This would be a preparation for 12 inches of rain in 24 hours; or, if the sewers were to run full, not less than 36 inches of rain in the area drained. It is stated that, at Calcutta, the rain-fall is at

times a quarter inch per hour, or six inches per diem. This would be 600 tons on each acre. The Engineer there says that six inches of rain from the surface of Calcutta will be equivalent to a stream of 40 feet wide, eight feet deep, and flowing with a velocity of four feet per second. This is equal to 427,516,000 gallons per day, or nearly double the dry-weather flow of our River Thames at Teddington Lock*. In the way of illustrative contrast with what is chiefly to be dealt with in town sewerage, the waste water, and the matters which it may convey from *within* habitations, it may be mentioned that, when we examined the water supply of London, the quantity pumped in was about forty-four millions of gallons daily. This, if it had been equally distributed, would have given about 18 cwt, or 60 pailsful to each house, more than half of which we found was wasted. The total supply would have been delivered in a brook nine feet wide and three feet deep, running at the rate of three feet per second, or a little more than two miles per hour during the 24 hours, and for the removal of the same volume of refuse or soil water three sewers of three feet diameter and with the proper fall would suffice. I have not the present population of Calcutta, but I conceive that less than one such sewer would suffice for the similar removal of the house drainage proper there, and so in the proportion of the population and the water supply at Cawnpore.

It follows from the established principles of town drainage in England, that sewers of such capacity as are proposed for India are not required,

* To display the reflex opinions of professional men in London to those of the Engineers of Cawnpore and of Calcutta I would beg to present a portion of my examination of the late Mr Joseph Gwilt the author of the Dictionary of Architecture and at that time the officer of one of the Metropolitan District Sewers Commissions —

‘Question. It appears that there were in the whole of the metropolis 270 000 houses. Now if each house were to have at the least a 9 inch drain as you and architects in general recommend it appears that the area of the stream to keep them full and flowing would be 1,132 feet in width by 10½ feet in depth?—Answer. Yes.

Q. It is estimated that a supply of water for the metropolis supposing each house to have a supply of 125 gallons per diem or 25 gallons per head would be given by a circular tunnel or aqueduct 12½ feet in diameter. Can you prove any addition of rain water or even of extraordinary storm water requiring a system of drainage of a sectional area more than five times that of the Thames at Waterloo Bridge at high water or nearly a thousand times the area of the aqueduct that would furnish the whole supply of water to the metropolis?—A. I apprehend that in providing drains for a house you are to provide against accidents therefore I should say it would be prudent always to have drains larger than necessary to guard against stoppages. A stoppage in a small drain stops up the whole orifice. A stoppage in a large one is partial. There may be most likely a means of running off in some way or other.

Q. Do you dispute the fact that a 4 inch drain to a house keeps clearer than a 9 inch and clearer still than a 12 inch?—A. I certainly do dispute it.

The fact however was established indisputably by trial works and by subsequent extensions very soon in hundreds of thousands of instances under our regulations.

even taking in roof water, and, if they were required, it is apprehended that very few could be constructed because of the cost.

In England, rain falls in thunder showers at a rate of one inch in 15 minutes, or four inches have fallen in one hour. Sewers cannot—properly—be constructed in England or in any country to contain heavy falls of rain. Surface water must pass away at and over the surface. This is the first fact any Engineer must now master before he designs main sewers for towns.



In London, rain falls at a rate of about two and three inches per hour in thunder-storms—at times steadily two inches in 24 hours. The new intercepting sewers for the metropolis provide only for quarter inch in 24 hours.

At Alnwick, in Northumberland, the area sewered is upwards of 2,000 acres. The main outlet sewer is 18 inches diameter, with a fall of one in 400. There have been falls of rain in Alnwick since the town was sewered which would have filled a tunnel sewer 20 feet diameter.

This water went (as it had ever passed) over the surface.

It may be confidently averred from the premises that the arrangement proposed for the Cawnpore main sewers ought not to be adopted, and that covered sewers in India should not provide for monsoon floods nor for flood waters caused by rain at any time.

One point of experience in England, in driving large and deep tunnel sewers, devised on the plan in question, through old urban districts, is, I conceive, important to note for India, and that is, that you can hardly ever anticipate what sort of old and tall superstructures you may endanger, or what sort of loose and difficult strata, heretofore undisturbed, you may have to encounter, and, consequently, that with such work you can never rely on your estimates. Of this an instance was presented at Westminster, not far from the India Office. When I was at the Metropolitan Commission of Sewers, I got a plan made for the new sewerage of the whole of Westminster proper, with self-cleansing tubular sewers 16 miles in length, which was to cost about a thousand pounds per mile. For the sewerage of the new wide street, Victoria Street, and Parliament Street, to beyond Whitehall, two—nine inch if I remember rightly—tubular pipes were provided to be laid down one under each foot pavement to save the expense of carrying all the drains to one sewer under the distant centre of the streets. And these two pipe sewers would have accomplished everything wanted, and endangered nothing. We were compelled,

*Drainage of Courts, Westminster District*N^o 1*On the System of the Old Commission and
the Improved System of 1846*N^o 2*On the Improved System of 1849*

by the pressure of an epidemic visitation, to leave that Commission, and to confine our work to the severe duties of the General Board of Health. The work of the Metropolitan Sewers Commission was charged upon some eminent Civil Engineers, in the reliance that they would follow out the new system. But they reverted to the old one, and directed a large man-sized tunnel sewer to be constructed for Victoria Street down to Whitehall Yard, about a mile, at an estimated expense of £14,000. When this sewer arrived amidst the old buildings at Whitehall, my friend, the Comptroller of the Exchequer, came to me in great alarm at finding the walls of his office beginning to crack, and the doors not to shut, from an increasing settlement. There was nothing for it but to prop up that and other old buildings which suffered serious damage. Midway of the deep cutting, however, a very loose slippery sand was encountered, on which it was extremely difficult to get a foundation. The total cost of this work has been little under £150,000, with the result of a large noxious sewer of deposit, which is little else than an extended cesspool. To avoid the danger of deep cuttings in old districts, if one pipe sewer near the surface does not suffice, it will frequently be safer to have two, even in narrow streets.

On the more general question, the Engineers should be required to consider that the purpose is not the mere discharge of the surface rain-fall in an acre of land, the greater part of it uncovered by houses or paved surface. If the object were the drainage of uncovered lands, it would then, under proper treatment, be by drainage *through* the lands by sub-soil drains, instead of *over* the lands or by surface channels merely to convey the surface washings by rains, which carry away with them manure as well as the fine portions of the soil. By the removal of the rain-fall from uncovered garden or agricultural land through sub soil drains the discharge is largely modified, requiring corresponding modifications in the way of reduction of the sises of the trunk and tributary channels. The later established doctrine of town drainage has been formularized, as "the rain fall to the river, the sewerage to the land," including, however, in the sewerage, some of the ordinary rain-fall on streets and roofs, in which special rain-fall imbibes—in the soot and dung on roofs and on the streets—often as much good manurial matter as is obtained in the waste water discharge from within the houses. Treatment in respect to these covered spaces is, not to provide for the overflow of the tails of storms, when the surface washing has been pretty complete and the discharge is chiefly of very clear rain-fall,

In other respects, the Engineer should be instructed that the *objective* point in town drainage is the discharge of the waste and foul water from *within* the houses during dry weather, with the addition of any ordinary surface washing of the roofs and streets by ordinary, and not extraordinary rain-fall; and that he should carefully observe what that actually is, in fair specimen districts, or in any model district, and adapt his channels to that, holding him responsible for the result that every channel shall be self-cleansing; and that all stagnancy and all smell from decomposition means default on his part. His duty is to ensure that all foul or waste water, all excretory matter thereon that can be removed in suspension in water,—and no modes of removal are so cheap or so good,—shall be removed from within houses or the sites of towns before it can enter into advanced or noxious stages of decomposition. In this respect, the merits of his plan, as before instanced, may really be tested by the smell which any civil superior may do. One mode of testing the complete drainage work of a town is this. Some substance, which can be distinguished, of the specific gravity of water,—in England a split turnip serves,—is taken and pieces are put into one or two of the water-closets at the head of the district drained (when all the house drains and service are on), and timed when let go, and watched for at the outfall, and the time of their arrival noted, and the plan judged of accordingly. If the pieces do not arrive, there is stoppage and defect somewhere, which has to be removed at the cost of the contractor.

To illustrate the difference of systems, I may mention that I have inspected the outfalls of the old sewers of the metropolis and of several cities in England, and I never saw, *faeces* in form or any paper discharged from them. What you saw discharged was a chocolate-colored stream. This was overflow matter disintegrated by decomposition whilst detained in cesspools, or in drains of deposits, or in sewers of deposit, putrid sewage, in fact, which kills fish. On the change of system in towns newly sewered with self-cleansing sewers, the people were surprised and disgusted at seeing the abundant continuous discharge of *faeces* in form and paper. The *fresh* sewerage feeds fish, and anglers get their best sport at the sewer mouths of the newly drained towns. It is now, however, being found that a better use may be made of this fresh sewerage than feeding fish with it. Its manurial powers are, of course, greater than putrid sewerage, and in its fresh application, properly and immediately, before advanced stages of


DRAINAGE OF CAWNPORE

MAIN SEWERS


Old System - Brickwork





*Improved System of 1846
Brickwork*



Nº 1
Old System



Improved System of 1846



decomposition can set in, it is free from noxious emanation and danger. The vegetation produced by it is of extraordinary superior quality, some farmers, regarding the profit of the produce, have called the paper *ban/notes*. When successfully applied it will certainly surprise the natives.

In the towns where the drainage work is according to principle and complete, at Bedford, for example, the excretory matter will be on the land in less than an hour or two. At Cawnpore all the manurial matter of the morning ought to be on the land, not in mechanical suspension, but in chemical combination, before the afternoon, and if actual working examples in England be duly examined, it may be seen how this may be done.

But the most full reports with varied illustrations make but little way in England without the sight of actual works. On the Metropolitan Commission of sewers we could only make way with the improved new system of combined back self cleaning house drainage and self cleaning sewers when we had got some blocks of buildings drained upon the system as trial works. We allowed several officers to try and show what they could do with different blocks.

We first got well drained the block of buildings comprising the Cloister at Westminster where there had been a severe epidemic, then some blocks of buildings in the worst conditioned neighbourhoods, which served to instruct workmen as well as others with the new system. This course is suggested as a future expedient for Indian cities. It would be to take some available street or a Government block of buildings for the purpose, to carry a constant supply of water into them to put in soil pans, perhaps on the Turlish principle, and lay down drains to be made self cleansing. This would serve to familiarise the natives with the work, and enable the Engineer to see the better what was to be done for the general extension of the system, and enable contracts to be made when well observed results have been obtained.

It is to be repeated that the *house*, which is commonly the last thing that has hitherto been regarded, ought to be the first to be studied for efficient sanitation. In England vast expense has been incurred by driving even well formed sewers through streets without regard to house junctions or providing for them, excellent tabular pipe sewers have failed and have accumulated deposit in the large old brick sewers from only a small part of the houses for which they were intended being joined on to

them, giving scarcely more of sewerage than sufficed to wet a long line and occasion deposit in consequence of the disproportionate amount of friction. This default of house junctions arose from an administrative default of which it is important to be aware,—of requiring poor owners, or poor occupiers of poor tenements, to undertake, or to pay the whole amount for the entire house drainage works at once,—an immediate outlay of four or five pounds at least, instead of doing the work for him at the lowest contract prices, and requiring repayment only by equal annual instalments of principal and interest, say of four shillings a year, payable quarterly, for the fresh water supply constantly carried into his house, and for the waste water and everything being constantly carried away from it. The Engineer, if he does his duty to the Administration, will give a greater water service for the house at a lower price than that of the cheapest water carrier.

When the Officiating Chief Engineer of the North-Western Provinces states, in his notes on the drainage of Cawnpore, that “this question of the drainage and sewerage of towns is confessedly very difficult, indeed it is not yet satisfactorily solved anywhere;”^{*} he must be understood to mean that it is very difficult in the present state of knowledge in India, that he has seen no reports or instructions there sufficiently elucidating it, and that it is not yet solved anywhere in India. That such a statement should be made, and the conflicts of opinion occur as displayed in the

^{*} I observe that the dry earth closet system, that is to say, the system of preparing the soil and taking it to the houses to receive the smaller part of house sewerage, &c., the fæces and the urine, in place of taking all the sewerage, soap suds, slops, &c., at once to the land, is favorably regarded by some people in India, not only for detached houses, but for contiguous houses and whole districts. Independent testimony displays the errors committed in respect to that contrivance. The reports I have seen are unfavorable. Unless great care be taken, which it never is, it becomes a nuisance. The manurial assimilation with the soil is imperfect. As much water is often wanted to cleanse the places properly as would serve to work a water-closet, and the whole process is dearer than a proper soil pan or water-closet apparatus. I was recently asked by an officer from the Colonial Department, whether I could suggest any remedy for a difficulty that had arisen from the use of the dry earth closets in the West Indies, where it had been introduced in some places. The dry earth was found to be a convenient nest or feeding place for some flying insects which bit ferociously and painfully, and which could not be safely approached uncovered. Could not the earth be prepared in some way? I was asked to make it repulsive to the unpleasant and dangerous intruders. I had no other suggestion to give than that of drowning them and the nest too, by the application of water, i. e., by a recourse to the water-closet principles. In fact for detached cottages out of the way of any town water supply, or any proper system of house drainage, and where the Levitical provision is expedient, the best and cheapest method is the use of a pail containing the waste water and slops of the cottage, which the cottager should be instructed to remove *daily*, and empty into a trench in the garden prepared for its reception as manure, to be immediately covered over by soil, is the most advantageous manurial and sanitary process. You get a larger quantity of manure more readily and completely assimilated.

papers on the drainage of Cawnpore, shows that the instructions of the Sanitary Commissioners on this subject are insufficient, and that more precise and full information, with varied detailed illustrations, are now required, as indeed will be evident from other papers from India

I would submit, in this very example of Cawnpore, that it would save vast waste in useless outlays in India if the latest examples of the solution of the difficulty in England were carefully examined and put in form for the instruction of officers charged with the planning and execution of sanitary works in India

The instructional papers which I prepared with the aid of the Special Engineering Staff of the General Board of Health for the information of local authorities in England, on the drainage of lands and of houses and towns, and on the application to agricultural produce of sewerage, and which have been accepted as text books,—are now out of print, and copies fetch high prices. I have visited several places where new works, on the principles set forth, have been got in complete action to show them to officers in the Indian service. On such occasions I have put to Engineers, or to intelligent officers in charge of the works, questions to this effect “Suppose these works were to be done over again on your experience of their working, what alterations would you make in any, and what part of them?”

Different local engineers have applied the same principles, with deviations of their own which I must say have as respects the scales generally, proved to be in error, but such questions do frequently elicit suggestions of modifications in details which the practical Engineer would appreciate as of great importance

I should be most happy to aid any Indian officers in such a work. Indian sanitary officers have of themselves visited some of the towns where new works have been got into action, but their reports, it may be shown, are of little or of inferior value, practical value, from their not having distinct preceptions of principle, or the chief objective points of attention

Economy for sanitary works in India is, I apprehend of greater importance for their adoption and extension there, even than the poorer urban districts of England. It has proved here very up hill work to press economy for this purpose against “brick and mortar interests” and professional inertia, and convenience in current practice with common ascertained materials and prices in settled price books

The Romans distributed, as Columella states, water into towns and houses with earthen pipes under a 100 feet of pressure.

For the sake of economy, I got some trials made of the strongest earthenware pipes that could be obtained, but it was reported that they did not succeed. However, I have learned that in thirty towns in France water is distributed in earthen pipes, in instances where it is required at 160 feet of pressure, at one half the price there of iron pipes. I submit that, for the sake of economy in India, it would be of importance to have those examples carefully examined.

As one means of economy, I also got trials made of concrete for sewers and drains, but it was reported that concrete would not answer. I was not then sufficiently acquainted with concretes to enable me to judge of the sufficiency of the trials, but now it appears that concretes made with Portland cement do answer well for sewers in France, Holland, and Germany, where their use is extending. A trial has indeed been made, under the Metropolitan Board of Works, of concrete for some sewers; and the Engineer informs me that he makes superior work with it at one-third less expense than brick work; and that it might, indeed, be further cheapened. But in the North of France and some parts of Germany, I learn that concrete with Portland cement in large proportions of one in three, and even of one in two of cement, has been used successfully for the conveyance of water into towns and houses, in one instance, it is stated, under a pressure of 200 feet and with great economy, and with some special advantages over other materials.

How far these means may be made available for India, with economy—whether Portland cement, which is now being sent there in increasing proportions, may be made there with advantage,—are, I submit, important topics, for inquiry. I cannot but believe that the materials, chalk or lime, of the right quality, and clay, with fuel, may be found, if sought for, in India, and the excessive cost of transport from England avoided.



It may be confidently anticipated that, by one means or other, the expense of sanitary works for the Indian cities may be greatly reduced.

With a view of giving some proximate notion, though a wide one, of the expense of complete sanitary works executed in England on the new system, it may be stated that a constant supply of water, of 100 gallons per diem, is carried to the door of every house for about $1\frac{1}{2}d.$ per week, and that the waste water is carried away for about another $\frac{1}{2}d.$ per week,

is found out that by reason of some clumsy work, the prison drain had leaked into the well which supplied the prisoners. A severe outbreak of fever takes place in a women's lying-in ward; there must be some default with some one? On investigation, it appears that an old stagnant ditch a short distance from the ward has been emptied, and that the stupid men have spread the putrid contents over the ground on either side, so that the noxious evaporation has reached the inmates. We get no such protection for private houses, except common lodging houses. The papers in relation to Cawnpore do not enable me to judge in respect to it, but I conceive that, as a rule, complete sanitary works for Indian cities will need more than in England a wide basis of sub-soil drainage and culture of suburban and adjacent land. It appears to me that two-storied barracks have been somewhat indiscriminately constructed to lift the sleepers in them above ground miasma at an expense, which good sub-soil drainage (costing in England £5 per acre) would remove. Of sanitary work applicable to India, I got Lord de Grey, when he was at the War Office to direct the examination of one example presented in Algeria, of which I had been informed by French sanitary authorities. It was visited and examined by one of our former inspectors, Dr. Sutherland, now of the Army Sanitary Commission; by Mr. Robert Ellis, C.B., late President of the Sanitary Commission of Madras (he who set Masulipatam to rights after it had been overwhelmed by the cyclone), and by Colonel Ewart, R.E. On a tract of somewhat wet land, which it had been deemed necessary to occupy for strategical purposes, the old Indian Army death-rates of 80 in 1,000, and more, had prevailed amongst the troops, and the loss was most severe. Colonization and culture was attempted, but three sets of colonists were cleared away; the death-rates amongst the children greatly exceeded the birth-rates, and succession from the colonists was impossible. But by getting a good water supply and good drainage works, and putting the habitations in a good sanitary condition, and by the drainage and cultivation of the surrounding land, taking sanitary precautions which protected the laborers, on opening up the land, and other sanitary attentions, the death-rate amongst the soldiers was reduced from the old Indian death-rate to below the most recent one (20 in a 1,000) to 12 in 1,000. Amongst the civil population the death-rates have been equally reduced; but above all, for settlement, the death-rates amongst the children have been greatly reduced below the birth-rates, and the examiners found on the

DRAINAGE OF CAWNPORE.

Plans and Transverse Sections showing the relative frictional areas of the self cleansing new system as compared with the old system of house drains in London



No. XVII.

NOTES ON RETAINING WALLS.

(5TH PAPER).

By J. H. E. HART, Esq., *Executive Engineer, Dharwar.*

PRACTICAL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION.—Theory points out certain considerations which guide us in practice.

We see that the *Foundations* of Retaining Walls ought to be particularly secure, and especially so in walls with battering backs; because the vertical element of the thrust of the material retained adds to the pressure of the structure upon its base.

When the ground on which a wall is to be built is soft, or treacherous, it will be prudent to design the profile so that the centre of pressure, or resistance, shall coincide with the centre of figure of the base; this is effected by making γ in the formulæ $= 0$. Or, at least, care should be taken that the maximum pressure shall not exceed the power of the strata to resist compression, or displacement.

In all doubtful cases, the bed on which the foundation courses are to be laid should be excavated to such an inclination with the horizon that there shall be no danger of the wall slipping bodily forward; this will be provided against by giving the bed an inclination so as to be approximately perpendicular to the direction of the resultant pressure; or, practically, by sloping it at right angles to the face batter, if any.

If the strata be obviously insecure, piling must be resorted to; and every possible expedient adopted to drain the bed both in front and rear of the masonry.

Light materials, such as brick-work, and hollow walls, such as used by Rennie at Sheerness, may be adopted in these positions. In order to distribute the weight over a greater area, and bring the centre of pressure towards the centre of the base, the masonry in the foundations should be arranged in steps, the width increasing by a series of off-sets.

Theory indicates that the *bedding of the courses of the masonry* should incline from the front to rear, it is therefore advisable to batter the face of the wall in order that the face angles of the stones may be right angles, or nearly so.

All other things being equal, the greater the face batter the greater the stability, but practical considerations connected with the use of the wall limit this condition, so that a wall has usually a back batter as well as one on the face, which should be formed by a series of small off sets or steps in the masonry of the back. These steps support at least the weight of all the material which is vertically over them, and the moment of this weight tends to increase the stability of the wall.

If the filling over the steps be hand packed dry stone, the stability will be nearly as great as if the wall were built with a plumb back of solid masonry.

As the intensity of the pressure is usually greatest towards the faces of the wall, it follows that the masonry in these places should be of a superior class, hence such combinations as ashlar or block in course facing, with rubble, or concrete backing, may be suitable, but all such combinations should be introduced with caution, and treated with great care, so that no unequal settlement or separation of the facing and backing should be likely to occur.*

Long headers, and special bond stones, should be plentifully introduced, and the work should not be run up too rapidly when such combinations are adopted.

The better class of masonry used in the facing should reach back into the work about double the distance of the centre of pressure from the face, or at least to such distance that the intensity of the pressure on the masonry of the backing, at its junction with the facing, shall not exceed the safe limiting resistance of the material used.

The stability of wall is proportional to the specific gravity of its masonry, it, therefore, follows that, where the foundation's bed is good, heavy

* See Note to page 25 [First Series]

stone, such as basalt, limestone, &c., is preferable to light material, such as brick.

In *reclining* walls, an increased specific gravity of the masonry, although it increases the value of the mean pressure on the base, does not necessarily cause a like increase in the maximum pressure; because the greater weight reduces the value of the deviation. (qx) of the resultant from the centre of the base.

REVETMENTS OR RETAINING WALLS should invariably be constructed with rough backs, so as to increase thereby the friction of the earth against them as much as possible.

Weepers, rectangular holes about 2 inches wide, passing through the wall from rear to front, should be arranged for, so as to permit the escape of any water that might find its way to the back of the wall. One should occur in every 3 or 4 square yards of the lower half of the wall face, or about one in every 50 running feet of each course, and they should be placed so as to alternate with each other, course by course.

Thorough drainage, secured by these weepers; and the use of a vertical layer of a permeable material next the masonry at the back of the wall, will best maintain the thrust of the earth filling at a minimum. It is usual, for this reason, to pack behind the wall, as it is being built up, a layer of shivers and refuse stone; and such mass also often helps the stability of the wall, by its weight resting on the steps of the back batter.

The angle of repose of the earth filling, and therefore its thrust, is reduced by packing it in counter sloping layers.

The layers should be at right angles to the plane of natural slope and of a thickness not much exceeding one foot.

When the stuff at the back of a wall cannot be drained, or when it partakes of the nature of mud or quicksand, the wall must be designed to resist water pressure, or rather the pressure of a fluid of the density of mud and water combined. An economical method of relieving a wall of such pressure is to tip behind it a bank of sound material, extending as far back as the angle of repose; or to build a dry stone bank, or wall between the masonry and the treacherous material.

When springs occur behind, or below a wall, they should be carried away by piping, or water-tight culverts, and thus got rid of immediately.

DAMS—DOCK WALLS—and WEIRS require special care in founding equally with other retaining walls.

Water must be effectually excluded from the strata below the walls so as to preclude all danger of their sliding forward, or being blown up.

Weirs may require a row of sheet piling on the up-stream side to prevent the passage of water beneath them. The foundations of dams are sometimes connected with the rock on which they are built by large stones let as dowels into the rock and projecting up into the wall, but such arrangement appears unnecessary in all except small walls whose mass is not sufficient to ensure stability by weight.

When a wall under water pressure stands on a soft material, the centre of pressure may be made to coincide with the centre of figure of the base by making the wall, in profile, to approximate to that of an isosceles triangle, whose angles, at the base, equal $35\frac{1}{4}$ degrees, or whose base = $1.414 \times$ the height.

Unless the co-efficient of friction of such a wall on its bed exceed 0.25, the weight of the masonry must equal at least 145 lbs. per cubic foot, otherwise it may slide forward.*

Walls of Dams and Reservoirs are often deprived of the water pressure at their backs; it is, therefore, necessary to examine their stability under the influence of their own weight alone.

Dock and Sea Walls, although supposed to have to resist *earth* pressure only, should always be made strong enough to bear *water* pressure, as at any time the contingency may occur of an infiltration of water at their rear, while the water in front may be withdrawn.

The pressure of *sea* water exceeds that of *fresh* water in the ratio of 1.026 to 1; the weight of a cubic foot of the latter being 62.4 lbs., and of the former 64 lbs.

In Docks, and other Harbour-work; and often in Brick Retaining walls, the profile adopted is that of a curved batter, with off-sets in steps (usually in projections reckoned in half bricks) at the back.

The radius of curvature is usually three times the height of the wall, and the centre of the curve is in the horizontal drawn through the top of the wall, in this case the batter will be $\frac{1}{6}$ the height of the wall, very nearly, which is considered to be a good practical ratio.

It will be sufficiently accurate to calculate for a wall of this section as if the batter were straight, the effect of the curvature being to add to the stability by bringing the centre of gravity farther in towards the back.

* Rankine's "Applied Mechanics," Art. 216.

The advantage of this section is chiefly to be found in sea and quay walls, where its better fitting the shape of vessels is of some importance; and in its supposed superiority in throwing back the crests of waves; but beyond this, the increase of stability due to the form, and possibly a slightly greater resistance to bulging in the lower courses there is no practical advantage to be gained by a curved profile. Such a wall cannot act as an arch, having only *one* abutment; and it is somewhat more difficult to build, and consequently more expensive to construct, than a wall with straight batters.

The use of concrete for the interior of walls under water pressure is indicated because of their excessive thickness, and because of the greater density and impermeability of well laid concrete.*

Care should be taken, in settling the proportions of the material for the concrete of such works, that the lime shall *fully* occupy *all* the interstices of the shingle, or sand and metal used.

It would appear that concrete formed of coarse sand or fine gravel would be superior in compactness, and prove less porous than if formed of coarser material.

If cement cannot be obtained, at least good hydraulic lime must be used for both the mortar and concrete of walls of the above class.

The masonry in the backs of weirs, and also in the backs of reservoir walls for a few feet below and above the level of the water line, usually the crest of the waste weir, should be of superior construction, as in these parts it may be exposed to the shock of waves and floating bodies.

The *copings* of all retaining walls should be formed of good, heavy stone laid as headers, and in the case of weirs the crest should be formed of large stones, laid with a slope against the stream of about $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches to the foot, and set, with *close* joints, in cement; or under certain circumstances dowelled into each other. It will facilitate the discharge of the water, and strengthen the work, if the inner edge of the crest be rounded off in a semicircular form with a radius of about one-half the breadth of the coping.

COUNTERFORTS and BUTTRESSES.—*Counterforts* act by their weight in increasing the stability of walls; they may be considered to hang on at

* Through courses of masonry in dams are to be avoided as forming planes along which leaks are liable to establish themselves; and for this reason, among others, the large French dams—Furens and Ban—were built of uncoursed rubble, the finest stones being reserved for the facing. Also cement was used in the foundation courses, so as to root as it were the masonry into the “redan-shaped” hollows left by blasting away the smooth surfaces of the rock.

the back, and should therefore be well bonded into the wall by long headers at their line of junction. Provided that this is attended to, the masonry of the counterfort itself may be of the most economical character.

Hoop iron may be used in forming the bond, and of course should be introduced chiefly in the upper half of the junction.

The security of the foundations of counterforts is a matter of secondary consequence, as they should be made to depend for support chiefly on their cohesion to the wall.

In order that their weight, and its moment, may act with the greatest effect, counterforts should not receive any batter at their back; and they should be rough, so as to increase the friction of the earth against them as much as possible.

Long thin counterforts are considered to act advantageously by breaking up the pressure of the earth, and Lieutenant Hope,* conceived that a wall might become a mere shell exposed to hardly any pressure, if the earth were supported by its friction against the sides of long, but thin, and frequent counterforts.

An extension of this principle is the introduction of *relieving arches*, described further on.

The use of counterforts is specially indicated for military works, when their action will be chiefly useful in limiting the destructive effect of projectiles to those pannels of the wall actually struck.

The following are among some of the practical rules given for the dimensions of counterforts:—

1. Square in plan; of a length about half of the mean thickness of the wall; and from 14 to 16 feet apart in the clear.

2. Volume—one-eighth the mass of the wall; breadth 2 to 4 feet; height = $h - 2t$; and from 10 to 20 feet apart.

There is always a saving, although not a very great one, by the introduction of counterforts, as may be seen by equating the expression for the breadth of a counterforted wall with that of an equivalent uniform wall, (equations 20 and 31 of Table VI.)

Relieving Arches are those turned on the counterforts, as piers, to carry the superincumbent filling, the counterforts being of such length that the earth scarcely comes into contact with the back of the wall.

The wall is thus a mere shell blocking up the faces of the archways.

* P. P. Corps of Royal Engineers, Vol. VII.

The arches may be in one or more tiers, and their length should be so

Fig. 1.
Section.





Fig. 2.
Back elevation.



great that the line of natural slope of the earth, touching their intrados at the crown, should not cut the line of the back of the wall above the crown of the extrados of the next tier (vide Fig. 1).

To compute the length, l , of a relieving arch and counterfort on which it stands; being given—the clear height,

h , of the crown.

Let d = the depth of the crown of the arch below the surface.

θ = the angle of repose of the earth.

We have,* approximately:—

$$l = \cot \theta \left(h + \frac{d}{(1 + \sin \theta)^2} \right)$$

and

$$h = l \tan \theta - \frac{d}{(1 + \sin \theta)^2}$$

Buttresses placed in front of a wall increase its leverage; and, as the whole mass tends to turn over, round the outer angles of the buttresses, the intensity of the pressure throughout their mass is very great.

They should, therefore, be constructed of the *very best masonry* to enable them to resist crushing, and their foundations should be designed so as to present the greatest possible incompressibility and resistance.

The beds of the foundations, as well as of the courses of the masonry, should be at right angles to the resultant pressures.

The form of buttresses in section should be triangular, and their junction with the face of the wall should be well secured.

Buttresses are more economical than counterforts; but they can be used only where there is free space in front of the wall, and where the question of land presents no difficulties.

They may be placed at about the same distance apart as counterforts.

LAND TIES AND STRUTS have been used to increase artificially the stability of retaining walls; their adoption, however, has been chiefly

* Rankine's Civil Engineering.

forced on the engineer as a supplementary measure by the failure of existing structures which have been found insufficiently strong.

The former act as anchors at the back of the wall, and consist of iron rods, or bolts passing through the wall and attached to the centre of pressure of plates embedded in the sound earth, and therefore placed well beyond the plane of natural slope.

The latter act as props, or flying buttresses, leaning against the face of the wall.

When intended entirely to resist the sliding of the wall, land ties should be fastened to the wall at one-third its height; but if the resistance to sliding is to be distributed equally between the ties and the foundations, they should be placed at two-thirds the height of the wall above its base;* in this position also they will have greater power to resist the overturning forces.

It appears, therefore, that if the wall shows a tendency to slide at its base, we should adopt the lower position; but, if to lean forward at the top, the upper.

Professor Rankine thus represents the holding power of land ties:—

Let W , be the weight of a cubic foot of the earth.

θ , the angle of natural slope, or of repose.

d' , and d , respectively, the depths below the surface of the upper and lower edges of the plates.

R , the holding power per foot in breadth of plate, then

$$R = W \cdot \frac{d^2 - d'^2}{2} \cdot \frac{4 \sin \theta}{\cos 2 \theta}$$

and the position of the centre of pressure of the plates, where the tie-rods should be attached, is $\frac{2}{3} \frac{d^3 - d'^3}{d^2 - d'^2}$, measured from the surface of the ground.

Concluding Remarks.—In reviewing the state of our knowledge regarding earth pressure, we are struck with the small amount of practical information possessed on the subject, and for this very reason the Student is apt to be bewildered by the maze of theories with which mathematicians have surrounded the question.

It would be a waste of time here to endeavor to lay before the reader

a resumé of the several theories,* or to attempt an analysis of them in the hopes of obtaining a more reliable set of formulæ than those derived from the theory given in these papers. The results here arrived at accord closely with those of the best authors, such as Prony, Moseley, Rankine, and may therefore be assumed to be reliable.

The writer is, however, of opinion from a few practical experiments he has made on the subject, that the theory allows too much and represents the overturning force to be greater than naturally exists. It, therefore, places us in too favorable a position, yet, as in practice it is impossible to obtain the exact conditions of our theory (and if obtained we could not hope to maintain them) it will be safer to adopt formulæ such as those deduced from assumptions which provide several elements of safety than to attempt to obtain rules based on more exact investigations, or stricter data which would probably fail us in practice.

Practical men may object to be guided by rules grounded on an inexact basis; nevertheless, calculations founded on a reasonable theory, although it be admittedly approximate, are of great practical value, and the experienced engineer will prepare more skillful and reliable designs based on such, than if he depended merely on empirical formulæ, or rules of thumb, backed up by no matter how great practical experience.

“Practical experience” in most instances means the application of the engineer's previous practice, or the adaptation of existing examples, to a case in point; and, so long as no great dissimilarity exists between the conditions of the examples and its application, the result will be satisfactory.

But when cases occur out of the beaten track, the merely practical man is without a guide, and unless he be able to apply correct principles in estimating the effects of changed conditions, his experience is at fault, and he may fall into serious mistakes either of defect or excess; the soundest judgment will not carry him through, and he will remain more or less at the mercy of chance.

If, therefore, it be remembered that the circumstances of *walls supporting earth* are too variable and uncertain to be embraced in any exact mathematical propositions; and that the deductions of theory must be valued, the rather for the assistance they afford in arranging and applying facts furnished by “practical experience;” and if we allow *theory* and

* See a Treatise on the Stability of Retaining Walls. By John Murray, C.E.,—first part—for an extensive collection of such.

practice to go hand in hand, we are in a position to design with economy and skill on true and sound principles.

When however a *Wall retains water*, we are entirely removed from the region of speculation, as the laws of fluid pressures are exactly ascertained.

It is to be noted that in the deductions made of the stability of walls to resist *water pressure*, in these papers, the vertical elements of the forces acting on the sloping backs of walls have been neglected. The effect of this is not of much practical importance in ordinary batters compared with the simplicity in the calculations it leads to, while at the same time it introduces an element of safety. In walls with vertical backs the vertical forces are *nil*, and the deductions are strictly accurate.


APPENDIX TO NOTES ON RETAINING WALLS.

I. Mathematical proof of the general equation for the horizontal pressure of a bank of loose earth, viz., $P = W, \tan \epsilon$. (See No. XLVI., Vol. I., First Series, page 453).

In *Fig. 1a*, let ABO' represent the mass to be retained on the inclined plane BO' ; it may be supposed to be that which requires the maximum horizontal resistance to retain it. The mass is held in equilibrium by its weight W , the horizontal resistance H , and the reaction of the plane BC' .

The weight is an active force and calls into existence the two latter passive forces, which being to it in the relation of effect to cause will not increase beyond the least amount capable of balancing it.

Fig. 1a.



Resolving the forces W , and H in directions along, as well as perpendicular to the plane; and remembering that they act in opposite direction, we have:—

Force down the plane = $\overline{ge} = W, \sin i$.

Force up the plane = $\overline{hf} = H \cos i$.

Forces normal to the plane

$$= \overline{be} + \overline{df} = W, \cos i + H \sin i.$$

The tendency to motion *down* the plane is opposed by the forces *up* it, and by the friction developed by the normal forces.

The friction $= (W_2 \cos i + H \sin i) \tan \theta$. Co-efficient of friction $= f = \tan \theta$.

Whence, as there is supposed to be equilibrium:—

$$W_2 \sin i = H \cos i + (W_2 \cos i + H \sin i) \tan \theta$$

Multiplying both sides by $\cos \theta$; and arranging:—

$H (\cos i \cos \theta + \sin i \sin \theta) = W_2 (\sin i \cos \theta - \cos i \sin \theta)$; therefore

$H \cos (i - \theta) = W_2 \sin (i - \theta)$; but $(i - \theta) = \epsilon$; therefore

$$H = W_2 \frac{\sin \epsilon}{\cos \epsilon} = W_2 \tan \epsilon.$$


Q.E.D.

II. Geometrical construction to find, in a mass of loose earth, the position of the plane of maximum pressure, and value of ϵ° and line p' in formula 2 and 3, in No. XLVI., Vol. I., First Series, page 454. (From Mr. Neville's paper in the transactions of the Institute of C. E. in Ireland).

Let AB (*Fig. 2a*) be the back of a wall retaining a bank, ABDC, of loose earth, AC the surface of the bank, BC the plane of natural slope of the earth.

Draw BE parallel to AC, and OP at right angles to the natural slope BC cutting the wall AB produced, if necessary. On

Fig. 2a.



slope BC cutting the wall AB produced, if necessary. On OP describe a semicircle OHP, and with P as centre and PH radius, describe an arc cutting OP in I, through I draw BC, and from where it cuts AC let fall on BC, the natural slope, a perpendicular C'y.

BC' is the plane of maximum pressure, CBC' is ϵ , C'y is d'

and the triangle ABC' and BC'y are equal.

III. To find the centre of gravity of a trapezoid (*Fig. 3a*).


The vertical height of the centre of gravity of a trapezoidal wall over

$$\text{the base} = GG' = \frac{h}{2} \left(1 - \frac{x-t}{3(x+t)} \right) = \frac{h}{3} \cdot \left(\frac{x+2t}{x+t} \right).$$

Or by construction, thus:—

Let ABCD be the quadrilateral (not necessarily a trapezoid)

Fig. 3a.



Draw two diagonals, bisect one, DB in I.

Make $AE = CF$. Join I and E.

The centre of gravity is at $\frac{1}{3} IE$.

Or, in trapezoid, bisect CB in P and AD in O', and join the points of bisection.

Centre of gravity will be at intersection of IE and

O'P.

IV. To find the leverage of the weight of a TRIANGULAR wall round the outer-corner, C, of the base. Figs. 4a, 5a, 6a, 7a.

From the centre of gravity G of the triangle let fall the vertical GG' and bisect the base in O. The leverage is


$$CG' = CO \pm OG' = \frac{x}{2} \pm OG', \dots\dots\dots (a).$$

the positive or negative sign being used according as the points C and G' are on opposite sides or the same side of O the centre of the base.

Let fall the vertical AO', join AO

Fig. 4a.

Fig. 5a.



then $OG' : GG' :: OO' : AO$

$$OG' : \frac{h}{3} :: OO' : h$$

$$\therefore OG' = \frac{OO'}{3}$$

But OO' , is the algebraic difference between half the base and the height, therefore the following cases occur:—

Case I. When the face height rh is given as in Fig. 4a and 5a,

$$OO' = rh - \frac{x}{2}, \text{ for positive values of } OG',$$

$$OO' = \frac{x}{2} - rh, \text{ for negative values of } OG'$$

∴ in formula (a) $+\overline{OG'} = +\left(\frac{r'h}{3} - \frac{x}{6}\right)$, and $-\overline{OG'} = -\left(\frac{x}{6} - \frac{r'h}{3}\right)$ either of which expressions when removed from the brackets becomes



$$\frac{r'h}{3} - \frac{x}{6}$$

$$\therefore CG' = \frac{x}{2} + \frac{r'h}{3} - \frac{x}{6} = \frac{x + r'h}{3} \dots\dots\dots (b).$$

Case II. When the *back* batter, $r'h$, is given, as in *Figs. 6a* and *7a*.

Fig. 6a.

Fig. 7a.



$$\overline{OO'} = \frac{x}{2} - r'h, \text{ for posi-}$$

tive values of OG' ,

$$\overline{OO'} = r'h - \frac{x}{2}, \text{ for ne-}$$

gative values of OG' ,

$$\therefore \text{ in formula (a) } +\overline{OG}$$

$$= +\left(\frac{x}{6} - \frac{r'h}{3}\right) \text{ and } -$$

$$\overline{OG'} = -\left(\frac{r'h}{3} - \frac{x}{6}\right)$$

either of which expressions

removed from brackets becomes $\frac{x}{6} - \frac{r'h}{3}$, and

$$\therefore CG' = \frac{x}{2} + \frac{x}{6} - \frac{r'h}{3} = \frac{2x}{3} - r'h, \dots\dots\dots (c).$$

If qx be the distance from O of the point round which the leverage is sought, and if $q'x = OG'$ be the distance from O of the vertical through the centre of gravity of the wall, the leverage is $(q \pm q')x$, therefore,

$$\text{Case I. } (q \pm q')x = (q - \frac{1}{6})x + \frac{r'h}{3} \dots\dots\dots (d).$$

$$\text{Case II. } (q \pm q')x = (q + \frac{1}{6})x - \frac{r'h}{3} \dots\dots\dots (e).$$

Plumb faced and plumb backed walls are instances of Cases I. and II. respectively when $r'h$, or $r'h = 0$, and $q' = \frac{1}{6}$.

V. To find the leverage of the weight of a **TRAPEZOIDAL** wall round the outer angle C of base *Figs. 8a, 9a, 10a, 11a*.

Let OG' be the distance of the vertical through the centre of gravity from

Fig. 8a.





Fig. 9a.



the centre of the base, and let x be the breadth of base; t the breadth at top.

Bisect base and top in O and P , join O and P , the line OP will pass through C the centre of gravity of the trapezoid.

Let fall verticals PO' and GG' on the


base, then the leverage is

$$CG' = CO \pm OG' = \frac{x}{2} \pm OG', \dots\dots\dots (f).$$

Fig. 10a.



Fig. 11a.



$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also } OG' : GG' &:: \\ OO' : h, \text{ but } GG' &= \frac{h}{3} \frac{(x+2t)}{x+t}; \end{aligned}$$

therefore,

$$OG' = \overline{OO'} \frac{(x+2t)}{3(x+t)}$$

But $\overline{OO'}$ is the algebraic difference between the half breadth of base and the sum of the bat-

ter and the half breadth of top, therefore the following cases occur:—

Case I. Where the face batter is given as in Figs. 8a and 9a.

$$\overline{OO'} = rh + \frac{t}{2} - \frac{x}{2} \text{ for positive values of } OG'$$

$$\overline{OO'} = \frac{x}{2} - \left(rh + \frac{t}{2} \right) \text{ for negative values of } OG'$$

$$\therefore \text{ in formula (f), } + OG' = + \left(\frac{rh}{3} + \frac{t}{6} - \frac{x}{6} \right) \left(\frac{x+2t}{x+t} \right) \text{ and}$$

$$- OG' = - \left(\frac{x}{6} - \frac{rh}{3} - \frac{t}{6} \right) \left(\frac{x+2t}{x+t} \right)$$

either of which expressions removed from brackets becomes

$$\left(\frac{r'h}{3} + \frac{t}{6} - \frac{x}{6}\right) \left(\frac{x+2t}{x+t}\right)$$

$$\therefore OG' = \left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{r'h}{3} + \frac{t}{6} - \frac{x}{6}\right) \cdot \frac{x+2t}{x+t} = \left(\frac{x+r'h}{3} + \frac{t}{6}\right) \frac{x+2t}{x+t}.$$

Case II. When the *back* batter is given as in *Figs. 10a* and *11a*.

$$\overline{OO'} = \frac{x}{2} - \left(r'h + \frac{t}{2}\right) \text{ for positive values of } OG'$$

$$= r'h + \frac{t}{2} - \frac{x}{2} \text{ for negative values of } OG'$$

$$\therefore \text{ in formula (a) } + OG' = + \left(\frac{x}{6} - \frac{r'h}{3} - \frac{t}{6}\right) \frac{x+2t}{x+t} \text{ and}$$

$$- OG' = - \left(\frac{r'h}{3} + \frac{t}{6} - \frac{x}{6}\right) \frac{x+2t}{x+t}$$

either of which expressions out of brackets becomes $\left(\frac{x}{6} - \frac{r'h}{3} - \frac{t}{6}\right) \frac{x+2t}{x+t}$

$$\therefore OG' = \left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{x}{6} - \frac{r'h}{3} - \frac{t}{6}\right) \frac{x+2t}{x+t} = \left(\frac{2x-r'h}{3} - \frac{t}{6}\right) \frac{x+2t}{x+t}$$

If q and q' be taken to represent values as in the case of the triangle the leverage = $(q \pm q') x$, therefore,

$$\text{Case I. } (q \pm q') x = qx + \left(\frac{r'h}{3} + \frac{t}{6} - \frac{x}{6}\right) \frac{x+2t}{x+t}.$$

$$\text{Case II. } (q \pm q') x = qx + \left(\frac{x}{6} - \frac{r'h}{3} - \frac{t}{6}\right) \frac{x+2t}{x+t}.$$

Plumb faced and plumb backed walls are respectively instances of the above cases, when $r'h$ and $r'h = 0$.

Table of Earth Pressure against one foot in length of sloping and vertical backed walls for following cases :—

1. When the surface of the loose earth is horizontal, and level with the top of the wall *Fig. 14* (No. XLVI., Vol. I., First Series, page 457) calculated by Formula (9a). Horizontal pressure = $P = Wh^2 \times \frac{\sin^2 \epsilon}{\sin^2 (\theta + \epsilon)}$
2. When the surface slopes up from the top of the wall at the angle of repose *Fig. 12* (No. XLVI., Vol. I., First Series, page 456) calculated by formula (7). Horizontal pressure = $P = Wh^2 \times \frac{\sin^2 \phi}{2 \cos^2 \beta}$.

| Angle θ° of Repose. | 1. SURFACE OF BANK HORIZONTAL. | | | | | | | | 2. SURFACE OF BANK SLOPING UP AT ANGLE OF REPOSE. | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|
| | Slope of Back of Wall. | | | | | | | | Slope of Back of Wall. | | | | | | | |
| | Over-hanging. | | | Plumb | Reclining. | | | Over-hanging. | | | Plumb | Reclining. | | | | |
| | $\beta^\circ =$ | | | | $\beta^\circ =$ | | | $\beta^\circ =$ | | | | $\beta^\circ =$ | | | | |
| | 14° or 1 in 4 | 10° or 1 in 6 | 5° or 1 in 12 | 0° | 5° or 1 in 12 | 10° or 1 in 6 | 14° or 1 in 4 | | 14° or 1 in 4 | 10° or 1 in 6 | 5° or 1 in 12 | 0° | 5° or 1 in 12 | 10° or 1 in 6 | 14° or 1 in 4 | |
| 27 | ·289 | ·252 | ·218 | ·188 | ·166 | ·141 | ·125 | ·504 | ·471 | ·433 | ·397 | ·362 | ·329 | ·302 | ·275 | |
| 28 | ·280 | ·224 | ·212 | ·180 | ·157 | ·133 | ·120 | ·499 | ·466 | ·427 | ·389 | ·355 | ·320 | ·293 | ·266 | |
| 29 | ·270 | ·236 | ·204 | ·173 | ·150 | ·129 | ·114 | ·495 | ·461 | ·421 | ·383 | ·371 | ·311 | ·284 | ·257 | |
| 30 | ·261 | ·228 | ·194 | ·167 | ·145 | ·124 | ·108 | ·490 | ·455 | ·414 | ·375 | ·339 | ·303 | ·275 | ·248 | |
| 31 | ·252 | ·220 | ·190 | ·160 | ·138 | ·117 | ·103 | ·485 | ·449 | ·407 | ·367 | ·330 | ·294 | ·265 | ·238 | |
| 32 | ·243 | ·213 | ·183 | ·153 | ·132 | ·111 | ·097 | ·480 | ·443 | ·400 | ·359 | ·321 | ·285 | ·256 | ·229 | |
| 33 | ·250 | ·204 | ·176 | ·147 | ·126 | ·106 | ·092 | ·474 | ·437 | ·393 | ·351 | ·313 | ·276 | ·247 | ·220 | |
| 34 | ·226 | ·197 | ·169 | ·141 | ·122 | ·101 | ·087 | ·468 | ·430 | ·386 | ·343 | ·304 | ·267 | ·240 | ·213 | |
| 35 | ·218 | ·190 | ·161 | ·135 | ·116 | ·095 | ·082 | ·462 | ·423 | ·378 | ·335 | ·296 | ·253 | ·228 | ·201 | |
| 36 | ·210 | ·183 | ·156 | ·130 | ·110 | ·090 | ·078 | ·456 | ·416 | ·370 | ·327 | ·287 | ·249 | ·219 | ·192 | |
| 37 | ·203 | ·174 | ·149 | ·124 | ·105 | ·087 | ·073 | ·449 | ·409 | ·362 | ·319 | ·278 | ·240 | ·210 | ·183 | |
| 38 | ·196 | ·170 | ·144 | ·119 | ·101 | ·083 | ·069 | ·438 | ·402 | ·355 | ·310 | ·270 | ·231 | ·201 | ·174 | |
| 39 | ·189 | ·164 | ·139 | ·114 | ·095 | ·077 | ·065 | ·436 | ·394 | ·371 | ·302 | ·261 | ·222 | ·192 | ·165 | |
| 40 | ·182 | ·157 | ·132 | ·108 | ·091 | ·074 | ·061 | ·428 | ·387 | ·339 | ·293 | ·252 | ·213 | ·183 | ·156 | |
| 41 | ·176 | ·151 | ·127 | ·104 | ·086 | ·069 | ·058 | ·421 | ·379 | ·330 | ·284 | ·243 | ·205 | ·174 | ·147 | |
| 42 | ·169 | ·146 | ·123 | ·099 | ·082 | ·066 | ·054 | ·413 | ·371 | ·321 | ·276 | ·234 | ·195 | ·166 | ·139 | |
| 43 | ·163 | ·139 | ·117 | ·094 | ·078 | ·062 | ·051 | ·405 | ·363 | ·313 | ·267 | ·226 | ·187 | ·157 | ·130 | |
| 44 | ·156 | ·135 | ·110 | ·090 | ·073 | ·057 | ·047 | ·398 | ·354 | ·304 | ·259 | ·217 | ·178 | ·152 | ·125 | |
| 45 | ·150 | ·129 | ·107 | ·085 | ·069 | ·054 | ·044 | ·390 | ·346 | ·296 | ·250 | ·208 | ·170 | ·141 | ·114 | |
| 46 | ·145 | ·124 | ·103 | ·081 | ·066 | ·051 | ·041 | ·381 | ·337 | ·287 | ·241 | ·200 | ·162 | ·130 | ·103 | |
| 48 | ·134 | ·113 | ·092 | ·093 | ·059 | ·045 | ·036 | ·364 | ·320 | ·270 | ·224 | ·183 | ·145 | ·117 | ·090 | |

Rules and Examples. To find the horizontal pressure (acting at $\frac{1}{3}$ the

height) against a retaining wall. Multiply the weight of a cubic foot of the earth by square of the height of the wall, and by the tabular co-efficient for the proper inclination of the surface, angle of repose of the earth, and batter of back of wall.

Example 1. Horizontal pressure of a bank of earth with *horizontal surface* against a wall 10 feet high; weight of cubic foot earth = 100 lbs; angle of repose 40° .

(a). When back is *vertical*, $P = Wh^2 \times \text{co-efficient} = 100 \times .108 = 1,080 \text{ lbs.}$

(b). When back *overhangs* 10° , that is to say, batters out towards the face 1 in 6; $P = Wh^2 \times \text{co-efficient} = 10,000 \times .157 = 1,570 \text{ lbs.}$

(c). When back *reclines* 10° , that is to say batters in towards the earth 1 in 6; $P = Wh^2 \times \text{co-efficient} = 10,000 \times .074 = 740.$

Example 2. Horizontal pressure of a bank of earth, *surface sloping up* at angle of repose. Bank of wall overhanging.

$W = 100, h = 10, \theta^\circ = 40^\circ, \beta^\circ = 10^\circ \therefore P = Wh^2 \times \text{co-efficient} = 100 \times 10^3 \times .387 = 2,870 \text{ lbs.}$

J. H. E. H.

No. XVIII.

MASONRY IN A TRAP COUNTRY.

[*Vide* Plate XII].

BY HORACE BELL, ESQ., *Engineer, Public Works Department, 1st Division, Indore (State) Railway.*


It is not, perhaps, generally known, that the greater portion of Central and Western India is covered by an immense overflow of trap, so extensive, indeed, as to embrace an area of something like 160,000 square miles (about the size of France). The limits of this overflow are approximately shown on the accompanying map. About $\frac{7}{8}$ ths of the entire scheme of railways under the Great Indian Peninsula Railway Company lies within this tract, on which an expenditure of probably not less than £18,000,000 sterling has been incurred; and two of the new narrow gauge State lines, the Indore Railway, and part of the Chanda Coal Line, will also be constructed within it, and will cost, perhaps, another $1\frac{1}{2}$ million of money. It will be borne in mind, also, that within the last 10 or 15 years very large sums of money have been spent on roads and buildings by the Public Works Department in the Bombay Presidency, and in the Central Provinces and the Berars. I cannot think, therefore, that it will be uninteresting to give a brief sketch of the experience I have myself had, and of that of others, I have been able to collect, on the important subject of masonry in a trap or basalt country, and, if possible, endeavour to afford some guide for those whose duties may carry them into this part of India.

The trap country is, as may be supposed, totally unlike the great Gange-tic plains of Upper India. It is very markedly undulating, is intersected by low ranges of hills, often curiously scarped, and (from the slow disintegration of the rock,) has but a thin poor soil, except along the edges of streams. The watersheds are mostly bare, and covered with thin scrub or stunted jungle of "salai," "unjun," and pollard teak. Little difficulty occurs in assigning the limits of any catchment basin. The general steep slope of the country, the non-absorbent nature of the drainage basins, and occasional enormously heavy local rain falls, produce sudden floods cumbered with driftwood from the upland jungles, which give many of the streams and rivers quite the character of torrents in the monsoon. It is, therefore, comforting to know, that it is but rarely that there is anxiety on the score of foundations. Either hard "moorum" or rock is found at small depth below, or in the exposed bed of every stream, and it needs but good honest masonry to ensure success in bridge building in this part of India.

It would be difficult to give any general description of the appearance of trap. It includes a great variety of different looking and differently valuable rocks of all colors and degrees of hardness. One may see yellow trap (Coorla, Bombay,) blue, red, pink, green, gray and spotted (amygdaloid,) but I need not say that color is no guide to the engineer in selecting a stone. Neither, indeed, is locality, for one ridge within a square mile may yield a stone which will disintegrate almost literally into mud in the first monsoon, while another ridge close by may afford a stone such as I have seen in some old temples on which the tool marks are clearly seen after being built about 1200 years. In effect, the selection of stone for a work of any permanence, or, that more particularly has to carry a heavy load, is one of the most responsible duties of the engineer in a trap country. Generally, the hardest stone may be assumed to be the most durable, though this is not always the case, as for instance basalt which has a columnar structure, may come out of a quarry seemingly hard enough for any work, but which will be in fragments in perhaps a few months after exposure. The prominent feature in trap or basalt is its hardness, and what may be called intractability, and it is this that, making it so cruelly difficult and expensive to work, tempts both contractor and engineer to seek a soft and frequently unreliable stone. With but few exceptions, I have noticed, that the cellular and amygdaloidal traps are the most


liable to disintegration, so also are those that have a rusty gray color* on fracture. The best, I should consider, to be the blueish green basalt, which is very hard and heavy, having a specific gravity about 3.00, and which rings like a metal on being struck. But, as I have before said, there is no reliable guide in color or appearance, and the only safe test is the old one of finding out old buildings and quarry faces. Failing these, which may not be always found, one must trust to the experience of others, or to chance to settle the matter. I may here quote a sentence from Philip's Guide to Geology; he says, "The chemical composition of lava is generally such as to give origin to abundance of glassy felspar, or of augite with felspar. * * * Basalt is an augitic lava, generally consisting of about 46 silica, 16.5 alumina, 9 lime, 20 oxide of iron, and 3 or 4 soda. Trachyte is a felspathic rock. Between trachyte and basalt are innumerable varieties depending on the proportions of augite and felspar, and on the admixture of Olivine, oxide of iron, hornblende, quartz, and many other minerals." It would be useless here to give the names and characters of the very numerous rocks which we may include under the term, trap. It is sufficient for us to recognise the fact of the great number of different materials to be found in the trap area, and to be wary in using any one that has not established a character already for durability.

Like all Plutonic rocks, traps, while more or less hard and crystalline, are yet brittle enough to be shaped roughly, without much labor with the scabbling hammer, but the sides or beds of a stone cannot be worked flat or true without using the pick or punch. The result of working a stone with the hammer is to produce a shape (like the sketch on margin), of a very rough square or oblong, with rounded beds and faces, and it is clearly not possible to make either neat or strong work with such a stone. Flat or parallel bedded rubble so often and so easily specified by engineers, can but rarely be got in a trap country, and must mean either top layers of stone, probably that of a thin afterflow, and scarcely reliable, or that the stone has been gone over with a tool, either a pick, which, by the




* From oxide of iron.

way, natives have not yet got to use, or the punch (a narrow blunt chisel). The scabbling hammer in use by the native masons, *Side view of Sootkee.* is a peculiar but most effective tool. It is nothing more than a block of iron into which a small steel wedge is jammed (see sketch,) and is made of various weights according to the size of stone to be manipulated. It is called a "sootkee," the lightest is generally about two or three pounds, and the heaviest, for dressing off heavy block in course stones, weighs from 50 to 60 pounds, and is, of course used with both hands. With this tool the "beldars" and "wuddas" produce what they call "kankee," and "eyeron putbar" or "broad seal" and "dog nose" stone with a dangerous facility. *End view* It is a stone with a fair square or oblong face, tiling off behind on bed and sides to something like a point, (see the sketch on margin.) This stone is the result of the difficulty before alluded to of getting a trap stone naturally, or *flatbedded with the hammer only*. This difficulty is got rid of simply enough by the native, by sacrificing everything but the face. He selects the best face on a stone, and proceeds to knock away from it with the "sootkee" as much as he can without destroying the actual length of the stone. He has then a tolerably thin edge on the face, which is easily dressed square and true with the same tool. It has then a neat enough appearance on the face and may be taken by the unexperienced eye to be coursed rubble. It is clearly utterly worthless, the true bed of the stone is but a knife edge, and the real bed is a lot of chips and spalls, set perhaps in doubtful mortar.





This is the shape of stone commonly in use for native buildings, and in any large town they are regularly kept on stock, and sold as "beldar's stone."

If put into walling, the work consists of two faces, and a core of chips. Bond stones or through headers being difficult to get, except by regular and systematic quarrying, are seldom used,



and the masonry is held together entirely by the mortar. It is work of this class, or closely approaching it, that has been, and indeed is still allowed all over this part of India by English Engineers, who should know better. Nothing in the shape of masonry can be possibly worse,

good random rubble fairly bonded would be infinitely better, and almost if not quite, as neat looking when tuck-pointed. But when, as is the case, this "bread seal" work can be done for nearly the same money, and have the "appearance of coursed rubble on the face," the temptation appears too strong to be easily resisted. It was only the other day that I saw this very class of work being put into one of the new public buildings in Bombay. The work was mere face, the inside and backing being simply rubbish. If, however, this "bread seal" work had been permitted in walling only, or in unimportant work generally, much harm would not have been done, but on account of its cheapness and good looks, its use has crept on till the "eyeron puthar" or something very much like it, came to be put into the piers of lofty viaducts, and even into the arches of railway bridges. I have seen arching pulled down on the G. I. P. Railway, in which the summering or radiation of the arch stones of the sheeting was almost entirely produced by spalls and mortar, and, in fact, the result of this in some bridges, the arch stones actually dropped out at and near the crown. There are, I believe, very many road bridges in the Bombay Presidency, in which the arches have been designedly constructed of "bread seal" stones, put in as in the marginal sketch, with the face alternately inside and out. This may do fairly well no doubt for road work, where the loads and vibration are trivial, but where the stones are not reversed, and are simply put in as in my sketch of walling, it would have been better to have turned the arch at once in honest-concrete.

Side view.

It is quite a marvel how such work has stood so long on the railway, where, owing to the settlement of banks, the rail level had got down within two feet of the crown of the arch.

In the original specification of the G. I. P. Railway, this class of work, although not actually specified, is very nearly so, and has been permitted, at all events, to an extent which involves reconstruction, that will cost a million sterling, and that would have nearly ruined a company that was not deriving its dividend snugly from Government. Under the head of

"coursed rubble" in this specification, it is laid down that "they, the stones, "must be strongly bonded into the rubble backing, but the beds and joints of the stones need not be worked more than is necessary to give the *"appearance of coursed work on the face"* of all beds and joints. The italics are mine. Could anything be nearer the description of "bread seal" work, or be more neatly expressed towards giving a rascally contractor or a weak engineer a loophole for doing or allowing bad work. If coursed work means anything, it does *not* mean that the true bed of each stone should be merely a knife-edge resting elsewhere than on spalls and mortar.

In short, the gigantic failure of masonry work on the G. I. P. Railway is a most instructive lesson, one that it would hardly have been thought necessary for trained engineers to have to learn, but the longer one lives, it becomes more obvious, how difficult it is to see or combat an error, that in remedying affects somebody's pocket. It was, I think, Brunel who held that we learnt more by failures than success, and who almost appeared to court disaster in his bold experimental works. But when, as in this case of the railway, the bill for reconstruction will amount to something near a million sterling, it is more than a mere lesson, it is a solemn warning that no Government however "financially elastic" or that any Engineer however economically inclined can afford to disregard.

It was in 1867 that the sudden collapse of the great Mhow-ke-Mullea viaduct on the Bhoze Ghât, drew the attention of the Government, and Railway Engineers to the idea of the probability of something being possibly very generally wrong in the masonry on the G. I. P. Railway and a close and rigorous inspection which was then instituted, showed many other equally important, and hundreds of minor masonry works to be in a doubtful, if not dangerous condition. At the end of the year the Directors sent out their Consulting Engineer, Mr. George Berkeley, to report on the causes of failure, and to suggest such remedial measures as would "place all existing works on the line on a footing of permanent durability equal to a first class European Railway." His report appeared in due course, and a most instructive and indeed valuable contribution, particularly as regards basalt masonry, to our Indian engineering literature. It might with advantage have been more extensively circulated. He says, referring to the general character of the masonry that. "It is unfortunate (a mild term) that this class of work should have been applied to these structures, but this has evidently arisen from anxiety to secure

the economy and rapidity of construction so much desired by both the railway authorities and the Government, and from a belief in its sufficiency based upon the substantial character (query, *apparently* substantial?) of large native buildings which have existed for centuries" * * * *, to which he might have added "but which have not had to carry the heavy vibrating loads which railway masonry is constantly subject to." He says however, further on very truly. "It was in the highest degree difficult to alter in any large measure the confirmed habits of native masons," and that "almost insuperable difficulties presented themselves on some portions of the line in the way of procuring stone or bricks of a perfectly reliable quality." The various causes of failure put forward by Mr. Berkeley may be briefly stated as follows:—

Inferior stone which has weathered and cracked.

Bad mortar due to several causes.

Insufficiency of water used in the works. .

Paucity of headers, or bond in the work.

Having two classes of masonry in the thickness of a pier or abutment such as blocking on face, and a rubble hearting, whereby in lofty work especially in that carried up or diminished by "set offs" instead of batter, the load on top rested practically on the hearting only.

Level of rails in arched bridges being too close to crown of arch.

Desire for economy having led to the reduction of dimensions to an unsafe minimum.

Want of dry filling at back of abutments.

Absence of bed blocks or timber imposts to deaden vibration or distribute load on girder bridges.

And last, though not least, bad or careless foundations.

The bad stone, bad mortar, want of bond stones, or use of small stone generally are causes of failure that may be put down as being certainly peculiar to masonry in a trap country, but there is another cause not noticed by Mr. Berkeley which in my opinion is still more so, and greatly more widespread although more obscure in its effects. It is that basalt being practically a non-absorbent stone, the mortar if not persistently moistened dries and "dies" before it has time to set, while on the other hand if built quickly into the interior of basalt work, it remains moist and unset for a very much longer time than is generally given before the

work run over I give here a small table of some experiments I made to determine the absorption of some kinds of trap rock compared with other well known materials

Table of experiments on absorption of some kinds of trap and basalt compared with other material —

| Kind of Stone | Weight of stone specimen (dry) in grains | ACTUAL ABSORPTION OF WATER AFTER HAVING IMMERSED IN GRAINS | | RELATIVE AMOUNT OF WATER ABSORBED AFTER | |
|--|--|--|--------------|---|----------------|
| | | 1 hour | 3 hours | 1 hour | 3 hours. |
| Green black basalt (Khundwa) very hard, . | 2898 | 10 | No increase | $\frac{1}{15}$ | .. |
| Light grey, ditto ditto, | 1557 | 11 | 14* | $\frac{1}{12}$ | $\frac{1}{11}$ |
| Yellow trap Coorla, Bombay, | 706 | 30 | No increase. | $\frac{1}{4}$ | .. |
| Red Amygdaloid Pokurnee, G I P Railway, . | 1386 | 99 | do | $\frac{1}{4}$ | .. |
| Piece of fair red brick made at Khundwa .. . | 1851 | 244 | do | $\frac{1}{2}$ | .. |
| Piece of Stock brick, English, | 453 | 49 | 73* | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| Piece of neat Portland cement mortar, | 688 | 122 | 146* | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |

* No further increase

It will be seen that the absorption is so small as to be practically nil. The conclusion I arrive at is that as basalt (trap) can neither take up air or water in sufficient quantity, that is, can neither take up the excess of moisture in the mortar or convey to it the air from which it must derive its quantum of carbonic acid, it is not really safe to construct works in this material requiring to be strong, and *soon strong*, unless with really good hydraulic lime or cement, which will contain in itself the ability to set even in water. To build in basalt with common lime is I consider (without a care which is impossible or impracticable), no better than building with good clay. As a fact I have known mortar to be taken out of thick basalt work built for 11 or 7 years, which was still comparatively soft and moist, and which set and gradually hardened after it was taken out. It may not be superfluous here to remind readers of what is very often overlooked, that there is a great difference between mortar merely setting and its ultimate hardening and it is not until it hardens that

it becomes so valuable an auxiliary in masonry. Mortar may be of a nature, or in a position where it will just set, but may either, we may say, never harden or only after so many years as to be partially either valueless or even dangerous as a cementing agent. Again it is to be remembered that for mortar setting and hardening properly requires pressure or superincumbent weight, and that in rubble or in bad masonry, the weight rests mostly on chips and spales and that perhaps only 30 or 40 per cent of the cementing material is really loaded, the rest being merely in contact (or partially so) with both adjacent stones. In good coursed rubble or block in course work, the mortar in both beds and joints gets a fair pressure on it, and correspondingly attains a much greater tenacity or strength than if merely loosely in contact with a stone, as it is in rough rubble or quasi coursed rubble masonry.

Another cause of failure which has more than once occurred to me is that the hard glassy not porous surface of basalt permits of but a very slight hold on the mortar in contact with it, that in fact it is not unlike building with blocks of cast-iron, so that in rough or rubble like work in which the bedding and bonding of the stones is not so much looked to as the general solidity of the mass due to the mortar, we should not expect the same strength or tenacity of work as we should in similar work of sandstone, limestone or rough granite.* In fact if the expense is prohibitive of regular coursed work in which each stone is fairly bedded and bonded with the others adjacent, I am not sure if it would not be better to ignore the stone as a source of strength, and use it merely as a filling; in smaller size with really good mortar as a concrete, taking care to have such an excess of cementing material or matrix as to avoid the probability of such a heavy stone working down, and separating from the mortar in the ramming. I found from actual experiment that the voids in broken basalt from $\frac{3}{4}$ " to 2" cubes were as 6 to 7 of the actual volume of the broken stone indicating that the proportion of mortar or matrix to stone should not be less than 1 to 1.

I made up a concrete for experiment of the following proportions,

- 3 parts lime dry.
- 4 parts sand.
- 1 part soorkee.
- 8 parts broken stone 2" cubes.

* Since writing this, a friend informs me that he has heard the same opinion from native masons, viz., that mortar has very little cohesion to basalt.

and tried it for ramming into boxes or moulds, but found that this proportion contained a great deal too much stone for this purpose, that is, for making good clean shaped blocks, although it would have done very well for foundations.

Mr. Berkeley's allusion to, and condemnation of the bad mortar he saw, hits on, as regards a trap country, a more difficult matter to deal with than he is perhaps aware of, for the only lime obtainable is from a poor sandy kunkur or from equally dirty semi-limestone, both in small irregular deposits. It is, I know, too generally supposed, particularly by those whose experience is mainly derived from Upper India, that kunkur lime is all good if not hydraulic. That this is quite wrong as respects kunkur in a trap country, I have no doubt. I have in fact burnt a material that looked in every way a true kunkur, but which when slaked (which it did very slowly indeed), turned out to be very little better than a *sandy mud*. Another reason for bad lime, and a result of the radical error of buying slaked lime from contractors instead of lime "in shell," is that in a trap country the lime deposits are frequently accompanied by deposits in pot-holes of a white chalky earth "*kullee muttee*" which the native contractor quite naturally freely mixes with the slaked lime, in about equal proportions with wood or cowdung ash. But it is not only in this part of India that lime is bad. It is I conceive a very wide-spread evil in our public works in this country, and unless more attention is paid to it than is now done, we shall not escape the ever recurring failures which both on railway and Government works, have detracted so much from the good reputation our Engineers have earned on the whole in this great empire. We are too ready to buy lime from any man, because it looks like lime, or to burn any kunkur or limestone because it looks like the "proper thing." We forget too soon after coming out here what care and expense is taken at home, even on the most ordinary works, to ensure at all events (whatever its after treatment may be), that the lime shall come from well known localities or from manufacturers of experience and repute. With all our new roads, railways and canals, we are not even yet advanced enough to see the importance of what I may call *lime centres*, that is places where it is known that good lime can be, and will be made. We have positively nothing of the kind, save the expensive resource of English Portland cement. It is true that here and there are found good kunkur deposits, or a good limestone which can produce a good lime, but not only

do the quality of deposits even in one bed vary, but the treatment of them at the kiln also as we all know. I certainly look forward to the time when whether by private speculation or by direct Government agency, certain good lime centres will be established in each Province, where reliable lime and perhaps hydraulic cement will be always obtainable, and from which every Engineer shall be required to get his lime for any work of importance or permanence. The system at present of digging a hole anywhere for lime and burning it or allowing it to be burnt without selection, and with but small attention, is one of the very worst and crudest evils in our public works in India, and although the plan I urge is apparently more expensive at first, it is more than probable that it would repay itself in the end if not in actual saving of demolition, in the obviating the great inconvenience to the public service of the frequent repairs and ejections on their account.

Another feature of this bad mortar business is that our masonry is put together by men who either cannot or will not see the importance, let alone the propriety, (I can find no better words,) of doing honest strong work for its own sake. So long as they can "put a good face on it" they are satisfied; bond, bed, and strength are requirements of the "sahib" which they either do not believe in, or are too lazy to carry out. We must, therefore, depend more out here on our lime (for we cannot afford an inspector to each masonry work) than need be done at home, where we feel more or less certain that the masons will either from habit or proper workmanlike feeling use such material as is given them to the best advantage. I am not here intending to uphold any particular excess of virtue in the English petty contractor at home or out here, for I have seen empty cement barrels taken out of a bridge abutment at home, but which were nevertheless surrounded by unimpeachable brickwork. The mason here did his part well enough. He was provided with fair bricks and mortar, and some empty barrels, and did his best with the material provided.

However to return to our mortar in a trap country, the lime is as I say poor stuff as a rule, and the sand is not much better at least to look at, though from some experiments I have lately made to compare its value with clean sharp, rather too fine, silicious stuff, I found that if anything it gave a better result than the latter.

I am inclined to believe notwithstanding that if the mortars had been subjected to pressure as in ordinary masonry, that the basalt sand

would not have come out so well : This however is a mere surmise, which I hope to be able to verify some day The sand obtainable in a trap country is as unlike ones conception of such a material as can well be It consists of almost entirely rounded particles of trap, and a small proportion of flint nodules, made up "du reste" with stuff that would rapidly become dirt or mud

In concluding this sketch let me offer a few words of warning as to masonry in a trap country, or indeed in India generally : It is *firstly* to bear in mind that in building in any material, either in brick or stone, the native looks more to face than strength and trusts to the mortar In brickwork, which is perhaps a more common material in India than stone, the bonding of the work is easily done, and without extra labor, but in stone work, bond means lifting, dressing, and setting a large stone, and this the native mason will shirk if he can He has no pride in the stability of his work, and has to be *made* to do strong work This cannot of course be obtained without ceaseless inspection and patience *Secondly*—Because of the above described difficulty in getting honest work, to be assured and satisfied that the lime is good, and the sand clean, and sharp if possible It may be dearer apparently to burn lime 'departmentally' as it is termed, than to buy it, but there is no real saving in buying lime from a contractor It should be manufactured under thorough and intelligent supervision, and be assured that the trifling extra cost will well repay itself *Thirdly*, and lastly, be sure that your rate for the work specified is sufficient There is no greater evil to my mind in the Public Works Department, than what I may call *theoretical rates* rates which were apparently worked to in some former estimate, or other part of the country, and which are now forced on you to adhere to There has been more "bad nām" and loss to Government from the radical cause of working or trying to work to impossible rates than any other

H B

No. XIX.

LIGHT-HOUSES ON THE COAST OF BRITISH BURMA.

[*Vide* Plates XII., XIV., XV.]*Note by* COLONEL A. FRASER, C.B., R.E.*Dated 22nd September, 1869.*

THE lights on the China Buckeer and Eastern Grove are reported to have been lighted on the 14th September, 1869. That on the Khrishna Shoal was lighted on the 11th June, 1869, but has never till now, to my knowledge, been advertised.

There only remains the light-house on the Oyster Reef to complete the system of lighting the coast of British Burma which I conceived to be the proper one, and which commenced with the erection of the light-house on the Alguada Reef.

I believe all evidence tends to show that the system, which is now completed, (with the exception of the one light-house on the Oyster Reef,) has been successful, that no one can say fairly that any light is either in itself inferior to any in the whole world of a like order, that it is not in a proper position, or that the buildings were not built as economically as the circumstances permitted.

Six Light-houses; viz.,

1. The Alguada—Stone.
2. The Double Island—Stone.
3. The Cocos—Plate iron.
4. The Khrishna Shoal—On screw piles.
5. The China Buckeer—Do.
6. The Eastern Grove—Do.

have been erected on this coast under my personal direction. A seventh

that on the Oyster Reef, is now being designed, and the eighth, the "Savage" off Akyab, is being remodelled on my suggestion *

Since December 1859, I have persistently advised the carrying out of this system, and the Government of India have invariably supported me

I believe there are few (if any) Engineers, who have had such a fortunate opportunity as myself of carrying out so much of a general plan of works which have hitherto so notoriously required years and years before any scheme could be brought to a head, and which may be said to embrace almost all the East side of the Bay of Bengal and to protect the Calcutta and China trade from the dangers of the islands North of the Andamans

The time (10 years) within which these operations have been carried out may be considered short especially when the magnitude of the work on the Algnada Reef is considered There are also few Engineers who have received such a graceful recognition of their services as was accorded to me by the Governor General (Proceedings in the P W D, No 588c, dated 12th June, 1865) on the completion of that light house

Carrying out the general scheme has been a work of much anxiety and responsibility, and I only trust that the relief to the mariner will be, to some extent, proportionate

As the question of stone or iron light houses has created some interest, I would here glance at the circumstances and conditions under which the several buildings above enumerated were erected

The light house at Akyab is of stone, and was completed in the year 1844 It is not known here what its cost was It was built by Lieutenant Siddons, B E

The next in succession was the light house on the Algnada Reef, and it would be difficult to know the precise amount of its cost Some of the Government steamers and vessels employed were charged to it, and some were not while those that were charged to it were also employed on the erection of the Cocos and the Double Island light houses The cost could not have been much under £100,000, and it was commenced in February 1861 and completed in April 1865, so that it took nearly five years to build besides two years of preparation, which, considering the circumstances of its construction, the comparative difficulty of procuring proper labor, and the distance it was necessary to go to obtain materials, does not however compare unfavorably with other large light houses of similar type, &c

* Since completed

as the Skerry Vohr and the Bell Rock. It was a large sum to expend nevertheless, and with the experience gained, there is no doubt, it might now be built for less. This light-house bears a 1st order catadioptric holophotal light, revolving once in a minute.

The next which was lighted was the Double Island light-house, commenced in 1863 and lighted in December 1865. It bears a 1st order dioptric fixed light, is of stone and brick, and cost Rs. 90,338-11-4.


The Cocos light-house followed, and is an iron plated tower with separate buildings of brick for the light-keepers, it bears a 1st order dioptric fixed light, cost Rs. 1,17,916-6-6, was commenced in 1863, and was lighted on the 15th February, 1867.

On consideration of the expenditure in money and time on these light-houses, I went closely, when at home in 1865-66, into the subject of a cheaper style of light-house, more quickly and more easily erected: and also into the question of putting up such light-houses in lieu of light-ships, with reference to the saving of cost in erection and maintenance, and the comparatively smaller risk to human life; and, as a good opportunity offered for testing the conclusions I had arrived at from careful investigation, the Government ordered the light-house on the Khrishna Shoal to be put up on screw piles, in deep water; as also those on shore, at the China Buckeer and Eastern Grove, from which a clear test of the economy of the *two* latter as compared with *one* light-ship could be ascertained.

The piles of the Khrishna Shoal have now been down for two S. W. monsoons, and none of the fears expressed, as regards scour, &c., have been verified. It was lighted within 16 months of its having been commenced, is in deep water, and has cost including the light (which is fixed) not more than £16,000. Had circumstances been favorable it might easily have been erected in from 6 to 8 months. It is in three fathoms of water at low water, is exposed to a heavy sea during the S. W. monsoon with a six knot tide running at springs, and an important position for a light has been secured in deep water, where, to have attempted any other kind of structure, it would have cost ten times that sum, if it could have been done at all. This light-house bears a fixed light of the 2nd order.

The China Buckeer light-house bears a light of the 1st order, revolving once a minute, to which there is no superior in the world, and it is borne by a tower on screw piles which, including the light, has cost only Rs. 80,000, and has been set up in about 12 months.

H BURMA



subordinate was in the light-room on the very first night of its being lighted, an earthquake took place at 1-45 A. M., which shook all the glass-work and made it "ring like bells." Now I have not the slightest doubt, but these pile structures will stand a heavier shock of earthquake than a masonry building of similar height.

Again a light-ship is exposed to danger from collisions though not from earthquakes, but on the other hand she is exposed to the danger of being driven from her position, and she is generally in such a position, at all events in these seas, that, if driven from her position, she goes ashore. The Government of India have lost four light-ships within the last five years with *all* hands on board.

It is quite true that I have heard of *one* light-house on screw piles being swept away in one night, but then it was in a position where, in a memo. on this subject already submitted to Government, I showed I would not put such a light-house.

Then looking at the difference of risk to human life, six men are necessary to the charge of a light-house, 30 men are necessary to the charge of a light-ship. If the four light-ships which have been lost at the Sand-heads had been four light-houses, we should have lost only 24 men against 120. But, in my mind, one of these light-houses in deep water, even under the worst conditions of collision, are not in the least likely to be *wholly* destroyed as the lightships are, and therefore I am very strongly of opinion both as regards risk to life, and risk to property, the light-ships are very far inferior to light-houses, while the superior efficiency of the lights, as shown from light-houses instead of light-ships, is undoubted by every one concerned in the controversy. Again the Khrishna Shoal light-house costs including establishment, oil, provisions and stores about Rs. 850 per month, inclusive of a share of the salary of the light-house Inspector, and maintenance of tender, while the maintenance of a first class light-ship costs not less than Rs. 2,500, so that supposing the Sand-heads for instance were lighted by six light-houses instead of by six light-ships, the saving annually to the Port Funds would be one lakh and eighteen thousand rupees, which would in each year pay for about two-thirds of one light-house or in 3 years would pay for the construction of two light-houses. In the above comparison no mention has been made of the comparative cost of repairs to either description of light, though the wear and tear of a light-ship would be of course much greater than of a light-house.

From—CAPT. T. W. PHILBRICK, *Officiating Deputy Master Attendant, Calcutta.* To—LIEUT.-COL. A. FRASER, C.B., R.E., *British Burma.*
Dated, Rangoon 21st September, 1869.

SIR.—In reply to your letter No. 723-4 C-i. of the 16th instant, I have the honor to state for the information of the Chief Commissioner that, when passing the Khrishna Shoal light in the Screw Steamer *Arabia* on my passage to Rangoon, I observed that it showed a brilliant and powerful light, but as the light-house had been illuminated for some time it did not occur to me to make any accurate observation as to the range of the light. Judging, however, from recollection, I should say that it was seen fully 15 miles distant.

With regard to the position of this light-house, the Master Attendant of Calcutta has already expressed an opinion to the effect that the site chosen for it is the most proper and best calculated to facilitate the safe navigation of vessels in that vicinity, and this opinion is entirely in accordance with my own views on the subject.

The *Arabia* took a Pilot on board near the Khrishna Shoal, and from him I learned that the China Buckeer and Eastern Grove light-houses were to be illuminated that night (15th instant) for the first time. I therefore made it my business to observe accurately the ranges of these lights, and to note their character as regards strength and brilliancy.

We made the China Buckeer light fully 18 miles off, and, after steering to the North-Eastward till the light bore N. W., we sighted the Eastern Grove light bearing N. N. E. I have not a chart by me with the lights marked on it, but if these bearings (which are magnetic) be laid down,* the position of the *Arabia* when the Eastern Grove was sighted will be apparent, and roughly calculating, I should say it was between 16 and 18 miles S. S. W. from the Eastern Grove light-house.

Both lights were very brilliant.

With regard to the position and general arrangement of these two lights, I am of opinion that the plan adopted is the best that could possibly be devised, and that no seaman of ordinary intelligence and using proper precaution could possibly make a mistake or run his vessel into danger, after having once sighted the China Buckeer light.

T. W. P.

* Captain Philbrick and myself afterwards laid down these bearings on the chart, and I am happy to say it placed the *Arabia* in exact accordance with the calculated range of the Eastern Grove, and the position of both lights as shown thereon.—A.F.

EXPLANATORY NOTES

Alguada Reef Light house

"A first class revolving Light was exhibited for the first time, from the Alguada Reef, in the Bay of Bengal, on the night of the 23rd April 1865, and will continue to be shown from that date"

The light, which is 144 feet above H W mark, attains its greatest brilliancy once a minute, and is visible in clear weather 20 nautical miles from the poop of a large ship

Cape Negrais bears from the light house N W

The Pagoda on Pagoda Point N $\frac{1}{2}$ E

Porian Point N E by N

The centre of Diamond Island N N E

Vessels approaching the Alguada Reef will still find it necessary to be careful. The tides when uninfluenced by the wind, set across the Reef and with a good deal of strength between the Reef and the Phaeton Shoal. Vessels therefore should not come under 20 fathoms on the North Western side, as the water shoals suddenly on this side, especially towards the S W part of the rocks

15 Fathoms will be a safe depth to pass in on the Southern and Eastern sides

There is a good channel to the North of the Reef, between it and the Phaeton Shoal, but the navigation must always be attended with some risk to those unacquainted with it, there being no plain marks that can be specified as a safe and sure guide through. Should however circumstances compel a vessel to go through the Northern channel it will be well to borrow on the Alguada Reef side passing about half a mile distant from the North Easternmost visible rock, and not coming under 11 fathoms. Should it be high water, the breakers will show the rocks

On the Eastern side of the Reef, vessels may know they are clear of danger, by keeping the highland of Heingyee (or Negrais Island) well open to the Eastward of Diamond Island.

Double Island Light house

A light house has been established on Double Island in the Gulf of Martaban—Bay of Bengal

The light was first exhibited on the 4th of December 1865, and will continue to be shown hence forward nightly from sunset to sunrise

The light is a first class fixed dioptric light, and is visible in clear weather about 19 nautical miles from the deck of a large ship. It shows the light at an angle of 164° 30 illuminating the Western horizon, and is cut off on two bearings respectively N N. W and S $\frac{1}{4}$ E from the light-house, the former bearing two and a half miles clear of the Patch buoy off Amherst to the West, and the latter one and a quarter miles clear of Callagouk Island also to the West, an isolated beam of light shows from the Patch buoy Eastward as far as Amherst Pt. Amherst Point bears from the light N $\frac{1}{4}$ W. Patch buoy N by W. $\frac{1}{2}$ W. Callagouk Island (W. point) S by E

Double Island is in Latitude $15^{\circ} 52' 30''$ N. Longitude $97^{\circ} 36' 30''$ E. Vessels after making the light should endeavour to keep it between the bearings of S. E. and N. E. paying particular attention to the state of the tides, as they run on the springs about 5 knots an hour parallel with the coast. Vessels standing in too close to the land will lose the light altogether, but so long as the light is kept in sight they will be in no danger until they are ten miles to the Northward of it, when they will be approaching the Goodwin sands whereon the tides set very strong. The anchoring ground in the vicinity of Double Island is very good, but of course, on account of the strength of the tides, vessels should avoid as much as possible the risk of anchoring in such deep water.

Cocos Light-house.

A Light-house has been erected on the South West end of Table Island, Cocos group, Eastern part of the Bay of Bengal, at an elevation of 118 feet above high water mark, a light from which has been exhibited since the 15th February 1867.

The light-house is in Latitude $14^{\circ} 12' 30''$ N. Longitude $93^{\circ} 17' 45''$ E.

The building is an Iron Tower, in the form of a frustrum of a cone 77 feet high, painted in alternate rings of red and white, (centre of light being 77 feet above the base and 195 feet above high water) exhibiting a fixed white dioptric light of the first order, which can be seen from a ship's deck 22 nautical miles in clear weather.

The only obstructions to the light being visible all round the horizon, are Great and Little Cocos Islands.

The light is invisible with the Great Cocos in line with the light-house bearing between the compass points N. by E. and N. by W. $\frac{1}{4}$ W. a little Westerly, the arc of invisibility being $25^{\circ} 55'$. The light is also invisible with the Little Cocos in line with the light-house bearing between the compass points N. E. by N. $\frac{1}{4}$ N. and N. E. $\frac{1}{2}$ N. nearly, the arc of invisibility being $7^{\circ} 5'$.

Coast Light-house on the Krishna Shoal, Gulf of Martaban, in Latitude $15^{\circ} 36' 30''$ North, Longitude $95^{\circ} 35'$ East.

A light-house on screw piles in 3 fathoms at low water, on the South Eastern edge of the above shoal, showing a fixed dioptric light of the second order. The focal plane of the light is 60 feet above high water mark, visible 14 nautical miles. Rise and fall of tide 12 feet. H. W. at E. and C. XII hours. The light is cut off at W. S. W. to the Westward, and at N. N. E. to the Eastward, the centre of the light being South East.

It is provided with a Fog Bell which, in foggy weather, will be sounded at intervals of 30 seconds.

In making the light (the object of which is to enable vessels to round Baragu Point so as to avoid the dangers of the Baragu flat and Krishna Shoal) from the Westward, a vessel should keep in not less than 7 fathoms of water to the Southward, steering Eastward until the light bears North-West and distant from 5 to 10 miles, then steering, according to strength and set of the tide, between North-East by North, and North-East, 40 miles, to make China Bukeer light-house off the mouth of the Rangoon river.

Vessels from the Northward going South must not get into less than 6 fathoms

of water when standing to the Westward, or bring the light to bear to the Southward of S W by W $\frac{1}{2}$ W

No vessels should approach within 5 miles of the light-house

A vessel bound to the Westward may steer in that direction after she has brought the light to bear North, distant 5 to 10 miles, taking care to keep in not less than 7 fathoms of water.

Harbour Light house on China Buckeer, off the entrance of Rangoon river

Hitherto the entrance to the channel of the Rangoon River has been marked by a Light ship in 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ fathoms of water. The Light house is situated on the high land of China Buckeer, which is generally first made by ships bound to Rangoon, and is about 3 miles North East of the mouth of the China Buckeer river. It is built on screw piles, and the lower part being against a back ground of dark trees will be painted white. It is close to high-water mark but the sands at low water stretch 6 miles to the Eastward of it. Ships must therefore keep well to seaward from it. It bears a dioptric light of the first order, the upper and lower cupolas showing a fixed light, and the centre drum a revolving light attaining its greatest brilliancy once a minute. It can be seen 15 nautical miles from the deck or 17 nautical miles from the mast head of a ship. It is in Latitude 16° 19' 30", North Longitude 96° 12' East

Harbour Light house, near the Eastern grove, off the mouth of the Rangoon river

This Light-house is also on screw piles, in Latitude 16° 29' North, and Longitude 96° 26' 30" East. It will show a light over an arc of 60°. It will be cut off to the Eastward due South, 10° of this angle will show a condensed light, the centre of which will pass through the present position of the light-ship or in a direction South 30° West. This condensed light is visible 16 nautical miles from the deck, or 18 nautical miles from the mast head of a ship, and the remaining 50° of light 12 miles from the deck of a vessel. It is not intended to be a leading light into the river, but to prevent vessels, making the land or China Buckeer light, from running too far to the Westward

Sailing directions for making the mouth of the Rangoon river

Steer so as to make China Buckeer light bearing between N by E and W by N. To avoid the Eastern Sands, a vessel should not go so far North as to bring the light to bear to the Southward of West and to avoid the Western Sands, the Eastern Grove light house must not be brought to bear to the Eastward of N N E. $\frac{1}{2}$ E. With China Buckeer light bearing West and the Eastern Grove light bearing between N N E $\frac{1}{2}$ E and North, vessels will be on the Rangoon pilot station, (in the neighbourhood of which pilot vessels are constantly cruising,) and should anchor until day light or until a pilot is obtained

Sailing directions for approaching Akyab Harbour

Steer so as to make the Great Savage light bearing between N $\frac{1}{2}$ W and N E by N, whilst the depth of water is more than 12 fathoms. When in less than 12 fathoms water, keep the light bearing between N and N by E $\frac{1}{2}$ E. and when in 6 fathoms, anchor, or heave to, for a Pilot.

No. XX.

THE "OMNIMETER."

BY MAJOR G. A. LAUGHTON, *Supdt. Bombay Revenue Survey.*

From India Office to Major Laughton.—Dated 6th August, 1870.

THE Duke of Argyll is willing to sanction the supply to you for experimental trial in India of the new instrument for taking linear measurements, which is considered by Colonel Strange to be worthy of a fair trial, and that Officer will be directed to furnish you with one, to take with you to Bombay. The payment of the cost of carriage and of the Custom dues is also sanctioned. I request that you will submit a report to the Government of Bombay, after trial of the working of this instrument.

(Signed). HERMAN MERIVALE;

Extract of Report for information of Government Bombay.

The "Omnimeter" is an instrument which effects several operations, viz., it measures vertical and horizontal angles; it determines altitudes, and can consequently be used as a level; and, lastly, it measures linear distances, whether inclined or horizontal. In fact, with this instrument, work combining the use of the chain, level, and theodolite, can be done more expeditiously than with the latter instruments at one and the same time, and consequently in a great measure supersedes the necessity of using them.

This instrument professes to measure—


| | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Distances | of 100 feet to within 0·001. | |
| „ | of 1,000 feet | „ 0·050. |
| „ | of 1,000 yards | „ 1 foot. |
| Heights at a distance | of 300 feet | „ 0·0005 of a foot. |
| „ „ „ | of 1,000 feet | „ 0·002. |

The principal parts of the "Omnimeter" are—

(1) A powerful telescope.

- (2) A powerful microscope.
- (3) A scale fixed on the horizontal plate and divided into half-millimètres.
- (4) A micrometer screw, turning a circular disc, which is divided into 500 equal parts, one whole turn of which moves the above scale half a millimètre.
- (5) A graduated circle for measuring horizontal angles.
- (6) A level to adjust the horizontal plate.
- (7) A second level placed upon the telescope.
- (8) An arc for measuring vertical angles—*N.B.* The one on this instrument is not divided, and consequently is useless for the above purpose.

The instrument is formed by combining a powerful microscope *ab*, as in figure, with a telescope *cd*, and a micrometer *ef*, which gives measures



on a horizontal scale, divided in halfmillimètres, placed at *AB*, as fine $290\,0000002$ of a mètre, or $0\cdot0002$ of a millimètre. The microscope *ab* is perpendicular to the telescope *cd*, and both move on the same axis *O*. The perpendicular distance from

the centre of the axis *O* to the scale *AB* can be found to any required degree of accuracy; it is a constant quantity in each instrument. The

bases and the perpendicular of any number of triangles may be taken by the use of the microscope, micrometer, and scale AB with accuracy, which triangles will be similar to corresponding ones taken by the telescope. The most important triangle given by the telescope is that formed by supposing two lines to pass through the telescope, one to the head and the other to the foot of a staff of known length, held perpendicularly at a point, the distance of which is required, or held perpendicularly in the direction of a required height.

Accompanying the instrument are two or more staves, of an invariable length; those used with this instrument are about 11 feet long, having two white lines on a black ground exactly 10 feet apart, and as all the measurements are of a decimal character, this length is the best that could be adopted.

The above is the description of the instrument, and of what it professes to be able to perform. It is altogether as portable as any ordinary theodolite. I may here state that I am mainly indebted to "Spon's Dictionary of Engineering" for the description I have given of the "Omnimeter."


I now proceed to show to what degree of accuracy I have been able to work with the instrument.

As the Omnimeter professes to perform five things, as stated in page 185, I will take them in the order therein mentioned.

The arrangements for measuring vertical, and horizontal angles are similar to those of an ordinary theodolite, and require no explanation; the horizontal plate in this instrument is $5\frac{1}{2}$ inches in diameter, and is divided into divisions of 20'—the vernier reads to 30"—the vertical arc, as stated before, is not divided, and thus one of the principal parts is wanting; for without it, the finding of the distance from the axis to the surface of the scale fixed on the horizontal plate, as also the "stationary point" of the scale, required for levelling purposes, is a laborious operation.

To determine altitudes.—The staff is placed in a vertical position alongside of the object, the height of which is required. The instrument being placed at a short distance away from the object, duly adjusted; a reading is taken to the upper line of the staff, and then to the lower line, after which the telescope is directed to the top and bottom of the object, the altitude of which is required.

The distance from the object being ascertained, as will be described, hereafter the height of the object is obtained by the proportion, thus —



Let $AB =$ the distance from the axis to the surface of the scale, $CD =$ the difference of readings between top and bottom of object as read off the scale, AE

NB —The point A is the axis of the instrument

$=$ the linear distance between the instrument and object, $LN =$ the difference of readings between top and bottom of staff, and $GH =$ the required height of the object,—then as $AB \quad DC \quad AE \quad GH \therefore$

$GH = \frac{CD \times AE}{AB}$, AE , may be put $= 1, 10, 100, 1000$, and so on, and it is evident that the calculation becomes extremely easy.

In practice the above has been found to be exceedingly accurate, the heights of several buildings and portions of buildings in Bombay have been taken, and subsequently the same have been measured by a plumb-line, both measurements have been found to coincide almost exactly

The manner of levelling consists in determining the lines LN and NB on the scale, the first is in proportion to the staff, and the other to the height over or under the level of the instrument. The first of the \equiv lines LN , is found, as before described, by reading the top and bottom lines of the staff. The second, NB , by finding out the point B , called the stationary point of the scale, which is obtained by the optical axis-line of the microscope, when the telescope is brought horizontal by means of the level attached to it, the point B is constant, and serves for all calculations in levelling operations. The line NB is thus found to be the difference

between the number N , obtained by sighting the staff, and the number of the stationary point B . The proportion is then as follows:—(*Vide* the same figure.)

$$LN : NB :: L'N' : N'E \therefore N'E = \frac{N'L' \times NB}{LN}$$

In levelling the "Omnimeter" has been found to be wonderfully accurate—quite equal to what, as is shown in page 185, it professes to be able to do.

The principal tests I have put it to are as follows:—A series of points were fixed in a direct line, from 150 to 900 feet, at every 50 feet; the levels of these were accurately determined by an ordinary level twice over;—this operation of course took considerable time. The Omnimeter was then adjusted, and without being moved from that spot, observations were taken to the top and bottom lines of the staff, at each point, a work which occupied only a very short time, for, as there are only two well-defined lines on the staff, there was not the same hesitation in reading as with an ordinary levelling staff, with which there is an element of guesswork not found in using the staff of the "Omnimeter;" on the levels being calculated from the data thus obtained, the greatest difference between the results obtained by the two instruments, viz., Ordinary Level, and Omnimeter, was 0.01.

As the linear distance obtained by this instrument is the principal element in determining the level of any given point, for experiment it was supposed that a mistake of 3 feet existed in a distance of 900 feet; but on calculating the level with this error—that is, the distance being known to be 900 feet—the numbers 897 and 903 were taken in calculating the height of the point—in each instance the level thus obtained differed from the true level by ∓ 0.02 .

Again, in a distance of upwards of 6,000 feet, working through a crowded city with constant interruption, the last reading on a bench-mark, from which the work was commenced, was found to differ from the first, by only 0.22.

Another test was as follows:—The height of a hill of about 90 feet was determined by carefully levelling both up and down with an ordinary level—a work which occupied us nearly two hours; the same height within 0.29 was obtained within five minutes after adjusting the "Omnimeter," by observing a staff at the bottom of the hill, in the manner before described, and another at the top of the hill. This was done without moving the instrument.

I now come to the last operation performed by this instrument, namely, that of measuring linear distances, and I would be glad indeed were I able to report as favourably on this, as on the others.

The operation in determining the distance from the instrument to the staff is similar to the others—that is, the staff is held vertically on the point, and the top and bottom lines are observed as before; the proportion is as follows (*Vide* last diagram):—

$$LN : AB :: L'N' : AE \therefore AE = \frac{L'N' \times AB}{LN} = \text{the required distance.}$$


Another case can be taken where $L'N'$ is placed partly below the horizontal line AE , as is seen in the following diagram; a little study will show that the triangles are similar to those in the foregoing diagram, consequently the proportions and calculations are similar.

Distances up to 1,000 feet have been taken with this instrument, but in its present state it is not to be depended upon over 600 feet, and

even at this distance, errors amounting to a foot are not unfrequent.

The cause of error are as follows:—

1st.—The telescope not being powerful enough.



$$LN : AB :: L'N' : AE \therefore AE = \frac{L'N' \times AB}{LN}$$

2nd.—The arrangement for reading the scale being faulty.


3rd.—The scale itself though apparently to the naked eye, very finely divided, when under the microscope appears exceedingly coarse.

4th.—The diameter of the disc is too small, and the divisions not sufficiently minutely divided.

The first element of error is easily rectified.

The manner of reading the scale is as follows:—On looking through the microscope two parallel hairs are seen, the micrometer being set to zero on the disc; it is necessary to find out how much beyond the nearest line on


the scale the hairs denote the distance to the right; to do this, the micro-meter is turned around, which action draws the scale to the right, until the hairs are placed (as it were) one on each side of the line. (*Vide* diagram).



this is a matter of individual judgment—no two reading it alike or placing the hairs in the position.

The difference between the observations of two persons, amounting in some instances to $\cdot 00005$;—but this is a most serious difference for, the difference of $\cdot 00001$ in 600 feet is equal to an error of 3 inches—at 1,200 = to 1 foot; in other words the error increases according to the square of the difference between the distances, consequently, should the difference between two observations amount to $\cdot 00005$ —this would equal 5 feet at 1,200 yards, a most serious error.

The manner of rectifying this error would be as follows:—instead of having *two* hairs in the eye-piece of the microscope, there should be only



one, the divisions instead of being engraved as continuous lines, should be shown by the minutest possible dot, with a line to one side of each dot thus, the one hair would then be brought so as to cover the required dot, and the figures over the adjoining line would show its value.

The diameter of the disc is 3 inches, and it is divided into 500 divisions—one whole turn moves the scale (on the horizontal plate) half a millimetre. I would propose to increase the diameter of the disc and divide it, and the scale, more minutely, so that, in observing, the difference, if any, should not be in the first *five* figures—that is, if carefully observed, the first five figures should always be the same.

With these improvements I am of opinion that the "Omnimeter" will be a most admirable instrument for City Surveys—it will then without doubt determine distances up to 1,000 feet, within 1 foot—which is the amount

of allowable error in our present work with theodolite and chain, and as besides the linear distances, levels and horizontal angles are obtained at one single and "unique" operation, the saving of time and expense will be immense

The present instrument even with only the improvements suggested above, without increasing the power of the telescope, the size of the disc, and the fineness of the scale, will be most useful in the hilly, and jungly districts of the Concan, where, at times, it is impossible to drive a chain, without cutting a path through the brushwood, and I would respectfully beg to suggest that as many instruments as are immediately required for the Survey of that part of the country, should be ordered without delay

Instruments for City Survey work should have the following —

- (1) Lower plate 7 inches in diameter
- (2) Vernier of horizontal plate and that of arc for measuring vertical angles to be divided to 10
- (3) The telescope to be very powerful, so as to distinguish a white line $\frac{1}{2}$ of an inch long wide distinctly at 1,200 feet
- (4) The scale on the horizontal plate to read 100,000, or to 6 places of figures, and the divisions to be shown by dots and lines, as described in page 190
- (5) The microscope to have only *one* hair
- (6) Circular disc to be larger, and more finely divided in the same proportion as the scale
- (7) The level on horizontal plate to be longer and more sensitive
- (8) The level attached to telescope to have divisions on it the same as on ordinary level tubes
- (9) The base line of the instrument, viz, the distance from the axis to the surface of the scale, should be found out before the instrument is sent to this country, in order to see if any change arises from difference of climate, and in the same way the "zero," or the point on the scale which is given by the optical axis line of the microscope, when the telescope is brought horizontal by means of the level attached to it, should be noted, with all these improvements the instrument will still remain exceedingly portable

There is one more improvement, I would beg to suggest, and it is —

that not only applies to this instrument, but also to all theodolites, and this is, instead of employing spider's webs, for the hairs in the eye-piece of the telescope, and microscope, fine lines photographed on glass, should be inserted. These lines can be made finer than any hair; also the glass can be taken out and cleaned, and the risk of breaking the hairs, and the trouble required to re-insert them be entirely done away with; in like manner the divisions on the scale of the Omnimeter should be photographed instead of being engraved.

I ought to apologize for this long report, but when I came to think what a vast amount of trouble and expense can be saved by universally employing such an admirable instrument as the "Omnimeter," I feel I cannot say too much.

For City surveying, in laying down traverse lines, all chaining is done away with, horizontal angles and levels are taken at one, and the same operation.

For surveying and levelling in rough hilly, and thickly wooded country, only those who have been obliged to use theodolites and chains in such places, can understand what a boon this instrument will be to others similarly employed.

For Railways and Irrigation purposes it is equally, if not more, useful.

G. A. L.

Note to Editor.

The instrument upon which I have reported, has been made over to the Municipality of Bombay, and has now been in use for some months in the Engineers Department under Captain Tulloch, R.E., who informs me that it works "admirably," and has been the cause of a large saving both of time and money in the Survey of certain valleys intended for the new Water Scheme for Bombay.

I may add that several of these instruments have been ordered out from England, among others one for this Department with all the improvements suggested in my Report. Mr. Elliot writes to me that all the improvements suggested by me, are very feasible and thanks me for my Report upon the Instrument.

G. A. L.

No XXI

ON THE LINE OF COLLIMATION

BY LIEUT ALLAN CUNNINGHAM, R E, *Hony Fellow of King's College, London*

It may seem strange, but it does not seem to be generally understood among Surveyors familiar with Surveying instruments what is the real direction of the line of sight of a telescope *as used for Surveying*. It is not properly explained in any of the text books on either Instruments or Surveying though sometimes cursorily alluded to. It is the more important that this should be well understood, as from a misapprehension of the course of the ray, a paragraph has appeared in Rankine's Manual of Civil Engineering, edition of 1870, page 84, in which it is attempted to be shown (in effect) that *a deviation of the intersection of the cross hairs in the telescope from the axis of the object glass (which is known as the error of the line of collimation) does not affect the direction of the line of sight of the telescope when the cross hairs are shifted along the axis by the act of focussing for observing objects at different distances*. Were this true, it would follow as a *reductio ad absurdum* that the error of the line of collimation was of no consequence at all in levelling, and not of much consequence in angular measurements, and also that the method of correcting that error in a level, known as "Gravatt's method," could not be performed at all.

In consequence of the supposed proof that an error in the line of collimation is unimportant in levelling "Gravatt's method" of adjustment has been omitted in this, the latest edition - the corresponding adjustment for the Y level is nevertheless inserted.

On account of the importance of the subject, and the high authority enjoyed by Professor Rankine's works, the author has thought it necessary to give references to some standard work on Geometrical Optics, so that it may be seen that the statements in this paper are not mere assertions. The references throughout are to Parkinson's "Treatise on Optics" (2nd edition of 1866). The paper is, however, complete without the references.

In almost all surveying and astronomical instruments the telescopes are technically "astronomical telescopes," *i. e.*, they consist of a compound achromatic object glass, with a Ramsden's compound eye-piece placed at a distance apart of about the sum of their focal lengths, and with axes nearly coincident. (Art. 204 and 239).

From every *point* of an object viewed a cone of rays falls on the object glass; the objects to be observed in these telescopes are in practice so distant that each of these cones is merely a very small pencil of rays: the axis of this small cone or pencil for any particular *point* of the object viewed is the direction (external to the telescope) in which that point is viewed: it seems natural to call this line "the line of sight of the telescope."

For surveying, *i. e.*, for angular measurement, and for levelling, the directions of the axes of the pencils (incident on the object glass) by which points are viewed are the only lines of importance. For angular measurement *the angles required to be measured* are the projections on the planes of the graduated plates of the angles contained between the several axes of those pencils, whereas *the angles that are actually measured*, after eliminating errors of graduation and bad centering, are (by the construction of the instruments) the projections on those planes of the angular motion of the axis of the object glass of the telescope between the several observations.

On looking through such a telescope as described, one commands a considerable field of view, but for all purposes of measurement it is necessary to have some means of confining one's attention to some definite line of sight, and as just explained, in order that the angles actually measured may be the same as those which are required to be measured, this line must be the axis of the object glass.

Again, for levelling: the axis of the object glass being the line that is set perpendicular to the vertical axis of rotation, and, therefore, level where-

ever the telescope is pointed, it is desirable that the line of sight of the telescope should be either coincident with it or else with some fixed line in the telescope in the same horizontal plane with it.

Fig. 1.

Section of an "Astronomical" Telescope



C the "centre" of and OCq the axis of the object glass.

E the eyepiece, e its principal focus.

OQ the object observed, oq its image (inverted) as formed by the object glass

q the image of or "focus conjugate" to Q the point selected for observation.

DD the plane of the hairs on the diaphragm

Observe that qCQ lie in a straight line, that e the principal focus of the eyepiece is placed (by the act of focussing) in the plane oq of the image, and that DD the plane of the hairs is made to coincide with the plane of the image by the act of focussing when managed in such a manner as to have no apparent parallax of the hairs and image, lastly that the intersection of the hairs (in a theodolite), however faultily situate, is brought to the point q by the act of shifting the telescope by its tangent screws so that the intersection of the hairs seems to cover or "bisect" $Q, \text{ i. e. },$ virtually q .

The sole function of the object glass is to produce a nearly flat image or picture of the object viewed in a plane perpendicular to its own axis (Art 204).

In all cases of oblique refraction through such a lens the image of a point is not a single point, but a series of overlapping "circles of confusion" (Art. 147 and 65): the "circle of least confusion" for each point is taken for the image of the point (Art. 65).

The image of any point viewed as Q [Fig. 1] not lying on the axis of the lens i. e., the "focus conjugate to" the point Q, being the focus of a small "oblique central pencil" as QC (Art. 115) lies on the line joining Q to a certain point C called the "centre" (Art 109) of the lens, and is the centre of the "circle of least confusion" corresponding to Q i. e., q , i. e., q is the image of Q, and qCQ is the direction of the visual ray: this is evidently what has been called above "the line of sight of the telescope."

The pencil diverging from Q therefore converges to q : the human

eye is, however, fitted to see objects only by rays which are very nearly parallel (and not convergent).

To enable the image to be seen, therefore, the rays converging on q must be rendered parallel: this is arranged by viewing through a Ramsden's eye-piece E (Art. 204 and 236) which is set by the act of focussing in the position in which the image is most distinct, which occurs when the rays of the pencil corresponding to each point viewed leave it nearly parallel, in which case the principal focus o is very near the plane oq of the general image (Art. 204).

It is to be particularly noticed that the sole function of the eye-piece is to view and magnify the image already formed by the object glass, and it, therefore, has no influence on the direction of the axes of external pencils (or lines of sight of the telescope.)

For purposes of measurement, *i. e.*, to confine the attention of the observer to some definite line of sight, a set of fine hairs crossing one another is placed in the telescope tube on an open diaphragm whose plane is nearly perpendicular to the axis of the object glass.

The act of focussing must be so managed as to make both the cross hairs and image appear distinct, and also relatively fixed, no matter to what part of the eye-piece the observer applies his eye (that is, so that the hairs and image may have no apparent parallex).

The "centre of position" of the set of hairs in an astronomical instrument, the (single) intersection of the hairs in a theodolite, and the middle of the horizontal hair in a level, are taken for the points of reference in the field of view.

For the sake of distinctness the following description refers specially to the theodolite, but with slight variations, as necessary, of phrases and modes of handling, it is applicable to astronomical instruments and levels.

After adjusting for distinct vision and parallex, the telescope is shifted by the tangent screws so that the intersection of the cross wires may apparently cover or "bisect" the particular point of the object which is to be observed.

Since the cross hairs and picture are seen without apparent parallex, they are in one plane, and since the intersection of the hairs seems to cover or "bisect" the point of the object viewed, [Fig. 1] it follows that their intersection has into the very point q above described line joining this intersec-

tion of the hairs to the "centre" of the object glass, which is a line appertaining to the telescope (this line has been called "the line of collimation" *), now coincides with the "line of sight of the telescope" which is an external line

It follows, therefore, that so long as the telescope is used in this manner, that is to say, so long as distinct vision is obtained of both the field and cross hairs *without parallax*, and the telescope then moved till the intersection of the hairs seems to cover the point which is to be observed, the "line of collimation" is also the "line of sight of the telescope"

Unless, therefore, the intersection of the cross hairs can be brought into the axis of the object glass of the telescope, it will be quite impossible to make that axis the line of sight, which was above explained to be so desirable

Were the line of collimation a definite fixed line in the telescope it would matter little for some purposes (*e g*, for levelling) whether it coincided with the axis of the object glass or not, but if the intersection of the cross hairs do not lie in the axis of the object glass, the line of collimation is not fixed relatively to the telescope

For, in order to view objects at different distances, the telescope must be "refocussed", in theodolites in which the diaphragm is generally a fixture in the telescope, the focussing screw shifts the object glass along its axis, in levels in which the object glass is a fixture, the focussing screw shifts the eye piece and diaphragm together along the axis of the object glass (in this case the cross hairs are shifted parallel to that axis)

In either case the line of collimation (being the line joining the intersection of the cross hairs to the "centre" of the object glass) is evidently tilted from its previous position hence, even if the objects to be viewed lie in a straight line passing through the "centre" of the object glass, the telescope would have to be shifted to make the cross hairs cover each in succession, after focussing for distinct vision


Indeed it is on this tilting of the line of collimation, (when not coincident with the axis of the object glass) consequent on refocussing for objects at different distances, that the method of discovering and correcting the error in altitude of the line of collimation, known as "Grayatt's method" is based

* The propriety of the use of the term line of collimation in this its original and as it should be only sense is now seen for collimation means literally alignment (*collimare* = to align) any other use is a misappropriation of a very useful term for this line which has no other name

The para. in Professor J. M. Rankine's Manual of Civil Engineering (See Edition 1870, page 84, Art. 50), alluded to above, is here extracted verbatim:—

"It has been shown (by Professor Blood of Queen's College, Galway) that the exact coincidence of the cross wires with the axis of the telescope tube is not absolutely essential to accurate levelling. This is demonstrated as follows:—

Fig. 43, A.



"In Fig. 43A, let AA represent the object glass of a telescope, BC the axis of the telescope tubes, and DD the diaphragm. Suppose that the horizontal crosswire EE instead of traversing the axis BC of the tubes, is situated at a certain distance from that axis. Then, when the inner tube is drawn in and out, the cross wire E will move along the straight line EF parallel to the axis CB.

Let H be the *outer principal focus* of the object glass, situated in the axis CB. Then it is known that, by the laws of dioptrics, all rays of light whose paths within the telescope are parallel to BC, pass through the focus H outside the telescope; so that, for example, a ray of light whose path within the telescope is FE, has for its path outside the telescope the straight line HG; and hence it follows that all possible positions of the cross wire E, as the inner tube slides in and out, coincide with the images of points situated in *one straight line GH*.

Consequently that line (or its prolongation within the telescope, GK) may be regarded as the *true line of collimation*; and if the spirit level is adjusted so as to be parallel to that line, correct results will be obtained in levelling, although the cross wire may not traverse the axis of the telescope".

The reasoning quoted is incorrect in several points.

1st. Although it is true that *one* external ray passing through H the outer principal focus of the object glass is refracted along FE parallel to the axis of the object glass, it does not follow that "the images of points situated in" the "straight line GH" fall on the line FE, but only that *an* image, viz., the centre of one of the infinite number of circles of confusion for each point on GH will fall on FE. For it was stated above that *the* image of a point was the centre of the "circle of least confusion" corresponding to the point and lay on the line joining the point to the "centre" of the object glass (Parkinson, Arts. 65 and 115).

Although it is *possible* to see a point by any part of the pencil proceeding from it, still in order to see the point by the particular part of the

pencil having HG for its axis, it would be necessary to obscure the whole of the object glass except in the neighbourhood of G and F , because the very act of observation selects not the indistinct image seen by the pencil FE , but the most distinct image which is in the "circle of least confusion"

2nd. The small pencil whose axis is HG passing through H the outer principal focus of the object glass, consists of parallel rays after leaving the object glass (Art 13), and is therefore fit for vision *without an eye-piece* the intervention of an eye piece would render the rays leaving it convergent, and therefore unfitted for human vision (Art 190), so that it would be impossible to make FE the line of vision without removing the eye piece.

3rd It is, or ought to be, well known to Surveyors, that "Gravatt's method" of adjusting in altitude the line of collimation of a level depends entirely on the tilting of the line of collimation (when the horizontal wire is not in the axis of the object glass) caused by the act of focussing (for distinct vision only) of objects at different distances. Were the "true line of collimation" a fixed line in the telescope as set forth in the quotation, its error in altitude would not only be of no importance (provided the level were set parallel to the external line GH), but moreover, "Gravatt's method" would altogether fail to detect the error! It would also follow that the error would be of little importance even in instruments used for angular measurement, such as theodolites and altazimuths, for the effect would be similar to that caused by defective centering, the error due to which (as will be shown in another paper,) is eliminated by the use of two or more verniers

Elimination of errors produced by an error in the line of collimation

1. In measuring angles, as with theodolites and altazimuths, the readings corresponding to objects situated at different distances from the observer are differently affected, so that the resulting angles (being the difference of these readings) are incorrect

The error due to this cause may, however, be wholly eliminated by the simple plan of observing every point twice (or any even number of times with the telescope in reversed positions) there will then be two sets of errors equal and opposite for each point, (although differing for points at different distances), which will be eliminated in taking the mean.

2. In levelling the error due to this cause may also be wholly eliminated from the general result by the simple plan of always placing the level at equal distances from the furthest back-staff and furthest fore-staff. The error in *reading* on these two staves will then be equal, so that the resulting difference of level (being the difference of the readings) will be correct. The readings on intermediate staves will be differently affected according to their distance from the instrument, so that the resulting reduced levels at all the intermediate staves will be affected by this error, but these errors will be all small and *not cumulative* (the difference of level having been correctly obtained between the furthest staves). Small errors in levelling when not cumulative are of no importance.

N.B.—Observing as above indicated, (1) and (2) has many other advantages in eliminating errors due to other causes, which it is not in the scope of this paper to enumerate.

A. C.

No. XXII.

MEMORANDUM ON THE TOTAL ECLIPSE OF DE-
CEMBER 11-12, 1871.

BY LIEUT.-COL. J. F. TENNANT, R.E., F.R.S.

(From the Proceedings of the Asiatic Society of Bengal for June, 1871.)

IN December of this year we have a Total Eclipse visible in Southern India. The duration is short, but in some respects the circumstances are very favorable, as the Line of central Eclipse passes over the Nilgherry Hills, where I understand fine weather may be confidently expected. In order to be prepared, I have computed carefully the Central Line across India, and have added the extent to which errors of the Tabular place of the moon may be expected to shift it. In the following Table $\Delta\alpha$ represents the excess of the Moon's time above the Tabular Right Ascension in time, and $\Delta\delta$ the excess of the Tabular above the true δ declination.

I hope to have before the Eclipse a knowledge what errors may be anticipated in the Tables and thus be in a position to choose a central spot, if it is worth making a change. The figures, however, show that this is not probable, the principal result of an error in Right Ascension being to shift the Centre of the Shadow along its path the deviation from which would be corrected by a small error in the declination which could hardly be foreseen.

The duration of the Eclipse will be small. At the Nilgherries it will be about 2 minutes, but this cannot, so far as I know, be as yet accurately predicted from uncertainty as to the real diameters of the sun and

necessary, and there have been additions made to the spectroscope which will allow more than one portion of the Corona to be examined, and its lines recorded during the short time it is visible.

There is another subject too of spectroscopic examination. Kirchhoff in his theory of the solar constitution supposed it surrounded by an extensive atmosphere consisting of metallic and other vapours, as well as gases, by the absorption of which the dark Fraunhofer lines were produced. It has long been clear that there was no such extensive atmosphere, and some physicists have been satisfied that there is none such. Mr. Lockyer and his collaborators, though they have detected a greater number of bright lines at the bases of the prominences, have never approached, so far as I know, the number of even the conspicuous dark lines, whose explanation has, therefore, not been satisfactorily made out. At the Eclipse of December 22, 1870, however, Professor Young at the moment of obscuration, and for one or two seconds later, saw as far as he could judge every atmospheric line reversed, and this was confirmed by Mr. Pye. I have but the scant information of this point given in the Royal Astronomical Society's Council Report, but it is sufficient to show me why this has not been seen before by observers looking out for it, and also to make me feel the importance of verifying the observation.

To understand why it has not been seen before, it must be considered that the image of a bright object in the focus of a Telescope when relieved against comparative darkness is enlarged by a phenomenon known as irradiation; the light encroaches on the darkness. The sun thus appears

larger, and the moon smaller than the real size. This continues till the real contact of the Limbs internally; at this moment the thread of light, which previously had considerable width, appears suddenly broken and vanishes in a Total Eclipse: while in the Transit of a Planet or Annular Eclipse there appears the "black drop" of the observers of the Transit of Venus.

in 1769. At A in this figure I have endeavoured to give some idea of this phenomenon in an Annular Eclipse, and at page 16, Vol. XXIX.,

of the monthly notices of the Astronomical Society will be found some figures illustrating this in a Planetary Transit. When we are dealing with so thin a stratum surrounding the true Photosphere, we cannot see it in sunshine, as it is lost in the irradiation, (it *may* be partly visible in very large Telescopes where the irradiation is very small), and we are very apt to lose it at the moment when the sun disappears, for it is found only between the places where a moment before the Sun a Moon's limb appeared, so that the observer following either of them might well miss it.


In the search for, and verification of this important observation, the duration of total phase can matter little.

I have been in communication with the Home Secretary on the subject of observations of this Eclipse, and my views I may say have been most cordially received. I am not yet in a position to submit a proposition officially, but I have great hopes of being able to do so in a few days.*

P. S.—I may just mention that in plotting the Shadow Track on a map, it is necessary to allow for the error of its zero of Longitude, a precaution often forgotten. The Longitudes of the G. T. Survey require a correction of $3' 2.7''$, and those of the Atlas of India one of $4' 11''$ to adjust them to the accepted Longitude of Madras.

J. F. T.

* This has since been done.



METAL CONSOLIDATION BY STEAM ROLLERS IN CENTRAL INDIA.

No. XXIII.

METAL CONSOLIDATION BY STEAM ROLLERS IN
THE CENTRAL PROVINCES.By G. W. MACGEORGE, *Exec. Engineer, Kanhan Division.**Kampti. 26 January, 1871.*

For greater clearness, I propose treating the subject in the following order :—

- 1st.—General sketch of the order of the season's working.
- 2nd.—Expenditure.
- 3rd.—Fuel used.
- 4th.—General conclusion.
- 5th.—Appendix.

It will be unnecessary for me to enter into any description of the mechanical details of the steam road roller, as these are already known to you, and are moreover sufficiently entered into by Mr. Davidson in his report, which I have appended.

As you are aware, two of Messrs. Aveling and Porter's steam road rollers arrived at the end of the rainy season of last year, and were put together by Mr. O'Callaghan. One of the rollers was tried on a short length of new metal, but so late was it in the season that no very definite information was obtained as to the capabilities of the machine, or of the accessory appliances requisite in this country to ensure its most expeditious and convenient action.*

Fide P. P., Old Series, Vol. VII, pp. 145-151—Ed.

VOL. I.—SECOND SERIES.

The operations conducted this year may therefore be fairly considered as tentative and experimental. None of the existing staff of the Division had any previous practical knowledge of the working of the roller, and the very small amount of printed information as to the working results of the machine in England could not prove of much value, owing to the totally different set of conditions to which they referred.

In Europe the value of steam road rolling has been very generally acknowledged, as compared with the systems previously adopted in consolidating metal, these systems being either consolidation solely by the traffic or horse rolling.

In India we have to compare it with what is undoubtedly a very perfect, if expensive, system of consolidation, viz., hand ramming. The operations had moreover in the case under report to be conducted over a considerable extent of road entirely in the jungles, whilst in England the use of the steam roller has (so far as I am aware) been hitherto confined to short lengths at a time in the immediate neighbourhood of towns, with all the attendant conveniences of unlimited water supply and facilities for skilled labor in a humid and highly favorable climate. These distinctions are necessary to point out, as they show that the roller had to be adapted in a great measure to a novel set of conditions, and that therefore the highest results cannot reasonably be expected until experience has suggested the most favorable manner of meeting or avoiding the many petty difficulties of detail, on every one of which increased rapidity of work and consequent further economy may depend.

The first roller put together and taken up the road last year by Mr. O'Callaghan was shortly afterwards despatched to Jabalpur for work there. The second roller (the cast-iron turn-table frame and spur wheel of which had been accidentally broken) was not without some difficulty repaired in the Kanhan Workshops, a sun awning was fitted, and a large four-wheeled tender, or fuel waggon, on Messrs. Aveling and Porter's pattern was made up. Towards the commencement of the rains the machine was steamed up to Amrie, and fuel was stored in the out-buildings at this place, and also at Chorbowlie and Korai, consisting of Chanda, Chhindwara, and English coals. The coals being used chiefly in order to test the comparative economic values of the Native coals, and to show also the relative economy of the employment of wood in the furnaces as at present constituted.

The illness of the European driver of the roller, and a difficulty in collecting gangs of work-people in sufficient numbers for spreading and watering the metal with the requisite rapidity at one spot, caused some delays at starting, so that the roller was not fairly at work on the 57th mile until the 19th July. The miles for which new metal had been collected were as follows —The 21st to the 31st, both inclusive, the 41st and 42nd, the 45th, the 49th and 57th, in all 16 miles. The first eleven miles stretching from near the village of Doomrie to Chorbowhe, two miles close to Deolapar, the remaining three being between this place and the foot of the Korai ghât.

The 57th mile at Korai was the one first commenced on, about a quarter of a mile had been previously picked up and spread by hand. The roller was passed over this length in every part four times, during unusually heavy rain, when the metal was found to be well consolidated.

The remainder of the mile was then picked up by the machine by inserting the spikes in the holes provided in the forward rollers, and the result proved satisfactory, the whole surface of the road being so loosened as to permit its being rapidly raked into a disjointed mass of stones with the point of a pick. This operation, together with the subsequent spreading and rolling of the metal at this place, was conducted during heavy rain. After the roller had passed four times over each part, the road assumed a hard compact appearance, the whole mile was then twice gone over, thus making in all six rollings, when it was found to be thoroughly consolidated and finished. Scarcely any labor has since been expended in keeping this mile in order, which, as it affords the best results of the whole season's working, will be alluded to in detail further on.

The engine was removed to the 49th mile on the 27th July. From the 28th of this month to the 6th September no rain fell at any of the places where the roller was at work, comprising the 49th, 45th, 42nd, 41st, 30th, 29th, 28th and 27th miles. During this period a rain-fall amounting to 4 inches in all was registered at Kamthi. After the first week water was difficult to procure, that in the side drains having completely dried up. During the month of September the roller was employed on the 26th, 25th, 24th, 23rd, and 22nd miles, and scarcely any rain fell, the amount registered at Kamthi being less than 5 inches. From the end of September to the 15th October the roller completed the 21st mile, and was engaged in reconsolidating all the miles between Doomrie and

Chorbowlic, under similar conditions of weather, hardly 1 inch being registered at Kamthi during these 15 days; in all, barely $9\frac{1}{2}$ inches of rainfall was registered in Kamthi from the 28th July to the 15th October. This great want of water during the greater duration of the operations very injuriously effected the economical results of the working, and obliged me reluctantly to go over a considerable portion of the new rolled metal once with hand rammers. In spite, however, of this additional expense, and the extra number of times the roller had to be taken over the metal, and the various other difficulties and delays due to the want of sufficient water, the actual cost of the consolidation has not exceeded two-thirds of the usual rate for this work when executed by hand labor; and it has more than come up to the saving of Rs. 100 per mile estimated by Mr. O'Callaghan in his report of last year; whilst, as will be shown, if the mean between the actual saving on the 57th mile, done under the most favorable conditions, and that on the other miles be taken, the result is much beyond this estimate.

Before entering into any detailed account of the expenditure incurred this season in consolidating the 16 miles of new metal laid down by means of the steam road roller, I will first exhibit the actual expenditure incurred in previous years on consolidation on the Northern Road by the usual hand labor method, so as to institute a direct comparison of actual mileage rates. This general comparison will include the expense in both cases of nokur coolies for keeping the new metal in order for two months, and of such operations as picking up and spreading metal common to both systems, and it will be based on the supposition that the work is perfectly done in each case; contingent advantages in the use of the steam roller over and above the actual consolidation of the new metal, such as the improvement to the whole intermediate lengths of road over which it must pass to reach its points of working, will not be considered. My first object being merely a broad and general contrast of the actual cost of the two systems from actual finished operations, the hand labor being based on several seasons work; the machine labor, from this season's work only.

The following are derived from the completion reports of the consolidation of metal on the Northern Road in the three previous years. The metallated width being then, as now, 12 feet, and the usual thickness of the coats of metal 4 inches.

TABLE A

| Former years. | Executed quantity cubic feet | Cost | Finished rate per 100 cubic feet | Finished rate per mile. |
|---|------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | RS A P | RS A P | RS A P |
| 1867 68, 29½ miles, .. | 625 665 | 9 896 1 2 | 1 9 8 | 335 8 0 |
| 1868 69*, 93, " .. | 1,903 000 | 45 896 12 2 | 2 5 7 | 403 8 0 |
| 1869 70, 2¼, " .. | 515,459 | 8,481 6 0 | 1 10 4 | 349 2 0 |
| 1870 71, 16 miles, .. By steam road roller— Miles | | | | |
| 11 3 inches coat 174 240 | 279,840 | 3,180 7 4 | 1 2 2 | 198 12 5 |
| ■ 4 do 105,600 | | | | |
| 279,840 | | | | |

In the year 1868-69* a very long length appears to have been consolidated, and a number of the miles near and beyond Seoni were laid with basalt metal, the rate for which is always higher than for granite or limestone, I therefore exclude this year from the comparison. Taking then the two seasons 1867-68 and 1869 70, we have a mean rate per mile of $\frac{845\ 8\ 0 + 349\ 2\ 0}{2}$ equal Rs 342-5-0, as compared with this year's rate of

Rs 198-12-5, showing a saving (even under the disadvantageous circumstances under which the work was conducted) of Rs 342-5-0—Rs 198-12-0 = Rs 143-9-0 per mile

I will now proceed to give an abstract of the charges incurred in consolidating the 16 miles of metal, which make up the total expenditure of Rs 3,180-7-4, these are as follows —

ABSTRACT OF CHARGES.

TABLE B

| Head of Abstract. | Items of charge | Total Amount | Amount per 100 cubic feet of metal | Amount per mile |
|-------------------|---|--------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | | RS A P | RS A P | RS A P |
| ESTABLISHMENT. | Steersman's pay, . | 111 1 9 | | |
| | Stokers, do., | 49 1 4 | | |
| | Chowkedars and coolies watering boiler, | 36 5 3 | 196 8 4 | 0 1 1¼ |
| | | | | 12 4 6½ |

| Head of Abstract. | Items of charge. | Total Amount. | Amount per 100, cubic feet of metal. | Amount per mile. |
|---|--|---------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | RS. A. P. | RS. A. P. | RS. A. P. |
| FUEL. | English coal, 4½ mds.,... | 98 9 0 | | |
| | Chhindwara coal, 282½ maunds, .. | 388 7 0 | | |
| | Chanda coal, 59½ mds., | 81 13 0 | | |
| | Firewood, including cutting and transport 317½ mds, .. | 149 11 3 | | |
| | Carriage hire, | 22 0 0 | 740 8 3 | 0 4 2-7 |
| | | | | 46 4 6 ³ / ₁₆ |
| COOLIE LABOR. | Spreading metal, .. | 525 0 0 | | |
| | Spreading moorum, .. | 130 0 0 | | |
| In spreading metal and moorum, occasional picking up, watering, extra ramming, &c. | Occasional picking up, Watering, extra ramming over by hand labor, | 16 0 0 | | |
| | | 961 4 1 | 1,632 4 1 | 0 9 3-9 |
| | | | | 102 0 3 ¹ / ₁₆ |
| INCIDENTAL CHARGES. | Labor in cleaning and allowance and sundry repairs, .. | 88 1 5 | | |
| Due to roller, divided into labor in cleaning, and allowance, and sundry repairs and issue of stock, (such as ropes, baskets, water-pots, oil, cotton waste), &c. | Issue of stock, | 42 1 3 | 130 2 8 | 0 0 8-9 |
| | | | | 8 2 2 |
| NOKER COOLIES. | For two months, .. | 481 0 0 | 481 0 0 | 0 2 8-9 |
| | | | | 30 1 0 |
| | Total, .. | 3,180 7 4 | 3,180 7 0 | 1 2 2 |
| | | | | 198 12 5½ |

I may here remark that the European driver is not included in the above establishment charges, it could not fairly be charged unless the pay of Overseers are included in the rates for hand labor. In carrying out consolidation of metal extending over 50 miles of road by hand labor, all the work would probably go on simultaneously. Two Overseers at least would therefore be necessary, whereas in consolidation by the steam roller the work is always at one spot, one Overseer in addition to the driver (who ranks as a Supervisor) is alone requisite.

The driver's pay may therefore stand for the pay of the second Overseer required under the other system.

Under the head of abstract "Fuel" it is necessary to remember that the quantity consumed is the whole quantity chargeable to the work and not that consumed purely in picking up or rolling metal. The roller was steamed from Kamtha to Korai and back, this distance being 58 by 2 miles, equal 116 miles, out of this 16 miles of new metal was rolled, so that striking out the fuel used in actual operations, a further amount necessary to carry the roller once over 100 miles of road was consumed, thus the amount per mile shown on the table does not represent the cost of fuel actually expended in finishing the rolling of one mile of metal, but the gross cost per mile of road consolidated. The actual consumption and cost of fuel used in rolling once or any given number of times a mile of new metal, can only be arrived at by the actual observation made during the progress of the work. These observations will be found in Table I of Mr Davidson's Report.

My Table B shows the total expenditure due to each item of work extending over the whole 16 miles of metal consolidated, including the cost of transporting the roller the additional 100 miles, from which the average expenditure per 100 cubic feet and per mile for each head of abstract is determined, the expenditure per actual mile will of course vary considerably according to the various conditions of weather, of gradients, of nearness of metal stack, facilities for watering, and a multitude of other circumstances, nothing would be gained beyond complexity and confusion in illustrating the detail of expenditure on each actual mile, as, however, the work on the 57th mile at Korai was, as previously remarked, conducted under very favourable conditions of weather, being finished after six rollings and has required almost no work whatever to keep it in order after the roller left it, I think this mile may be taken as a test of the results to be expected under the best conditions, a mean between this and the average mileage expenditure on the remaining 15 miles conducted under exceptionally bad conditions as to weather, will, I think, give a fair approximation to the real mileage rates, had the season been favourable, although I am of opinion that further improvements in the order of working indicated by the experience gained and the entire use of wood fuel will, when carried out, very materially reduce these rates in future operations.

The 57th mile was laid with granite metal, 4 inches thick and 12 feet wide.

TABLE C.

Showing actual expenditure on the 57th mile at Korai by Steam Road Roller, and comparison with hand labor.

| STEAM ROLLER. | | | HAND LABOR. | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| Head of Abstract. | Items of charge. | Total Amount. | Items of charge. | Total Amount. | |
| | RS. A. P. | RS. A. P. | RS. A. P. | RS. A. P. | |
| ESTABLISHMENT. | Steersman's pay 20 15 10 | | | | |
| | Stokers do. and sundry coolies 7 0 11 | 28 0 9 | | | |
| FUEL. | | | | | |
| Carting and cutting up wood, picking up $\frac{3}{4}$ of the mile by machine, and rolling the whole six times. | Carting and cutting up wood 24 14 3 Wood, 70 maunds at 0-6-0 per md. 26 4 0 | 51 2 3 | | | |
| COOLIE LABOR. | | | | | |
| Spreading metal and moorum and picking up $\frac{1}{4}$ of a mile. | Spreading metal 39 8 0 Do. moorum 8 0 0 Picking up $\frac{1}{4}$ mile by hand picks 4 0 0 | 51 8 0 | Picking up 1 mile, .. Spreading metal 1 mile, .. Do. moorum 1 mile, .. Ramming and watering 1 mile, .. | 16 0 0 39 8 0 8 0 0 248 13 0 | |
| INCIDENTAL CHARGES— | | | | | |
| Baskets, ropes, oil, cotton waste, cleaning engine, &c. | Incidental charges 13 9 0 | 13 9 0 | | | |
| NOKER COOLIES. | | | | | |
| Keeping in repair. | Keeping in repair 7 4 0 | 7 4 0 | Keeping in repair for 2 months, .. | 30 0 0 | |
| | Total, .. | 151 8 0 | Total, .. | 342 5 0 | |
| | | =0 9 3 per 100 c. feet. | | =1 9 11 per 100 c. feet. | |

The saving on this mile taken singly, or Rs. 342-0-5 - 151 8 equals Rs 190-13 0 on the average mileage rates of two past seasons, that the total saving per mile on the whole length only reaches Rs 143-9-0 is due principally to extra expenditure due to watering during exceptionally dry weather, going over the metal an excessive number of times with the roller where water was not met with, and the cost of having to go over much of the metal once with gangs of hand rammers. If from the total expenditure of Rs 3,180-7-4 (*see* Table B), we now deduct Rs 151-8-0, the expenditure on the 57th mile, and divide the remainder by 15 (the remaining number of miles), we have for the average mileage rate of these 15 miles, Rs 201-15 0. Taking a mean between this and the expenditure on the 57th mile, we have $\frac{201-15\ 0 + 151\ 8\ 0}{2} =$ Rs. 176-11-6, and

Rs 342-5-0 - Rs 176-11-6 gives us a saving of Rs 165-9-6 per mile

| | | |
|--|----|-----------|
| The cost of the roller may be taken at | .. | Rs. 8,000 |
| To this add interest at 5 per cent. for two years | .. | " 800 |
| And allow for repairs Rs 400 per year for 2 years, a | | |
| very ample allowance, | .. | " 800 |

Total Rs. 9,600

We find that, saving Rs 160 per mile, the steam roller will pay off all charges after rolling 60 miles of metal in two years, supposing her to roll 30 miles in one rainy season, an amount she could undoubtedly do. Mr. O'Callaghan estimated the work of the roller at a mile a day, this might no doubt be done under the highest favorable circumstances, and with all the minor operations in perfect accord, but the roller also picks up the old road in addition to rolling the metal, this operation cannot be done in less than half a day, so that I am of opinion that it is not safe, taking all the contingencies of working into account, to expect more than an average of one mile in two days.

This season the roller was actually at work on the metal either picking or rolling, and including going and coming from her work, for 64 days, which for 16 miles gives us but one mile in four days, but this cannot be taken as a fair test in this respect, owing to the extra number of times she was taken over the metal dry and the variety of delays caused by what may be called experimental trials of the machine in a number of different ways. Both as regards the general order of the working and the manner of laying and watering the metal and moorum, much experi-

ence has been gained, and on roads where, as at present on the Northern Road, there is no objection in laying down half a mile or a mile of new metal in advance of the roller, I should consider a mile finished in two days as a fair and reasonable amount of work to expect; but I should hesitate in assigning more than this.

As before stated, the fuel used during the progress of the work consisted of proportions of English, Chhindwara, and Chanda coals, and firewood. The total quantities of each kind consumed are shown in my Table B. Actual and careful observations taken by Mr. Davidson and recorded in his Table I. show the relative economic values of each of these fuels, at their present relative costs. In terms of work done Mr. Davidson's Table shows that their relative values are as follows:—

| | Maunds. | | | | | |
|------------------|---------|----|----|----|---|-------------------------|
| English coal, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | } One mile once rolled. |
| Chanda coal, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 2 | |
| Chhindwara coal, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 4 | |
| Wood, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 4 | |

That is, one maund of English coal will do the same work as two maunds of Chanda and four of Chhindwara coal, and four maunds of wood.

Their relative economic values will therefore stand as follows:—

| | | | | | Mean rates at site of engine including car- riage and cut- ting in the case of wood | | | Economic values | | | | |
|------------------|----|----|---|----|--|----|----|--------------------|----|----|---|----------------------------|
| Maunds. | | | | | RS. | A. | P. | RS. | A. | P. | | |
| English coal, | .. | .. | 1 | at | 2 | 8 | 9 | = | 2 | 8 | 9 | } One mile once rolled. |
| Chanda coal, | .. | .. | 2 | at | 1 | 6 | 3 | = | 2 | 12 | 6 | |
| Chhindwara coal, | .. | .. | 4 | at | 1 | 6 | 5 | = | 5 | 9 | 8 | |
| Wood, | .. | .. | 4 | at | 0 | 7 | 6 | = | 1 | 14 | 0 | |

From this it will be seen how much the actual cost of the season's working has been increased by the use of the coals employed. A reference to my Table B. will show a consumption of $282\frac{1}{2}$ maunds of Chhindwara coal. This coal, I need hardly remind you, was that received in transfer from the Nagpur Division; though it had suffered by long exposure, and was in every way inferior as a fuel, it was undoubtedly economical to use it at the stock rate of Rs. 1-6-5 per maund rather than to allow it to deteriorate further and become useless; but at the same time I must not omit to point out how its employment at the stock rate of Rs. 1-6-5 per maund, and its low relative value as a fuel, has affected the working rates

of consolidation, and consequently the saving per mile that might have been shown had wood been employed in the place of it. The small quantities of English and Chanda coals used, act also in the same way, they were used altogether experimentally, not to show the greater economy of wood (as the prices of fuel at present stand), of which there had been no doubt, but as an independent experiment for the purpose of contrasting the value of good English coal and that from the new Chanda coal fields

It will be worth while however, if, in the place of the coal consumed by the steam road roller, we substitute the equivalent quantities and cost of the wood that might have been employed, so as to show what might have been the mileage saving (other things remaining the same) had wood been used, and consequently what may be done in another year's operations.

| | | | | | Total used. | Equivalent quantities of wood |
|------------------|----|----|----|----|------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | | | | Maunds | Maunds |
| English coal, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 415 × 4 = | 1660 |
| Chanda coal, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 595 × 1 = | 1190 |
| Chhindwara coal, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 2825 × 1 = | 2825 |
| Wood, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 3175 × 1 = | 3175 |
| | | | | | Total maunds, .. | 8850 |

at 7 annas 6 pie = Rs. 414-13 6

As, however, if wood had been used throughout, some further expenditure would have taken place in cutting up the extra quantity and for carting, owing to its greater bulk as compared with coal, we may allow another anna per maund, which will be outside the mark, we shall have therefore 885 maunds at 8 annas and 6 pie per maund, equal Rs. 470-2-6. Now the total actual expenditure for fuel (see Table B) is Rs 740-8 8, the saving, therefore, that would have been effected if wood fuel had been entirely used, other things remaining the same, will equal Rs 770-8-3 — Rs 470 2-6 = Rs 270-5-9 and $\frac{\text{Rs } 270-5-9}{16 \text{ No of miles}} = \text{Rs } 16 9$ (say Rs 17) so that the mileage rate (see Table A), instead of being Rs. 198-12-0, would have been Rs 182-12 0 only, or a total saving on the average mileage rate for two past seasons of Rs 159 12 0, instead of Rs. 143-9-0, the actual saving per mile on the whole work. Again, if the wood had been purchased direct on the road, instead of being charged at

the stock rate, 5 annas per maund, including cartage, would have been ample. We should therefore have had 885 maunds at 5 annas, equal Rs. 276-8-0. This would have given us under head "Fuel" a saving of Rs. 464, equal to Rs. 29 per mile more than the actual saving, that is to say, a total of Rs. 172-9-0 per mile less than old mileage rates by hand labor. From these figures it will be seen how much the actual rates per 100 cubic feet or per mile have been increased by the circumstance of using coal at its present value, and wood at stock rates instead of by direct purchase.

That the savings on the 57th mile (as shown above) reached Rs. 190-15-0 is due to the circumstance that being consolidated for the most part under very heavy rain, little expenditure for watering and extra ramm- ing after the roller left it took place; and, moreover, the number of times the roller was taken over the metal reached a minimum. A glance at Table C. will show that this saving might have reached over Rs. 200 per mile.

The amount under head of Abstract "Establishment" is very large, viz., Rs. 28-0-9, or $9\frac{1}{2}$ days' pay of steersman and stoker, and attendant coolies, instead of two days' pay, equal to about Rs. 6-0-0, in which time the mile might have been finished; that it was not so, was due to the mile being the first one commenced. Each of the separate operations of picking up and spreading metal and rolling with the machine did not at first fit into one another so as to cause a minimum of delay, and any such delay or waiting of the roller will necessarily augment the sub-head of Establishment.

Before closing this part of my report I cannot avoid quoting the following paragraphs from a "Report on the economy of road maintenance through Steam Road Rolling, by Frederick A. Paget, Esq., C.E." At page 29, Mr. Paget states, that according to particulars furnished by Mr. Philip H. Wall, the Engineering Agent in England of the Calcutta Municipality, of trials made in India with the steam road roller by the Engineer to Calcutta Municipality, "The rolling of 44,631 square yards cost £146 4s. 6d.;" reducing this, I find it to equal about Rs. 230 per mile, of 12 feet roadway. Our actual expenditure has been Rs. 198-12-0 per mile, including keeping in repair for two months; but would nearly equal the above figure if the driver's pay for three months,—equal to about Rs. 500, or Rs. 31 per mile,—be added, this being probably included in the above quotation.

Mr Davidson in his report having given the results of his observations on the economical values of the fuels used, and the manner of treating the Native coal, it will not be necessary for me to enter further into the matter under this head

Under this heading, I propose treating each detail of the work separately, so that the results of the experience gained on each operation may be condensed in order

The operation of picking up is carried out by inserting spikes projecting 4 inches from the circumference of the forward rollers into holes provided for the purpose, the spikes are secured by nuts with washers on the inner side. The old road is very effectually picked up by this arrangement, the whole surface being completely loosened. A few men with the points of their picks can afterwards easily rake the whole surface into a mass of disjointed stones. The work is somewhat trying to the engine, requiring extra care both in driving and steering. It was found (as in fact was expected) that this operation is best carried out during dry weather, and it should not be done on rainy days if it can be avoided.

The engine can pick up a mile in about half a day, including time for fitting in spikes and taking them out again, at an average cost (using wood fuel at 6 annas per maund, of which she would burn about 15 maunds) as follows —

| | RS | A | P | |
|---|----|----|---|--|
| Establishment and stores, half a day, | 2 | 8 | 0 | Ordinary hand picking costs about Rs 16 per mile on an average a saving of about Rs 4 per mile is therefore effected by this operation or with wood at 8 annas per maund, Rs 5 |
| Fuel, 15 maunds at 6 annas = | 5 | 10 | 0 | |
| Pickmen to rake together loosened road, | 4 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total | 12 | 2 | 0 | |

Independently of the money saving, the work is undoubtedly done in a much more thorough and effectual manner, and it would probably pay to do it even if it cost more than the hand picking.

In order that continuous work over a considerable length of road may be carried on with a minimum of delay to the roller and the maximum of economy, it is evident that the number of coolies employed in spreading the metal and binding material must bear an exact proportion to the work of the roller in picking up and rolling, as regards time taken in doing equal lengths. The roller should uninterruptedly be able to work either

at one operation or the other for the full number of hours in the day, and the coolies should also be able to work without interruption the same number of hours. That this may be done it is evident that the roller should pick up a greater length of road in the course of the day than she rolls, in order that the gang may have a picked up portion on which to spread metal during the last hours of the day, whilst the roller is consolidating the portion immediately behind, and also that they may be able to begin spreading on the following morning at the same hour the roller commences picking up in front. The utmost economy depends upon a due apportionment of the number of spreaders to the work of the engine, so that the latter may never have to wait for the metal being laid down, or the former to wait for the old road to be picked up.

The time necessary for fitting in and taking out the picking up spikes is of course curtailed if the engine divides the day proportionately between the two operations, completing the necessary length of picking up in the first part of the day and then rolling until evening. The following Table will, I think, show an apportionment of a day's work approximately correct:—

| Order of operations of engine | No. of hours | Order of operations of Coolies |
|--|--------------|---|
| Getting up steam, fitting spikes, and picking up one mile of old road, | 5½ | Coolies lay down nearly half mile. |
| Taking out spikes and rolling and finishing a half mile, ... | 3 | Coolies lay down over quarter mile, making in all three-quarters of a mile. |
| Farther rolling and finishing a quarter mile. | 1½ | Gang will work on the remaining quarter mile picked, probably doing about half and leaving the rest to be recommenced on the following morning. |
| Total, ... | 10 | |

From this Table it will be seen that the effective day's work will consist of one mile picked up, about $\frac{7}{8}$ ths of a mile spread, and $\frac{3}{4}$ of a mile rolled and finished. It must be borne in mind, however, that in a long length of road, although $\frac{3}{4}$ ths of a mile finished per day may and will be

frequently done, yet many causes of stoppage of the regular order and continuity of the work must frequently occur. Such, for instance, as (1), occasional repairs or adjustments of the engine, (2), feast days and holy days when the full gangs cannot be collected, (3), very wet days, when they refuse to work, (4), very soft or very hilly portions of road (5), if a European Driver is employed, the difficulty of his always working the full ten hours per day, owing to the time he expends in having to go perhaps three to five or six miles to the nearest Road Bungalow for his meals. These minor causes of delay will, when added together, in a whole season, be working materially affect the average length of road finished per day, and therefore, as before stated, I do not think that in practice over a long length of road it would be prudent to base calculations on more than from $\frac{1}{3}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ mile completely finished per day. Allowing 90 days in a monsoon working season, this gives 45 miles per year as the maximum of work to expect from one roller.

Turning now to the Table, we find that the coolies must spread nearly half a mile of metal, say 2,600, feet in $5\frac{1}{2}$ hours, allowing that one man and two women will do 40 feet in a day of 10 hours, we shall require a gang of 117 men and 234 women, independent of those required for spreading the moorum and for watering.

The experience gained in the actual working this season appears to show that it is better to put down the moorum in several instalments, after heavy watering much of the moorum is washed into the metal and towards the sides of the road, forming a liquid mass, at first this should be swept back as much as possible over the drier portions. At first I was of opinion that more moorum than that usually allowed for hand consolidation would be required in consolidating by the steam roller, in consequence of the losses above referred to, but subsequent experience has shown that with care and proper management the usual quantity is ample, or even less than the ordinary quantity, say one fifth the volume of the metal might suffice if the rolling is done in rainy weather without artificial watering. The quality of the binding material requires more particular selection than in the case of a hand made road. In European examples I find fine sharp sand is recommended, this, although it would undoubtedly be the best so far as the roller is concerned, would be objectionable in a hot and dry climate such as India, pure debris of rock should alone be used, very particular attention being paid to its being perfectly free from earthy or

clayey particles, as any small admixture of these renders it almost impossible to roll down, from its sticking and clogging on the rollers and necessitating great quantities of water to wash away the impurities.

During the course of the work a number of different experiments were tried as to the best methods of proceeding with this work. It has been found best to roll down the metal two or three times, in the 1st instance dry and without moorum, this has the effect of compressing the road together and turning the angles of the stones downwards, leaving flat surfaces; about one-third of the moorum should now be laid down and well watered, if sufficient water be not added, the binding will adhere to the rollers and bring up large patches of metal with it, tearing up the road and rendering it exceedingly difficult to manage afterwards; it has been found that the proper point of saturation is only reached when the water will lie on the road forming a kind of banked up ridge in front of the rollers as they move over it. Far greater economy will be effected by an unsparing use of water than by suffering the delays and inconveniences due to a pasty and sticky condition of the moorum. Watering, therefore, must form in a dry season a considerable item of the expense of consolidation by the steam roller; this charge has not been experienced to so great a degree in England, owing to the naturally moist climate, the use of fine sharp sand for binding, and the fact that the operations hitherto carried on having been in or on the outskirts of towns, a supply of water from the mains is both easy and comparatively inexpensive. After the first instalment of moorum is laid the remainder is added at those points where it has been washed in or towards the sides of the road, and the watering is plentifully carried on until an average of six or seven rollings completes the consolidation; should water be difficult to procure in proper quantities after three or four rollings, rather harm than good will ensue if the rolling be continued dry; nothing, therefore, in this case can be done, but either to procure the necessary quantity of water, or to finish the work by hand labor; the previous three or four rollings and the consequent well compressed state of the metal renders this a comparatively easy matter. Regarding the question of water, it must not be forgotten that in ramming metal by hand, a quantity per given length equal to that required by the roller may be requisite, but it is needed in small quantities extending over a long time. No greater absolute quantity may be required by the steam roller, but she needs it in large quantities for a short time;

thus, taking the quantity of water necessary to be supplied in one hour to a gang of hand rammers, the amount to be supplied to the road in front of the steam roller in the same time will be as much greater as the speed of the machine is greater than the speed at which the gang of rammers will advance, or as, say, two miles to fifty feet, or thereabouts, or over 200 times as much water in the same time, the total quantity of water used in both cases remaining the same

In a dry season, therefore, the supply of water becomes a very important item. This year two ordinary watering carts (such as used for watering station roads) were employed, but proved to be quite insufficient for the duty required, both as to capacity and facility of movement on newly laid metal, a greater number constructed so as not to require turning would undoubtedly prove of service and better in every way than watering by hand, and should certainly be provided next year. On an important road, where it was desired for several years to consolidate the maximum length capable of being done by the roller in one season, a traction engine and pump for watering purposes, as suggested by Mr. Davidson in his report, would prove as much a necessity as an economy. A sun awning with purdahs more completely shutting in the sides of the roller should be provided for future work, together with the minor alterations suggested in Mr Davidson's report. In order to provide better against the sickness which the arduous nature of the work in the most unhealthy season of the year entails, all the Native engine establishment should be supplied with tarpaulins or some good waterproof coverings. The provision also of some kind of moveable habitation for these men, would also add much to the effective number of working hours, preventing the necessity of their having to go at night, often many miles, to the nearest shelter. The steering of the roller being a work requiring some skill and practice it will be advisable to instruct as many of the Native establishment as possible, so as to provide substitutes in case of sickness. This season frequent trouble and delay was caused by steersmen becoming sick just when they had begun to steer properly.

G. W. MacG

Report by J. Y. DAVIDSON, Esq., Assistant Engineer, Kamthi.

The Steam Road Roller which has been at work on the Northern road during the past monsoon is one of two which arrived in the Division last year, both having been made by Messrs. Aveling and Porter.

This Roller is 6-horse-power nominal with a boiler of the "Locomotive type." The cylinder is on the top of the boiler, the connecting rod working on to a crank shaft with a pinion on one end working a second motion shaft, this shaft again communicating with the axle of the front rollers by a pitched chain, the result of this combination being 20 revolutions of the engine to 1 revolution of the front rollers. The trailing rollers carry a turn-table over which the framing of the engine is placed, this arrangement enables them to be turned by a steering wheel attached to the turntable.

Holes are provided in the front roller for spikes which extend four inches radially, and are fastened by nuts on the inside. The Feed pump is supported on an overhanging bracket cast, on the bracket of the second motion shaft a coal box or bunker is supplied capable of holding three maunds of coal (English.) The total width covered by all rollers is 6 feet.

A detailed description of the whole machine is unnecessary, and without drawings would be unintelligible, the* Photographs taken some months ago show the general design. As however, the above named parts of the engine must be frequently alluded to in this report, I have attempted a slight description of them.

The roller was erected on the North bank of the Kanhán, 10½ miles from Nagpur, and early in June was sent to Korai on the 58th mile, to await the commencement of the working season. No difficulty or accident of any kind was experienced during this journey. The waggon made in the workshop of the division was drawn by bullocks for the first 13 miles. The road for the greater part of that distance being of soft kunker metal.

The total weight at starting from the village of Amri, on the 14th mile was :—

| | | | Tons. | Cwt. |
|----------------------------------|-----|-----|-------|------|
| Weight of roller, | ... | ... | 15 | 0 |
| Do. of waggon, | ... | ... | 1 | 10 |
| Do. of coal, | ... | ... | 1 | 10 |
| Stores, scales and tools (about) | | | 0 | 8 |
| Total about | | | 18 | 8 |

* *Vide* Frontispiece and Plate XVI.

METAL CONSOLIDATION BY STEAM ROLLERS.



The heaviest incline to be ascended, travelling in a Northerly direction is at Munser on 26th mile, which is 1 in 19, the 27 and 28 miles are comparatively level, and the 30th and 31st have inclines varying from 1-30 to 1-16, this latter being a descending one going northerly.

The actual rolling work began on July 19th, during that and the two previous days so much rain fell that very few of the people would work; about a quarter of a mile of metal had been spread, and on this I thought it better to begin rolling, although we had few people to continue the spreading.

After passing the roller four times over each part of the road, the metal was found thoroughly compressed, and no good results were perceptible after a fifth trial,—I have no means of accurately judging the quantity of rain that fell from 2-45 P. M., when we began rolling, until 4 P. M., when we left the roller for the night, but as it was heavy even for the monsoon season it may be taken at 1 inch.

The next morning the heavy rain still continuing no coolies were at work, it was determined to try the picking up spikes.

The wooden plugs in the spike-holes some of which were put in in England, and some here during the hot weather, were expanded by the rain, so that the greatest difficulty was found in getting them out; after about 2 hours work the spikes were in and the picking up commenced: the wooden plugs have not been used since, the work being done equally well without them.

I then found from actual experience that, as I had expected, the wet weather is not the best for picking, for two reasons; the ground does not crack sufficiently, and the holes are filled up by the pressure of the trailing rollers passing over them.

After a short time it was found that the coolies employed on the engine were quite unfit for work, on account of the long exposure to heavy rain, and some of the wood which had been stored in the wagon saturated to such an extent as to render it almost impossible to keep steam.

Various circumstances quite unconnected with the roller delayed the work, so that it was the end of the month before this mile was finished.

Scarcity of coolies caused by the demand for field labor, heavy rains, and feast days, are some of the difficulties met with at first starting.

On 23rd July, the first quarter mile was topped with moorum, and early on the morning of 24th was rolled again during a tolerable heavy shower;

a few women were employed with the ordinary country "Gurrahs" to throw water on where the topping was liable to stick to the rollers. After going twice over the road it was found to be perfectly consolidated; this with previous rolling made six times the road was rolled.

The remainder of this mile was finished without any artificial watering; and has remained in excellent order ever since.

I have submitted the details of these, the first few days work, at some length, as they were the only days that we had seasonable weather. From 28th July until the 6th September we had no rain, and you ordered the work on the other miles to be finished by hand.

The dry weather experienced in the months of August and September has been a cause of great additional expense and labor, so great had the scarcity of water become in the latter month that it was only with difficulty enough could be got for the engine: there is, however, little doubt that more experience has been gained and more reliable data arrived at, than if the monsoon had continued without intermission, when difficulties arising from scarcity of water would not have presented themselves.

Four kinds of fuel were used during the season viz., Wood, Chanda coal, Chhindwara coal, English (Hartley steam) coal.

The details of the average working with each of these kinds of fuel with the number of miles on which accurate record was kept by each kind may be stated as follows:—

Table of consumption of Fuel.

TABLE I.

| Description of Fuel | | Number of miles | Number of times travelled over | Average per mile finished | 1 mile once rolled | Stock price per Maund | Cost of fuel 1 mile rolled once |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | MAUNDS. | MAUNDS. | RS. A. P. | RS. A. P. |
| COAL | Wood, .. | 5 | 6 | 46.1 | 7.68 | 0 6 0 | 2 14 1 |
| | { Chhindwara, | 4 | 4 | 30.6 | 7.65 | 1 4 0 | 9 9 0 |
| | { Chanda, .. | 3 | 4 | 15.2 | 3.8 | 1 4 0 | 4 12 0 |
| | { English, .. | 2½ | 6 | 11.0 | 1.83 | 2 2 0 | 3 14 4 |

In the last column the cost is shown of rolling a mile once, which with

a roller 6 feet wide on a 12 foot road equals two miles actually travelled I think this will give more reliable data than taking a mile actually consolidated which will always be affected by a variety of circumstances such as the state of the weather, and the nature of the foundation of the road The prices given are those at which the different kinds of fuel stand in the stock books of the division, and are rather higher than the market rates If wood had been bought at the villages on the road and used as required, the cost would have been 4 instead of 11 annas per maund

Of the 16 miles operated on, five miles had a 4-inch coat and eleven had a 3-inch coat of metal equal to 2,783-100 cubic feet, and the expenditure up to the 1st October was Rs 1,142 for spreading and incidental expenses, or an average of Rs 0 6-6 per 100 cubic feet From this and the Table of consumption of fuel given above, allowing one mile per day to be rolled six times, and adding Rs 5 for engine expenses, we get a comparison of cost of steam and hand labor —

TABLE II

| | | | Total engine expenses per mile | Per 100 cubic feet | Spreading of 100 cubic feet | Total per 100 cubic feet |
|---------------------------------------|----|----|--------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | RS. A. P. | RS. A. P. | RS. A. P. | RS. A. P. |
| 1 Mile with wood, | .. | .. | 22 4 6 | 0 2 0 | 0 6 6 | 0 8 6 |
| Chhindwara coal, | .. | . | 62 6 0 | 0 5 8 | 0 6 6 | 0 12 2 |
| Chanda coal, | .. | .. | 33 8 0 | 0 3 1 | 0 6 6 | 0 9 7 |
| English coal, | .. | .. | 28 0 0 | 0 2 7 | 0 6 6 | 0 9 1 |
| If wood had been brought as required, | | | 16 8 4 | 0 1 6 | 0 6 6 | 0 8 0 |

The rate for consolidation by hand in this division had never been under Rs 1-8 0, including keeping the road in repair for two months

The results are independent of any expense for taking the roller from Ramthi to Korai and back again, and also for going over intermediate distances, as such expenses will always vary, and if taken into account in a record of experiments would give a fallacious result As an example, on August 20th nine miles from Deolapar to Chorbowlie were travelled in 5 hours with 14 maunds of wood, being at the rate of one maund 22 seers per mile The speed was two miles per hour and half an hour was due to a stoppage for water The difference of levels being 166 feet.

I would, however, state that these results must not be taken as the best that may possibly be obtained from the country coal, especially the Chanda coal, which if fired and treated *like* English coal gives but a poor result. When first tried in the roller on fresh metal it was only with the greatest difficulty, and with frequent stoppages that steam could be kept up at all, the fire bars were then placed wider apart, the ash pan removed, and the blast cock kept open, the result was a saving of 25 per cent. in the consumption, an indirect but considerable saving was also effected in time in getting up steam. With fire bars arranged as for home work, the time required for this work was nearly $1\frac{1}{2}$ hour, but when altered as stated $\frac{3}{4}$ of an hour was enough; on one occasion I timed it and the pointer of the Gauge moved from lbs. 20 to 50 lbs. in $2\frac{1}{2}$ minutes. From this I have little doubt but that more experience with this coal would enable us to considerably reduce the difference in performance between it and English coal.

The Chbindwara coal is not in many ways so difficult to manage as the Chanda, but its liability to clinker is its great fault; any required degree of heat can however be obtained at first, but it is found that as more fuel is added the heat is diminished and steam goes down, on account of the thick clinker on the bars and which requires considerable force to remove it.

With the exception of a few pieces here and there all the road was picked up by the Roller. The way in which this is done by the spikes, already alluded to, is most effective and simple, starting from a given point, say a mile stone, and making two double trips, that is going twice up and twice down, the whole surface of the road 12 feet wide, is completely broken up and might be easily dug up with a spade.

This operation, however, requires great care both from driver and steersman, the former must have plenty of steam and open and shut his regulator as the spikes get on hard or soft places, and meet with greater or less resistance. If this is not attended to, and the engine allowed to run, a great strain and sudden jerks will be thrown on the working parts which is not advisable. Even with very careful driving this is unavoidable to a certain extent, but all danger is avoided by care.

On accurate steering also a great deal depends, more even than in rolling, as the spikes have a tendency to throw the roller slightly to one side. If this is not quickly counteracted, the holes in the road become

irregular, or in other words part of the road remains unbroken. Altogether picking up is hard work for the engine and the people employed on her, especially when breaking ground for the first time and in warm weather. In some places where the road is harder than usual the spikes scarcely enter the ground at all at first, and this is the time of greatest trial, each successive trip becoming easier and easier until the whole is broken up.

The indirect saving effected by this operation will I think be greater than can be shown at first, but I have little doubt you will find the benefit to the road is in indirect proportion to the honest work of the steam roller, compared with the "scamped," and uncertain work of coolies.

Comparison of cost of picking by hand and steam labor.

| | | |
|--|---|------------------|
| 100 coolies @ 2½ annas per day, will pick up about a mile, | | RS. AS P |
| | | 15 7 0 |
| Roller | { | 6 8 0 |
| | | 5 12 2 |
| | | <hr/> |
| | | 12 4 2 |
| Balance in favor of engine picking, | | 3 2 10 per mile. |

This is supposing that each cooly does over 50 feet, and the roller only picks up at the rate of 2 miles per day, which is as much as it is advisable to pick up at one time.

On the morning of 5th September I had a quarter of a mile measured off on 27th mile, where the road passes close to the tank at Kandrie, with the intention of ascertaining the exact cost of artificially watering, and at the same time making a thoroughly good road. For this purpose 16 women at 7 pice per day, and 7 men were employed with 21 gurrabs, and the time occupied was 5 hours.

This delay was principally due to the fact that only two men can put water on at once with good effect, when the engine arrives at a place where very little has been thrown down, it must stop for a time, or go back some distance, the latter is the better course as the steam is used instead of being wasted.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{Speed on the level is 2 miles per hour} \\
 &5 \text{ hours} \times 2 = 10 \text{ miles distance due time} \\
 &10 \times 4 = 40 \text{ quarter miles linear} \\
 &\frac{40}{2} = 20 \text{ times over whole road.}
 \end{aligned}$$

As we know that with plenty of rain, as on the 57th mile, six times is enough, the roller travelled on this occasion $\frac{20}{6} = 3.334$ times more than necessary, and consumed therefore 3.334 times more fuel than was required. If we take the present Bazar rate of wood, viz., 4 maunds per rupee as a standard, we have the following data:—

Six times over whole road for one-fourth of a mile $= 1\frac{1}{2}$ mile lineal.

Fuel 7.69 maunds per mile, @ 4 maunds per Re. $= 11.52$ maunds $=$ Rs. 2.88 per $\frac{1}{4}$ mile.

$= 2.88$ Rupees for one quarter mile finished without hand watering.

2.88 Rupees $\times 3.334 =$ Rs. 9.6 per $\frac{1}{4}$ mile finished by hand watering.

$9.6 - 2.88 =$ Rs. 6.72 excess per $\frac{1}{4}$ mile finished, $6.72 \times 4 = 26.88$ excess per mile for fuel. That is at the rate of Rupees 27 nearly per mile for fuel, more when hand watering than when watering from rain fall.

Independently of expense there is the time taken up; a most important consideration when the short working season is considered.

A length of road on the 25th mile was picked up, spread and rolled with water, in the presence of Chief Engineer and yourself; as this was done purely for experimental purposes it cannot well be taken in comparison of cost.

Water in rivers running at right angles to the road must I submit be looked upon as utterly useless for consolidation by a steam roller with our present appliances. I tried the experiment on the 41st mile, but the result was so extravagant that in a very short time we stopped and put the people on to other work.

Under any circumstances, one great objection to hand watering is the danger to the people employed. The necessity for giving a curved surface to the road, makes it advisable to have the water thrown on as close to the roller as possible. To do this a man must walk backwards at the rate of two miles an hour within a foot or two of the roller; should he slip the consequences would probably be serious. Further most of the water runs to waste; the work has to be done quickly and is unsuited to coolly labor, and could not be safely carried out at all unless under the supervision of Engineers or skilled European subordinates.

The two water carts with iron tanks fitted with $1\frac{1}{2}$ " pipes of the usual pattern were sent up, and tried on the 45th mile; but the water being very dirty the pipes were soon choked, and had to be discontinued for the

time On the 41st mile they were tried again with slightly better results, as the water was clearer, in other ways the result was not satisfactory. Their weight when full of water is too much for an ordinary pair of bullocks on fresh metal, who, try to go on the earthen sides of the road where the resistance is less, or if prevented from doing that lie down on the road. Half filled carts were tried, but with little better success. The necessity for turning round frequently, the obstinacy of the bullocks made worse by their fear of the engine, rendered the whole proceeding nearly useless.

Having endeavoured to show the extra cost of watering by hand labor under the most favourable circumstances and the impracticability of watering with an ordinary water cart, it is perhaps unnecessary to point out the difficulty, with our present appliances, of using water at any considerable distance from the road. The question then arises, is "Steam Rolling" unsatisfactory on the Northern Road except on a wet day? I think not with water carts, which could be made up in the workshops of the Division, and drawn by a 4 horse-power traction engine even in a dry season like the past, at many places along the road, water in sufficient quantities can be obtained to keep the roller at work and at her full speed. It would be necessary to make the carts so that they could be drawn in either direction, turning on a narrow newly metalled road being undesirable. An engine if fitted with a pump, could fill the carts if the water was 100 feet from the road side or from a stream 20 feet below. During wet weather, water in abundance can be had within less distances than these, and should there have been no rain for a day or two, the ground would be sufficiently hard to enable the Traction engine to leave the carts, go any distance from the road, and fill the carts through a hose, or pump the water on to the road direct, according to circumstances.

An engine of this sort could be used for general work as a portable engine for driving pumps, or as an ordinary traction engine, during the rest of the year. It could also be employed in transporting the coal for the use of the roller before the monsoon season, with more certainty than can be done with country carts which frequently break down, and lose a considerable part of their loads. I may be permitted to say, in concluding this part of the subject, that much better results would have been obtained, and a considerable sum of money saved to the Government of India, if, in their numerous notices and circulars, the makers of these

machines had given some hints, however vague, of the quantity of water required for consolidation purposes. The use of these machines is quite new even at home, and when I was ordered to watch the performances of this one and report upon it, I sought in vain for any information on this most important point. In the published copy of Mr. Avelings' paper read at the society of mechanical Engineers this year, the subject is only casually mentioned although the other operations are accurately described.

When the steam Roller returned to Kamthi, the rollers and other heavy portions were disconnected and wagon wheels having been placed under the boiler and engine it was brought over the temporary Bridge into the Kanhán workshops for examination, repairs, and painting; this last being greatly needed, some of the parts having rusted considerably.

With a few exceptions she is well adapted for work. The awning or cover shown in the Drawing is not large enough, it ought to be wider, and longer, with curtains at the sides to keep sun and rain off the men without interfering with their movements.

The engine itself is strong and well proportioned and, as might be expected, shows no symptoms of wear as yet. The original spur wheel for the second motion shaft was broken in the voyage out. The one now in use was cast in Bombay and is not very well adapted for its work; the teeth are not suitable for those of the pinion, consequently both have worn considerably and more than should be for one season's work; it is possible that both wheel and pinion may work for another season, but a safer way would be to get a new one from Messrs. Aveling and Porter before the monsoon. The bearings of both sets of rollers ought to have been fitted with brasses and better means of lubrication given. The front ones especially have worn down considerably into the white metal, so that the axle has been rubbing on the cast-iron saddle on which the bearings are cast. This can, however, be remedied for next season's work, after which brasses should I think be fitted.

The steering gear is hardly adapted for natives, and will I fear be a constant source of trouble for some seasons to come. It is not hard work for a European in a moderate climate, but requires a quickness of eye and hand combined with close attention, which it is difficult to find in the ordinary native. In an unhealthy season and on a road surrounded by dense jungle, like the Northern, the work is particularly trying; four steersmen were rendered unfit for work by fever in the first weeks of the

season, and others after they had been taught and could manage to steer tolerably well, refused to remain although paid much more than they could get elsewhere

In future engines an alteration in the position of the fire door would be advisable. This would enable a man to attend to the fire without interfering with the driver, who could then have all his attention directed to the engine and be ready at any time to stop and reverse when required. This would be even more necessary were the speed of the rollers increased to 3 miles an hour as purposed by Mr O Callaghan last year in case of the roller leaving the road while going at that speed, the consequences might be serious if on high embankments, &c

A cock on the feed pipe close to the boiler is necessary, on this as on other engines at work in this Division where the water is impregnated with lime and other impurities the cock should be made so that it can be used in three ways, viz, (1st), To shut off from the boiler and allow the feed valves to be taken out when steam is up, (2nd), To allow the water to be blown out of the boiler to clean the inlet, (3rd), When shut and the pump started to allow the cold water to escape and not burst the pump or pipes. When the feed pipe was lately taken off it was found that the hole was reduced to about half its proper area by incrustation

The means of access to pitched chain for driving is inconvenient and would be greatly improved if the top part of the casing could be easily taken off and larger oil holes drilled in the links, only a few links can be cleaned and oiled at one time, the engine has then to be moved and the operation repeated, with a casing made as suggested above, about one-third of the chain might be exposed at a time and the work of cleaning, &c, more carefully examined. The boiler is well proportioned and being fitted with a man hole in the smoke box is capable of being easily cleaned and examined. The foot plate should be widened about 12" on each side. This I consider, almost a necessity for this climate

The position of the feed pump might also be altered with advantage. The bracket on which it is now placed springs perceptibly at every stroke, if from bad packing or any other cause, the plunger met with undue resistance, the bracket would be extremely liable to break off. A pump bolted on the boiler direct would be much safer

Priming frequently occurs when going up gradients with the trailing rollers first. It is just possible that the designers never intended their

machine to work in this way ; it is, however, absolutely necessary on our roads, (only 12 feet wide as regards metal,) and the difficulty only requires to be brought to their notice to be remedied in future engines. A larger smoke box would be an advantage ; when working heavy gradients, the present one gets up to such high temperature as to endanger the lagging, and in one case this was set on fire. The chimney should be of wrought-iron, and not as at present of thin cast-iron, which is liable to be broken in many ways where a wrought-iron one would be safe.

Besides the above, there are a few minor matters which would contribute greatly to the efficiency of similar machines and some of which might be advantageously applied to the one now in use ; for instance ; (1), A scraper on each side of the driving rollers ; (2), Steel instead of iron picking up spikes ; (3), Clutch gear instead of the straps and buckle for holding the pinion in or out of gear ; (4), Brass brushes for the driving roller bearings ; (5), The small rollers for supporting and guiding trailing rollers, put in more accessible or convenient positions ; (6), A blast cock which can be regulated from the foot plate.

A movable hut for the accommodation of the natives employed would be extremely useful, the frame work and wheels only would require to be strong. The upper part of galvanized iron with little or nothing of internal fittings would be quite sufficient for their simple habits.

A considerable saving would accrue from a hut like this, when it is necessary to stop the engine for the night several miles from a village in a district known or supposed to be haunted by wild beasts. In such cases the men not unnaturally like to reach a place of safety before dark, and they leave their work unless well watched, a considerable time before they should do so.

For a similar reason, it is difficult to get up steam in the morning at an early hour : if the workpeople and firemen were huddled within a few yards of their work, the time available for rolling would be on an average two hours a day more than at present, and this nearly equals a quarter of a mile consolidated.

A saving in wages of night watchmen would also be effected, two of whom are now required.

Some of the proposals and remarks which I have made above may appear unimportant and superfluous at first, but when it is considered, that like all operations where machinery is concerned, the whole is only a

succession of details, the failure of any one of which seriously affect results, my suggestions will I hope be approved.

Appendix by G. W. MACGEORGE, Executive Engineer, Kanhán Division

In accordance with your verbal instructions, before being brought into Kamthi the steam road roller was tried on a short length of road laid with kunker metal on the 16th mile between Kamthi and Doornie. The length laid down was a little over 1 000 feet in length, and the thickness of the coat of kunker 4 inches resting on an old kunker road. I personally inspected the whole of this operation, and I am of opinion that the results, although satisfactory, were not so much so in this case as when stone metal is employed. The metal used was that small hard field kunker found in generally *round* nodules averaging not more than an inch in diameter. The effect of passing the heavy roller dry over this metal was to bank it up considerably in front of the rollers, so much so as to render it at first very difficult to proceed, and the road afterwards somewhat uneven. After four rollings the pieces of kunker sufficiently hard to resist crushing were, owing to their round form, completely unbound. I then laid down moorum and well watered the road and repassed the roller over the length some seven or eight times, when, as the road appeared to be smooth and hard, the experiment ceased. A few days afterwards I found some portions had been cut up by the narrow wheeled traffic, but the general state of the metal was satisfactory, it has since required occasional looking after. I do not consider the experiment as in any way conclusive, as regards any decided inferiority of kunker used under the steam road roller, as compared with limestone or granite metal, as I think that in the experiment referred to the kunker was too small and round, had pit kunker been used in large nodules I have no doubt that the roller would consolidate it rapidly and well.

Close to Munser a short length (about 100 feet) of the road was laid down with fine river sand as a binding material, this portion has remained in very good order ever since, and particular attention will be given to observe its effect under the hot weather, the employment of sharp sand from its not sticking to the rollers, is a great point in its favor.

As regards the state of the whole number of miles of metal rolled this

season at the date of writing: From Doomrie to the 29th mile it has remained in very good order; the 30th mile has given some extra trouble which I attribute to the circumstance that most of this mile was picked up by hand, in order that the roller might commence rolling directly she arrived from Deolapar; the 41st and 42nd miles, the 45th and 49th are good, and the 57th particularly so; altogether, comparing these miles with others done in other parts of the Division by hand labor, I think there can be no question that they are better (with the exception of the 30th mile), and require less repairs. After the hot season I confidently anticipate that the state of these miles will more fully show all the benefit they have received from the weight of the roller, and that they will last longer than those done by hand-ramming.

Note by Offg. Chief Engineer. T. W. ARMSTRONG, Esq., M. Ins. C.E.

Nagpore, 1st June, 1871.

The Reports submitted by Mr. MacGeorge, Executive Engineer, and Mr. Davidson, Assistant Engineer, on the above operations, are so full, clear, and detailed, that I find I have hardly anything to add to them by way of explanation.

The question of consolidating metal by steam power is so important, that I do not hesitate to give the Engineer's reports in full.

In the opinions expressed I concur generally, except that in cases where water is scarce, and the rains scanty and intermittent, it will be best, I am confident, to roll the metal at first without any topping: and after this, to spread the moorum or gravel, and finish off by hand labor; four or five rollings should suffice.

This system is to be tried this year, and I believe the results will be both satisfactory and economical.

It is very troublesome and tedious attempting to finish by rolling, when the topping is not what is termed *shushed* with water. In the absence of rain, a scanty supply of water renders the moorum or gravel topping sticky and greasy, it is then licked up in large patches by the rollers with masses of metal adhering; this in a way destroys the consolidation effected before the topping was laid on. I do not think sand is fit for topping in this climate.

I have already reported that I do not consider a steam roller suited for laying down first coats of metal on the fresh clay banks of a new road;

nor would it be a useful machine on a road which was not bridged generally. If the roller was brought for work to a road, whose intersecting rivers and nullahs were unbridged, and the more numerous such gaps the more the delay and trouble, it would have to be taken to pieces at almost all of these breaks, unless temporary tracks were constructed across the beds, and if the rivers held deep water, shipping and unshipping the machine even when in pieces, is a slow process requiring careful supervision.

These rollers are not, as I state, very suitable for consolidating first coats of metal. If this were attempted in the monsoon season, at any rate in this part of India, they would sink into the fresh banks of earth and simply stick then and there,—on old embankments, consolidated some time, this evil would be felt less, but even on these, unless in comparatively dry weather, delays and sinkings would be frequent.

Once a first coat of metal is laid, then for all successive layers the rollers can be used, and I consider with considerable economy, much saving in time and sound honest work as the result.

The Executive Engineer, in his report, shows the saving by steam rolling to be Rs 148 9 0 per mile, under rather adverse circumstances, which he explains. He states also that when circumstances are favorable, the saving effected amounts to Rs 190 13 0 per mile over hand consolidation, and from these data he calculates that the steam roller recoups her original cost after consolidating 60 miles of metal, taking the average saving to be Rs 160 per mile. This is a moderate and a safe calculation in my opinion.

For certain reasons, not necessary here to explain, English, Chhindwara, and Chanda coal were used for firing as well as wood. This has unavoidably run up the mileage rate for consolidation. The Executive Engineer however shows that if only wood had been used, Rs 29 per mile would have been gained, bringing up the general saving per mile over hand labor from Rs 143 9 0 to Rs 172-9 0.

When consolidation by the roller is carried out during sufficient rainfall, the Executive Engineer in his report (*vide* Table C,) states that a saving of over Rs 200 per mile may be obtained over the cost of hand labor.

Picking up the road before laying down the new metal is an indispensable operation. It is fully explained both in the Executive Engineer's and in Mr Davidson's report, that a gain of Rs 2-10 per mile is

effected by the roller, or as Rs. 15-7-0 (the cost of hand labor,) is to Rs. 12-4-2, (the expenditure with the engine).

The end of Mr. Davidson's report, is of much interest; it contains the opinions and proposals of a man who understands his work thoroughly, and who has taken great pains to carry out successfully and economically the duties entrusted to him.

I concur in all he states, and I recommend that a copy of his report be sent to the makers of the steam roller, Messrs. Aveling and Porter.

The "Appendix" by Mr. MacGeorge gives information regarding the consolidation of some kunker metal by the roller; the result was favorable. I believe pit kunker can be as cheaply and solidly consolidated as stone metal; I can see no reason why this should not be so.

*Remarks by MESSRS. AVELING & PORTER on MR. F. L. O'CALLAGHAN'S
Report and Suggestions.**

Respecting the awning mentioned in para. 19, as being desirable to protect the driver and steersman from the sun, there is no difficulty in providing a simple and efficient one.

Para. 20, suggests that the rollers should draw behind them a supply of fuel for one day's consumption and carry in their bunkers sufficient for a run of two miles. The machines are already fitted with couplings for drawing wagons behind them, and a suitable one can be constructed at a cost of £45. The coal bunkers can be enlarged as desired without any inconvenience.

The foot plate can be made wider as proposed in para. 21 of the report.

Regarding the desired alteration in the speed gearing of the machines alluded to in para. 22, we shall take care that any future rollers ordered of us be arranged to travel at from 3 to 3½ miles per hour on good roads; this is a higher speed than draught horses generally maintain; and beyond it we consider that it is not prudent to work such heavy machines on ordinary macadamised roads.

Para. 23 advocates the substitution of double for single cylinder engine and the removal of the existing fly wheel. In considering these recommendations we incline to believe that a little longer acquaintance

* *Vide*, P. P. First Series, Vol. VII., page 145.

with the present rollers would modify the Engineer's opinion of the relative value of the two kinds of engines. We have found in the course of a long experience in the use and construction of engines for common roads, that single cylinder locomotives are much more economical, more powerful, less complicated and consequently less liable to get out of repair than are double cylinder engines. It is also to be observed that after 2 or 3 day's practice single cylinder engines can be handled as easily as double cylinder engines.

The remarks, it must be added, apply chiefly to engines of small power, such as are those that drive our 15 ton rollers, to these it would be difficult to apply two cylinders and retain at the same time the simplicity and strength of the existing gearing. To larger engines there would not be attached the same inconveniences in the use of double cylinder gearing.

The fly wheel, to which objection is taken, can easily be so covered in as no longer to prove the danger to horses it is now alleged to be. It should be borne in mind that its removal would diminish the general usefulness of the Engine, inasmuch as without it the Roller cannot be used as a stationary engine for driving, pumping, sawing, stone breaking, or other machinery, and for which it is now properly adapted.

If the engines be made of greater horse power, as suggested in para 24, the rollers should also be made larger in diameter, say 6 feet in place of 5 feet as now constructed. This alteration would materially reduce their liability to sink into newly made roads.

The inconvenience pointed out in para 25, arising from the present construction of the ash pan, shall be remedied in future.

In conclusion, we would add that it will be our study in the event of receiving further orders from you, to entertain, and whenever practicable, carry out any suggestion from the Engineer in charge of the Rollers, tending to the improvement in their design or convenient alteration in details of construction.

No. XXIV.

ON THE MOVEMENT OF WATER IN PIPES, CANALS
AND RIVERS.

Adapted from articles in the "Ponts et Chaussées." BY LIEUT. W.
G. ROSS, R.E.

I. Dubuat established two principles, which have up to the present time served as the basis of research in all enquires into the laws of the movements of water in canals and rivers. These two principles are—

1. The moving force, which each of the molecules of water that compose a river has, arises only from the surface slope.
2. When water moves uniformly the resistance it meets is equal to the accelerating force.

He further established that these retarding forces which make the motion of the water uniform are independent of the pressure. If then the action of these forces is to be attributed to the nature of the bed they cannot be exactly compared to the friction that takes place between solid bodies; they should be considered as of the same order but of an essentially different nature.

Dubuat's general formula for flow of water in all channels is

$$V = \frac{297 (\sqrt{r} - 0.1)}{\sqrt{s} - \log \sqrt{s} + 1.6} - 0.3 (\sqrt{r} - 0.1)$$

in which

V = mean velocity in inches per second.

r = mean radius or hydraulic mean depth = $\frac{\text{area of water section}}{\text{wetted perimeter.}}$

$\frac{1}{s}$ = the slope.

The unit of length is the inch

Irrespective of the practical difficulties that attend the employment of this formula it was soon discovered to be insufficient to represent the law of water flow

II M de Prony starting with Dubuat's principles, and observed facts, endeavored to establish another formula

He assumes that the nature of "lining," or surface of the bed of a water conduit has no influence on its flow, and that the movement is produced by very thin strata of water, such strata being parallel to the slope of the bed and of an uniform velocity. The formula put forward by him was

$$A : = \chi f(v)$$

where

A = area of section

z = slope or fall in unity

χ = wetted perimeter.

v = velocity at the bed or bottom

Making $\frac{A}{\chi} = R$, and expanding $f(v)$ according to the first powers of the variable, which again he replaced by the variable U , he obtained the equations

$$RI = a U + b U^2$$

the co-efficients " a " and " b " being determined from a certain number of experiments of Dubuat's by the method of mean squares. M de Prony did not delude himself as to the scientific value of his formula. He had admitted with Dubuat that the mean velocity was a function of the bottom velocity quite independent of the dimensions and slope of the canal. "However," says he, 'it is difficult to persuade oneself that these various elements have no influence on the relations between the bottom, mean, and surface velocities.' "Mais il fallait pourvoir aux besoins de la pratique" (*Recherches sur la Théorie des eaux Courantes*, 143)

Everything on the subject of the employment of the formula of M de Prony and of Eytelwein (the formula of the latter is that of the former in another form) that can be said, has been said by MM Darcy, Dupuit and Bazin. They have unanimously condemned it.

III MM Darcy and Bazin, after investigating experimentally the influence of the nature of the bed, attempted to resolve the problem by transforming the formula of de Prony, so that the co-efficients might

vary with the mean radius and the nature of the surface of the channel. The result of the researches of M. Bazin are given by Col. Anderson, R.E., in No. OXCIV. of the Professional Papers on Indian Engineering [First Series.] Co-efficients varying with both these elements at one and the same time are however unsatisfactory; they are, in a way, evident proofs that the general formula does not apply to the nature of facts. M. Gauchler, an engineer of the Ponts et Chaussées, on taking this into consideration was induced to believe that there must exist some simple algebraic relation containing only one co-efficient affecting the mean radius and variable with the nature of the bed, which should represent the phenomenon of the movement of water under every condition. The method adopted was synthetical, and extended over a long period of searching experiments.

IV. M. Gauchler, acting under the advice of M. Dupuit, worked on the experiments recorded by M. Darcy at Chaillot. These experiments were on pipes, and they were carried out under many and various conditions of diameter, slope, and nature of material. M. Darcy had proposed on the teaching of these experiments to modify M. de Prony's formula

$$RI = aU + bU^2$$

$$a = a' + \frac{\beta}{R^2}$$

$$b = a' + \frac{\beta'}{R}$$

a, a', β, β' varying with the nature of the channel. He also in order to do away with so many co-efficients proposed two other formulæ

$$RI = b_1 U^2, \text{ and } RI = a_1 U$$

the second being employed only for all velocities less than 0.328 feet per second. In these formulæ b and a are variables and functions of R . Hence he has in these formulæ given to b_1 the form

$$b_1 = a + \frac{\beta}{R}$$

and in searching for values of a and β applicable to pipes whose channels are covered with deposits (depots) M. Darcy has evolved the following

$$b_1 = 0.00051 + \frac{0.0000065}{R}$$

As however these experiments were all made on pipes whose diameter

was never greater than 0.80 feet, they cannot be used for calculations of discharge in pipes whose diameter is higher, the more especially as the formulæ of Darcy, although unlike the formula of De Prony in being based on a larger number of experiments, are similar to these in being not the less deduced from a preconceived formula, the coefficients of which have been applied by interpolation to the experiments giving the formulæ of Darcy

V The system adopted by M. Gauchler was to study in great detail pipes of the same diameter and material under various conditions of velocities and slopes, and then keeping the slope constant to study the velocities and diameters under varying conditions. By these means he hoped to express the relation existing between these three terms by one general formula

But he also approached the subject theoretically in the following manner —As the action of the molecular reactions was quite indefinite, he put this term aside and only took into consideration the movement of the centre of gravity of a molecular fluid. This movement being independent of the molecular reactions it was clear that the nature of the material of the channel alone affected it. The retardatory force of the channel is due to its uneven surface, against which the molecules of the liquid impinge, and from which they rebound in opposite directions, as they are elastic. These molecules again act upon and retard others. The sum of resistances thus produced is similar, therefore, to the shock of a fluid vein against a plane normal to its direction.

If the asperities of the channel are equally distributed over the wetted surface, and if the velocity at the channel is parallel to the axis of the pipe, the sum of the resistances is evidently proportional to the surface wetted, and to an unknown function of the velocity at the surface of the pipe. This velocity M. Gauchler admits, as did de Prony, to be a function of the mean velocity independent of the diameter or slope

Let D be the diameter of a pipe

L its length

V the mean velocity per second

The resistance which such a section of the pipe opposes to flow can be expressed by

$$\pi D L f(v)$$

But this resistance is also equal to the impulse the liquid receives from

its weight and if to traverse L the liquid descended from a height H , the liquid would produce a shock measured by the expression

$$\frac{1}{4} \pi D^2 v \sqrt{2gH}$$

$$\therefore \pi DL f(v) = \frac{1}{4} \pi D^2 v \sqrt{2gH}$$

Let us take the unit of time as one second. Let θ be the angle of the slope I , and L the length of pipe traversed in one second, then

$$L = v, \text{ and } H = v \sin \theta.$$

Substituting these values in preceding formula, and simplifying, we get

$$fv = \frac{1}{4} D \sqrt{2gv \sin \theta} \dots\dots\dots(a).$$

If θ is so small that we can substitute the tangent for the sine we can express equation (a) in the form

$$\frac{f(v)}{\sqrt{v}} = a D \sqrt{I} \dots\dots\dots(1).$$

Such would be the law of the movement of water if the hypotheses were rigorously true. But it is evident they are not. The threads or veins of water in a pipe do not all flow parallel to the axis, but rebound from side to side, so that even if a channel of perfectly smooth surface could exist, these would always exist from this cause a retardation of flow.

VI. After vain efforts to express the first term of the last equation as a function of the second, M Gauchler was induced to try empirically the formula

$$\sqrt{v} = b \sqrt{D \sqrt{I}} \dots\dots\dots(2).$$

considering the \sqrt{v} in the denominator of 1st term of (1) as an indication that $f(v)$ might be irrational.

Using this expression to 56 experiments of Darcy's made with cast iron pipes, M. Gauchler was induced to modify it to

$$\sqrt{v} + a \sqrt[4]{v} = b \sqrt{D \sqrt{I}} \dots\dots\dots(3).$$

where a and b varied with the diameter. As it was necessary that b should only vary with the nature of surface of channel, he modified this further into

$$\sqrt{v} + D \sqrt[4]{v} = a \sqrt{D \sqrt{I}} \dots\dots\dots(4).$$

It is unnecessary to reproduce all the experiments of M. Gauchler with this formula, and other modifications of it; they showed during investigation that he approached nearer and nearer the desired formula.

VII. An expression exact enough to resolve all cases of ordinary occurrence in practice was at last obtained. This was

$$\sqrt{v + \frac{1}{4} D} \sqrt[4]{v} = \alpha \sqrt[3]{D} \sqrt[4]{I} \dots\dots\dots (A).$$

evidently the formula did not apply to very slight slopes. As a fact owing to capillary action in every pipe the velocity becomes nothing before the slope is reduced to zero. The formula (A) may be more exactly expressed

$$\sqrt{v + \frac{1}{4} D} \sqrt[4]{v} = \alpha \sqrt[3]{D} \sqrt[4]{I - \beta} \dots\dots\dots (B).$$

β representing the capillary attraction.

Now, Laplace has established that the force of capillary attraction acts inversely as the diameter, so if N be the force

$$N = \frac{m}{D}$$

in being a constant depending on the natures of the liquid and channel. M. Gauchler determined a value of β which gave sufficiently accurate values for water

$$\beta = \frac{0.0000066}{D}.$$

This gives, it is said, very fair results.

As, in practice, velocities of 0.328 feet per second, or slopes gentle enough, and diameters small enough to enable β greatly to affect the value of I are rarely met with, β can be neglected.

The formula to be used therefore is (A). M. Gauchler with this formula went into a great many recorded experiments which it is unnecessary to transcribe. The results for iron pipes were eminently satisfactory. Those for pipes of sheet iron and bitumen are not so satisfactory. The explanation of this is that pipes of the latter materials became affected by the continual passage of water, and gave varying results. The temperatures at time of experiments also affected these pipes considerably. In lead pipes the most satisfactory and even results were given, when the velocity exceeded 1.64 feet per second. Water moving at this velocity seems to give lead a high polish. It is a curious fact that the value of α for glass pipes is not so high as that for lead. This was probably owing to the necessarily uncertain shape of the glass tubes; indeed an element of uncertainty is introduced into all the experiments by this and other con-

ditions under which the experiments were conducted. The pipes were ordinary cast-iron pipes except that the joinings were carefully made; the dimensions of each pipe were not constant; in those of slight slope and sluggish flow silt was constantly deposited, &c., &c. It is said that the formula very accurately for all practical purposes represents the flow of water in pipes.

It remains only to add a table embodying the results of M. Gaucher's investigations. If the co-efficient for pipes lined with silt be taken in calculating any problem of water supply, it is evident as α is here at its lowest that we shall always be on the safe side. For pipes of small diameter the term involving the 4th root of v can be neglected.

Formula
$$\sqrt{v + \frac{D}{4}} \sqrt[4]{v} = \alpha \sqrt[3]{D} \sqrt[4]{I}.$$

| Description of channel. | No. of experiments. | Diameters of pipes in feet. | Slope in unity, or one foot. | Value of α . |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Cast-iron pipes (new), .. | 40 | { 0.27 feet to 1.64 feet | { 0.0002 feet to 0.17072 feet | { 6.625 |
| Do do (old but clean), | 16 | { 0.802 feet to 0.974 feet | { 0.00028 feet to 0.11343 feet | { 6.1 to 6.3 |
| Do do (lined with silt), | 21 | { 0.118 feet to 0.798 feet | { 0.00025 feet to 0.13981 feet | { 5.5 |
| Sheet-iron and bitumen pipes, | 41 | { 0.088 feet to 0.935 feet | { 0.0002 feet to 0.30714 feet | { 7.0 |
| Wrought iron pipes, . . . | 38 | { 0.04 feet to 0.13 feet | { 0.00022 feet to 0.34426 feet | { 6.4 |
| Lead pipes, | 21 | { 0.046 feet to 0.1345 feet | { 0.00044 feet to 0.16148 feet | { 7.0 |
| Glass pipes, | 6 | { 0.163 feet | { 0.00096 feet to 0.11191 feet | { 6.7 |

The above formula is expressed in French mètres.

MOVEMENT OF WATER IN OPEN CANALS AND RIVERS

I We now come to the consideration of flow in open channels

A very few years ago there existed few and very limited recorded experiments on the flow of water in canals and rivers, these, moreover, were inexact from various causes. These remarks apply to the experiments conducted in Germany by Brunnings, Woltmann, and Funch, to those of Dubuat, and in a less degree to those of Baumgarten, Emmery, and Leveillé. It became evident that the want must be supplied. A very careful series of experiments under the able direction of M Darcy and M Bazin was put in hand about the year 1856. M Darcy unfortunately died in 1858, and on M Bazin fell the duty of finishing the work. In 1863 a committee of Engineers presented to the Académie des Sciences a report on these collated labors. This report has been translated by Colonel Anderson, R E., and will be found in No CXXVII, of the Professional Papers on Indian Engineering [First Series].

II M Gauchler takes these experiments of M Bazin, and as before in the case of pipes, deduces a law of motions for canals and rivers. He bears testimony to the singular exactitude of these experiments.

At commencing M Gauchler was induced to imagine that the law regulating the flow of water in open channels must vary considerably from that affecting flow in closed channels. M Bazin had thought so also. M Gauchler, however, in the course of his investigations, found that the laws of flow in closed and open channels were very similar, except that the latter were of a simpler character than the former.

III He commenced by taking series of experiments of MM Darcy and Bazin, in which the slope had been greater than 0.0007 in unity, he found the invariable law for the same channel, and for a constant lining of the channel was that the expression $\sqrt{R} \propto \sqrt{I}$, (R and I being as before,) varied as the square roots of the mean velocities. Thus taking the first of the series of experiments reported by MM Darcy and Bazin which were carried out by M Baumgarten, he obtained the following very satisfactory results.

The correspondence between the figures in columns 5 and 6 is remarkable. It is to be observed that the numbers of the above recorded experiments are those of the Darcy-Bazin series. The experiments were

all carried out in the same portion of canal, and consequently the nature of the channel was the same in each.

1ST SERIES.

| No. | R. | I. | v. | \sqrt{v} | $7.3 \times \sqrt[3]{R} \sqrt[4]{I}$ |
|-----|--------|--------|-------|------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 3 | 0.2158 | 0.029 | 3.423 | 1.850 | 1.810 |
| 4 | 0.1876 | 0.060 | 4.246 | 2.06 | 2.074 |
| 5 | 0.2686 | 0.0121 | 2.312 | 1.520 | 1.563 |
| 6 | 0.2545 | 0.014 | 2.549 | 1.549 | 1.591 |

M. Gauchler basing his hypothesis on the evident accord of columns 5 and 6 in above table, assumed as his touchstone for the other recorded experiments the formula

$$\sqrt{v} = a \sqrt[3]{R} \sqrt[4]{I}.$$

It will be observed at once that this equation is of the same form, but simpler than that for pipes.

IV. With the view of investigating the action of the channel lining, MM. Darcy and Bazin had experimented on rectangular canals of equal dimensions and slopes, but with the sides and bed of different materials. The canals were formed of planks, and were revetted with

1. Plaster coating.
2. Bricks laid flat.
3. Small gravel 0.4 to 0.8 inches in diameter set in cement.
4. Large gravel 1.2 to 1.6 inches in diameter also set in cement.

Most carefully gauged and constant supplies were run through these channels and observations recorded.

M. Gauchler takes a series of these which have been condensed below into an abstract.

| No. | R | I | v | Difference between \sqrt{v} and $\alpha \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{R}} \sqrt[4]{1}$ | α |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--|------------------|
| SERIES No 2—RECTANGULAR CANAL PLASTERED | | | | | |
| 12 | 0 0511 to 0 2123 | 0 0049 | 1 018 to 1 460 | Never above 0 034, generally much lower | 10 |
| SERIES No 3—RECTANGULAR CANAL IN BRICK | | | | | |
| 12 | 0 0586 to 0 2374 | 0 0049 | 0 839 to 2 047 | Never above 0 015, generally far lower | 8 9 |
| SERIES No 4—RECTANGULAR CANAL REVETTED, SMALL GRAVEL | | | | | |
| 12 | 0 0761 to 0 2772 | 0 0049 | 0 658 to 1 897 | Never above 0 015, generally lower | 7 5 |
| SERIES No 5—RECTANGULAR CANAL REVETTED, LARGE GRAVEL | | | | | |
| 12 | 0 0888 to 0 3009 | 0 0049 | 0 547 to 1 493 | Never above 0 075, generally much lower | 6 8 |
| SERIES No 6—RECTANGULAR CANAL OF PLANKS | | | | | |
| 12 | 0 0733 to 0 2809 | 0 00208 | 0 635 to 1 587 | Never above 0 017, average much less. | 9 |
| SERIES No 7—RECTANGULAR CANAL OF PLANKS | | | | | |
| 12 | 0 0373 to 0 2215 | 0 0049 | 0 826 to 2 179 | Never above 0 031, generally much less. | 9 2 |
| SERIES No 8—RECTANGULAR CANAL OF PLANKS | | | | | |
| 12 | 0 0447 to 0 1919 | 0 00824 | 1 074 to 2 612 | Never above 0 029, generally much less. | 9 4 |
| SERIES No 9, 10 and 11—RECTANGULAR CANAL OF PLANKS | | | | | |
| 17 each | 0 0324 to 0 3042 | 0 0015 to 0 00839 | 0 548 to 2 664 | Never above 0 035, generally much less. | 9 0 to 9 4 |

V. The agreement between the values of \sqrt{v} given by experience and those involved from the formula of M. Gauchler are quite remarkable, especially when it is considered how subject to error, owing to the surface of a liquid in motion never being plane but undulating, all calculations of slope and wetted perimeter are.

The values of α decrease as the resistance afforded by the material of the channel increase, so that $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ might be called the co-efficient of resistance.

It may be noticed that the variation in value of α for the planked channels is explained to be due to the nature of the planks in all series not being identical. *

VI. M. Gauchler next investigated whether the form of the profile of the channel affected these values of α . He took up for this purpose the series 18 to 29 of MM. Darcy and Bazin. It does not seem necessary to reproduce these here. It may be sufficient to say that M. Gauchler found, as indeed the investigations of M. Bazin had gone to prove before, that the value of α , and therefore of the mean velocity, were independent of the form of the profile. M. Dupuit, as quoted by M. Gauchler, had concluded theoretically that the profile did affect the mean velocity, but M. Gauchler's calculations and investigations do not justify the theory. Col. Anderson in the number of the Professional Papers quoted before, shows however that the circular form of section does offer, other things being the same, a sensibly smaller resistance than that offered by an angular profile.

VII. It may be useful to recapitulate the points investigated by M. Gauchler. From the first to the last, and working with recorded and carefully made experiments, he proves that the most remarkable agreement exists between experimental values of the velocity and those calculated by his formula; such an accord is not fortuitous, and would tend to convince us that the law of water-flow is given by his formula. On comparing the different values of α we see that this co-efficient varies only with the nature of lining of channel, and that it has no connection with the slope, nor with the mean radius, nor with the form of section; or if this last has an influence it is insignificant in practice.

Before passing to the study of works that are more often met with in practice than are canals of the kind that we have been studying, let us resume the result of M. Gauchler's investigations.

The formula is for French measures (metres)

$$\sqrt[4]{v} = \alpha \sqrt[3]{R} \sqrt[4]{I}$$

and the following table gives the values of α for various lining materials

| Nature of channel. | Values of α |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| Very smooth wood or plaster | 100 |
| Bricks set in mortar | 80 |
| Rough gravel (fine) | 75 |
| Ditto ditto (coarse) | 68 |
| Planks | 62 |

To apply these values of α in practice it will be necessary to use them only where the channel is free from weed or silt. The presence of these very considerably affects the value of the coefficient.

IX Up to the present the investigations have only treated of slopes greater than 0.0007 in unity. In extending his investigations M. Gauchler found that the formula did not apply to slopes less than this. He was therefore induced to modify it ultimately to

$$\sqrt[4]{v} = \beta \sqrt[3]{R} \sqrt[4]{I}$$

He applied both formulae to many water courses such as the "Rigole de Chazilly, the "Rigole de Gronsbois, &c, under various conditions of slope and nature of lining and he found that when the inclination was less than 0.0007 in unity, the formula containing the fourth root of v gave almost invariably in a vast number of trials the better results. He admits that the limit of the application of either formula may under certain conditions not be 0.0007 slope, and that this turning point may have to be modified at some future day, but it is a point, as he says, that "the future will have to resolve."

X The various series to which he applied this latest formula are not abstracted here, it is sufficient to say that the formula was found to apply to new experiments as well as to the results of older experiments.

XI In the application of the formula to experiments conducted by various other Engineers, M. Gauchler rejected the experiments of Fanch Donati, and those of the Roman Ponts et Chaussées, as all these experimenters had not a sufficiently accurately gauged mean velocity. He found however that the experiments of Dubuat, Woltmann, Poirée,

Emmery, and Lévêillé were fairly accurate, though not quite up to the standard of those of Darcy and Bazin. The experiments of Dubuat on the *Canal de Jurd*, those of Poirée on the Seine at the bridge of Jena, those of Emmery on the Seine at Poissy, and those of Lévêillé on the Saône at Raccounay, were applied to the formula applicable to slopes less than 0.0007, and were found to agree very fairly. It should be noticed that only in the last two series of the above-mentioned experiments was the mean velocity directly deduced from observation; this mean velocity was obtained in these cases by observing velocity at various vertical sections of the river profile. This though not a perfectly accurate way of obtaining the mean velocity, is the most accurate way of obtaining it for large rivers. Care was taken to register the fluctuation in rise and fall of the river, and it was found that where the observed mean velocity differed in any unusual degree from that calculated by the formula, the fluctuation in the level of the water surface had been considerable.

XII. M. Gauchler concludes his article with some interesting remarks, which are translated at length. He says:—"It only remains for us to try and explain the singular variation in the laws of water movement that we have discovered. Why does one formula represent the movement up to a certain point and another the law of motion beyond this point? Does water move in two ways? Experience seems to say so. One point particularly strikes us after considering the experiments of MM. Darcy and Bazin, and this is the permanence of rapid slopes irrespective of changes in the mean radius. The superficial slope of water seems determined by that of the bed; it is the same as the bed slope, and continues invariable whatever the thickness of the cushion of water. As the velocities on any vertical line are distributed in various ways, it is conceived that the molecule animated by the greatest velocity passes that below it which is subject to a less velocity and obeying the law of gravity falls in front of, or as it were, rolls over the latter. But as this phenomenon is produced in all molecules of superior velocity, the molecule of maximum velocity ultimately comes into contact with the bottom following some curve which cannot be determined in the present state of science. It follows, therefore, that the movement consists of a rolling of the molecules so that they all successively touch the bottom whence by their elasticity they rebound to the surface. In canals of slight slope we see on the contrary that inclinations vary independently of the slope of the

bed and with the mean radius. The molecules seem to move by virtue of the pressure of those above them, which pressure in each profile is slightly greater than the reaction of the molecule below. In this way the water moves in strata of equal velocity, and slides or rolls horizontally and not in a curve line. Experience seems to confirm these hypotheses. Every one has observed that bodies that float when immersed in a rapid current alternately appear at the surface and disappear towards the bottom, while in rivers of low velocity, they appear to move equally and steadily on the surface. In the first case the velocity is proportional to the square root of the slope, in the second to the slope itself; and as these slopes are always inferior to unity, it follows that the movement of rolling is more rapid than that of sliding. It follows from this that at the point where river floods pass from the first to the second movement there is great agitation of water which is liable to cause an inundation at this point. Applying this observation to the Rhine, we find that the slope of 0.0007 is found near Rhinan, a place celebrated in the records of Rhine inundations for its misfortunes.

It is a matter for regret that MM. Darcy and Bazin did not also make experiments on canals of low slope. They had no reason to suppose that the law of water flow varied as M. Gauchler now appears to prove is the case. Such experiments as M. Gauchler made with the view of verifying his second formula were on small water courses of the Rhone canal, which naturally did not give such satisfactory results as canals specially designed for experiments would have given. M. Gauchler hopes that experiments equally exact as those of MM. Darcy and Bazin may be carried out in order that his second formula, which is the more important of the two, may be verified. In conclusion, let us resume the results of M. Gauchler's investigations.

The two formulæ to be used for canals and rivers are in French mètres

1 When the slope is greater than 0.0007 in unity

$$\sqrt{v} = \alpha \sqrt[3]{R} \sqrt[4]{I}$$

2 When the slope is less than 0.0007 in unity

$$\sqrt[4]{v} = \beta \sqrt[3]{R} \sqrt[4]{I}$$

These formulæ are only particular cases of the general equation for pipes

$$\sqrt{v} + \frac{D}{4} \sqrt[4]{v} = \alpha \sqrt[3]{D} \sqrt[4]{I}$$

The coefficients affecting the second members of these equations vary

with the nature of lining of channel, but are independent of all other conditions of flow.

The table below shows the values of the co-efficients to be employed in each formula for canals and rivers :—

| Nature of channel. | α . | β . |
|---|------------------|-----------------|
| Masonry (cut stone and mortar), .. | From 8.5 to 10.0 | From 8.5 to 9.0 |
| Good masonry, | „ 7.6 „ 8.5 | „ 8.0 „ 8.5 |
| Masonry sides ; earth bottom, | „ 6.8 „ 7.6 | „ 7.7 „ 8.0 |
| Small water-courses in earth free of weeds, | „ 5.7 „ 6.7 | „ 7.0 „ 7.7 |
| Ditto do. grass on slopes, | „ 5.0 „ 5.7 | „ 6.6 „ 7.0 |
| Rivers, | Nil. | „ 6.3 „ 7.0 |

For English measures (feet) the formulæ will be

$$(1) \sqrt[4]{v} = 1.219 \alpha \sqrt[3]{R} \sqrt[4]{I}$$

$$(2) \sqrt[4]{v} = \frac{B}{1.104} \sqrt[3]{R} \sqrt[4]{I}$$

W. G. R.

Since writing the above a note on employment of the formulæ of M. Gauchler by M. Stapfer, Engineer of the “Ponts et Chaussées,” published in the volume for July 1869, has appeared.

M. Stapfer carried out some experiments on open canals lined with masonry, taking off from the Marne. In one case the canal was 8 mètres or 26.25 feet wide at the bottom, with a depth at low water of 1.75 mètres or 5.74 feet, and at high water of 4.25 mètres or 13.94 feet. This canal was navigable and also worked a water wheel. The maximum surface velocity allowed by the grant or “concession” was 0.55 mètres or 1.8 feet per second. The corresponding mean velocities to give the surface velocity and values of I deduced from De Prony’s formulæ were, therefore,

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | mètres. |
| In low supply, mean velocity, | 0.434, and 1.00006444 |
| In high “ “ | 0.434, and 1.00003807 |

If in M. Gauchler’s second formula these values of I be substituted,

and β be taken $\frac{8+85}{9} = 8.25$, the mean velocities given would be

| | | | |
|-----------------|----|------|--------|
| In low supply, | .. | . | \$ 388 |
| In high supply, | . | . .. | \$ 463 |

or, conversely, if M de Prony's value of mean velocity or 0.434 mètres be used in the formulæ of M Gauchler, we get the values of β to be

In low supply $\beta = \frac{\sqrt{v}}{\sqrt{R} + \sqrt{I}} = 8.48$

In high supply $\beta = \frac{\sqrt{v}}{\sqrt{\frac{R}{I}}} = 8.12$

The mean of these values of β is 8.30

M. Gauchler's value of β for good masonry is 8 to 8.5, which gives a mean of 8.25. The results deduced from the formulæ of de Prony it will be seen, therefore, are very nearly the same as those deduced from the formulæ of M. Gauchler in this particular case.

M Stäpfer considers that either formula may be used indifferently for open canals revetted with good masonry. He remarks, however, that to employ M Gauchler's formula, the value of I must be exactly known, which in practice, owing to various causes, is seldom the case. He prefers therefore in such cases (where the slope is inferior to 0.00007)* to employ De Prony's formula for mean velocity derived from surface velocity to finding the velocity from M Gauchler's formula No 2. He agrees with M Baumgarten, having himself verified this gentleman's deductions, in further reducing De Prony's mean velocity when the surface velocity is over 1.30 mètres (or 4.264) by again multiplying by 0.80. De Prony based his formula on only 15 experiments, in none of which was the surface velocity greater than 1.299 mètres, whereas Baumgarten based his modification of the value of mean velocity on 22 experiments, all of which the surface velocity exceeded 1.40 mètres (or 4.592 feet).

But extending his calculations to another case (width of bed 9 mètres (29.52 feet), depth of water 3.5 metres (11.48 feet), channel masonry with vertical sides, discharge 45 cubic metres per second (1589.26 cubic

* See in original article in *Annales des Ponts et Chaussées*. III. Gauchier's investigation however relate to slopes of 0.0007.

feet per second), M. Stapfer found the value of I by De Prony's formula to be 0.0003975. This brought this case under the conditions of M. Gauchler's first formula. The value of α was taken $\frac{7.6 + 8.5}{2} = 8.05$, and I deduced by M. Gauchler's formula was 0.0001638, or less than half that deduced by De Prony's formula.

This difference can only be explained by the fact that M. de Prony's formula is a more general one than that of M. Gauchler, based as it is on experiments conducted in channels with various linings, whereas the formula of M. Gauchler are more definite, and the co-efficients determined by a large number of experiments for each different lining. For example if the same discharge of 45 cubic mètres had been given by a river channel, and mean dimensions of which were the same as this masonry channel, we should have had by M. Gauchler's second formulæ β being $\frac{6.3 + 7}{2} = 6.65$

$$I = \frac{v}{\beta R^{\frac{4}{3}}} = \frac{1.13}{(6.65)^{\frac{4}{3}} (1.968)^{\frac{4}{3}}} = 0.0002965$$

or taking β , 6.3

$$I = 0.0003372$$

which is very nearly De Prony's 0.0003975. M. Stapfer concludes from his investigations that either the formula of De Prony or Gauchler can be used when two of the three terms v , R and I are given, when the surface velocity does not exceed a certain limit. This limit he does not state very exactly, "0.55 mètres par exemple" are his words. He concludes his article in the following words :

"To resume, I am inclined to conclude from this comparison between the formula of De Prony and Gauchler.

"1st. That the later formulæ as based on a larger number of experiments are more accurate for determining either the mean velocity, or the slope, when the slope or mean velocity are accurately given.

"2nd. That the formulæ of De Prony are to be preferred when either of these terms cannot be determined with exactitude, and when for instance the velocity can only be calculated by the use of floats.

"3rd. That till formulæ more exact and as easy to use in practice as those of De Prony are discovered, it is sufficient to deduce the mean velocity from the formula of De Prony modifying it by the rule of Baumgarten when the surface velocity exceeds 1.40 mètres (4.6 feet). .

"4th. That in canals lined with good masonry when the surface velocity does not exceed 0.55 mètres, the formulæ of De Prony and Gauchler give almost identical results.

"5th. That for rivers the old formulæ of De Prony, and the new one of Gauchler, furnish results that differ but slightly even when the surface velocity exceeds 1.40 mètres, and that the former being more easy in application should rather be used."

M. Stäpfer is evidently inclined to sustain the old formulæ of De Prony against those of M. Gauchler or M. Bazin. But the formulæ of these last based on very careful and numerous experiments are to be preferred. These formulæ are given below as adapted to English feet; R , I , v being the same in both, that is to say

v = mean velocity in feet.

R = mean radius in feet.

I = fall in unity.

M. Gauchler:—

$$(1). \sqrt{v} = a \times 1.219^2 \sqrt{R} \sqrt[4]{I}, \text{ slope being greater than } 0.0007.$$

$$(2). \sqrt[4]{v} = \frac{\beta}{1.104} \sqrt[4]{R} \sqrt[4]{I} \text{ slope being less than } 0.0007.$$

M. Bazin:—

1st class, bed and sides planed planks, plaster, &c.,

$$\frac{RI}{v^2} = 0.0000045 \times \left(10.16 + \frac{1}{R}\right).$$

2nd class, bed and sides cut stone, brickwork, &c.,

$$\frac{RI}{v^2} = 0.000013 \times \left(4.354 + \frac{1}{R}\right).$$

3rd class, bed and sides slightly uneven (rubble)

$$\frac{RI}{v^2} = 0.00006 \times \left(1.219 + \frac{1}{R}\right).$$

4th class, bed and sides uneven (earth)

$$\frac{RI}{v^2} = 0.00035 \times \left(0.2438 + \frac{1}{R}\right).$$

W. G. R.

[NOTE BY THE EDITOR.]

In connection with the questions discussed in the foregoing paper, attention may be directed to the opinions advanced and results arrived at by the Rev. Canon Moseley, M.A., D.C.L., F.R.S., in a paper "On the Uniform Flow of a Liquid," read on the 2nd February, 1871, before the Royal Society, of which the following is a brief resumé:—

"The resistance of every molecule of a liquid at rest which a solid (by moving through it) disturbs, contributes its share to the resistance which the solid experiences; so that the inertia of each molecule so disturbed and its shear must be taken into account in the aggregate which represents the resistance the liquid offers to the motion of the solid. The motions communicated to the molecules of a liquid by a solid passing through it, and the resistances opposed to them, however, are so various, and so difficult to be represented mathematically, that in the present state of our knowledge of hydrodynamics the problem of the resistance of a liquid at rest to a solid in motion is perhaps to be considered insoluble. As it regards the opposite problem of the resistance of a solid at rest to a liquid in motion (as in the case of a liquid conveyed through a pipe), there are in like manner to be taken into account the disturbances created by that resistance in what would otherwise have been the motion of each individual molecule of the liquid so disturbed.

This problem, however, is by no means so difficult as the other. There is, indeed, a case in which it admits of solution. It is that of a liquid flowing from a reservoir, in which its surface is kept always at the same level, through a circular pipe which is perfectly straight, and of the same diameter throughout, and of a uniform smoothness or roughness of internal surface, and always full of the liquid. The liquid would obviously in such a pipe arrange itself in infinitely thin cylindrical films coaxial with the pipe, all the molecules in the same film moving with the same velocity, but the molecules of different films with velocities varying from the axis of the pipe to its internal surface. The direction of the motions of the molecules of such a liquid being known, and all in the same film moving with the same velocity, which velocity is a function of the radius of the film, and the law of the resistance of each film to the slipping over it of the contiguous film being assumed to be known, as also the head of water, it is possible to express mathematically

(1st) the work done per unit of time by the force which gives motion to the liquid and

(2nd) the work per unit of time of the several resistances to which the liquid in moving through the pipe is subjected, and

(3rd) the work accumulated per unit of time in the liquid which escapes—and thus to constitute an equation in which the dependent variables are the radius of any given film, and the velocity of that film. This equation being differentiated and the variables separated, and the resulting differential equation being integrated, there is obtained the formula

$$v = v_0 \epsilon^{-\frac{250 r}{l}},$$

where v is the velocity of the film whose radius is r , and v_0 that of the central filament, and l the length of the pipe—the unit of length being one metre, and of time one second.

The method by which the author has arrived at this formula is substantially the same as that which he before used in a paper read before the Society on the "Mechanical Impossibility of the Descent of Glaciers by their weight only," and which he believes to be a method new to mechanical science. It was indeed to verify it in its application to liquids that he undertook the investigations which he now submits to the Society, which, however, he has pursued beyond their original object.

The recent experiments of MM. Darcy and Bazin* have supplied him with the means of this verification. These experiments, made with admirable skill and precision, on pipes upwards of 100 metres in length, and varying in diameter from 0^m 0122 to 0^m 5, under heads of water varying in height from 0^m 027 to 30^m 714, include (together with numerous experiments on the quantity of water which flows per second from such pipes under different conditions) experiments on the velocities of the films of water at different distances from the axes of the pipes, made by means of an unproved form and adaptation of the well known tube of Pitot. These last mentioned experiments afford the means of verifying the above mentioned formula. With a view to this verification, the author has compared the formula with sixty of the experiments of M. Darcy, and stated the results in the first two Tables of his paper.

The discharge per 1" from a pipe of a given radius may be calculated from the above formula in terms of the velocity of the central filament. This calculation the author has made, and compared it with the results of eleven of M. Darcy's experiments.

Where in the formula which thus represents the discharge from a pipe of given radius, in terms of the velocity of the central filament, the radius is made infinite, an expression is obtained for the volume of liquid of a cylindrical form, but of infinite dimensions (laterally), which would be put in motion by a *single filament* of liquid which traversed its axis, and, conversely, it gives the volume of such a liquid in motion which would be held back by a filament of liquid kept at rest along its axis. Thus it explains the well known retarding effect of filaments of grass and roots in retarding the velocities of streams.

It is the relation of the velocity of any film to that of the central filament which the author establishes in the above formula. To the complete solution of the problem it is necessary that he should further determine the actual velocity v_0 of the central filament. This is the object of the second part of his paper. This velocity being known, the actual discharge per 1" is known. The following is the formula finally arrived at —

$$Q = C \left[\left(1 - \frac{250 R}{l} \right) - \frac{250 R}{l} - 1 \right] R^{\frac{1}{2}} h^{\frac{1}{2}} l^{\frac{5}{2}}$$

where

Q = discharge per 1" in cubic metres

R = radius of pipe in metres

l = length of ditto

h = head of water

C = a constant dependent on the state of the internal surface of the pipe

* Recherches Expérimentales relatives au mouvement de l'Eau dans les Tuyaux, par M. Darcy Paris 1857. Recherches Hydrauliques par MM. Darcy et Bazin. Paris, 1866.

The values of this constant C , as deduced from the experiments of M. Darcy are given,

- 1st, for new cast-iron pipes ;
- 2nd, for the same covered with deposit ;
- 3rd, for the above *cleaned* ;
- 4th, for iron pipes coated internally with bitumen ;
- 5th, for new leaden pipes ;
- 6th, for glass pipes.

The author compares this formula with sixty-two of M. Darcy's experiments, and records the result of this comparison in the last three Tables of his paper.

The paper concludes with an investigation of the rise in the temperature of a liquid flowing through a pipe caused by the resistance which its coaxial films oppose to their motions on one another (or, as it is termed, their *frictions* on one another) and on the internal surface of the pipe. The pipe is in this investigation supposed to be of a perfectly non-conducting substance."

A. M. L.

No. XXV.

VEHAR LAKE DAMS.

[*Vide* Plates Nos. XVII, and XVIII]

Reports to the Municipality of the City of Bombay. BY CAPTAIN
HECTOR TULLOCH, R.E., *Executive Engineer.*

Bombay, 5th December, 1870.

Report, No. I.—Although you are perfectly aware of the state of No. II Dam of the Vohar Lake, from your recent inspection of the work, I think it will be well for me to place on record the facts in connection with the leak lately discovered.

On Saturday the 26th November in the evening, it was for the first time ascertained for a fact that water was escaping through the dam. On Sunday morning I drove out and examined the position of the leak carefully, and came to the conclusion that the level of the point where the water issued was about 10 or 12 feet below the present surface of the lake, and probably not more than 50 feet from the eastern end of the dam.

The clearance of the brushwood and reeds at the bottom of the embankment has shown that the leak is not confined to the spot where it was first discovered, but that water is escaping in ten or twelve different places, the total discharge being about equivalent to a stream two feet wide and one inch deep.

Not a moment was lost in setting about the repair of the dam, and already the measures taken have produced a marked effect, and I have no doubt whatever that the leak will be soon effectually stopped. There is nothing to suggest any immediate danger. It is impossible to estimate

accurately what the repairs may cost, but, roughly speaking, Rs. 30,000 should suffice.

10th December, 1870.

Report, No. 2.—I have the honor to report to you that I have this day completed my inspection of Dam No. 3 of the Vohar Lake. I had previously informed you that this dam was leaking, but I was not prepared for the state of things which has now come to my notice. I had a breadth of from 6 to 10 feet of the pitching on the exterior slope removed so as to expose the earthen face of the embankment, and I have discovered a series of leaks occurring here and there, but extending over a length of at least a hundred yards. The character of these leaks I consider much more serious than that of those found in No. 2 Dam. There cannot be a vestige of doubt in the case of No. 3 Dam as to where the water is escaping from. Everywhere it is escaping right through the embankment. Now although here is nothing at all alarming about the leaks as they are at present, I am of opinion that immediate steps should be taken to stop them, as at any moment they may increase, and lead to the sudden destruction of the dam.

You are aware of the plan which I am adopting at No. 2 Dam. A new puddle wall seven feet thick is being built behind the old one, or rather behind the place where the old one ought to be, for at present no trace of any puddle wall at all has been found. The wall is being built in short lengths of ten feet each, and the trench dug is strongly close-timbered throughout. I feel confident that the measures which I have adopted will prove successful, although I cannot, considering how very badly the embankment was originally built, guarantee that at some future period a leak may not spring again.

Regarding No. 3 Dam, however, it is necessary I should inform you that the works required to stop the leaks in it will not only be attended with very considerable expense, but they will require the very greatest care and caution on my part to carry out to success. I propose the same plan as that adopted for No. 2 Dam, but the appliances to carry it out will be far more extensive. The wall will be built in short lengths, but in this instance, not by close-timbering, but by close piling. Timbering similar to that used at No. 2 Dam would be attended with danger under the great pressure of water that we should have to contend against. Close

piling will be very expensive, but it will be comparatively safe, I say comparatively, because I cannot disguise from you that work of this nature can never be entirely free from some danger

You will see in the accompanying Plan, Plate LVII, which I have roughly drawn out myself, the position of the puddle wall proposed to be built. I have also drawn an alternative scheme, but I must say plainly I am strongly in favor of the system of close piling. The second scheme would not be nearly so expensive, but it would not in my opinion be nearly so effective.

Where the interests, the safety, of the lives and property of nearly a million people are concerned, I think, however assured I may be of the success of the measures proposed by me, I should be doing wrong not to advise that a consultation of the best engineering talent in Bombay be held on the means to be adopted for rendering No. 3 Dam secure. Indeed, if I may be permitted to mention the names of the gentlemen who I think should be asked to the consultation, I would mention Colonel Kennedy, Colonel Trevor, Mr. Ormiston, and Mr. LeMesurier.

My motives in thus candidly advising you to call in others to consult with me could never, I am aware, be misconstrued by yourself, nor, I am sure, will they be by the Bench of Justices when they learn that specific plans having been submitted by me for repairing the dam, I have thus exposed myself to the criticism of my brother Engineers.

MINUTES OF CAPT. H. TULLOCH'S PROPOSALS

I BY THOMAS ORMISTON, Esq., Member Institute C.E.

13th December, 1870

1 Captain Tulloch stated that no gauging had been taken of the leaks, but he thought they were increasing.

2 He was asked to have them measured daily and registered.

3 The long grass had been cut away from the foot of the outer slope and this showed the leakage.

4 It does not appear to be a single leak but a general soakage.

5 I don't think the dam shows any immediate symptom of failure, nor do I think it is worse than it has been for some years.

6 I do consider, however, that if any repair or addition can be made which will substantially increase the strength of the dam, it should be done.

7 Captain Tulloch suggested a puddle wall to the outside slope, which he consi-

dered might be done in either of two ways, as shown in the accompanying plan, which is the one produced by Captain Tulloch at the leak.

8. I do not agree that the new puddle wall should be put in as shown ; both ways show it cracked, and it would probably settle and crack at the angles.

9. The puddle wall should be vertical.

10. The effect of inserting a water-tight puddle wall outside of the supposed present one will be to stop the present leakage, and thus the whole bank to the water side of the new puddle wall will become fully charged with water, and be little better than sludge.

11. Wherever the new puddle wall be placed, it must have as good a bank of earth outside of it as the present supposed puddle wall has.

12. The exact position for the new puddle wall can only be ascertained by trial estimates. It may be where Captain Tulloch has placed it, or it may be either within or without it.

13. Piling should not be made use of. The vibration would be sure to bring in the dam if it is shaky.

14. The new puddle trench and outer slope should be done in sections, *i.e.*, not all at once.

II. BY COL. M. K. KENNEDY, R.E.



17th December, 1870.

I agree with Mr. Ormiston in thinking that the dam is in no immediate danger ; it is not probably in any worse condition than it has been in for years. There is a slight weeping through parts of it, which was not plainly observable till the pitching was removed. It is tighter than 9 out of 10 of the irrigational bunds to be found throughout the country that have been in existence for generations ; in Dharwar for instance it would be considered a remarkably good bund. If revenue depended on it, I should say that nothing was necessary, but it is a more serious matter when the health of a large City and the lives of thousands may be said to depend on the Lake ; under such circumstances nothing should be left to chance. My only doubt is whether anything that can be done will mend matters much. It is worth, however, the expenditure of a reasonable sum to try and endeavour to allay the uneasy feeling which exists in regard to the dams, more especially among persons not competent to form a judgment. The only thing to be done is to erect a puddle wall, and I concur with Mr. Ormiston in thinking that this should be vertical. I would place it as near the back of the existing puddle wall as can safely be done. After this has been done, however, we must be prepared to find matters very little if at all better than they are now. The flow of water below the bund should be carefully and continuously gauged.

III. BY COL. J. S. TREVOR, R.E.

18th December, 1870.

I agree with Mr. Ormiston and Colonel Kennedy in considering the dam is in no immediate danger. But as long as there is extensive leakage, as at present, apprehensions will be entertained for its safety. The consequences of failure of the dam are so serious, that these apprehensions, by whomsoever brought forward, will com-



mand attention, and attempts will from time to time be made as heretofore for stopping the leaks. These attempts would in the end cost far more than a systematic plan for placing the dam beyond all reach of danger. I think a vertical puddle wall close to the work, and placed some distance on the outer side from the existing puddle wall, would have this effect, and if its erection was watched by competent persons on whom the public would place faith, and register kept of what was done, so that hereafter the character of the work could not be recklessly called into question, the expenditure of some considerable sum of money would be advisable, although it cannot be said that such an outlay is emergently necessary.

IV. BY H. P. LEMESURIER, Esq., C.E.

18th December, 1870.

The probabilities are that the dam has been for some time past in the same condition as that in which it now is, and this is not a state that can be called anything but exceedingly unsatisfactory, considering the interests at stake.

The dam should be put into thorough repair, and perhaps the best way of effecting this end is to put in a vertical puddle wall at a safe distance in rear of the present supposed puddle wall, as noted by Mr. Ormiston, backing it up with the requisite embankment to make all secure, and not placing much, if any, reliance on the earth and puddle between the face of the new puddle wall and the water.

I agree with Mr. Ormiston as to the inexpediency of shaking the existing bank by any heavy pile-driving operations.

A very complete record in brief form should be kept about the future operation and the existence of this record, and its whereabouts, should be widely made known so that there may be no question hereafter as to the existence or otherwise of puddle wall No. 2.

Very great care should be bestowed upon the construction of the new work, and its stability should be ensured by every possible expedient, and the closest supervision by trustworthy men, insufficient numbers to provide for regular reliefs, if the work is carried on during long hours each day.

Bombay, 25th July, 1871.

Report, No. 3—On the afternoon of the 26th November 1870, Mr. Pyne, the Superintendent of the Vihar Water Works, reported to me that he had discovered what appeared to him to be a very serious leak on the side of No. 2 Dam, and that, as he had never observed this leak before in his examination of the dam, he was of opinion that it must have sprung recently.

On receipt of this information, I lost no time in making a personal inspection of the dam in question. I visited the Lake the next morning, and after careful examination satisfied myself that the reported leak was of such a nature as to demand immediate attention. I ascertained that the points of the egress of the water through the exterior face of the dam

were from ten to twelve feet below the surface of the lake at the time. In other words, the surface of the lake being then five feet below the waste weir, the leaks were taking place from fifteen to seventeen feet below that level.

On further examination I noticed that a large quantity of brushwood and reeds had accumulated at the foot of the dam, and that they had that verdant appearance which is only found in vegetation growing close to water. I accordingly had the weeds removed, when my worst anticipations were, I regret to say, realized. Numerous leaks existed, and there was a large escape of water. A gauge was immediately set up, which showed that the quantity of water running through the dam was equivalent to a stream one foot wide and $1\frac{1}{4}$ inches deep, flowing with a velocity due to a fall of one foot in sixteen.

Before deciding what was to be done, I searched the records of our office in order to find the original plans and sections of the dam. These showed, to my surprise, a vertical puddle wall, ten feet wide at the top, and twenty feet wide at bottom, running along the entire length of the work. Under these circumstances the only conclusion I could come to was either that the puddle wall must have been badly built, or that it was a myth. Inquiries made by me in Bombay convinced me that the dam had originally been built without a puddle wall, and the facts elicited by our future operations rendered this clear beyond the shadow of a doubt. Had there been a puddle wall we must have come on it, but the dam was perfectly innocent of this safeguard. Neither at the top nor at the bottom did we find any puddle wall. So shamefully was this work constructed, that in parts where puddle should have been found, we found instead layers of, what might not at all inappropriately be termed, road metal. Only to give an idea of the bad nature of the soil in the dam, and its utter inadaptability to the purpose for which it was used, I will state what actually took place under my own eyes.

A trench was dug in the dam *sixty feet from the margin of the water in the lake*. The bottom of this trench was only one foot below the level of the water, and it was actually flooded,—that is to say, the soil was so unretentive that a pressure of only 12 inches of water forced the water through a thickness of sixty feet of soil.

There has never been any doubt in my mind as to the mode of repairing a leaky dam, but I have never disguised from myself the dangerous

nature of such work. The great risk arises from our never being perfectly aware of the state of the interior of the dam, and of our being compelled to work as it were in the dark. The inside of the dam may be hard and firm, or it may be like a quicksand. No water may escape to render the soil difficult to work in, or floods of water may be expected. But whatever may be the state of the dam the water can only be retained in a reservoir by means of a puddle wall. The question therefore at once resolves itself into this—Where should the puddle wall be placed in a leaky dam, so that the least risk of danger shall be run? I am most emphatically of opinion that it should be put into the dam by cutting into it somewhere on its *exterior* face. I believe a puddle wall dropped into the dam on the interior face *might* be more effectual (even this is questionable), but the danger of carrying this wall right down into the original firm ground (*a sine quâ non* in any case), with a great head of water pressing on the works should make any one desist from the attempt.

The plan of operations which I adopted at No 2 Dam was to drop a vertical puddle wall, eight feet thick, just behind where the original puddle wall should have been, and down into the original soil, and in order to run as little risk as possible I determined to do this work by sinking shafts in as short lengths as men could work in conveniently. It was a source of great gratification to me subsequently to find that the precautions I had taken were justified by the nature of the work. In some of the shafts the soil proved most troublesome, being almost of the nature of quicksand, and to add to our difficulties water came pouring in such large quantities, that pumping had to be continued night and day to keep the foundation dry. In every case the shafts were sunk 2 or 3 or 5 feet into the original ground.

The works at No 2 Dam are completed, and the best proof of their efficiency is afforded by the almost total disappearance of the leaks. It is hopeless to expect to stop them entirely. The water is that the water which is now running is not escaping from the dam, but through the hill on the sides, and partly from under the dam at a great depth below the natural surface of the soil. In order to show that this is the case, and not an assumption on my part, I may mention that I had a series of shafts sunk in the valley about a hundred feet from the foot of the dam. These shafts were sunk in some cases 2 or 3 feet below the surface, and even at this depth the water from the direction of the lake came from

in such quantities, that the men at work could not keep the shafts dry. The truth is that the subsoil on the site on which the dam is erected is composed in great part of trap rock in a disintegrated state. What ought to have been done originally was to remove this soil, until firm rock was reached, and on this foundation the dam should have been built. Nothing can be done now to rectify this error.

While the work was in progress at No. 2 Dam, it occurred to me that a more careful examination of Dams Nos. 1 and 3 should also be made. Mr. Pyne had not reported any leaks in them, but I thought it better to satisfy myself on the subject.

On examining No. 3 Dam, I found at a certain level below the water that the short grass growing on the exterior face was suspiciously green in numerous places. The removal of a few of the pitching stones showed clearly that the dam was leaking, but in order to arrive at a more correct estimate of the extent of the leaks, I had a strip of the pitching (10 feet wide) removed along the entire length. I was certainly not prepared for the state of things which this simple measure revealed. The dam was found to be leaking along a length of about a hundred yards, and the water was escaping unmistakeably through the body of the work. There could be no gainsaying this, as the leaks were as much as a hundred yards from the hills on sides, and at a level of about 25 feet from the foot of the dam.

It having been repaired in 1867 by my predecessor, Mr. Aitken, I had always understood that No. 3 Dam was in a sound state. Until the discovery of the leaks indeed it had never occurred to me to examine the plans explanatory of the work executed in that year. I now examined both these plans and the original plans on which the dam was, or was supposed to be constructed. The latter showed a vertical puddle wall in the middle of the dam, but inquiries made in Bombay convinced me again that this puddle wall was a work of the imagination, and had no actual existence. Here then again I was called upon to deal with a case similar to that of No. 2 Dam.

Mr. Aitken's plans showed that he had dropped a puddle wall through the *interior* face of the dam. This work however had not been carried into the original soil, but only to a depth of about thirteen feet below the surface of the water at the time when the work was under execution.* It

* *Vide Plate, XVII., work done in 1868.*

was manifest therefore that the water escaping from the lake, supposing this puddle wall was impervious, was escaping from under the wall. I was more than ever convinced therefore that the plan I had adopted at No 2 Dam was the only effectual way to grapple with the difficulty. Only by working on the exterior face of the dam could I hope with safety to carry my puddle wall into the natural soil, which I consider, as I have said before, a *sine quâ non* towards the permanent prevention of dangerous leaks.

Although the work at No 2 Dam was progressing most favorably at this time, and although the effect of it was already visible in the reduction of some of the leaks, and I had no reason to anticipate but perfect success, I did not hesitate to point out to you the serious nature of the difficulties before me with regard to No 3 Dam. Here it was certain that we should have a greater pressure of water to contend with, and nearly certain, from the extent of the leaks on the face of the dam, that the quantity of water in the shafts would be a serious hindrance to our work. The danger was considerably increased from the great length of the dam. In a matter however which involved the well being and indeed the safety of the lives and property, of nearly a million people, I thought, however confident I might be in my own opinion, that I should be doing wrong not to obtain the opinion of others. I considered that the Bench would feel greater satisfaction if my work had the stamp of the approval of the best professional men in Bombay. I was not unwilling to have my work subjected to criticism, and indeed, for all I knew, it might have been censured. Accordingly I requested you to ask the following gentlemen—Colonel Kennedy, Colonel Trevor, Mr Ormiston and Mr LeMesurier—to form a Committee to advise on the matter. To this Committee on its visiting the works I submitted my proposition, with plan,* that a vertical wall should be dropped into the exterior face of the dam either just below where the original puddle wall ought to have been, or about the middle of the slope. I pointed out that it might be done in two ways,—either by sinking shafts supported in the ordinary way by sheeting and struts, or by shafts supported by a close sheeting of piles. Although I adopted the former plan in No 2 Dam I recommended the latter as more secure in this case, because of this extra pressure of water, and of the uncertainty as to the state of the interior of the dam. I recommended moreover that the puddle wall when brought up to the surface of the exterior

* See Plate XVII Plans I and II

of the dam should be continued along the slope to the top, or continued in a zigzag.

The Committee were of opinion that the driving in of piles might shake the dam, and they preferred the ordinary method of sinking the shafts—that in fact which was being adopted in No. 2 Dam. They moreover differed from me regarding the upper portion of the puddle wall. They were of opinion that it should be carried vertically nearly to the level of the top of the dam, and that the exterior slope of the dam should have the same inclination as it had before. On the main question they agreed with me unanimously, that the puddle wall should be dropped into the exterior face of the dam, and down into the natural soil*.

Thus then by their proceedings the Committee practically approved of the measures which were being adopted at No. 2 Dam, and, with the modification of the puddle wall being continued vertically to the level of the top of dam, they approved of the measures I proposed to adopt regarding No. 3 Dam. Setting aside my own opinion on the immaterial point on which I differed from the Committee, and on which I still differ, because it has rendered the work so much more expensive than it would have been,—the repairs have been carried out according to their recommendation.

It is necessary the Bench should know that some of the work in this dam was of a most serious nature, and had not the greatest precautions been adopted, the stability of the dam itself might have been endangered. We have been compelled to work often without stopping for days and nights together. At times the shafts have been flooded with water pouring in from the lake, and it has required the greatest energy on the part of Messrs. Glover and Co. to get the shafts dry. With fever, too, constantly breaking out among the men, the work has been carried out with an amount of perseverance which speaks highly for Messrs. Glover and Company.

I may here parenthetically remark, with reference to the opinion which seems to have obtained amongst the Committee, viz., that the leaks in No. 3 Dam had probably been as bad for some years as they were when examined by themselves, that I think they are in error. On this point my predecessor, Mr. Aitken, C.E., states at page 6 of his Annual Report for the year 1867:—

* *Vide* Annexed Minutes, pp. 261-2-3.

"Why this embankment was not made water-tight at first, I cannot pretend to give an opinion with any degree of certainty, but it is a fact that it never held water from the time the lake filled. The quantity which leaked through at first was not sufficient to cause any serious uneasiness, *but year after year the leakage increased until at last it became so serious*, that in 1865, Government appointed a Committee of Military and Civil Engineers to report as to the best remedial means to be adopted to stop the leakage. The Committee decided that the whole of the inside face of the Dam above low water level, should be coated with two or three feet of puddle."

In the next para but one of his report Mr Aitken also states that the gaugings showed the leakage after the monsoon of 1866 to be greater than that after the monsoon of 1865. With such evidence it cannot be stated that the danger was not increasing. Mr Aitken not only carried out the suggestion of the Committee of 1865, but made the addition to it of a puddle trench 15 feet deep at the foot of the puddle facing.

On the completion of these works Mr Aitken stated in his report (already alluded to) that, "as the water rose after the rains set in, it was satisfactory to observe that all the old dangerous leaks were stopped, and the embankment may now be pronounced to be in a tolerably safe state." Now as I have ascertained from Mr Pyne, who was actually engaged on this work, that at its completion nearly all the leaks had disappeared, and as I found the dam on the removal of the pitching to be leaking along nearly its entire length, I am of opinion that the leaks have not only increased, but that they have increased to such an extent as rendered immediate action on our part imperative.

The effect of the work carried out at No 3 Dam is plainly visible by the reduced quantity of water escaping through the work.

I was in hopes that I should complete the work this season, but I have found it impossible to do so. The difficulties have arisen from our not being able to employ more than a limited number of men. The shafts have, for safety's sake, been sunk in short lengths, and I considered it would be dangerous to the stability of the dam to open up more than three shafts at the same time. The consequence has been that we have never been able to open fresh ground until the shafts in hand have been completed. You are aware what a very threatening appearance the sky assumed in the early part of May. It seemed to be the common opinion

in Bombay that the monsoon was about to set in a month before the usual time. At Vohar, on the site of our works, we had constant rain in the mornings. Numbers of the coolies moreover left the works and would not return, being convinced that the monsoon had set in. I do not disguise that this state of things caused me an amount of anxiety which I have seldom experienced in my life. Many of my brother Engineers will understand what this means. I had looked forward to working with perfect safety certainly up to the end of May, possibly up to the 10th of June, whereas the monsoon was actually threatening us in the beginning of May. It would have been little short of madness to run any risk under these circumstances, and the continuation of rain morning after morning at Vohar made me decide to close our works for the season, and render all safe. Only three more shafts had to be sunk and filled up. Two were down halfway, and it did seem a thousand pities not to defy the weather, and carry on the work for another fortnight, which was all the time required. But I was determined that I should not be tempted out of the direct path of my duty, which I considered was at any cost to have the works safe against the setting in of the monsoon. My determination once taken has been rigidly adhered to, and No. 3 Dam remains therefore not quite completed, but still safe for the season, and the three shafts are left to be sunk next season. The form of the dam as it is at this present moment is represented in the last cross section on Plate XVII.

As the repairs to the Vohar Dams have attracted a great deal of attention both among the Justices and in the town, and as it would have been impossible for me to convey to the mind by mere description the nature of the work and the means adopted to carry it out, I have had two photographs* taken of No. 3 Dam. The first shows the entire dam at one view with the whole of the works in progress. The other shows a portion of the dam with the men at work and the method of sinking the shafts.

The work was commenced by Messrs. Glover & Co., on a Schedule of Rates, but, after we had made sufficient progress with the work to judge of its nature, and when I found that the work was of so uncertain a character, and liable to lead to endless disputes, I considered it would be fairer to all parties, and more satisfactory to ourselves, if they carried out the work as if it were departmental, and received a profit of 15 per cent. on the outlay to cover supervision and use of all plant. As you approved

* Not reproduced in this publication.

of this suggestion, both No. 2 and No. 3 Dams have been completed on this understanding. The work has been repeatedly examined by both myself and the Deputy Executive Engineer. The daily Nominal Rolls of the firm have been checked by the superintendents placed in charge, and the weekly rolls have been sent to the office as the work progressed. The total cost of the works is Rs. 1,09,758.* The cost of the works executed in 1868 was Rs. 46,000. The last section on Plate XVII., shows the character and extent of the works carried out in 1868, and recently.

Nor has the sanitary aspect of the question been overlooked or neglected. The neighbourhood of the Vehar Lake is well known to be very feverish. Anticipating therefore that the men employed at the dam would be liable to attacks of this nature, the services of a Government Apothecary were obtained. Every measure moreover was adopted to prevent the pollution either of the lake or even of the ground near it. Temporary latrines were erected, and the night-soil was daily removed to a distance.

It is necessary now that I should say a few words regarding No. 1 Dam. I examined it on the 10th December, and found it in good order. There were a few leaks, but these were not through the dam, but through the natural soil under the dam. The leaks in fact are precisely of the same nature as those which remain in No. 2 Dam, now even that we know for a fact that a puddle wall exists and has been carried many feet into the natural soil and from hill to hill. No. 1 Dam seems at present in as sound a state as work constructed with the indifferent material to be obtained at Vehar can be expected to be. But how long it may remain so, it is impossible to say. A time must come when the 41-inch iron main running through it must be worn away. No arrangements were made in the construction of the Dam to enable the Engineer to put down another main when this one became useless. Should a leak ever occur in this main under No. 1 Dam, it will be a most serious matter for the town, and the very worst consequences may be expected.

The pipe lies about seventy feet from the top of the dam, and there is a pressure of from 63 to 50 feet of water on it, dependent on the lake being full or otherwise. Supposing there is a burst in the main (and this supposition is no extraordinary one), water will issue from the pipe with a pressure of say 25 lbs. on the square inch. What the effect of a stream

* Vide Appendix A.

passing with a velocity due to this pressure will be on the surrounding earth it is hardly necessary for me to explain. Material must be washed out from the dam by the water in its outward course, and after this has continued for a short time, the stability of the work must be destroyed. To repair a leak of this nature in the manner which I have adopted to render Nos. 2 and 3 Dams secure, will be not only attended with great risk, but impossible unless the supply to the town is stopped for several consecutive weeks. This fact, therefore, must be looked in the face, viz., that a time must come, sooner or later, when from the pipe under the embankment being worn away (as all iron ultimately wears away), and from there being no means of substituting another pipe in its stead, the inhabitants of Bombay, unless they furnish themselves with some other source of supply, will have to pass through a water famine.

The question is really a very serious one for the community. The arrangements for drawing water from the Vohar Lake are most imperfect. They consist of a masonry tower through the sides of which large iron pipes pass into the Lake, with copper gauze strainers over the mouths.* The strained water passes into the tower, at the bottom of which it enters the outlet pipe, whence it flows on to the town. The masonry of the tower leaks so badly, that I am told an attempt which was once made to examine the mouth of the outlet pipe at the bottom nearly resulted in the death of the diver, who was almost forced into the pipe by the quantity of water falling on him from above. It will thus be seen that to close the mouths of the strainers does not render the tower dry. It follows, therefore, that if a pipe bursts under the embankment, it will be impossible to discover the point of fracture by sending a man down the tower. The only thing to be done in this case will be to block up the mouth of the outlet pipe, so as to prevent any water entering it. Even this may be attended with difficulty, but if it is successful, the next thing will be to send a man into the pipe through the sluice valve at the outer foot of the embankment. If a real fracture of the pipe has taken place it will not perhaps be difficult for the man to discover its position, but if the leak were due to an imperfect joint, no examination of the pipes from the inside could enable a man to discover its locality. But in either case whether the iron is fractured, or whether the joints have separated, it will be impossible to repair the pipes from the inside. And let the pipes be re-

* Vide "Detail of Tower," Plate XVIII.

| | | | Quantity. | Rate. | Total. | | |
|---|--|--|-------------------------|------------|-----------|--------|-----|
| Carried forward, | | | | RS. A. | RS. A. P. | | |
| DAM. No. 3. | | | Brass of 100 c. feet | | | 28,200 | 0 0 |
| <i>Earthwork</i> , Excavated and Refilled, including Pumping, Shoring, Watering and Punning, | | | 7,019 | 4 0 | | 28,196 | 0 0 |
| <i>Clay Puddle</i> , Getting, Tempering, Carrying, Filling, including Watering and Punning,.. .. . | | | 3,049 | 13 0 | | 39,520 | 0 0 |
| <i>Pitching</i> , taking off Pitching with Rubble Bedding, replacing do., and Setting Pitching, | | | squares 1,156 | 7 0 | | 8,092 | 0 0 |
| <i>Supplying</i> new Pitching with Rubble Bedding,.. .. . | | | 250 | 23 0 | | 5,750 | 0 0 |
| | | | | Rupces, .. | | 81,558 | 0 0 |

GLOVER & Co.,
Contractors.

APPENDIX B.

Outlet Works designed for the Toolsee Reservoir.

[*Vide* Plate XVII].

THESE outlet works are designed with the view to enable the Engineer to repair any part of the work should it become damaged or wear away. The old plan of having a masonry tower standing in the water, and of carrying the outlet pipe from the bottom of this through the embankment is most objectionable. If the outlet pipe bursts, nothing can be done to repair it. Bombay at this present moment is in this happy predicament. The town is dependent on the security of a single pipe, which, passing through the bottom of a tower standing in the water, is carried under the main dam. If this pipe bursts it will be impossible to repair it, and the most serious consequences may follow such an event.

Now in the outlet works represented on the accompanying plan, the bursting, of the outlet pipe would be of no consequence whatever. The stop valve would simply be closed, the defective pipe would then be removed and a new pipe substituted in its stead.

It will be seen that the proposed tower in these works is of a semicircular form, and that it is built on the side of a hill. The only pressure that there can be against the tower is from the side of the lake, and the curved form of tower is best calculated to resist this.

Any number of inlet pipes can be inserted in the tower, and should one of these get out of order it has only to be plugged up, and when the water sinks below it the pipe can either be repaired or a new one put in.

Any number of inlet pipes may also be inserted on the upright pipe in the tower, and the water may be strained twice if desired—1st, By strainers over the mouths of the outer inlet pipes; and, 2nd, By strainers over the mouths of the inner inlet pipes.

If the upright pipe or any of the inlets fixed to it get out of order the mouths of the outer inlet pipes can be stopped up by plugs, and the tower emptied of water. After this is done workmen can descend into the dry chamber and carry out any repairs that may be required.

The stop valve, if required, may be dispensed with, because if a burst occurs in the pipe laid along the tunnel, the water may be shut off from the pipe simply by lifting up the strainers, and putting plugs over the mouths of the inner inlet pipes.

The tunnel should be wide enough, not only for the number of pipes that may be required to give the necessary supply, but also to admit of a pipe being carried along it to replace one that may burst.

It is of great importance that the thickness of the masonry of the tower should be considerable, otherwise the water will creep through and the tower will not be water-tight.

H. T.

No. XXVI.

BULL'S HAND DREDGER.

Memo. on a Hand Dredger for Sinking Wells in Foundations or Bridges, invented and patented by W. BULL, Esq., Resident Engineer, Oude and Rohilkund Railway, Lucknow. BY GEORGE WOODBRIDGE, Esq., M. INST. C.E., Officiating Superintending Engineer of the same line.

WITH the accompanying sketch and descriptive mode of working the machine by the Inventor, very little explanation is required to understand this machine. It need only be said that it is intended to utilize the simple but well known principle which causes any tool or instrument to sink in sand of its own weight by a shaking, or up and down, motion; the fact of its doing this dispenses with any supplementary arrangement for forcing it into the material to be excavated, and has its result in the greatest possible economy of working.

The advantages of this Hand Dredger are.—

- 1st. It works just as well, and almost as quickly at 60 feet from top of platform of well as at 20 feet. [*Note.*—60 feet is not the limit of its power, but the greatest depth at which I have worked it].
- 2nd. That the cost of the machine with the apparatus for using it is small.
- 3rd. It is quickly rigged up or taken down and removed; an hour sufficing to take it from one well and get it to work on an adjoining one.
- 4th. Any ordinary blacksmith can construct it.
- 5th. It is so simple that (unlike a sand pump) it cannot easily get out of order, and if it does it can soon be repaired.

6th The principle on which it works is so easily understood by ordinary coolies that they get into the way of working it after a very little practice

7th The annoyance and expense of divers are done away with.

During the greater part of the past season as many as 12 of these Dredgers have been at work in the wells of the bridge in course of construction over the Ram Gunga River, near Bareilly, then in my charge

The pier wells of this bridge are 14 and 16 feet in diameter, sunk through sand, with here and there thin strata of clay or kunker. The dredger has been used at other bridges on the Rohilkund line, but this Memo gives only data derived from personal experience on the Ram Gunga Bridge

After having tried the sample dredger sent by the inventor, for trial, I made more as soon as possible, and did away with all sand pumps. In fact the native contractors became so keen on what they called the "Belateo Jham," that they refused to use the sand pumps any longer

The appliances required in addition to the dredger itself, will be shown in *Appendix A*, or "method of working" the machine (by the inventor)

Appendix B shows the comparison of the work done with it and the sand pump. The average of $14\frac{1}{2}$ days' work gives the sinkage 1 27 per day for a 14 feet well, between the depths of 23 feet and 42 feet below water level. The sand pump, worked by a crab, only gives 70 of an inch. The average, however, for the last week, when the coolies working it (at first nearly new to the machine) had got used to it was 1 40 for the dredger to 44 for the sand pump. A large 3 feet diameter sand pump holding 18 cubic feet, working with a steam hoist, might sink a well 3 feet in a day under the same circumstances, but against it should be placed the cost of the plant required, and the time spent in fitting up and removing the heavy apparatus necessary for working it.

Appendix C shows the cost of plant necessary for working Bull's Hand Dredger, a two feet sand pump worked by a crab, and the largest sized sand pump worked by a steam hoist, apportioned daily to find the comparative cost of work done by the three machines

Appendix D shows the comparative cost of sinking by the three machines, (the performance of the large sized sand pump being taken at 3 feet,) and also that the work done by the hand dredger costs much less than that done by either description of sand pump, and as in a well

where a large sized sand pump could be worked two hand dredgers could be used, the quantity done should be in excess. Further the hand dredger will bring up the large lumps of sand stone or kunker so frequently met with in well foundations. Its greatest utility as compared with either description of sand pump will therefore be at once seen.

This machine will prove of very great assistance to anybody sinking wells through sand, of dimension large enough to admit of the dredger working in them, to any depth below water. Very little weight will be found necessary till about 40 feet depth is reached, after that weights will increase the speed of sinking.

Although not by itself adapted for clay, if the clay can be cut up or loosened it can be brought up by it to great advantage.

In this Memo. no mention is made of the first 20 feet below water level, as there is no difficulty in sinking to that depth or even somewhat deeper, but when the well has to be sunk 50 or 60 below water there comes the question—Which of the machines used for Well-sinking will give the quickest and cheapest results?

From my experience on the Rohilkund Lines, I am much in favor of this dredger, which has proved a very useful and handy implement.

G. W.

APPENDIX A.

Method of Working Bull's Hand Dredger.

A short chain four feet long, with a ring in the centre, should be attached by its ends to the rings on the chains working the machine. To the centre ring the chain for lowering and raising the machine is to be fixed, of a length greater or less according to the depth of the well. On the well two bullees should be fixed, with an iron block made fast to the junction. The bullees should not be less than 10 or 12 feet in length, stayed on either side to the ground. A wooden platform 6 feet \times 4 feet composed of 1 inch sāl planks made fast to two under cross pieces, is also required, and two $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch ropes, one made fast to the key keeping the jaws of the machine open, and the other to the centre ring in the short chain first mentioned.

In working, the machine is opened on the wooden platform and the

1

2

3



APPENDIX B.

OUDH AND ROHILKUND RAILWAY, ROHILKUND-LINE.

Comparative Statement showing the sinking done by a Sand Pump and Bull's Hand Dredger in a Well 14 feet diameter.

| Bull's Hand Dredger. | 2 feet diameter Sand Pump. |
|--|---|
| The dredger was tested on a well that had been built, 50 feet. | The sand pump was tested on a well that had been built.. 50 feet. |
| Sunk below surface, 30 „ | Sunk below surface, 30 „ |
| Depth below water, 20'4,, | Depth below water. 22'9,, |
| NOTE.—There were no weights on this well. | NOTE.—This well was weighted with rails and sand boxes. |

| No. of days. | No. of dredger lifted. | Well sunk. | Remarks. | No. of pump lifted. | Well sunk. | Remarks. |
|--------------|------------------------|------------|---|---------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | 60 | 0.5 | Through sand, | 9 | .. | Sand. |
| 1 | 138 | 1.2 | Ditto, | 12 | 1.0 | Do. |
| 1 | 132 | 1.2 | Ditto, | 14 | 0.9 | Do. |
| 1 | 147 | 1.8 | Ditto, | 15 | 1.3 | Do. |
| 1 | 125 | 1.3 | Sand with clay, | 14 | 0.10 | Do. |
| 1 | 168 | 1.3 | Ditto, | 14 | 0.9 | Do. |
| 1 | 162 | 1.0 | Layer proportion of clay, | 15 | 1.8 | Do. |
| 1 | 180 | 0.11 | Ditto, | 13 | 0.11 | Do. |
| 1 | 155 | 1.3 | Sand and clay, | 14 | 0.11 | Do. |
| 1 | 200 | 1.6 | Ditto, | 14 | 0.6 | Sand and silt. |
| 1 | 162 | 1.9 | Sand and silt, | 14 | 0.6 | Do. |
| 1 | 150 | 1.11 | Ditto { As the men became accustomed to the use of the dredger. | 5 | 0.3 | Pump out of water. |
| 1 | 170 | 1.4 | | 9 | 0.7 | |
| 1 | 190 | 1.4 | | 11 | 0.4 | |
| 1 | 188 | 0.9 | Clay and sand, | 12 | .. | All empty. |
| 14½ | .. | 18.5 | | | 10.3 | |
| | | 1.27 | Average sinking per diem, | | .70 | Average sinking per diem. |

APPENDIX C.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT showing proportionate daily Cost of Apparatus for Well Sinking.

| Well & Dredger. | Cost. | Medium sized sand pump worked with a crab. | Cost. | Large sized sand pump worked with a steam hoist. | Cost. |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--|---------|--|----------|
| 1 Dredger, | 45 0 0 | 1 Sand pump, | 250 0 0 | Steam hoist, | 2000 0 0 |
| 75 feet, 1" chain, .. | 20 0 0 | 1 Crab, | 150 0 0 | Large sand pump, .. | 500 0 0 |
| 11 Poles for shear logs, .. | 2 0 0 | 1 Double pulley, .. | 25 0 0 | 3 Sheaved pulley, .. | 80 0 0 |
| 2 Coils connecting rope, .. | 10 0 0 | 1 Single " | 15 0 0 | 2 " " " | 25 0 0 |
| 2 Pieces of country rope, .. | 3 0 0 | 1 Tressel frame with trolley, .. | 110 0 0 | 1 " " " | 15 0 0 |
| 1 Single sheaved pulley, .. | 15 0 0 | 300 feet, 1" chain, .. | 80 0 0 | Tressel frame and trolley, .. | 140 0 0 |
| Total cost, | 95 0 0 | Total cost, | 630 0 0 | 300 feet, 1" chain, .. | 80 0 0 |
| Deduct value when done, .. | 20 0 0 | Deduct value when done with, | 250 0 0 | Total cost, | 2790 0 0 |
| Balance, | 65 0 0 | Balance, | 380 0 0 | Deduct value when done with, | 1500 0 0 |
| At 500 working days, per day, | 0 2 1 | At 500 working days, per day, | 0 10 2 | Balance, | 1290 0 0 |
| | | | | At 500 working days, per day, | 2 9 3 |

MEMO.—As machinery when done with fetches as a rule very little in India, it will be fairest to divide the entire cost after deducting the probable realizable amount, over the average length of time taken to complete a job of any magnitude or say two working seasons of 250 days each.

APPENDIX D.

STATEMENT to show comparative cost of Well Sinking by Bull's Hand Dredger, Small 2-foot Sand Pump and a Large 3-foot D° in a 14 feet Well between 20 and 50 below low-water.

| Bull's Hand Dredger. | | | | 2 foot Sand Pump. | | | | Large sized Sand Pump worked with Steam Hoist. | | | |
|--|---|----|---|---|---|----|----|--|---|----|----|
| Cost of Machine, ... | 0 | 2 | 1 | Cost of Machine, .. | 0 | 10 | 2 | Cost of Machine, .. | 2 | 9 | 3 |
| Repairs, new ropes, &c., | 0 | 4 | 0 | Repairs, &c., .. | 0 | 4 | 0 | Repairs, &c., .. | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 18 Coolies, @ Rs. 0-3-0, | 3 | 6 | 0 | 10 Coolies, @ Rs. 0-3-0, | 1 | 14 | 0 | Fuel 25 mds., @ Rs. 25, | 6 | 4 | 0 |
| Removing sand, ... | 0 | 8 | 0 | Removing sand, .. | 0 | 4 | 0 | Enginemen, .. | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Total, .. | 4 | 4 | 1 | Total, .. | 3 | 2 | 2 | 14 Coolies, @ Rs. 0-3-0, | 2 | 10 | 0 |
| Daily average of work done, 14, .. | | | | Daily average of work done, 70, .. | | | | Removing sand, .. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cost per foot of sinking, | 3 | 0 | 7 | Cost per foot, .. | 4 | 7 | 8 | Daily average, 3 feet. | | | |
| Add for stoppage from repairs, changing, &c., 25 per cent.* .. | 0 | 12 | 1 | Add for stoppages, repairs, changing, &c., 50 per cent., .. | 2 | 3 | 10 | Cost per foot, .. | 4 | 8 | 5 |
| Total cost per foot, .. | 3 | 12 | 8 | Total cost per foot, .. | 6 | 11 | 6 | Add for stoppages, repairs, changes, &c., 100 per cent., ... | 4 | 8 | 5 |
| Nett daily performance, 1'06 foot. | | | | Nett daily performance 1'46 feet. | | | | Total cost per foot, .. | 9 | 0 | 10 |
| | | | | | | | | Nett daily performance 1'50 feet. | | | |

* This is largely in excess of the actual loss of time, as each well made machine will work for months without any repairs.

No. XXVII.

ON THE ERRORS OF GRADUATION OF THE LIMBS OF INSTRUMENTS

BY LIEUT ALLAN CUNNINGHAM, R E, *Hony Fellow of King's College, London*

It is a matter of great importance for purposes of angular measurement that the differences of readings on the graduated plates of surveying and astronomical instruments should be very approximately the projections (on the plane of the graduated plate) of the angular movement of the line of sight between the readings, and that one should know how to combine readings to the best advantage to eliminate the inevitable imperfections in the graduated plate itself. The necessity of, and the mode of doing this are seldom even casually alluded to in text books on Surveying, and in no case have I seen the rationale explained, although every surveyor ought to be aware that he habitually uses the very means to eliminate them.

The errors due to imperfections in the plate itself are of several kinds

- 1st Owing to defective centering, whether original or in consequence of unequal wear of the pivot the axis of the pivot may not pass through the centre of the graduated limb.
- 2nd Owing to original deformity of the limb itself, *i. e.*, previous to graduation
- 3rd Owing to deformation of the limb (from any cause) subsequent to graduation.
- 4th Owing to originally imperfect graduation.

It will be shown that the errors due to these four causes may be eliminated

- 1st Case—By the use of two, four, or six verniers at 180° , 90° or 60° apart, even if the error be large, and also by the use of three verniers at 120° apart, when the error is small

2nd. Case.—By use of three, four, or six verniers at 120° , 90° , or 60° apart, when the deformity is elliptic and small.

3rd. Case.—By use of three, four, or six verniers at 120° , 90° , or 60° apart, when the deformity is elliptic under certain laws, even if the error be large.

4th. Case.—By the use of several verniers, if the error be small.

It will be observed that the means indicated, viz., reading on several verniers is that in habitual use; and that, moreover, as the errors due to each cause are in a good instrument each *very small*, any combination of them may be eliminated by the same means.

On the character of the errors that can be eliminated by the use of several verniers.—The errors (in estimating angles) that can be eliminated by combining readings on several verniers must obviously be some function of the angle; and, moreover, some periodic function of period coincident with an entire sweep of the radius vector round the circumference; as if susceptible of indefinite increase with the angle, or even if a periodic function whose period differed from 2π , no combination of readings could eliminate the error.

The simplest periodic functions of period 2π are the trigonometrical functions. Since

$$\sin(180^\circ + A) = -\sin A, \text{ and } \cos(180^\circ + A) = -\cos A, \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

$$\sin(2 \times 90^\circ + 2A) = -\sin 2A, \text{ and } \cos(2 \times 90^\circ + 2A) = -\cos 2A \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

$$\sin(120^\circ + A) + \sin(240^\circ + A) = -\sin A, \text{ and } \cos(120^\circ + A) + \cos(240^\circ + A) = -\cos A \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

$$\sin(2 \times 120^\circ + 2A) + \sin(2 \times 240^\circ + 2A) = -\sin 2A, \text{ and } \cos(2 \times 120^\circ + 2A) + \cos(2 \times 240^\circ + 2A) = -\cos 2A, \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

$$\sin(3 \times 60^\circ + 3A) = -\sin 3A, \text{ and } \cos(3 \times 60^\circ + 3A) = -\cos 3A \dots\dots\dots(5)$$

It follows—

(1). Errors proportional to either $\sin\theta$, $\cos\theta$, or to $l_1 \sin\theta + m_1 \cos\theta$, will be equal and opposite in readings on verniers diametrically opposite, and also (3) equal and opposite to the sum of errors in readings on two verniers 120° and 240° distant from the first vernier.

(2). Errors proportional to either $\sin 2\theta$, $\cos 2\theta$, or to $l_2 \sin 2\theta + m_2 \cos 2\theta$, will be equal and opposite in readings on two verniers 90° apart, and also (4) equal and opposite to the sum of errors in readings on two verniers 120° and 240° distant from the first vernier.

(5). Errors proportional to either $\sin 3\theta$, $\cos 3\theta$, or to $l_3 \sin 3\theta + m_3 \cos 3\theta$ will be equal and opposite in readings on verniers 60° apart.

It follows that taking the arithmetic mean of readings—

(1). On only two verniers diametrically opposite eliminates errors proportional to $l_1 \sin\theta + m_1 \cos\theta$.

(2) On four verniers at 90° apart, or (3) and (4) on three verniers at 120° apart eliminates errors proportional to $l_1 \sin \theta + m_1 \cos \theta + l_2 \sin 2\theta + m_2 \cos 2\theta$

(1) to (5) On 6 verniers at 60° apart eliminates errors proportional to $l_1 \sin \theta + m_1 \cos \theta + l_2 \sin 2\theta + m_2 \cos 2\theta + l_3 \sin 3\theta + m_3 \sin 3\theta$

NB—The verniers must be *truly* 180° , 120° , 90° , or 60° apart respectively, not as estimated on an incorrectly graduated plate, so that every pains must be taken to secure the accuracy of the angular splay of the verniers independently of the graduations on the limb

The formulæ show that a pretty comprehensive class of errors can be eliminated by the use of three verniers, and a very extensive class by the use of six. Indeed, six verniers have apparently sufficed to remove all appreciable error in the largest plates or limbs

The formulæ may, however, be made much more comprehensive

Since $E = -E'$, if either $\sin n E = -\sin n E'$, or $\tan n E' = -\tan n E$, or $\cot n E = -\cot n E'$, then if E, E' be the errors in readings corresponding to two readings, it follows that if either $E, \sin n E, \tan n E$, or $\cot n E$, or any linear combination of them, such as $F(E) = (l_1 E + l_2 \sin n_1 E + l_3 \tan n_2 E + l_4 \cot n_3 E)$ be proportional to $l_1 \sin \theta + m_1 \cos \theta + l_2 \sin 2\theta + m_2 \cos 2\theta + l_3 \sin 3\theta + m_3 \sin 3\theta$ then the errors will *in general** be eliminated in taking the mean of readings on 6 verniers 60° apart, or if proportional to $(l_1 \sin \theta + m_1 \cos \theta + l_2 \sin 2\theta + m_2 \cos 2\theta)$ by using 4 verniers at 90° , or if proportional to $(l_1 \sin \theta + m_1 \cos \theta)$ by using 2 verniers diametrically opposed. But since if $\sin E + \sin E' + \sin E'' = 0$, or $\tan E + \tan E' + \tan E'' = 0$, or $\cot E + \cot E' + \cot E'' = 0$, it does not follow that $E + E' + E'' = 0$, therefore the use of 3 verniers at 120° apart will *not* necessarily eliminate this class of errors if of sensible magnitude


But if the errors E, E', E'' be all very small, then since a very small angle, its sine and tangent are all very nearly equal, it follows that if $(l_1 E + l_2 \sin E + l_3 \tan E)$ be proportional to $l_1 \sin \theta + m_1 \cos \theta +$

* Because the most obvious solution of $l_1 (E + E') + l_2 (\sin n_2 E + \sin n_2 E') + l_3 (\tan n_3 E + \tan n_3 E') + l_4 (\cot n_4 E + \cot n_4 E') = 0$ is $E = -E'$ so that the errors would *in general* be eliminated there must be an infinity of other solutions. It is probable that they are all instrumentally impossible though the author is not at present prepared to prove this but by far the most important case is that in which the error E is very small. In this case if $F(E) = (l_1 E + l_2 \sin n_2 E + l_3 \tan n_3 E)$ or if $F(E) = \cot n_4 E$, n_2, n_3, n_4 being all small then $E = -E'$ is the unique solution of the respective equations in E, E'

$l_2 \sin 2\theta + m_2 \cos 2\theta$), E being very small, then the error will be eliminated by taking the mean of readings on 3 verniers 120° apart.

CASE 1.—*Excentricity of the pivot.*

Let v_1, V_1, v_2, V_2 , be the graduated plate (supposed circular), C its centre, O the foot of the axis of the pivot of the telescope which carries with it the verniers which should be so placed (*by the maker*) that the line joining the zeros at V_1, v_1 of an opposing pair should pass through O . Suppose the telescope to be turned through an angle $V_1 O V_2$; then the angle that is to be arrived at is $V_1 O V_2$, whereas the angle obtained as the difference of readings on the vernier V is $V_1 C V_2$ and on the opposing vernier v is $v_1 C v_2$. Join $v_1 V_2$.



Now the angle $V_1 C V_2 = 2 V_1 v_1 V_2$, and $v_1 C v_2 = 2 v_1 V_2 v_2$.

$$\therefore V_1 C V_2 + v_1 C v_2 = 2 (V_1 v_1 V_2 + v_1 V_2 v_2) = 2 V_1 O V_2$$

i. e., the required angle $V_1 O V_2$ is the mean of the angles $V_1 C V_2, v_1 C v_2$ obtained as the differences of readings on two opposing verniers, (provided the line joining their zeros passes through O the foot of the axis of the pivot,) even though the excentricity be considerable.

If the error in reading at V_1 be estimated from the radius through CO , then the error is difference of $\angle AOV_1$, the required, and $\angle ACV_1$, the read angle, i. e., the error is $\angle AOV_1 - \angle ACV_1 = \angle CV_1 O$: call this E , and let

$$\angle AOV = \theta \therefore \sin E = \frac{Cn}{CV_1} = \frac{CO \sin CO n}{CV_1} = \frac{CO}{r} \sin \theta, \text{ i. e., } \sin E \text{ is}$$

proportional to $\sin \theta$.

Hence it follows that this error is of the class which when considerable can only be eliminated by using two, four, or six verniers equally distant in arc, and when very small is also eliminated by using three verniers. Since the angle $\angle AOV_1$ is obtained correctly by taking the mean of readings on V_1, v_1 ; and similarly also the angle $\angle AOV_2$, it follows that the angle $V_1 O V_2$ will be obtained correctly even if the whole plate be

shifted between the times of observing V_1, V_2 , so that its centre remains on the fixed line OA , provided the point O remain steady.

The above result has been obtained on the supposition that the plate is truly circular.

CASE 2.—*Original Ellipticity of the Limb.*

The plate which is to be graduated is made as nearly circular as possible by turning in a lathe. Slight defects are likely to ensue in the turning from the following causes:—


- 1st. The plate may be slightly excentrically chucked.
- 2nd. The plane of the plate may be not quite perpendicular to its axis of revolution.
- 3rd. The tool or the plate, or both, may not remain quite steady during the revolution.

The first two causes would, whether separately or combined, cause the plate to be turned elliptic, and the third would do the same, if the rate of deviation from the mean position were uniform, and of period the same as one revolution of the plate.

It may, therefore, be assumed that the *most probable* form of a plate intended to be circular is a very slightly excentric ellipse.

Suppose then, that the plate is before graduation, the very slightly excentric ellipse $AB, A'B'$. The graduation is performed by subdividing the *length* of the circumference into equal lengths.*

It will be convenient *for the present* to measure arcs on the ellipse from one end B of the minor axis BB' (as if B were the zero of graduation). Let P be any point on the ellipse, p OA the excentric angle corresponding to P , $BOP = \theta$, $lOp = \phi$, $AA' = 2a$, $BB' = 2b$, $e^2 = 1 - \frac{b^2}{a^2}$ a fraction so small that e^4, e^6 , &c., may be neglected. Then the value of the angle BOP obtained by reading the vernier at P will be measured by the ratio of the elliptic



* See the Article "Graduation" in Charles Knight's English Cyclopaedia, Arts and Science Division.

are BP to the whole circumference of the ellipse, instead of by (as it should be) the ratio of the circular arc bP, to the whole circumference of the same circle, so that the error will be the difference of the ratios $\frac{\text{length of arc BP}}{\text{Circum. of ellipse}}$ and $\frac{\text{length of arc bP}_1}{\text{Circum. of circle}}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Now, the length of arc BP} &= a \int_0^{\phi} (1 - e^2 \sin^2 \phi)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\phi \\ &= a \int_0^{\phi} \left(1 - \frac{e^2}{2} \sin^2 \phi - \text{terms involving } e^4, e^6, \&c.\right) d\phi \\ &= a \int_0^{\phi} \left(1 - \frac{e^2}{2} \sin^2 \phi\right) d\phi \text{ very approximately, } e \text{ being very small.} \\ &= a \phi - \frac{e^2 a}{2} \left(\frac{\phi}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sin \phi \cos \phi\right) = a \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{e^2}{4}\right) \phi + \frac{e^2}{8} \sin^2 \phi \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly the length of the circumference of the ellipse

$$\begin{aligned}&= 4a \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{e^2}{2} \sin^2 \phi\right) d\phi \\ &= 2\pi a \left(1 - \frac{e^2}{4}\right) \text{ very approximately.}\end{aligned}$$

Also the length of arc bP, = $a\theta$, and of circular circumference = $2\pi a$.

To compare these, the expression for the elliptic arc BP, which is now in terms of ϕ must be changed to its value in terms of θ .

Since $\phi = \text{bop}$ is the complement of pOA the excentric angle corresponding to POA which is the complement of θ , therefore

$$\tan \phi = \frac{b}{a} \tan \theta = (1 - e^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tan \theta.$$

Now if $\delta\theta$ be the very small angle by which θ differs from ϕ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Then } \delta\theta &= \tan \delta\theta \text{ (very nearly)} = \tan (\theta - \phi) = \frac{\tan \theta - \tan \phi}{1 + \tan \theta \cdot \tan \phi} \\ &= \left\{ 1 - (1 - e^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \tan \theta \cdot \left\{ 1 + (1 - e^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tan^2 \theta \right\}^{-1} \\ &= \left\{ 1 - \left(1 - \frac{e^2}{2}\right) \right\} \tan \theta \cdot \left\{ 1 + \left(1 - \frac{e^2}{2}\right) \tan^2 \theta \right\}^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

very nearly, e being very small

$$\begin{aligned}&= \frac{e^2}{2} \tan \theta \cdot \left(\sec^2 \theta - \frac{e^2}{2} \tan^2 \theta \right)^{-1} = \frac{e^2}{2} \sin \theta \cos \theta \left(1 - \frac{e^2}{2} \sin^2 \theta \right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{e^2}{4} \sin 2\theta \text{ very nearly.}\end{aligned}$$

Hence the length of arc BP which was shown

$$\begin{aligned}&= a \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{e^2}{4}\right) \phi + \frac{e^2}{8} \sin^2 \phi \right\} \text{ becomes} \\ \text{BP} &= a \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{e^2}{4}\right) (\theta - \delta\theta) + \frac{e^2}{8} \sin (2\theta - 2\delta\theta) \right\}\end{aligned}$$

$$= a \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{e^2}{4}\right)(\theta - 2\theta) + \frac{e^2}{8}(\sin 2\theta \cdot \cos 2\theta - \cos 2\theta \cdot \sin 2\theta) \right\}$$

$$= a \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{e^2}{4}\right)(\theta - 2\theta) + \frac{e^2}{8}(\sin 2\theta - 2\cos 2\theta \cdot \cos 2\theta) \right\},$$

$\cos 2\theta$ being very nearly ≈ 1 , and $\sin 2\theta$ being very nearly $\approx 2\theta$,

$$= a \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{e^2}{4}\right) \left(\theta - \frac{e^2}{4} \sin 2\theta \right) + \frac{e^2}{8} \left(\sin 2\theta - \frac{e^2}{2} \sin 2\theta \cos 2\theta \right) \right\},$$

(substituting for $\delta\theta$)

$$= a \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{e^2}{4}\right) \theta - \frac{e^2}{8} \sin 2\theta \right\} \text{ very nearly.}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\text{Length of elliptic arc BP}}{\text{Circumference of ellipse}} = \frac{a \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{e^2}{4}\right) \theta - \frac{e^2}{8} \sin 2\theta \right\}}{2\pi a \left(1 - \frac{e^2}{4}\right)}$$

$$= \frac{\theta}{2\pi} - \frac{e^2 \sin 2\theta}{16\pi a \left(1 - \frac{e^2}{4}\right)}$$

$$\text{Also } \frac{\text{Length of circular arc BP}_1}{\text{Circumference of circle}} = \frac{a\theta}{2\pi a} = \frac{\theta}{2\pi}.$$

$$\therefore \text{Error in angle BOP} = -e^2 \sin 2\theta \div 16\pi a \left(1 - \frac{e^2}{4}\right), \text{ i. e.,}$$

the error varies as $\sin 2\theta$, and is therefore of the class that are eliminated by reading on either three verniers 120° apart, or on four verniers 90° apart.

These results have been obtained on the supposition, (most convenient at the time,) that the end B of the minor axis was the zero of graduation. If however the zero of graduation be (as is most likely) at some other point Q on the curve, then *every reading* will be also affected by the additional error due to the ellipticity of the arc QB, but as this will be the same for every reading, it will disappear in the values of *angles* because these can only be obtained as *differences of readings*.

Hence it follows that if the original ellipticity be very small, all error due to ellipticity will be eliminated from the final values of angles by taking the mean of readings on three verniers at 120° apart, or four verniers at 90° apart; the zeros of the verniers should be set *by the maker* to subtend a right angle (not 90° as measured on the elliptic arc) at the centre of the curve.

This result has been obtained for the particular case of the ellipse on account of its importance as the *most probable form of curve*. A precisely similar reasoning would show that *any slightly excentric curve*

resembling an ellipse whose arc could be represented by the formula

$$s = a \{ k\theta - c(k_1' \sin\theta + k_2'' \cos\theta) - c^2(k_2' \sin 2\theta + k_2'' \cos 2\theta) - c^3(k_3' \sin 3\theta + k_3'' \cos 3\theta) - \&c., \}$$

where c is a very small fraction such that c^4 , c^5 , &c., may be neglected, where θ is the *vectorial angle* BOP, would produce an error in the angle BOP proportional to $l_1 \sin\theta + m_1 \cos\theta + l_2 \sin 2\theta + m_2 \cos 2\theta + l_3 \sin 3\theta + m_3 \cos 3\theta$, which it has been proved could be eliminated by using 6 verniers, and if c be so small that c^3 may be neglected, then $l_3 = 0$, $m_3 = 0$, and the error then could be eliminated by using 3 or 4 verniers.

A very large class of curves of the higher orders are obviously included in this generalized formula, which expresses the relation between the arc and vectorial angle: the differential polar equation which would lead to this is $dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 = ds^2$.

CASE 3.—*Alteration of the Shape and Size of the Plate.*

There are certain laws under which even *considerable* alteration may take place in the graduated plate without affecting the resulting values of angles.

(1). *Simple radial alteration*, viz., that in which the lengths only of some or all of the semi-diameters are affected: as this does not affect the angular position of any semi-diameter, it does not affect the readings of the limb. It is worthy of notice that the readings will not be affected, even if this alteration be extensive and *irregular*.

(2). *Simple alteration of the size of the curve* (if originally slightly elliptic), such that the change of length of arc may be proportional to its length. Referring to the article on "Original Ellipticity," (Case 2), if the change per unit of arc be k , then the change in the elliptic arc BP is kBP , and the change in the elliptic circumference is $k \times$ circumference, therefore the elliptic measure of the angle BOP in the altered plate is $\frac{(1+k) \times \text{original arc BP}}{(1+k) \times \text{original circumference}}$, which is the same as before, that is to say, the semi-diameter BP has suffered no change of angular position. Hence the readings are not affected by this change. This is evidently a case of simple radial alteration.

(3). *Simple translation*, viz., that in which the whole plate is shifted (without rotation, and without relatively straining its parts) in its own plane. If the foot of the axis of the pivot of the telescope partake of the

motion, the readings will not be affected but if it do not, it will become excentric, and all readings will be affected by the error of excentricity. It has been already shown (Case 1), how the values of angles are nevertheless obtained correct in this case

(4) *Simple rotation about the centre, &c.*, that in which the whole plate is rotated about its centre (without straining its parts relatively) in its own plane as this simply shifts every semi diameter through equal angles, it affects every reading by an equal amount, and does not affect the values of angles which can only be obtained as differences of readings

(5) *Any combination of the above* The same processes that eliminated errors of angles in the separate cases will when combined eliminate the accumulated errors. It should be noticed that change under conditions (1) and (2) may occur at anytime even *between* the times of reading different verniers without affecting the readings, also that a shifting of the whole plate along the line joining its centre to the foot of the pivot may take place under condition (3) between each observation, (but not between the set of readings on the set of verniers required to complete a simple observation,) without affecting the deduced angles, but that it is essential under condition (4) that the plate should remain steady during the whole of a series of observations

Unfortunately this rotation of the plate between observations is the most likely of all to occur in the act of turning the telescope from one object to another, especially in a theodolite whose lower plate is only held by friction by a clamp no combination of readings on verniers could eliminate this it is eliminated by taking an even number of rounds of angles, alternately from right to left

Elliptic Deformation of the Plate—The changes (1) to (5) in the plate above considered have not affected the relative angular positions of the semi diameters, changes of the latter class which include deformations, they are much more serious in their effects on results than the previous class

The law of deformation of a slightly excentric elliptic plate (which will include the case of a circular plate) into an elliptic curve of it may be different and even sensible excentricity will be investigated

Referred to its axes the equation of the elliptic plate is of the form $ax^2 + by^2 = 1$

Then if λ, γ be the co ordinates of a point in the new position

which any point p in the original whose co-ordinates are x, y are shifted by deformation, and if $\delta X, \delta Y$ be the change in the co-ordinates, then $x = X + \delta X, y = Y + \delta Y$

$\therefore a(X + \delta X)^2 + b(Y + \delta Y)^2 = 1$, is the equation of the new curve, δX and δY being at present unknown functions probably of the co-ordinates. Now as the new curve is to be elliptic, δX and δY must be of such forms that in the result the co-ordinates X, Y are not involved with negative indices, nor with positive indices higher than the square, so that δX must be of form $a_0 + a_1 X + b_1' Y$ where the functions whose type δY „ $b_0 + b_1 Y + a_1' X$ is a, b must be constant, i. e., independent of the co-ordinates, and also constant for every point of the curve.

The equation of the new curve is

$$\begin{aligned} & (a \overline{1 + a_1'^2} + b a_1'^2) X^2 + 2 (a b_1' \overline{1 + a_1} + b a_1' \overline{1 + b_1}) XY \\ & + (a b_1'^2 + b \overline{1 + b_1^2}) Y^2 + 2 (a a_0 \overline{1 + a_1} + b b_0 a_1') X \\ & + 2 (b b_0 \overline{1 + b_1} + a a_0 b_1') Y = 1 \end{aligned}$$

which represents some conic section, and necessarily either a circle, or ellipse, because the changes $\delta X, \delta Y$ are supposed finite; no finite deformations could deform a limited curve (as an ellipse) into a hyperbola or parabola which have infinite branches.

Moreover, as in practice the changes $\delta X, \delta Y$ are very small, the resulting curve will be only slightly excentric, as no small deformations could convert the originally very slightly excentric ellipse into one of great excentricity.

Interpreting the law of deformation, it appears that the original curve will be converted into an ellipse, if the changes in either or both of the co-ordinates be

- (1) Independent of the co-ordinates viz., $\delta X = a_0, \delta Y = b_0$.
- (2) Simple direct functions of the same co-ordinate, viz., $\delta X = a_1 X, \delta Y = b_1 Y$.
- (3) Simple direct functions of the other or of both co-ordinates, viz., $\delta X = b_1' Y, \delta Y = a_1' X$.
Or $\delta X = a_1 X + b_1' Y, \delta Y = b_1 Y + a_1' X$.
- (4) Any combination of these.

On comparing the equations of the new and old curves, it is seen that —(1); The only terms of the first order in X and Y are introduced solely by the first condition and also, that this condition affects no other

terms consequently, this condition simply causes a translation of the whole plate in its own plane without affecting its shape or causing rotation. The effect of this change has been already fully considered, as it in no way affects (2) or (3), it need not be re considered: it is in fact not a deformation.

(2) The effect of the second condition is simply to alter the coefficients of λ^2 and Y^2 , and therefore to alter the lengths of the axes (without rotating them), and consequently in general the eccentricity also: the angular positions of all semi diameters relatively to the axes are altered (this may easily be seen by laying the curve down on paper).

(3) The effect of the third condition is to introduce the term λY and also generally to alter the coefficients of λ^2 and Y^2 . The axes of the new curve are in consequence different in position from the original axes, and there is in general an alteration in the size and shape of the curve, and also in the relative angular position of all semi diameters.

The proof shows that deformation of an ellipse into an ellipse takes place (if the changes are algebraic functions of the co ordinates) only when the changes in the co ordinates are linear functions of the co ordinates viz, $\delta X = a_1 X + b_1 Y$ and $\delta Y = b_2 X + a_2 Y$. It is, probably, elliptic deformation might also take place under a great variety of transcendental laws of change: it has already been shown that if the change in length of arc be proportional to the length of arc (which change is of course a transcendental function of the co ordinates) no angular deviation of the semi diameters takes place, the graduation of the elliptic plate by *equal subdivision of its circumference* is moreover equivalent to deformation which is a transcendental function of the co ordinates, this has been already considered, but the general case of elliptic deformation is too wide a subject to be further discussed here.

For purposes of angular measurement, the angular deviation $\delta\theta$ of the semi diameters is the only important one: the change of *form* of curve was however much more easily investigated by using rectangular co ordinates. Changing now to polar co ordinates, let R, θ corresponding to λ, Y be the co ordinates of the point P of the new curve to which the point p of the original whose co ordinates are r, θ (corresponding to x, y) is shifted in deformation. Also let $\delta R, \delta\theta$ be the differences between the polar co ordinates (corresponding to $\delta\lambda, \delta Y$).

Now $\delta\theta = \left(\frac{d\theta}{d\lambda}\right) \delta\lambda + \left(\frac{d\theta}{dY}\right) \delta Y$, and $\delta R = \left(\frac{dR}{d\lambda}\right) \delta\lambda + \left(\frac{dR}{dY}\right) \delta Y$

And since $\Theta = \tan^{-1} \frac{Y}{X}$, and $R = \sqrt{X^2 + Y^2}$

$$\therefore \left(\frac{d\Theta}{dX} \right) = -\frac{Y}{R^2}, \quad \left(\frac{d\Theta}{dY} \right) = \frac{X}{R^2}, \quad \left(\frac{dR}{dX} \right) = \frac{X}{R}, \quad \left(\frac{dR}{dY} \right) = \frac{Y}{R}$$

$$\therefore \delta\Theta = \frac{1}{R^2} (X \cdot \delta Y - Y \cdot \delta X), \text{ and } \delta R = \frac{1}{R} (X \cdot \delta X + Y \cdot \delta Y)$$

$$\delta\Theta = \frac{1}{R^2} \left\{ X (b_1 Y + a_1' X) - Y (a_1 X + b_1' Y) \right\},$$

$$= a_1' \cos^2\Theta + \frac{a_1 + b_1}{2} \sin 2\Theta - b_1' \sin^2\Theta,$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \overline{a_1' - b_1'} + \overline{a_1 + b_1} \sin 2\Theta + \overline{a_1' + b_1'} \cos 2\Theta \right\},$$

$$\delta R = \frac{1}{R} \left\{ X (a_1 X + b_1' Y) + Y (a_1' + b_1' Y) \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ a_1 \cos^2\Theta + \frac{a_1' + b_1'}{2} \sin 2\Theta + b_1 \sin^2\Theta \right\}$$

$$= \frac{R}{2} \left\{ \overline{a_1 + b_1} + \overline{a_1' + b_1'} \sin 2\Theta + \overline{a_1 - b_1} \cos 2\Theta \right\}$$

The change $\delta\Theta$ will be the error in the angle Θ measured from the end of the major axis of the new ellipse; being of form $a + l_2 \sin 2\Theta + m_2 \cos 2\Theta$, the periodic portion of it will be eliminated (as was shown in the article on the character of these errors) by taking the mean of readings on two verniers 90° apart, *i. e.*, on a set of 3, 4, or 6 verniers.

The constant portion $\alpha = \frac{a_1' - b_1'}{2}$ of the above error, and also the error due to the arc between the zero of the graduation and the end of the major axis will affect all readings alike, and will therefore disappear from the value of angles which can only be obtained as differences of readings.

CASE 4.—*Errors due to original defective graduation.*

The original graduation of large plates is effected by subdividing into sixteen as nearly as possible equal parts, the *length* of the circumference, *viz.*, by running a wheel round the plate which makes just 16 revolutions in a revolution round the plate: such extreme care is taken in marking each complete revolution, and in making allowance for slipping of one disc on the other, that it may be assumed that such small errors as do creep into the resulting graduation are either irregular, or else functions which are practically evanescent at any rate at 16 points equidistant round the curve; and attaining a maximum in the intervals.

It is extremely probable that small errors which are irregular, and

also errors which have *many* maxima and minima (points of practical evanescence) will be practically eliminated by taking readings in several parts of the plate, i. e., by using several verniers, but the author is not aware that the most probable defects of graduation lead to small errors, which are either directly proportional to, or such that

$\Gamma(E) = l_1 E + l_2 \sin n_1 E + l_3 \tan n_2 E$ is proportional to $l_1 \sin \theta + m_1 \cos \theta + l_2 \sin 2\theta + m_2 \cos 2\theta + l_3 \sin 3\theta + m_3 \cos 3\theta$, i. e., which are certainly and entirely eliminated by the use of 6 verniers

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

It has now been shown

(1) That if the plate be truly circular, errors due to eccentricity of pivot, even if considerable and even if the plate suffer a considerable translation in the direction of the eccentricity between the observations of each point are eliminated by the use of any even number of verniers diametrically opposed

(2) That if the plate be originally an ellipse of very slight eccentricity, provided the graduation on it be such as to subdivide the *length* of the circumference equally, all errors will be eliminated by the use of three or four verniers 120° or 90° apart

(3) That if the plate (even if originally slightly elliptic) be deformed into an ellipse (even of considerable eccentricity) by changes (even if considerable) in the co-ordinates of every point which are any linear function of either or both, all errors will be eliminated by the use of three or four verniers at 120° or 90° apart

(4) That it is extremely probable that very small errors of original defective graduation will, if irregular, or if of frequent periodicity (i. e., if passing through their period many times in the circumference) be practically eliminated by reading on several verniers

(5) That in general any error E such, that the function

$\Gamma(E) = l_1 E + l_2 \sin n_1 E + l_3 \tan n_2 E + l_4 \cot n_3 E$ is proportional to $l_1 \sin \theta + m_1 \cos \theta + l_2 \sin 2\theta + m_2 \cos 2\theta + l_3 \sin 3\theta + m_3 \cos 3\theta$, is eliminated by the use of 6 verniers

But if all these sources of error exist together, as is probably the case in practice, the errors will not be eliminated unless very small (as they really are in a good instrument), in which case by the theory of the superposition of small motions, they will still be eliminated.

No. XXVIII.


KAMATEEPOORA CHURCH.

By J. H. E. HART, Esq., C.E., *Superintending Engineer, Bombay.*

Description.—The Kamateepoora Church is situated in the midst of the native houses in the town of Bombay, near Byculla, and is intended for the use of Native Converts. It was designed by Mr. W. Emerson, Architect, and built by Mr. Stevens, Assistant Executive Engineer, under Colonel Fuller's superintendence. The style of architecture is early French Gothic of the 12th century. The nave is 41 × 24 feet, chancel 19 × 18 feet, and vestry 12 × 8 feet 6 inches. The nave is lighted by four two-light and four cinquefoil clerestory windows on the south; one two-light, one three-light, and two cinquefoil clerestory windows on the north; and one large circular rose on the west. The chancel is lighted by one two-light window on the north, one on the south, and one three-light on the east. The windows are iron framed, glazed with amber colored church glass, set in lead, and supplied from England on indent. Between the nave and chancel is a handsome Porebunder stone arch, supported on carved corbels. There is a wide Porebunder stone arch on the north side, having a large three-light window built in, which will be utilized for the north transept, should further extension be deemed necessary. The walls are of rubble stone and chunam masonry faced with blue basalt irregularly fitted rubble neatly pointed. The quoins, cornices, strings, mullions, window heads, and interior arches are in Porebunder stone; the exterior main arches in Coorla stone. The roofs are double, and of novel construction, and very effective for ventilation. Between each of the principals are placed laminated beams cut to the curve of the inner roof so as to


Xamatetpoora Church.

GROUND PLAN



Namateepoora Church.

Front Elevation



KAMATEEPOORA CHURCH.

RS.

ABSTRACT OF COST. (Continued).

| | |
|--|--------|
| B. stone sills to windows, at Rs. 13-4 per cubic foot, | 237 |
| " " quoins and jambs, at Rs. 3-0-9 per cubic ft., | 2,619 |
| B. stone cornice below tie-beams, at Rs. 3-0-3 per cubic foot, | 189 |
| B. stone arch work over do., at Rs. 3-8-10 per cubic foot, | 1,669 |
| " " corbels in front, at Rs. 3-9-7 per cubic foot, | 106 |
| " " " north side, at Rs. 3-8 per cubic foot, | 21 |
| " " " " " " " " " " " " | 94 |
| Red stone shafts, at Rs. 47-1 each, | 21,791 |
| Corbels, large, with bases, at Rs. 4-4-5 per cubic foot, | 9 |
| " small, at Rs. 3-8, per cubic foot, | 97 |
| Blue basalt stone coping, at Rs. 3 per cubic foot, | 26 |
| Brick and chunam walls, at Rs. 50 per 100, | 26 |
| B. P. stone caps to buttresses, at Rs. 4, each, | 289 |
| Coorla cut-stone corbels, at Rs. 4-8 per cubic foot, | 415 |
| Teak doors, complete, at Rs. 3-7-3 per superficial foot, | 3,544 |
| Glazed windows, do., at Rs. 6-4 per superficial foot, | 5,386 |
| Roof complete, at Rs. 130-3-1 per square foot, | 211 |
| P. B. stone gable cornice, at Rs. 3-4-10 per cubic foot, | 36 |
| " finials, at Rs. 2-10-9 per cubic foot, | 1,112 |
| " " " " " " " " " " " " | 712 |
| Teak trusses, complete, at Rs. 277-14-9 each, | 1,075 |
| Tympanum and vesica, | 2,102 |
| West and north porches, | 37,363 |
| Belfry tower, | 200 |
| Total, Rs., | 45 |
| FURNITURE. | 261 |
| Pulpit, at Rs. | 158 |
| Reading desk, at Rs. | 615 |
| Altar railings, at Rs. | 78 |
| Font, at Rs. | 160 |
| Benches, large, at Rs. | 1,518 |
| " small, at Rs. | 1,611 |
| Altar tables, and 2 chairs, | 40,500 |
| Total, | |
| Contingencies and extra establishment, | |


The Pulpit, Reading Desk, Font are of Carved Porebunder stone. The Altar

Trantheppoi Church.

North Elevation


1/2 inch = 1 foot

20 feet




Hamateeghoola Church.

Cross section



Samateegoor Church.

Cross section



No. XXIX.

AKOLA IRRIGATION PROJECT.

A Precis of the Akola Project for Irrigation and Water Supply. By
 LOWIS JACKSON, Esq., C.E., *Exec. Engineer, Bebar Irrigation.*

The proposed works consist of—

1st—A reservoir formed on the Morna River, by a masonry dam and earthen embankments, east and west of it

2nd—An irrigation channel from it, five miles long to the first watershed, and three miles more to the second and third watershed, from the river, to the east.

3rd.—Filter bed, drinking and bathing basins, with a fountain at the gate of the city of Akola, with pipes to it, $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles long.

Masonry Dam—625 feet long; extreme height 36 feet, area of section down to 30 feet, 3H²; below that 21½, strengthened by counterforts 50 feet apart, centre to centre; wing-walls rising to 8 feet above sill level, and revealing the earthen embankments, which are 8 feet wide at top; slopes ½ to 1, and 3 to 1; area of section $8H + \frac{1}{10}H^2$, length of eastern wing, 2,751, and western wing 3057 feet.

Reservoir—Extreme length, $2\frac{1}{2}$ miles, extreme breadth, the same: area of water spread, 3,240 acres; of which 1,000 alone are cultivated; and in which there are only three very petty villages.

Content of reservoir.—

| | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------------|
| Available for perennial irrigation, cubic feet, | ... | ... | ... | ... | 41,10,55,831 |
| Available for town supply, | ... | ... | ... | ... | 5,54,27,360 |
| Waste or standing water, | ... | ... | ... | ... | 68,43,139 |
| Total content, | ... | ... | ... | ... | 47,83,26,330 |

Beside this, there will be available for monsoon irrigation in seasons

of extreme drought at least five times the whole content, from the apereal flow of the river.

Channel.—Section below ground, 45 square feet; slope 1 in 3,000, discharging 100 cubic feet per second. In first five miles are five masonry superpassages, section 60; discharge 150 cubic feet per second; five road-crossings in the station; two underpassages through embankments in 2-feet pipes, enclosed in masonry culverts, of a slope 1 in 150, discharging 100 cubic feet per second.

In the next three miles are three superpassages and three road crossings; the trenches leading from this main distributary to the fields will be made by the land-owners.

Town Supply.—Pipes, 4-inch, sloping 1 in 500, discharge per second 25 cubic feet. Beds and basins excavated in rock, with walling above ground. Filter bed and bathing basin each 50 feet square, and 15 and 10 feet deep. Drinking basin octagonal, side 40 feet, with a jet in the centre. Ascending filter, through perforated walling and perforated tiles, then large and small pebbles, sand, and magnetic carbide.

| | RS. |
|---|----------|
| Cost of works, | 2,65,382 |
| Compensation and road diversion, | 10,000 |
| Establishment and contingencies, at 20 per cent., | 59,116 |
| Total Expenditure, .. | 3,34,698 |

N.B.—Labor in Berar is twice as costly as in Upper India and Madras.

Data.—Catchment area, 220 square miles; maximum down pour, 12 inches; run off, 6 inches; giving 3,066 millions cubic feet in a year of drought, and filling the reservoir six times.

Flood discharge, (using a local co-efficient of 12 for the formula,) $Q = 12 \times 100 (N)^{\frac{2}{3}} = 67,200$ cubic feet per second; assuming a flood velocity of 13 feet per second, gives a flood section of 5,170 square feet; section allowed is $625 \times 8 = 5000$ square feet; the measured flood sections are in support of this.

Land under water command 45 square miles, all fertile. Supply for irrigation during the 8 dry months, 41,00,00,000 cubic feet, or 19.5 cubic feet per second; which at a duty of 200 acres per cubic foot per second will irrigate 3,900 acres.

The supply for monsoon irrigation during the four wet months is more than enough for the whole area under command of 45 square miles;

but taking half, it is $45 - 11$ less for waste ≈ 40 , and $\frac{40}{2} = 20$ square miles $= 12,800$ acres.

This supposes that monsoon irrigation wants a third only of that required otherwise, and taking the area at three times, the water required will be the same in amount as that for the dry season. The channel of supply is however made large enough to supply the whole area under command.

Probable return on this scheme, when in working order:—

| | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|--------|--------|
| 3,000 acres, at 7 Rupees, | ... | ... | 27,300 | |
| 12,800 acres, at 3 Rupees, | ... | ... | 21,600 | |
| Collection, repairs, establishment, 8 per cent., | ... | | 4,232 | |
| Nett return on whole capital, 14½ per cent. | ... | | 48,668 | |
| Nett return on capital spent on irrigation only, 17 per cent. | | | | 42,900 |

Rates assumed according to the Baree Doab classification, but at double prices; since the cost of labor in Bera is more than double that on the construction of the Baree Doab canal. Hence for Bera,

they are:—

| | | |
|---|-----------------|----|
| 1 | Sugar-cane, | 12 |
| 2 | Gardens, | 9½ |
| 3 | Certain crops, | 6 |
| 4 | Certain crops, | 3 |
| 5 | Bugla watering, | 1½ |

July, 1871.

L. J.

No. XXX.

IRRIGATION OF RICE CROPS.

Experiments made at the Shameerpett Tank, in his Highness the Nizam's Dominions, to ascertain the quantity of water required for the Irrigation of Rice Crops. BY MAJOR J. O. MAYNE, R.E. Superintending Engineer, D. P. W., Hyderabad.

1. THE Shameerpett tank is situated about nine miles from Bolarum, (14 from Secunderabad,) and early in December last, I visited it in company with the Executive Engineer of the Division, Assistant Engineer, Lieut. Little, to whom the Surveys were entrusted, and Mr. Condasawmy Moodeliar on the part of His Highness's Government. The season selected for commencing work was at the time the cultivation of the second rice crops in the Deccan commences.

2. The tank is one of the fine old specimens found in India. It was constructed about 200 years ago at the same time as the Hoossain Sangor Tank was built, but it has been allowed to fall somewhat into decay; and has not, I understand, been fully utilized in the memory of living man. The collecting basin above it is about 75 square miles. When full the depth of water at bund would be about 40 feet, the area covered by the water would be about 1,375 acres. The depth of water when full over sill of lower sluice would be 35 feet, and the capacity up to 24 feet above our datum amounts to 943,700,000 cubic feet, or 34,951,852 cubic yards, enough to irrigate 3,500 acres at the rate deduced from this experiment. Taking the average rain-fall of 26 inches, and .8 as co-efficient

of discharge, the possible collection from the whole basin would be 134 millions of cubic yards, but as there are 32 other tanks of sizes above the Shaefferpet Tank, it is probable that the full capacity of the latter would never be utilized. The breadth of bund at top varies from 38 to 50 feet. The outer slope is about $\frac{2}{1}$ to $\frac{3}{1}$. The inner slope faced with coursed stone is generally nearly perpendicular, but in places balt to one. The sluices are of the common native pattern, built on the inner slope of the bund in three stages, all faced with cut stone, with steps leading down to the lowest sluice. This arrangement, though no doubt expensive, simplifies the difficulty of dealing with sluices under great heads of water.

4 In each stage two circular holes (10" diameter) are cut vertically and communicate with a common masonry tunnel leading right through the bund. These tunnels are laid in the solid ground one at either end of the bund. The holes are fitted with large beams of wood passing through openings in the platform above, which are raised according to the quantity of water to be discharged. By this arrangement never more than 10 feet head of water has to be dealt with. The timber used is of a wood called *bhyr* or *khyer*, a species of *bubol*, and weighs about 70 lbs to the cubic foot. The botanical name is *Almiosa Catechu*, or *Alacia Catechu*.

5 These sluices with ever varying heads and discharging the water under such peculiar circumstances rendered it impossible to make any reliable calculations as to daily discharge from tank, and after a few attempts the idea of measuring the water used by this means was abandoned.

6 The irrigation commenced in the last week of November, and the level of the water in the tank at that time was taken as the standard level or datum for our calculations.

7 The plan adopted was very simple. The tank was surveyed accurately, a contour line being run round the level of the water as it stood at the end of November, and other six feet contours were run above that level, in case the water should have risen from any extraordinary causes, such as heavy rain fall, or bursting of reservoirs on higher level, and also to enable the full capacity of the tank to be calculated.

8 At the same time the water was traced from the tank to the different portions of land under rice cultivation, each of which was accurately surveyed. Originally these were reported by the villagers to be about 100 beegahs, or 75 acres, but they were proved to amount to 280.28 acres.

season is, I believe, sufficient to produce an average rice crop, without any artificial irrigation.

21. The survey and measurements were undertaken by Assistant Engineer, Lieutenant Little, under the orders of Lieutenant Cumming, R.E., Executive Engineer, Secunderabad Division, and have, I believe, been made with great care and correctness.

22. The climate here is a dry one, and the general level of the country is about 1,800 feet above sea level. These are points that should be noted in comparing the results with experiments made in other Districts.

23. The total cost of the experiment was very trifling, or about Rs. 300.

J. O. M.

Note on the above Report.

The experiments at this tank, though conducted with great care, are not decisive. With reference to the experiments on evaporation, there is nothing to cavil at; but the following points, which have been neglected in the consideration of the amount of water required for the irrigation of rice, appear to me to seriously affect the value of the conclusions drawn.

Firstly.—In para. 10 of his report, Major Mayne, while allowing that any heavy rain-fall would have considerably affected the experiments, proceeds to say that a rain-fall of 1.87 inches during the period of cultivation “may be left out (of the calculations) without affecting the results in any material degree.” Surely, if it were not to be considered in any other way, at least 1.87 inches of water, falling on the experimental rice crops, should be added to the actual depth of water expended on the crop; and if we consider besides that the total annual rain-fall is 26 inches, and that on the gathering ground of the tank this gives a possible collection of 134 millions of cubic yards (para. 2), it seems rash to neglect 1.87 inches, or one-fourteenth of the annual rain-fall. It is true that this 1.87 inches may not have fallen over the whole gathering ground; but the distribution of the fall should have been ascertained, and the amount of water supplied by it incorporated with the calculations.

Secondly.—“A very petty stream,” we are told in para. 11, was at the commencement of the experiments found running into the tank; but Major Mayne has “neglected also to notice that.” We are told that the bed of the tank was rocky; we may assume the bed of the water-course

was the same. Under such circumstances, it is frequently a matter of great difficulty to gauge a small stream of water, and, moreover, the amount visible is not necessarily all that passes down the channel. There may have been much more running than was visible to the eye. We are not told whether any investigation of this point was made, and what were the measures adopted for gauging the stream. We are we informed how long this petty stream ran.

Thirdly—Why does Major Mayne deduct as much for seepage as for leakage from the gross amount of water expended? The tank is said to have a rocky bed. Moreover, the greater part of such seepage may fairly be charged as water expended in irrigation. If the tank, or if the walls of the tank are of earthen, admitting the percolation of water, such leakage and percolation must benefit the irrigated lands situated in rear of, and below, the tank bund. Major Mayne deducts nearly 600,000 cubic yards for "soilage." A large part of this, as having percolated into the irrigated lands, should be considered as water expended in irrigation.

To conclude, I think it is a matter for regret that under such "exceptionally favorable circumstances" the experiments, careful so far as they went, were not more thorough. I am convinced had the points I have noticed been considered, the results would have been more in accordance with the hitherto accepted estimates of water required for rice cultivation in other rice-growing countries. In Spain, Portugal, Italy, and other parts of India, Major Mayne's estimates would be considered too little by at least one-half. The only circumstances in favor of the probability of Major Mayne's experiments giving a better "duty" per cubic foot of water than those usually assumed, are that this experimental rice crop was grown in a comparatively cool climate, and at a cool time of the year. The consequence would naturally be that the evaporation, not only in the tank, but in the fields moistened by the waterings from it, would be sensibly diminished, and there would not, therefore, be so frequent a demand for water.

Major Mayne's calculation makes the "duty" of one cubic foot of water per second in rice irrigation, 119 acres, a result that no country that practices rice irrigation has yet, so far as I know, been able to attain. Seventy-two inches of water would give a rice duty of 60 acres nearly, which is a far more probable duty, judged by N. W. P. experience. In Portugal—I write from recollection, as I have not the information re-

No. XXXI.

THE DELHI IRON PILLAR.

[Vide Frontispiece]

About eight miles to the South of Modern Delhi, and among the ruins of former cities, Hindoo and Mahomedan, which cover an immense area in the neighbourhood of the last of the Indian Mogul Capitals, is still standing an iron monument, erected upwards of one thousand years ago. The accompanying picture of this "Delhi Iron Pillar" has been engraved on wood, in the Roorkie College Press, from a Photograph lately taken by Sergeant Sparke, the College Photographic Instructor. Apart from its historic associations, the pillar has a peculiar interest to the Engineer from the immense size of this sample of iron manufacture, executed by a comparatively rude race in very remote times, and as a specimen of *Indian Engineering* it deserves the special attention of Engineers in this country. In a late number of the "Engineer," very interesting notices and speculations in regard to this and similar masses of forged iron have been published. The following extract from these articles, and from former accounts of the Pillar, (having regard only to its Engineering aspect,) may be appropriately transferred to the pages of "Professional Papers of Indian Engineering."

Mr. James Prinsep, F.R.S., in the *Journal of the Asiatic Society*, July 1838:—"The letters of the short inscription on the celebrated Iron pillar at Delhi are well formed and well preserved, notwithstanding the hard knocks which the iron shaft has encountered from the rusticles intruders of successive centuries. The language is Sanscrit: the character is that form of Nagari, which I have assigned to the third or fourth century after Christ, the curves of the letters being merely squared off: perhaps on account of their having been punched upon the surface of the Iron shaft with a short *chani* of steel, and a hammer; an *ing* absolute engraving of them would have been a work of considerable labor: but this point I

No XXXI.

THE DELHI IRON PILLAR.

[Vide Frontispiece]

About eight miles to the South of Modern Delhi, and among the ruins of former cities, Hindoo and Mahomedan, which cover an immense area in the neighbourhood of the last of the Indian Mogul Capitals, is still standing an iron monument, erected upwards of one thousand years ago. The accompanying picture of this "Delhi Iron Pillar" has been engraved on wood, in the Roorkie College Press, from a Photograph lately taken by Sergeant Sparke, the College Photographic Instructor. Apart from its historic associations, the pillar has a peculiar interest to the Engineer from the immense size of this sample of iron manufacture, executed by a comparatively rude race in very remote times, and as a specimen of *Indian Engineering* it deserves the special attention of Engineers in this country. In a late number of the "Engineer," very interesting notices and speculations in regard to this and similar masses of forged iron have been published. The following extract from these articles, and from former accounts of the Pillar, (having regard only to its Engineering aspect,) may be appropriately transferred to the pages of "Professional Papers of Indian Engineering."

Mr James Friesop, F.R.S., in the *Journal of the Asiatic Society*, July 1838.—"The letters of the short inscription on the celebrated Iron pillar at Delhi are well formed and well preserved, notwithstanding the hard knocks which the iron shaft has encountered from the rustiness of successive centuries. The language is Sanscrit the character is that form of Nagari, which I have assigned to the third or fourth century after Christ, the curves of the letters being merely squared off. Perhaps on account of their having been punched upon the surface of the Iron shaft with a short chisel of steel, and a hammer, as the absolute engraving of them would have been a work of considerable labor. But this point I

have not the means of determining. The record tells us that a Prince of the name of *Dhava*, erected it in commemoration of his victorious power. Raja *Dhava* has left behind him at any rate a monument of his skill at forging Iron, for the pillar is a well wrought circular shaft of iron, longer, and nearly as large as the shaft of the *Berenice Steamer*."

GENERAL A. CUNNINGHAM, R.E., in *Proceedings of the Archaeological Surveyor to Government of India*, November 1863.—"The Iron Pillar of Delhi is one of the most curious monuments of India. Many large works of metal were no doubt made in ancient times, such for instance, as the celebrated Colossus of Rhodes and the gigantic statues of the Buddhists, which are described by Hwen Thsang. But all of these were of brass or of copper, all of them were hollow, and all of them were built up of pieces welded together; whereas the Delhi Pillar is a solid shaft of mixed metal, upwards of 16 inches in diameter, and about 50 feet in length. It is true that there are flaws in many parts, which show that the casting is imperfect; but when we consider the extreme difficulty of manufacturing a pillar of such vast dimensions, our wonder will not be diminished by knowing that the casting of the bar is defective.

"The total height above ground is 22 feet, that of the capital $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet, and that of the rough part near the ground the same. But its depth under ground is considerably greater than its height above ground, as a recent excavation was carried down to 26 feet, without reaching the foundation on which the pillar rests. The whole length of the iron pillar is therefore, upwards of 48 feet, but how much more is not known, although it must be considerable, as the pillar is said not to be loosened by the excavations. I think, therefore, it is highly probable that the whole length is not less than 60 feet. The lower diameter of the shaft is 16·4 inches, and the upper diameter is 12·50 inches, the diminution being 0·29 inches per foot. The pillar contains about eighty cubic feet of metal, and weighs upwards of 17 tons."

MR. R. MALLET, in the "*Engineer*," dated 15th December, 1871.—What is the material of the pillar, for upon this depends the nature of the processes by which it must have been made; is it of cast-iron or of wrought-iron? As to this the evidence is as yet not absolutely decisive.

The "Archæological Surveyor," in his report appears to have thought it to be *cast-iron*. What this writer means by *mixed metal* it is hard

to conjecture. Captain Burt, R E, deemed the pillar to be of wrought or forged iron. This latter view receives the following corroborations, the writers accomplished and accurate friend, Mr James Bergesson, Archt, F R S, who has carefully examined the pillar, is clearly of opinion that it is of forged iron. A fragment of it has been recently sent to England, and the writer is informed, on, he believes, good authority, that Dr Percy has heated and drawn out upon the anvil a portion of it, and considers it to be *forged iron*. This test probably all that so small a specimen admitted of, is not absolutely conclusive, as Dr Percy himself would no doubt admit, for some cast irons, especially those made from hematites with charcoal fuel, admit of being heated and at once forged and drawn out into a sort of wrought iron.

There can be seen the mark of the graze of a heavy round shot on one side, at about mid height of the pillar, and the shaft is apparently slightly cracked across, the widest part of the crack being at the side opposite to the graze mark. The blow, then, was *just not enough* to break completely the pillar by its own inertia when thus suddenly bent beyond the limit of elasticity. Did we know the size of the striking mass, and the density and exact dimensions of the pillar, it would be possible to calculate approximately the cohesion per square inch of the outside skin of the shaft at this crack, assuming it really to exist, but wanting such data, and judging by fact or experience only, the writer is of opinion that if of British cast iron the pillar would have been broken completely off by the blow of a heavy shot.

The existence of a doubt as to the material of this pillar, one of the most marvellous metallic monuments in the world—shows with how little completeness it has yet been examined, and how entirely ignorant those who have described this pillar, have been of the importance in elucidating the ancient working of iron in India of an exact metallurgical examination of its material. Let us hope this will forthwith be remedied—by cutting from the pillar (below the surface, it may be) and sending home a piece sufficiently large and long, not only for chemical investigation, but for experimental determination of its extensibility and cohesion per square inch, for physical and chemical examination together, can alone determine with certainty whether it be of oriental cast-iron or of wrought, or *forged iron*. But meanwhile let us take the alternative suppositions, and see to what

they will lead us. At the present day the prevalent belief is probably the correct one, that the production of or working in *cast-iron* is unknown to native Indian workmen south of the Himalaya; and, unless made under European direction, a pig of cast iron of 100 lb. weight could probably not be found in India. Yet how little is systematically known about the matter may be gathered from a recent notice (*Times*, December 4th, 1871) of some remarkable travels in 1868 in Central Asia by a native emissary of the Indian Government. "At Faizabad, the capital of Budukshan, a town a mile and a half in length and a half mile in breadth, along the banks of the Kokcha river, he found the inhabitants skillful in smelting iron, and they send *cast-iron* pots, ^{of Rhod} ~~tr~~as, ornamental lamps, &c., to the market."

Assuming that in past time *cast iron* was known and worked in India, there is yet no reason to suppose that the furnaces in which it was melted could have been much larger than the little cupola furnaces, with blast from native bellows, which are now in use for making wrought-iron direct. The very largest of these native furnaces appear to be those of Burma ("Percy," p. 271, &c.), which by draught only produce about 90 lbs. of iron at each operation. It would have required between 300 and 400 such furnaces, working on casting iron, all got ready to tap and tapped at the same moment, to run a casting of 17 tons—an operation which any practical founder would admit to be impracticable with such apparatus even in the hands of trained European workmen. Nor must it be imagined that the product of the existing little Indian cupolas, working on the *direct* process, is ever fluid enough to be *tapped* or run from the furnace. Were it so it might be conceivable that this pillar had been cast, and yet was of a crude wrought-iron, or of a metal intermediate to cast and wrought-iron.

Mr. R. W. Bingham, magistrate at Chynepore, in the Shahabad district of Bengal, in his report on iron making, published in the official descriptive catalogue of the Indian articles exhibited in 1862 at London (4to, Calcutta, 1862), says as to that region of Bengal:—"The metal never runs liquid from the furnace, but falls to the bottom below the blast pipe, from whence it is taken in a flaming mass by a pair of iron tongs, and is hammered on a large stone, or on a rough iron anvil, into a double wedged-shaped pig," &c. This seems to describe the existing process of iron making of the present day, not only in Bengal, but all over British India, differing only in the size of the "bloom" or pig made, which is most com-

monly but 9 or 10 lbs, but in Burma seems to reach its maximum size, viz, about 90 lbs, or rather less than one third the weight of "bloom" produced in one operation by the existing Catalan furnaces in Europe, viz, about 140 kilograms ("Pelouze and Remy," vol iii, p 228)

Are we then to conclude that this pillar was cast, in the absence of any evidence in support of that view—indeed, in face of whatever evidence we possess bearing on it—merely because we cannot conceive any other way in which it might have been made in India? If so, this follows, that between the fourth century, A D and the present day, the whole art of smelting iron in India has been changed, and that the indirect, or Duro-pean method has been lost, and with it the knowledge of working in cast-iron itself been also lost. Such a view is untenable, for vessels, or other objects of ancient cast iron, must, in that case, occasionally be found, which does not seem to be the case

We are thus obliged to consider that this pillar is not a casting, but is a huge forging in native Indian or some other Asiatic mode wrought-iron, and if so, the question arises, how was it forged? We have no evidence that "blooms" of more than 90 or 100 lbs each, were ever made by Indian methods, these would be too small to build up singly into a bar of 60 inches diameter. It is, however, conceivable that such little "billets" as were procurable from such blooms might be welded up into bars, and these bars made into a fagot, out of which such a bar, by *sufficient means* for bringing it to welded heat, and for then hammering it, might be welded into a cylindrical bar such as that of this iron pillar

Now, the limit to the size of a fagot that can be welded with given means of *casting* it, is found to be when the mass is so great in proportion to the power of the furnace, that the exterior of the mass, where the heat is being applied circulates and melts away, owing to the slowness of heating and hence long continuance of exposure to the heat, as fast as pieces after pieces is laid on to make up for the waste. This limit has been reached before now even in our best reverberatory forge furnaces, it actually was touched upon at Liverpool, in forging the Mersey Com-pany's great 13 inch gun. Unless, therefore, the iron working of India between the third and fourth century, A D, possessed air furnaces and lofty stalks, or blowing apparatus of some sort upon a scale now unknown, and indeed not conceivable in any form of native apparatus, we may confidently affirm that no fagot to form a welded bar of 16 inches

diameter could have been by any possibility brought to the welding heat at all, or without such waste as to prevent its ever being forged.

If we pass from the heating of such a bar to the forging of it our difficulties are still greater. The limit in size of *hand-forged* work in Europe was about reached in the production in days gone by of the heaviest "best bower" anchor of a ship of the line. The largest section of the anchor shank when welded to the arms was about 8 in. or perhaps 9 in. across, and the welding was effected by the blows of twenty-four "strikers" trained to strike in time, and swinging 14 lbs. to 18 lbs. sledges. The shower of blows dealt for some minutes' spell, upon the mass of iron of this large section produced a very insignificant effect, so that both the faggoting and the welding of such anchors were often very defective, and the strikers having to stand close in a ring, within the short distance for swinging the sledge from the glowing iron, were greatly scorched by its radiated heat, and some with fine skins were unfitted for the work. Hereabouts then, the limit to hand forging was reached, both as to the power of the hand sledge to act upon the mass of iron, and as respected the power of the men to endure the heat radiated from the glowing iron at the short distance from it limited by the length of the handle of a sledge when swinging. Now the section of the shank of a "best bower" of 8 in. or 9 in. diameter is to that of the Delhi iron pillar about as 64 to 201, or the latter would radiate from its heated extremity more than thrice as much heat, and an equal length more than thrice as great a mass to be dealt with by the sledge hammer, as in the case of the anchor. We may, therefore, affirm that even in European hands a bar of wrought-iron of 16 in. diameter could not be welded up by hand labor with the sledge. The latter would produce no adequate impression—least of all in the comparatively feeble hands of Asiatics—and human skin and muscles could not withstand, at 5 or 6 ft. off, the intolerable glare and scorching of such a mass heated to the welding point. How then was this Delhi pillar forged in India, even assuming that some means for heating it existed? Forging by power in some form, of course, suggests itself, but upon what source of power can we even speculate? Human muscles, and the "bullock walk" by which the water skins, are drawn up from the wells or tanks appear the only present sources of power in India. The water-wheel, or *noria*, for raising water by the application of such animal power is common; but the production of power by the *descent*

of water on a wheel seems never to have been known in India, where, indeed, except in the hill districts, no "falls" for water power exist. The windmill, though said to have been known in Persia from some very remote period, has never been seen in India, and it need scarcely be said steam power is out of the question.

It is barely imaginable that some form of falling tup hammer raised by men acting on ropes, after the manner of the old ringing engine for pulling down the ropes, may have been employed, or some rude form of tup or tilt hammer moved by bullocks acting on a walking wheel, and it is for Indian archaeologists to discover if there be any records or traditions of such appliances, without which the methods by which this huge pillar was forged must remain inaccessible. The pillar itself stands before us, so far, a metallurgic enigma, it is stood alone, and were this great ancient forging in wrought iron alone known to exist in India, we might pass it by, content to suppose it too isolated an instance on which to found any conclusions as to the iron metallurgy of that country in former ages, but, although little noticed, and apparently quite unknown to our European writers on iron metallurgy, this pillar does not stand alone.

"Nothing heretofore brought to light in the history of metallurgy seems more striking, to the reason as well as the imagination, than this fact that from the remote time when Hengist was ruling in Kent, and Cerdic landing to plunder our barbarous ancestors in Sussex, down to that of our third Henry, while all Europe was in the worst darkness and confusion of the Middle Ages—when the largest and best forging prodigible in Christendom was an axe or a sword blade—these ancient peoples of India, the forerunners of those now so enfeebled and degraded, possessed a great iron manufacture, whose products Europe even half a century ago could not have equalled.

Yet these conclusions rest on no *new* facts, but on the colligation of old ones, by the light of practical knowledge. Indian archaeologists and writers have long known of the existence of these iron monuments of an ancient and lost art in India, but their importance has, the writer believes, not before been recognised as bearing on ancient metallurgy. The reason of this is that those who have examined the monuments of India, however scholarly and able in many ways, have not been metallurgists, and have had no practical knowledge of iron working. The ancient, and, indeed, the existing technology at large of India—all iron-

of Asia at large—remains almost unexplored and undescribed, and whenever it shall be examined, analysed, and described by really competent men—and such have never yet been commissioned with the task—results even more strange, and perhaps of more importance, historical and practical, than these deducible from the Delhi iron pillar, will, no doubt come to light.

MR. GEORGE M. FRASER, *in the Engineer*, dated 12th January, 1872.—Mr. Mallet's article in the *Engineer* of the 15th ultimo, on the very singular iron column within the mosque of the Kutab, near Delhi, is one which cannot fail to be particularly interesting to all students of the history of iron metallurgy, and is certainly in great measure exhaustive and complete. Mr. Mallet, however, whilst coming to the conclusion that this monument is of malleable metal, seems yet inclined to suggest the possibility that at some distant date the iron workers of India may have had a knowledge of iron in its liquid form which at present they do not seem to possess, and of which knowledge history affords us no record. Mr. Mallet's great difficulty—and at first sight there can be no question that it is apparently an insurmountable one—is that—assuming the column to be of wrought-iron—of forging such a mass of metal at a welding heat by the mere manual power within reach of Indian iron workers at the supposed date of "its manufacture." The experience of many years spent in charge of an iron works in Southern India, where cast-iron was produced by the European method, but which experience also comprised constant intercourse with the native smiths of the country and a knowledge of the material they used, and of the method of its production and capabilities in manufacture, may perhaps entitle the present writer to offer what he ventures to believe will be considered by practical men a satisfactory explanation of how such material, labor, and capabilities might have been used to produce the column now under notice.

In the first place, then, the writer would record his decided opinion that the column of the Kutab is of wrought, or at all events of malleable iron; for during the whole course of his Indian experience, which included many visits to the native smelting furnaces in the Salem and Malabar Collectorates, together with the constant practice at his own works in the production of *edged*, not *chipping* tools, of the native steel; he never found anything approaching an attempt to *tap* one of these fur-

naces, nor heard any Indian workman speak of cast-iron but as of a material utterly useless to him, and beyond his ken

The process of smelting, as pursued in Southern India, is probably sufficiently well known not to require any further description here, than that in a perpendicular circular furnace about 6 or 8 feet in height, and of 3 diameter at its greatest width of about 18 inches—the blast to which is supplied by the alternate inflation and compression of four or six goat skins worked by hand, as in the ordinary smiths' fires of the country—the black magnetic oxide so common in the laterite formation is converted not into cast-iron, but rather into a mass somewhat similar to the loup of the Catalan forges, presenting in parts a crystalline, and in others a fibrous, fracture. The removal of these lumps or lumps—mooles they are called by the natives of Malabar—necessitates the breaking open of the whole of that part of the little furnace which corresponds to the tump and fore hearth of an English blast furnace, and in order to prepare for this the charging at the top is stopped as is also the blast, and the whole contents allowed gradually, as combustion exhausts itself, to sink down into the hearth, whence, when cool, it is removed. These lumps or mooles (the writer must object to Mr. Mallet's term "pig," as applied either to these or any result of the cementation process, as the term certainly conveys, to English ears at least, the idea of cast iron) are generally from 80 to 112 lbs in weight, and it is from the building up of lumps of metal, such as these, one upon the other, with such reheating and hammering as may have been found necessary to effect cohesion, that the writer conceives the Kutab column to have been produced.

He cannot think that there is anything impossible in such a mode of proceeding, nor anything in the actual working of the material—which is of a most malleable nature, and weldable at a very low comparative heat—which the native smiths are unequal to performing. Fifteen inches diameter is certainly a very, very large bar, but it should be recollected that in the process just suggested it would only be the surface of each successive mooles (previously, of course, heated and hammered to the proper section) which would require to be at welding temperature, and that such a temperature for such surfaces might readily be produced in good charcoal fires without much injury to the iron so treated. The writer has himself seen shifting of between 6 and 8 ins diameter heated in open fires composed of charcoal and "brakes" (sun-dried cow-dung),

and welded to good joints by native smiths in the Madras Presidency. Conceiving, then, that the column may have been thus built up—and of course the supposition is directly opposite to the idea that it might have been composed of longitudinal bars welded together—we find the capital left to be accounted for; and the very form of this, is one which could readily have been produced by swaging, and finishing with such chipping (but this only to a small extent, the writer believes), as may have been found necessary. It is also to be recollected that the column itself has never, save, of course, in the act of raising it, been submitted to any severe strain, and that its cohesion has never in anyway been tried in tension, as is ordinary shafting. Further, the extraordinary amount of quiet perseverance with which the natives of India are endowed, and the illimitable amount of mere manual labour which any great Eastern ruler could bring to bear upon such an object of ambition as the construction of a trophy or monument as this column may be considered, would all go to help us to the conclusion that this huge rod of iron may have been manufactured in such manner, and with such material and appliances as the writer has described. Again, it may be remarked that, even supposing other similar columns to exist, as Mr. Mallet seems to think, yet even this very existence, in so confessedly small a number, proves them to have been quite exceptional productions, and not in any way portions of a systematic manufacture of large iron forgings. It is, too, a point well worthy of notice that there would seem to be no examples left of what might be described as the intermediate stages of iron-working: *id est*, examples of forgings which, whilst exceeding greatly in size and weight the present ordinary productions of the Indian iron smiths, would yet be of far smaller dimensions in every way than this column of the Kutab. The writer is, therefore, forced to the conclusion that this, and also any similar Indian columns, must be regarded as purely exceptional productions—types of no manufacture ever extensively or usefully existing in India, and indicating neither the possession of machinery calculated to produce such types in any number, nor even much smaller forgings. Exceptional, however, as they appear to be in every way, he yet ventures to believe he has pointed out the process by which in all probability, they were manufactured; and if they can be regarded but as mere monuments of some now nameless ambition, they are yet wonderful examples of that ant-like perseverance and patient industry which in many ways mark the metal workers of India.

P S—May not the words "mixed metal" mean native apparently of wrought and cast-iron, which is clearly the characteristic of the crystalline and fibrous fracture of the native lumps or nodules, and has the great depth, and consequent weight of the column under ground, been used as a counterpoise in raising it into a perpendicular position?

General A. Cunningham, R. E., in a note furnished to the *Editor, March* 1872—When I wrote the preceding account in 1863, I described the Iron Pillar as formed of "mixed metal." This I did on the authority of the late Mr. Frederick Cooper, Deputy Commissioner of Delhi. He was then preparing a hand book for Delhi, in which I find the pillar is thus described—"The celebrated *Loha /a la/*, or iron pillar, which is however a misnomer, for it is a compound metal resembling bronze." On thinking over this question some months afterwards, it struck me that a bronze pillar would never have escaped the rapacity of the Mahomedan conquerors. I therefore obtained a small bit from the rough lower part of the pillar, which I submitted to Dr. Murray Thomson,* who kindly furnished me with the result of his analysis, that it was "pure malleable iron of 766 specific gravity." I have since referred to various books to see what account was given of the Pillar by different tourists, and I find that the opinion that the pillar was made of mixed metal or bronze has certainly prevailed since the beginning of the century. But it is certainly of much older date, as the notorious Tom Coryat, more than two hundred years ago, speaks of the *brazen* pillar which he had seen at "*Delice*." An equally important error has prevailed regarding the depth of the portion of the pillar under ground, which was generally believed to be at least equal to its height above ground, but an excavation made by my assistant, Mr. J. D. Beglar in 1871, showed that the Iron Pillar terminated about 11 feet below the present ground level, in a knob like a flat turnip. To this knob were fixed eight short thick bars of iron, on which it rested, and these were secured to stone blocks by lead. My assistant passed a bamboo right underneath the pillar. I may add that the letters of the principal inscription of Raja Dhara, were originally filled with silver, small bits of which metal may still be seen clinging to the angles of the letters.

* Professor of Experimental Science at the Thomson C. E. College, Bombay, and Chemical Analyst to the Government of the V. N. Provinces.

No. XXXII.

TOOLSEE WATER SUPPLY PROJECT.

[*Vide* Plates XXIV., XXV., XXVI. and XXVII.]

BY RIENZI G. WALTON, C.E., *Acting Executive Municipal Engineer,*
Bombay.

In order to arrive at a correct result as to the value of the Toolsee scheme as an auxiliary supply to Vehar, I have endeavored to ascertain as far as possible the average quantity of water that has been annually collected in the Vehar Lake and what has been the average quantity annually drawn from it, the close proximity of Vehar to Toolsee being, I think, a sufficient justification for adopting the same data in both cases.

In order to ascertain the average annual supply of water to the Vehar Lake (say in 1862) it is necessary to take the following into consideration, that—

On the 7th of June, 1862, when the Lake first commenced to rise, the readings on the gauge showed the surface of the Lake to be 48' 1", *i. e.*, 11' 1" below the top of the waste weir, or 79·59 above Puspolee datum (90·67 — 11·08). At the end of the monsoon, and on the 6th October, 1862, when the surface of the Lake first began to fall, the readings on the gauge showed the level to be 57' 6" or 1' 8" below the top of the waste weir (89·01 above Puspolee datum).

The capacity of the Lake between the levels 89·01 and 79·59 is 3,053,000,000 gallons nearly, *i. e.*, the quantity of water by which the Lake was shown by the gauge to have increased from the 7th June to

11th October was 3,053,000,000 gallons; but in order to ascertain the whole amount of addition to the tanks during those dates it is necessary to take into consideration the daily supply to London plus the quantity

consumed during the monsoon.

Now assuming the daily supply to be 11,500,000 gallons, the total supply from 7th of June to the 11th of October will be 11,500,000

Gallons \times 127* days = 1,429,500,000 gallons.

To this should be added 163,500,000 gallons due to very irregularities during the monsoon; then the total addition to the tanks during this period will be—

1,429,500,000 + 163,500,000 = 1,593,000,000 gallons.

From the records of the tank it has been calculated that the

The following table has been worked out in accordance with the method explained in the foot-note, page 321 :—

| | Days. | | Days. |
|------------|-------|------------|-------|
| 1862 | 127 | 1867 | 126 |
| 1863 | 118 | 1868 | 119 |
| 1864 | 98 | 1869 | 126 |
| 1865 | 122 | 1870 | 112 |
| 1866 | 84 | | |

a total of 1,032 days for 9 years, or an average of 115 days per year.

I have shown that during the fair-weather portion of the year the total consumption has been 2,852 million gallons, and as we have ascertained that the average monsoon consists of 115 days, it is perfectly clear that the daily consumption must be $\frac{2,852,000,000}{365-115}$; or 11,500,000 gallons nearly per diem.*

Having ascertained this fact, I now proceed to obtain the average total quantity drawn off during each monsoon, thus :—

| Year. | No. of Days of Rain. | Daily Consumption. | Million Gallons. |
|-----------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| 1862..... | 127 | × 11½ | = 1,461 |
| 1863..... | 118 | × 11½ | = 1,357 |
| 1864..... | 90 | × 11½ | = 1,137 |
| 1865..... | 122 | × 11½ | = 1,403 |
| 1866..... | 62 | × 11½ | = 966 |
| 1867..... | 123 | × 11½ | = 1,449 |
| 1868..... | 114 | × 11½ | = 1,369 |
| 1869..... | 109 | × 11½ | = 1,449 |
| 1870..... | 105 | × 11½ | = 1,281 |

Total, 11,869

To this amount should be added the quantity due to evaporation, and

* Another method for ascertaining the daily "Draw-off" has been worked out as follows :—

The capacity included between the levels recorded from the expiration of one monsoon to the commencement of the next (deducting for evaporation) divided by the number of days between these dates (allowances being made for slight addition to the Lake—after the surface has once commenced to decline) gives the following quantities as the daily "Draw-off" for each year :—

| | |
|------------|------------|
| 1862 | 10,300,000 |
| 1863 | 11,600,000 |
| 1864 | 12,300,000 |
| 1865 | 10,700,000 |
| 1866 | 11,600,000 |
| 1867 | 12,100,000 |
| 1868 | 11,700,000 |
| 1869 | 12,100,000 |
| 1870 | 11,800,000 |

Total, 104,200,000

which equals 11½ million gallons per day—a result similar to that shown by the other method.

taking this at 168 million gallons per monsoon, the total quantity drawn off during the above nine years will be—

Gallons Gallons Gallons

$$11,869,000,000 + 168,000,000 \times 9 = 13,381,000,000$$

I have shown above that the quantity collected in the Lake as indicated by the gauge during 9 years is 31,332,000,000 gallons

Therefore the total quantity of water collected in the Reservoir from the year 1862 to 1870 is—

$$31,332,000,000 + 13,381,000,000 = 44,713,000,000 \text{ gallons}$$

or an average annual addition to the Lake of 4,968,000,000 gallons

On the 7th June, 1871, the surface of the Lake fell to 46 4 on the

gauge, i e, 1 9 lower than the level of Lake in 1862, and consequently

we had up to that date drawn to a small extent (about 5 weeks' supply)

on the storage of Vohar in addition to what we received from the annual

rainfall

This fact alone affords sufficient evidence of the insufficiency of the

Vohar Lake, and its inability to remain the sole source of supply to

Bombay

Observed data show the average height of the Lake at the immediate

expiration of the monsoon to be 1' 8" below the top of the waste weir, it

is therefore evident that my addition to the gathering ground of Vohar

could (in most years) only contribute a quantity of water equal to the

capacity of the Lake between the level of the top of the waste weir and

1' 8" below it

I have already shown that the average yearly supply for the last 9

years from 7th June 1862 to 7th June 1870 has been 4,968,000,000

gallons. From this quantity however (in accordance with the result of

Mr Ormiston's calculations) I deduct 2' 6" in depth, or about 797,000,000

gallons as the yearly evaporation, thus leaving 4,171,000,000 gallons as

the quantity available annually for distribution, &c, or 11,500,000 gallons

per day

For a population of 850,000 this supply will give 13 5 gallons per

head per diem, but as Mr Ormiston's calculations show only 12 gallons

per head per diem, it is clear that 2 of the whole quantity available is

lost by leakage through the dams, sists, or along the pipe line.

From the above the following information is gathered, that—

The average annual addition to the Lake is 4,968,000,000 gallons

The annual demand is 4,215,000,000 gallons.

The rate per head per diem is 12 gallons.

The amount wasted unaccountably is 1.5 gallons per head per diem.

Before proceeding to apply the above results to the Toolsee reservoir, I here propose to investigate what our present and future position will be with reference to the water-supply from Vchar under the most unfavorable circumstances, say partial failures of rainfall for the years 1872 and 1873.

On the 20th May, 1871, the surface of the Lake was at 47' 2" on the gauge (78.67 above Puspolee datum).

The rain contributed about 100 days' supply to the Lake besides that which remained in the Reservoir after the rain ceased.

At the end of the monsoon the surface of the Lake was at 48' 4" on the gauge, or 79' 8.4" above Puspolee datum. Between 78.69 and 79.84 there is a capacity in the Lake of 760,000,000 gallons.

During the 100 days' rain about 1,159 million gallons left the Lake, also about 80 million gallons were lost by evaporation, &c.; the total quantity therefore collected in the Lake during the monsoon of 1871 was

$$760,000,000 + 115,900,000 + 80,000,000 \\ = 2,000,000,000 \text{ gallons only.}$$

As shown above, the average quantity of water collected in the Lake during an ordinary monsoon is 4,968,000,000 gallons. We have therefore this year collected only 0.4 of that quantity.

I will now go on to see what will be the quantity of water in all probability left in the Reservoir by the 7th of June, 1872 (about the time the monsoon usually commences).

On the 16th September, 1871, the surface of the Lake was at 79.84 on Puspolee datum.

From the 16th September to the 7th June we shall have drawn off at the present rate,

| | Gallons. |
|-------------------------|----------------------|
| 264 × 109,000,000 | |
| = | 2,878,000,000 |
| Add for evaporation ... | 466,000,000 |
| Total | <u>3,344,000,000</u> |

which will be the quantity required up to 7th June 1872.

Now between 79.84 and 66.4 the capacity of the Lake is—

3,344,000,000 gallons,
so that on the 7th June, 1872, the surface of the Lake will be at 66 4 on Puspolee datum, i e, 31 4' on the gauge

We shall then have on the 7th June 1872, about the time that the monsoon may be expected to commence, a quantity of water in the Reservoir contained between 66 4 and 31 67 on Puspolee datum, i e, about 3,374,000,000 gallons. Now suppose the monsoon of 1872 to be a failure, and that we collect the same quantity of water only as was received into the Lake in 1871, that is, 760,000,000 gallons, we shall then have remaining in the Reservoir at the end of the monsoon of 1872—
 $3,374,000,000 + 760,000,000 = 4,734,000,000$ gallons

The surface of the Lake will then be at about 70 16 on Puspolee datum, or 38' 8" on the gauge, of this we shall require (as in 1871-72) 2,878,000,000 gallons for use up to 7th June, 1873, before the monsoon of that year commences, and also about 420,000,000 for evaporation, making a total of 3,303,000,000

We have (as before stated) 4,734,000,000 gallons in the Reservoir, we shall therefore have remaining in the Lake under the above conditions on the 7th June, 1873, 1,431,000,000 only, and its surface will be at 50 27 on datum, or 18' 9" on the gauge

From the foregoing it will be plain to all that we shall have plenty of water in the Reservoir up to the monsoon of 1873, and even if the monsoon of 1872 gives us not more than half the quantity collected in 1871. But in this case, however, the surface of the Lake would be about 42 5 on datum, or 11' 0" on the gauge, and we should have only 500,000,000 gallons remaining

Whether it would be injurious to health to use water for domestic purposes drawn from so low a level as this, is a question which I leave to chemists and the medical profession to decide

Now I have pointed out that the quantity in the Lake at the commencement of the monsoon (7th June, 1873), will be 1,431,000,000 gallons, let us assume a still further failure of rain for 1873, although I must confess I consider the probability of three successive failures in the monsoon as exceedingly remote

However, starting from the 7th June, 1873, with the quantity of water in the Lake available at 1,431,000,000 gallons, we should get from the partial monsoon, as in the preceding years, say 760,000,000 gallons, the

total quantity therefore at the end of that monsoon would be 2,191,000,000 gallons.

We should require for use up to the 7th June 1874, 2,878,000,000 gallons, and also about 250,000,000 gallons for evaporation during that time; the total supply required would then be.

$$2,878,000,000 + 250,000,000 = 3,128,000,000, \text{ gallons.}$$

We should therefore, after having drawn off all the water available from the Lake, still be deficient of the quantity required by about 937,000,000 gallons, which, taken at 10,900,000 gallons per diem, represents a supply of 86 days.

If the monsoon commenced later than the 7th June, we should, of course, be deficient in our supply by a greater number of days.

This, then is our position as regards the supply from the Vehar Lake if we had three successive partial failures of the monsoon.

If, however, we were to have a nearly total failure in 1872, and a partial one in 1873, we should then be about 240 days short of supply up to 7th June, 1874.

Taking a case of two total failures, we require about 4,900,000,000 gallons each year, and allowing less for evaporation as the Lake falls, the demand for two years will be, say 9,300,000,000 gallons; leaving about 1,500,000,000 gallons only in the Reservoir; this would reduce the surface of the Lake to 19' 6" on the gauge or 51.00 on datum.

Let us now see how we can best make use of Toolsee gathering-ground and storage as an auxiliary to Vehar.

I have shown above that the total quantity of water collected in Vehar Lake from an average monsoon is 4,866,000,000 gallons.

The area of the Vehar Lake is taken at 1,140 acres, and of the gathering-ground at 2,800 acres.

Let us take the average rain-fall at 102 inches, and let x be the proportion of rain fall collected in the reservoir from the gathering-ground.

Then $8.5 \times$ area of the Lake in feet $+ 8.5 \times$ area of the gathering ground in feet,

$$= \text{quantity of water collected in the Reservoir.}$$

Substituting values we have $8.5 \times 1,140 \times 43,560 + (8.5 \times 28,000 \times 43,560) x$.

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{4968,000,000}{625} \\ \therefore x &= \frac{795,000,000 - 422,000,000}{1,036,728,000} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{356,463,600}{103,672,800} x = 0.36$$

Now, if we take the above value of x , viz., 36 for Toolsee and deduct therefrom (according to Mr. Crumiston) 2.6 for the yearly evaporation from the Reservoir, and take the area of the Toolsee Lake at 250 acre (the top of the Dam being, at 450.00) we have—

$$6.25 (8.5 \times 250 \times 43,560 + .36 \times 85 \times 1,197 \times 43,560)$$

$$= 1,575,733,993 \text{ Gallons.}$$

Deducting for evaporation

$$6.25 (2.5 \times 246 \times 43,560) = 167,433,750$$

Total.....1,408,000,000 nearly.

That is, the quantity of water collected in the Reservoir at Toolsee for yearly use is nearly 1,408,000,000 gallons, or 3,837,000 gallons daily, 1/4th of which will be lost as at Vchar, leaving for daily delivery 3,430,000 gallons, equivalent to 4 gallons per head per diem for a population of 850,000.

The above being the result of a rainfall of 102", let us now take the case of a smaller fall of rain, say, 84".

Taking the same conditions as before, we have—

$$7 \times 1,140 \times 43,560 + x (7 \times 2,800 \times 43,560)$$

$$= 795,000,000$$

$$\therefore x = \frac{795,000,000 - 317,608,800}{853,776,000} = .52.$$

This value of x is more likely to be correct than the former one. Taking this last value of x for Toolsee,

We now get—

$$(7 \times 250 \times 43,560 + .52 \times 7 \times 1,197 \times 43,560) 6.25 = 1,675,162,52$$

$$\text{Gallons.}$$

and deducting for evaporation

$$(2.5 \times 246 \times 13,560) 6.25 = 167,433,750$$

$$\text{Total} = 1,507,718,775$$

The quantity of water collected and available for use for one year at Toolsee is therefore about—

$$1,508,000,000 \text{ gallons,}$$

or 4,130,000 gallons per diem.

1/4th of which will be lost (as at Vchar) leaving 3,670,000 as the daily

supply, or about $4\frac{1}{4}$ gallons per head per diem for a population of 850,000.

Referring to our Diagrams of height of water in dam, we see that after every third year the Vehar Lake has overflowed. It is, therefore, only during those years in which the surface of the Lake is below the top of the waste weir that any addition could be made to it from Toolsee.

The years on which the Lake has not overflowed, the average level of the surface has been 1' 8" below the waste weir. Now the capacity of the Lake down to this depth is about 565,000,000 gallons. This quantity therefore could have been usually brought into Vehar, and would have increased the quantity stored in that Lake by 1.75 gallons per head.

It is evident then that for the greater number of years the Vehar Lake has been in existence, the annual addition that could have been rendered to it from utilizing the Toolsee gathering-ground would have been about 565,000,000 gallons, unless the dams and waste weir at Vehar were raised:—an alternative not likely to be advocated by any Engineer familiar with their present state.

Let us now see what our position would have been if we had the power of so utilizing the rainfall on the Toolsee gathering-ground so as to raise the level of the Vehar Lake to the top of the waste weir at the expiration of each monsoon.

The Lake overflowed in 1869. During that year, therefore, none of the water from Toolsee could have been used.

At the end of the monsoon 1870 the surface of the Lake was 1' 7" below the top of the waste weir; we could therefore during that monsoon have received an addition from Toolsee to the extent of about 537,000,000 gallons.

In 1871 we collected in Vehar .40 only of the average annual quantity; and assuming the same proportion to have been collected at Toolsee we should have

$$1,402,000 \times .40 = 574,000,000 \text{ gallons}$$

which could have been directed into Vehar. We should have thus brought in during the years 1870-1871—


$$574,000,000 + 537,000,000 = 1,111,000,000 \text{ gallons.}$$

If in 1872 and 1873 there are again partial failures in the rainfall, we shall be able to bring into Vehar from Toolsee—


$$2 \times 574,000,000 = 1,148,000,000,$$

a total for 4 years of 2,259,000,000 gallons.


GROSS SECTION OF MASONRY DAM FOR NO 1 PROJECT.



GROSS SECTION OF MASONRY DAM FOR NO 2 PROJECT



GROSS SECTION OF MASONRY DAM FOR NO 3 PROJECT.



portion of it would simply pass over the Vehar waste weir, or might be allowed to escape by some other process.

Mr. Aitken goes into very little detail of this scheme, and I am not surprised at his reticence, since he was satisfied, as all others must be who have gone into the subject, that an independent and not an auxiliary supply is what is required to give an efficient water-supply to Bombay: Toolsee at the best can only be an auxiliary.

I have before pointed out that for the greater number of years the Vehar Lake has been in existence the level of that Lake has been, at the expiration of the monsoon, at or about the level of 1' 7" below the top of the waste weir, and that this capacity represents 5,65,000,000 gallons.

Now taking the total rainfall of Toolsee to be 1,508,000,000, the difference between 1,508,000,000 and 565,000,000 = 943,000,000, will show the quantity which would have passed over the Vehar waste weir for the greater number of years that the Lake has been in use.

Mr. Aitken's estimate for this work is Rs. 2,01,060, which amount in consequence of the fall in the rates for materials and labor, and by the substitution of chunam for cement in the Dam, I have been able to reduce to Rs. 1,38,315.

A waste weir and a regulating sluice I have provided for in this scheme in order not to render it compulsory, when the Vehar Lake is full, that the surplus water from Toolsee should pass over the Vehar waste weir.

By Project No. 2 it is proposed to impound the water on the Toolsee ground by a Dam at such a level as to utilize the ridge of hills between Vehar and Toolsee as a waste weir, that is to say, to erect a Dam 64 feet high above the bed of the Tassoo river, and by so doing to conduct the surplus water (after the Lake had filled to this level 430.00) over the dividing ridge of hills between Toolsee and Vehar into the latter.

I estimate the quantity of water impounded by such a Dam to be 581,000,000 gallons, the whole of which can be drawn off into Vehar at any time that it may be required by means of a channel (partly in cutting and partly in tunnel) governed by a Penstock.

The top of the Dam will be 434.00 above Town Hall datum, and that of its waste weir (150 feet in length) 431.25.

When the water in the Reservoir rises to 430.00 all additional rainfall will flow over the low dividing ridge (already alluded to) into Vehar. In

portion of it would simply pass over the Vehar waste weir, or might be allowed to escape by some other process.

Mr. Aitken goes into very little detail of this scheme, and I am not surprised at his reticence, since he was satisfied, as all others must be who have gone into the subject, that an independent and not an auxiliary supply is what is required to give an efficient water-supply to Bombay: Toolsee at the best can only be an auxiliary.

I have before pointed out that for the greater number of years the Vehar Lake has been in existence the level of that Lake has been, at the expiration of the monsoon, at or about the level of 1' 7" below the top of the waste weir, and that this capacity represents 5,65,000,000 gallons.

Now taking the total rainfall of Toolsee to be 1,508,000,000, the difference between 1,508,000,000 and 565,000,000 = 943,000,000, will show the quantity which would have passed over the Vehar waste weir for the greater number of years that the Lake has been in use.

Mr. Aitken's estimate for this work is Rs. 2,01,060, which amount in consequence of the fall in the rates for materials and labor, and by the substitution of chunam for cement in the Dam, I have been able to reduce to Rs. 1,38,315.

A waste weir and a regulating sluice I have provided for in this scheme in order not to render it compulsory, when the Vehar Lake is full, that the surplus water from Toolsee should pass over the Vehar waste weir.

By Project No. 2 it is proposed to impound the water on the Toolsee ground by a Dam at such a level as to utilize the ridge of hills between Vehar and Toolsee as a waste weir, that is to say, to erect a Dam 64 feet high above the bed of the Tassoo river, and by so doing to conduct the surplus water (after the Lake had filled to this level 430.00) over the dividing ridge of hills between Toolsee and Vehar into the latter.

I estimate the quantity of water impounded by such a Dam to be 581,000,000 gallons, the whole of which can be drawn off into Vehar at any time that it may be required by means of a channel (partly in cutting and partly in tunnel) governed by a Penstock.

The top of the Dam will be 434.00 above Town Hall datum, and that of its waste weir (150 feet in length) 431.25.

When the water in the Reservoir rises to 430.00 all additional rainfall will flow over the low dividing ridge (already alluded to) into Vehar. In



the event of the Vohar Lake being full, and to prevent the flow of Toolsee water over the Vohar waste weir, I propose to raise the level of this dividing ridge to 431.25 temporarily by means of cast iron standards and boarding, so that, as soon as the surface of the Lake is raised to that level, the surplus water, instead of being discharged over Vohar waste weir, will pass over the one at the Toolsee Dam.

The result of this scheme will therefore give 581,000,000 gallons, or 1.9 gallons per head per diem for the present population, stored and available at all times as an auxiliary to Vohar, and also as much of the available Toolsee rainfall as can be annually stored in the Vohar Lake.

The total estimated cost of this scheme, less compensation for ground, is Rs 1,75,221

Project No 3 differs from No 2 only in having higher Dams, and consequently increased storage

The Dam (of rubble masonry) will be 74 feet high, i. e., its top will be 449.00 on datum (Town Hall) with a waste weir (150 feet long) 446.25 on datum

The level of the low ridge of hills separating Vohar from Toolsee being 430.00 only, it becomes necessary to erect a small Dam upon its crest, the level of the top of which will be 448.5 on datum. This Dam will be furnished with a waste weir 200 feet long, the level of which will be 445.00 on datum.

The greatest depth of this Dam will be only 21.0 feet, a fact which will entirely do away with the cause of fear which has hitherto obtained at the construction of Dams on the water-shed of the Vohar Lake

By this scheme I estimate 1,451,000,000 gallons of water will be stored up available at any time, not only as an addition to Vohar, but would afford 365 days' supply of 4 1/4 gallons per head per diem of the present population, should the Vohar supply at any time become unavailable

The connection between the Toolsee Basin and Vohar will be the same as that in Project No. 2.

After the level of the surface of the Reservoir, has risen to 448.5, all additional rainfall will pass over the waste weir of the small dividing ridge into Vohar, so that Vohar may be said to secure the whole of the rainfall of Toolsee as soon as the level of that Basin has risen to 448.25

In order to prevent (as in Project No 2) the Toolsee water from flow-

ing over the Vohar waste weir, the same arrangements of standards and boards as proposed in that scheme will be adopted in this.

The benefits to be expected from this scheme are as follows;—1,451,000,000 gallons, or $4\frac{1}{2}$ gallons per head per diem of the present population, stored up and available as required, and as much of the available rain-fall of Toolsee as can be stored in the Vohar Lake; also the advantage of keeping the Vohar Lake up to its normal level.

The estimated cost of this scheme is Rs. 3,59,153.

In reviewing these three Projects as auxiliary supplies to Vohar, I think it is almost needless to point out the great superiority of the last (No. 3) over the other two, and of No. 2 over No. 1.

I will simply note that No. 3 Project provides us with a storage of $4\frac{1}{2}$ gallons per head per diem for 365 days' supply as a stand-by in case of need, keeps Vohar at its normal level, and besides gives us in addition all the advantages of Project No. 1.

Project No. 2 gives us the advantages to be derived from Project No. 1, together with a storage equal to 1.9 gallons per head per diem of the present population for 365 days.

The advantage of Project No. 1 will be to divert into Vohar as much of the Toolsee rainfall as that Lake will hold.

In conclusion, I say that the benefits to be derived from Project No. 3 as compared with the slight increase of expenditure of that Project over No. 2, point to it as the one to be adopted, and further, since we shall have lost one year's storage at the commencement of the monsoon of 1872, that unless either one of these schemes or some other auxiliary to Vohar is adopted, in our present position without such assistance, we shall require several very excessive monsoons to make up this deficiency.

In the event of the works entailed in Project No. 3 being commenced by the end of January next, it will be perfectly possible to raise the Dam to the necessary height and to complete the Tunnel before the commencement of the monsoon, so as to carry off nearly all of the water collected from the Toolsee gathering-ground direct into Vohar.

Remarks by Thomas Ormiston, Esq., M. Inst. C.E.

Mr. Walton has worked out three projects, all of which are simply to increase the gathering-ground of Vohar, by an addition of 1,477 acres; as Vohar has already 3,948 acres, this will increase it to 5,395 acres.

It may be remembered that Mr Conybeare in his second report states, that he is of opinion it would be desirable to increase the gathering-ground of the Vohar works to 5,500 acres, which is almost exactly what any of Mr Walton's projects would do

With an average run-fall the gathering ground of Vohar and its reservoir are fairly balanced, only three times since the works were completed has the latter overflowed, and generally it stands at the close of the monsoon about 18 inches under the waste weir. But an average rain fall is not what we have to deal with, it is rather a minimum fall, and it happens that last monsoon we had only half the average, and the lake has now consequently fallen 10 feet below its usual level

On the whole, I think the time has come when steps should be taken to ensure a full supply from Vohar. Even if the Bench decides on carrying out any of the great schemes which have been so long in embryo, it would be years before they are available, and we require immediate help

I therefore recommend the Bench to acquire and utilize Toolsee The Toolsee valley runs into the Kennedy valley through a narrow gorge, the bed of which is about 50 feet under the lowest water level between Toolsee and Vohar. Any dam therefore which does not exceed this height would not in any way affect the safety of the Vohar dams. Project No 1 is for a dam 36 feet high and 240 feet in length, with a channel leading into Vohar. Project No 2 has a dam 60 feet high and 400 feet in length, with a similar channel. Mr Walton does not recommend either of these schemes, and I agree with him that if Toolsee is to be utilized at all, it should be so to the greatest extent possible

Project No 3 has a main dam 74 feet high and 485 feet long, with a channel as in the others, and a second dam on the watershed 1,100 feet long and about 21 feet high. It is essential that the safety of this dam should be put beyond all doubt, as its failure would in all probability cause that also of the Vohar dams. It is, however, of such a small height that this is quite practicable even if it were made higher

Mr Walton has estimated that No. 3 Project will cost 3½ lakhs of rupees, exclusive of the land. I would, however, recommend the Government to consider that this will be exceeded, and that it will be more than worth upon it as a life work, say £50,000. I say this because I think some of Mr Walton's prices are rather low, and because I am sure to recommend some alterations and additions which will make the work

widening the channel in open cutting and increasing the gradient of the adit, providing an inlet tower for an independent main in case it should be required, and strengthening the separating dam. I am also inclined to recommend that the waste weir on the separating dam be dispensed with, and a subsidiary dam formed below the main dam of the Tassoo, so as to form a water cushion as is at present in operation at Kurruckwasla. The supply to Vehar would thus be entirely under command and be regulated by sluices. When the works were completed and in operation, it would probably be considered advisable to keep Toolsee filled as a reserve, running off its surplus water so far as was required into Vehar, or, if that reservoir were full, to waste over the main dam into the Kennery valley.

It will be observed from what I have said, that this project, while it gives an independent *reservoir*, does not so far as described or estimated give an independent *supply*. The question of making it so also does not press for immediate settlement: it can be done either by a separate main into Bombay, which would give a high service supply, or by leading it into the present main somewhere between Coorla and Vehar.

I need hardly say that it is impossible to complete the works before next monsoon, but, if let at once to an experienced and energetic contractor, it is possible to make the conduit and so much of the dams as will ensure, if not all, the greater part of the Toolsee water of next monsoon being turned into Vehar. Even if this be done it will take a heavier rainfall than we have any record of to fill the Vehar reservoir from the united gathering grounds.

Note by T. C. Hope, Esq., C.S., Acting Municipal Commissioner.

My own opinion, after visiting the locality, is that Toolsee should be utilized as an increase to the gathering ground of Vehar to the utmost extent of which it is capable—namely, to that of the third or largest of the three projects, but that it would be a mistake to attempt to make it anything more; that is, an independent supply either for annual use, or in the event of the Vehar Dams bursting. For the latter purpose it is too small, and the money which would be necessary to connect it with Bombay direct would be far better reserved for a totally separate reservoir of far larger dimensions elsewhere.

Toolsee will thus be simply a reserve to supply the deficiencies of

Velar from year to year, and the water which may remain over after serving this end in one year, will remain in hand for emergencies perhaps greater in the next. As the water will always be drawn from a low level, the balance in the Lake will be periodically changed throughout and comparatively fresh

With regard to the financial aspect of the question, Mr Walton assures me that his rates have been taken from Contractors now willing to work on them, and that the 3½ lakhs he names will not be exceeded. It would perhaps be safe for the Bench to assume four lakhs as the limit.

No. XXXIII.

BRIDGE FOUNDATIONS ON PUNJAB STATE
RAILWAY.

RESOLUTION,—By the Government of India, P. W. Dept.

Simla, 11th September, 1877.

THE Governor General in Council is pleased to direct that a Committee of Engineers shall assemble at Simla forthwith for the purpose of considering the question referred to in the papers now read. It is desired that the Committee will discuss the proposals and opinions contained in these papers, and will make a decided recommendation as to the design that should be adopted for the piers of the large bridges on the Punjab Northern Railway.

As to the design for the abutments, and the general nature of the works that should be devised for their protection, it is possible that the Committee may consider that the information contained in these papers is not sufficient to admit of their offering an opinion with confidence, but even should this be so, the Governor General in Council will be glad to receive such an expression of their views on the subject as they may feel justified in offering, based on their wide professional experience, and their general knowledge of the character of the large rivers to be dealt with.

The Governor General in Council desires that the Committee will meet and report with the least possible delay, but the more pressing question referred for their opinion, viz., the pier design, should be considered first, and their conclusions reported as soon as arrived at, and within, if possible, two or three days from this date.

The Committee will be composed as follows:—

PRESIDENT

COLONEL F. H. RUNDALL, R.E.

MEMBERS

COLONEL C. W. HUTCHINSON, R.E.

LIEUTENANT COLONEL P. P. L. O'CONNELL, R.E.

G. L. MOLESWORTH, Esq., *Consy. Engineer for State Railways*
A. H. VAX, Esq., *Mem. Inst. C.E.*

Report of a Committee assembled by order of the Governor General in Council to investigate the design for the piers of the large bridges on the Punjab Northern (State) Railway—Dated 14th September, 1871.

Agreeably to the orders conveyed in the Resolution of the Governor General in Council, dated Simla, 11th September, 1871, the Committee assembled on the following day, and thoroughly discussed the proposals and opinions contained in the several papers furnished to them, together with the above orders.

The Committee were directed first "to make a decided recommendation as to the design that should be adopted for the piers of the large bridges," and next to convey such an expression of their views as they may feel justified in offering, with the information at their disposal, regarding "the design for the abutments and the general nature of the works that should be devised for their protection."

The proposals and opinions which the Committee were called on to discuss in regard to the first point consisted mainly in the relative merits of constructing the piers with a single cylinder, 18 feet, or with two separate cylinders, 12 feet 6 inches in diameter, the depth to which both designs should be sunk below low-water level being the same, viz., 60 feet.

After thoroughly weighing all the arguments brought forward, four out of the five Members of the Committee expressed a decided opinion adverse to the adoption of a single cylinder of any practicable size for such piers as the Rivee and Chenab, but reserved their opinions with respect to the Jhelum, as there was no reliable information before the Committee as to the material of which the bed of the latter river is composed. They were of opinion that the same amount of material distributed in two or more wells would ensure better distribution of the bearing sur-

face for supporting the superincumbent weight, while in the event of the bed of the river getting scoured, the obstruction opposed to the current when flowing in a direction parallel to the piers by the smaller cylinders would be very greatly diminished.

The Committee, however, considered that a still better distribution would be secured by arranging for three wells, pitched in line at *not more* than 2 feet apart; the two outer wells, being 10 feet, and the centre 12 feet diameter, would, it was believed, diminish still further the obstruction. To this arrangement, which increases the entire mass of material by about 10 per cent., Mr. Vaux, while adhering to his opinion, that the stability of the 18 feet well was equal to any other disposal of the same mass of material, agreed as being unobjectionable. The superstructure to be raised on these foundation cylinders, which should be filled up perfectly solid with concrete, the Committee were of opinion should consist of solid masonry.

While thus announcing their conclusions as to the relative merits of the two designs which have been advocated, the Committee feel bound to represent to the Government their decided conviction, that with neither of them can the safety of any bridge founded on such material as is found in the Punjab Rivers (the Jhelum excepted) be *completely* ensured. In the opinion of the Committee, the only principle by which entire security can be obtained in such rivers, whose declivity is comparatively great, is in preventing the bed of the river in the neighbourhood of the bridges from being eroded or scoured. This can be perfectly effected (always supposing that the first principle of sufficient water-way has been provided) by enclosing certain portions of the bed between curtain-walls and connecting those walls with a solid apron or flooring, and further protecting them both up and down-stream with solid material of some description.

The design, is based on the necessity for arresting the onward motion of the material of which the beds of these rivers is composed, and which in times of flood partakes of a semi-fluid nature. This is the more necessary, as one peculiar characteristic of these rivers is to acquire the additional sectional area necessary to discharge their extreme flood-waters by scouring the bed and extending its width, rather than as is the case with delta rivers in the south of India, by raising their surface level. The rise and fall of the Punjab rivers is comparatively small. The section of the Sutlej at Phillour is a clear illustration of the action which takes place during

Flood The discharge having been ascertained by actual observation, the requisite sectional area or water way to be given to the bridge is easily determinable, and then in order to preserve that water way *uniform*, the protection of the bed from erosion is necessary. The construction of curtain walls must, it will be readily seen, once arrest the onward motion of the particles of which the bed is composed while the flooring consisting of material whose specific gravity is far greater than the velocity of the river can move, effectually prevents any scouring action taking place around the piers and tends to maintain a uniform velocity of the stream, and prevent any great acceleration of it in any one channel. The great obstruction which the unprotected cylinders create when laid bare by the scouring of the bed around them is thus avoided, and all that is opposed to the stream is the comparatively narrow width of the piers, and this again is reduced to a minimum by the addition of finely pointed cul-waters. In this way alone the Committee believe perfect security from accident can be ensured. In the unprotected cylinders arrangement, there is no guarantee whatever that the actions of the flood may not at any time be concentrated in one channel under any one span, and the bed of the river in that span be scoured out to a greater depth than even 60 feet. Consequently it cannot be asserted with any degree of reliability, that any practicable depth to which cylinders may be sunk will prove sufficient to ensure permanent stability. The larger the diameter of such cylinders, the greater the obstruction they present, and the greater the obstruction, the higher velocity of current will they create, and consequently the greater scour will result, and thus the forces at work to undermine the pier are being generated in a continually increasing ratio. The more of the cylinder which is thus exposed, the greater weight will its base have to sustain, while the frictional resistance of its perimeter will be diminished. Hence the tendency for the cylinders to subside unequally will be always increasing.

The security procured by protecting the bed from erosion can, however, only be obtained at an increase of cost, that is, if the unprotected cylinders are to be sunk not more than 60 feet below a water level, but if sunk 90 feet, the cost would be brought nearer to an equality.

It will be for the Government to determine whether it is worth while to incur the additional outlay in either case in order to obtain that security.

The Committee believe that they are only called upon to give an engineering opinion on the question, and the opinion which they unhesitatingly and decidedly maintain is, that additional precaution is necessary for the security of bridges constructed in the rivers in question, but of the two methods, they consider that of protecting the bed from erosion as the sounder principle of design. If the design of unprotected piers be adopted, the spans will probably remain unaltered, or about 100 feet, from centre to centre.

If curtain-walls and floorings be used, a reduction in span would be admissible; and a corresponding reduction made in cost of superstructure by the ultimate cost of the two relative designs will be about that mentioned above.

On the second point, viz., "design for the abutments and the general nature of the works that should be devised for their protection," the Committee are unable to offer any reliable opinions in the absence of any surveys or sections of the rivers, or any calculation or observation as to their flood-volumes and other particulars, a knowledge of which is essential in order to arrive at any idea of the extent or the form which such protective, or rather training works should assume. The requisite information has been called for by telegraph, and on its receipt, the Committee propose to re-assemble. In the meantime, they submit without delay, agreeably to the orders they have received, the conclusion at which they have arrived as regards the pier design.

Note by A. H. VAUX, Esq., Member of the Committee (State) Railway.

Dated 15th September, 1871.

I concur generally. Platforms at low-water level with deep curtain-walls are known successfully to protect the beds of rivers. The efficacy of such platforms was discussed and acknowledged by Government when the beds of the streams draining the Rajmahal Hills were being protected some years ago by the East Indian Railway. The platforms were costly but successful, and experience convinces me that, even in very large rivers from an engineering point of view, the precaution is perfectly sound in principle if the flood discharge below dry-weather level is small when compared with the whole discharge of the river.

My preference for a single cylinder of 18 or 18½ feet diameter, instead

of three wells in line, of 10, 12 and 10 feet, is founded on my belief that the single well, which contains the same bulk as the three small wells, presents a less surface on which friction will act, and that it can, therefore, bulk for bulk, be sunk more readily and deeper than the three small wells. For the same reason, the chances of injury from meeting obstructions in the process of sinking are less in the larger than the small well. The exposed surface in the three wells, as compared with the same bulk in one well, is as 64 to 37. The comparatively large amount of space for working within the large single cylinders also facilitates the process of sinking. Could we sink all the wells to the same depth, and could we ensure that no damage should accrue during sinking, I believe that three wells would be as good as, but no better than, the single well. We know that the flood discharge below dry weather level is trivial in the Chenab and Ravee when compared with the whole discharge of those rivers, and I attach but little importance to the objections which have been urged as to the obstruction caused by the increased diameter of the large well. Above low-water, the piers will be like in obstructive width, whatever be the nature of the foundations. I would use up the small curbs which are on hand, and thereby avoid delay, but I would make no more.

Second Report of Committee on the subject of the designs for Punjab Northern Railway bridges over Ravee, Chenab and Jhelum Rivers

Dated 13th October, 1871

On the 2nd instant the Committee re-assembled for the consideration of further points connected with the construction of the bridges on the Northern (State) Railway. Having the advantage of conferring with Colonel Pollard, the Consulting Engineer, and Mr Grant, the Chief Engineer, as well as being in possession of much additional information, the Committee took up the following points—

1st.—The amount of water way necessary on each of the Rivers Ravee, Chenab and Jhelum

2nd.—The design for the abutments

3rd.—The description and extent of training works in each case

4th.—The design of piers for the Jhelum Bridge

According to the gauging of the Rivers Ravee and Chenab, which the Chief Engineer assured the Committee were taken from actual observations

of a reliable character, the flood discharges during exceptional floods appears to be 183,000 and 384,000 cubic feet per second, respectively. Assuming that the maximum observed velocity, 6.25 and 6.50 feet per second, were not exceeded through the bays of the bridge, the sectional area necessary would be 29,280 and 60,000 square feet, respectively. The water-way provided on the designs amounts to 32,000 and 70,000 square feet, so that, if the provision errs, the error is slightly on the side of excess.

The discharge of the Jhelum had not been recorded with the same degree of reliability, and therefore the Committee cannot express the same decided opinion in regard to the provision which has been made for this river, but, as far as they could arrive at a conclusion, sufficient water-way seems to have been allowed.

On the second point—the design for the abutments and wings—after considerable discussion the Committee considered that the principle to be adopted should be to construct them, so that, in the event of any breach occurring in the embanked approaches owing to a sudden and unfavorable set of the river, or by the creation of a parallel current, there should be no risk of the abutment being undermined, and that the injury should be confined to the earthwork, which would involve only a temporary interruption of traffic, and be capable of repair as soon as the floods subsided.

With this view, two alternative plans, have been suggested, the former of which commended itself to the majority of the Committee as combining the greater elements of safety; the latter, suggested by Mr. Molesworth, possesses the advantage of economy, but opinions were divided as to its combining therewith the quality of safety.

The President and Colonels Hutchinson and O'Connell and Mr. Vaux are in favor of the more expensive alternative, consisting, in fact, of an extension of the curtain-walls and flooring for a length of 75 feet behind the abutment, so as to protect the latter in the event of any breach occurring from any cause in the embanked approach of the bridge, and thus providing completely for the safety of the abutment. Plan No. 2 in the opinion of the Committee, is not compatible with the shallow foundation system.

The cost of proposal No. 1 is estimated at Rs. 41,396; that of No. 2 at Rs. 21,031.

Whichever of the two plans for abutment and accessories the Government may see fit to adopt, will be applicable equally to the Chenab as to the Ravee

In the event of the deep well system being selected by Government, it would be imperatively necessary that three bridge spans at least adjacent to the abutment, should be protected by flooring, the cost of which was not included in our former Report when instituting a comparison between deep and shallow foundations

The third point, viz.,—the description and extent of training works—were thoroughly considered, and the following conclusions arrived at in connexion with each river —

First, as regards those for the Ravee

The measures which had hitherto been carried out on that river, viz., a series of dams across what is termed the back channel, were considered wholly unsuitable

In the first place it is evident that this channel, which carries off the drainage of the city of Lahore, ought not to be closed

If this nullah were shut up at the head, and none of the river-water allowed to flow down it, it is certain that its lower end would gradually be closed up, and that the drainage of the city would then remain in the nullah, which would become at once a stagnant, and very soon afterwards, an offensive pool, dangerous to the health of the City and Cantonment of Mean Meer. If the nullah be left entirely open, there would be the risk of its one day again becoming the main channel of the river, as it has evidently once been

It protected only with a head work, that work would always be in danger of being turned, as the head bund has been this year, and the river might open a new head which would in time enlarge dangerously. The Committee, therefore, recommend that a masonry head work, consisting of a bridge of two 30 feet arches protected by a good flooring and curtain walls, be built at the spot indicated on the plan, and that an embankment be carried from it along the highest ground as far as the Railway embankment, in order to prevent the head-work being out flanked. This bank should have a long slope on the river side of certainly not less than 3 to 1, (and better were it 5 to 1,) protected with what are locally termed "tungas" and brushwood spurs, so as to intercept the river silt and cause its deposition, so as gradually to raise the level of the island above that of the floods

ple. That of 33 spans for the Ravee is less certainly sufficient, but there appears no reason at present for adding to the water-way. For the Jhelum both from the large drainage area of the river, and the somewhat critical position of the left abutment, His Excellency in Council has decided that 50 spans should be constructed, instead of 43 as previously settled.

III.—In regard to the piers of these bridges, in supersession of the orders contained in Public Works Department, No. 1476 R, dated 12th August 1871, at the Ravee and Chenab each pier shall consist of three $12\frac{1}{2}$ feet wells sunk 70 feet, or as far as possible, and protected all round with brick-rubbish or boulders thrown in to the extent of at least 30,000 cubic feet to each pier.

In regard to the Jhelum, where a bed of boulders extends all along the breadth of the river at a depth below the dry-season level of about 22 feet on the left, and 15 feet on the right side, it is now decided that three wells should be given to each pier, one of $12\frac{1}{2}$, and two of 10 feet diameter; that they should be sunk 6 or 8 feet into the boulder bed, and that no further protection need be given.


IV.—As regards abutments, His Excellency in Council decides that the abutment proper in each case shall be similar to the piers; but that behind it there shall be a pair of retaining walls run out on 10 feet wells parallel to each other, in continuation of the direction of the bridge for a length of 75 feet. The wells for these retaining walls should be sunk 40, 30 and 20 feet in the case of the Ravee and Chenab, those nearest the abutment being sunk the deepest. For the Jhelum, the retaining-walls need only be given on the left bank, the wells being sunk to the same depth as those of the piers.

For the further protection of the abutments of the Ravee and Chenab, His Excellency in Council desires that a brick-flooring, 3 feet thick, be laid round each abutment, and one pier nearest to it, protected by a curtain of deep blocks all round: the line of curtain blocks being 40 feet from the wells of the piers and abutment on the up-stream side, and 75 feet from the wells on the down-stream side, and extending 40 feet beyond the pier on the one side, and 40 feet beyond the abutment on the other side. The line of curtain blocks should be 20 feet deep on the sides parallel to the stream and on the down-stream side, and 10 feet deep on the up-stream side. All round the flooring outside the curtain blocks there should be thrown in boulders or brick-rubbish at 200 cubic feet to the foot run of

certain blocks where they are 20 feet deep, and 100 cubic feet to the foot-run where they are 10 feet deep.

The general arrangement of this protection work is shown in the accompanying sketch.

PLAN



The slopes of the Railway embankment joining the abutments should be pitched on both sides with brick or kunkur blocks, or boulders for 100 yards, and a mass of such blocks should, besides, be accumulated round the end of the embankment where it joins the abutment, both on up and down-stream sides, to the extent of 50,000 cubic feet on each side.

Similar masses of boulders should be laid at the left abutment of the

Jhelum Bridge.

V.—As regards training works, those in progress at the Chenab Bridge site are entirely approved. Those at the Jhelum are also approved, with the modification that no masonry works are to be constructed, but the parts requiring greater permanence are to be constructed of crib-work filled with boulders. At the Race it appears necessary to restore the portion of the enclosure wall of the tomb at Shuhdera, which has fallen away, founding it on deep wells, protected by a talus of brick or kunkur blocks and crib-work. On the left bank, His Excellency in Council thinks it best to give up the project of closing altogether the back channel, and to place a bridge of 20 openings of 6 feet in the Railway embankment, provided with shutters to close it, if desired. The bridge should

have a good flooring and curtain blocks up and down-stream. A similar bridge of ten openings of 6 feet should be built to form the permanent head-work of the channel, so as to regulate the entry of water from the river. The water should be prevented entering from the river otherwise than by this head, by the construction of an embankment along the highest ground to meet the Railway bank, having a slope of not less than 4 to 1 to the river which should be protected by brushwood spurs and tungas.

No XXXIV

IS IRRIGATION NECESSARY?

A reply to Major Corbett's Articles, entitled "Is Irrigation Necessary in Upper India?" published in Professional Papers on Indian Engineering, Vol I, Second Series, Parts 1 and 2 By CAPT C. S. THOMAS, R E

Alger 13th December, 1871

Is Irrigation Necessary in Upper India? Such is the title of a pamphlet by Major A T Corbett. The question thus raised is of such vital importance, and there is so much truth in the arguments advanced, that it cannot be a subject of astonishment that His Excellency the Viceroy should be anxious to have the subject fairly discussed in order to ascertain whether the conclusion arrived at by Major Corbett, "that irrigation is not necessary" is a correct one or otherwise.

Briefly the pamphlet states that —

Under the Indian system of husbandry with shallow ploughing, a hard pan caused by the tread of men and cattle immediately underlies the cultivated surface soil, prevents the access of water and air to the subsoil, and presents an impenetrable barrier to the progress downwards of the roots of plants. That the effect of irrigation on such a soil is to harden, and as it were, glaze it, rendering repeated waterings necessary to overcome the evil. Again the effect of this surface hardening and glazing causes a radiation of heat from the earth's surface which adds materially to the heat of the temperature, and thus seriously affects the rain fall which is the country's due. It is further argued that irrigation as at present practised and under such circumstances must cause malaria.

Major Corbett's panacea for these evils consists of deep ploughing and manuring, but chiefly deep ploughing, which he claims can be economical-ly effected by means of a slight modification of the nature of the plough, which he himself has tried successfully.

The views of the late Colonel J. C. Anderson, R.E., Inspector General of Irrigation, in reply to Major Corbett's pamphlet are appended and may be thus summarised:—

Referring to an experiment on deep culture, on which Major Corbett lays much stress as proving his argument, it is shown that much must undoubtedly have been due to a heavy manuring which was given to the land at the same time—so much in fact, as to vitiate the experiment as one on deep culture alone. The inability of irrigation and other water to percolate the pan alluded to by Major Corbett is disputed, as it is a well known fact that the spring level in wells rises considerably on the introduction of irrigation into a district. The advisability of deep culture for all but “bhoor” or sandy soils is admitted, but its value as a substitute for irrigation is held to be anything but proved.

Colonel F. H. Randall, R.E., Officiating Inspector General of Irrigation, whose opinion also accompanies the pamphlet, quite agrees as to the enhanced productive value of land deeply ploughed and manured, but thinks that if deep ploughing renders the moisture in the soil more accessible to the plant, it could at the same time hardly fail to expose the soil more directly to the influence of the sun's rays, and thus cause the soil to be more rapidly dessicated than with shallow ploughing. He recommends deep ploughing combined with irrigation, it being a well acknowledged principle of farming that *the more water that can be passed through the soil the better, so long as it does not remain in it.*

A quotation from a report of Mr. Halsey closes the pamphlet. Mr. Halsey believes so firmly in the advantages of deep ploughing, that he recommends it as a substitute for manuring. Thus the argument seems to stand at present. Let us see what more has to be said on the subject. First, as to the pan stated by Major Corbett immediately to underlie the cultivated soil, and to be impermeable to water or air, but proved by Colonel Anderson to be permeable by irrigation water. No one conversant with agriculture will doubt the existence of this pan, at least in any but very light soil. How then does the irrigation water penetrate this pan? Is it not possible that this water may seek its way through fissures, which abound in such soil, and still that it may not be available for the *nourishment* of the plant owing to the pan intervening? Colonel Anderson's argument hardly clears the *pan* of the charge preferred against it and as Major Corbett, Colonel Anderson, Colonel Randall, Mr.

Halsey, and every other known authority advises its demolition, by all means let us get rid of it wherever we can

But how is this plan to be demolished? Major Corbett says the natives have a prejudice against deep ploughing (page 11), and he attributes this prejudice chiefly to laziness. The natives are not by any means the only objectors to deep ploughing. Many good farmers at home object to deep ploughing under all circumstances, and would most certainly object to it in a deep stiff clay without precautionary measures hardly hinted at by Major Corbett. An Indian field of stiff clay is only deeply ploughed would simply be ruined for the time being. The experiment has been tried in India before now, and the result has often been quoted as a conclusive argument against deep cultivation. Probably the soil was heavy, working in which the steam plough would simply bury the seed bed, turn up the worthless subsoil and give the cultivator seven or eight, instead of three inches of ungerated mud in the rains. No good crop could be expected under such circumstances. If the sub soil were porous, the result would be an improved crop, but still nothing like so good as it might be. In the former case, Major Corbett is quite right in saying that irrigation is not required, for it would only make matters worse. In the case of a porous subsoil, irrigation would certainly confer a great benefit, for the water would not stagnate about the roots, and air would follow the water in its course downwards. In both cases, however, would the malaria be increased, for in neither is provision made for the circulation of air in the soil, and the moisture gradually evaporated in a stagnant sub soil atmosphere, would be in excess of what it was before. Major Corbett has quite overlooked the most necessary preliminary to deep cultivation, and that is *sub-soil drainage*

No English farmer now would ever dream of deeply cultivating any land without previously subsoil draining it. Although new to, and directed by us in India, it is everywhere else,—that is wherever farming is scientifically carried on—considered the unfinishing preliminary to all improvement. The processes in their order are,—(1) surface draining, (2) subsoil draining, (3) sub-soil ploughing or cultivating without turning over, and (4), if necessary after some years when the nature of the sub-soil has been completely changed by improved husbandry, deep ploughing or turning down the original seed bed

Deep cultivation and not ploughing is evidently what Major Corbett ad-

vocates, judging from his improved plough; but the two terms are by no means synonymous, as will be seen from the foregoing. Deep *ploughing* is comparatively little resorted to now, the steam digger (a powerful kind of *cultivator*) generally taking its place in England.

Without in any way disparaging Major Corbett's ingenious modification of the common native plough, such an implement can hardly be considered adequate to our requirement if deep cultivation is to be the rule. For the purposes of preliminary experiment let it be tested by all means; but if the experiment prove successful, considering the immense area to be operated upon, why stop short of steam, the economy of which on such a large scale admits of no dispute; steam becomes doubly necessary where subsoil drainage is superadded to deep cultivation, the extra cost of the former in such cases being but trifling.

To prove the truth of what was here asserted as to sub-soil drainage, let the reader take a rose or any other plant, pot it with the most favorable mould, water it, and foster it in every conceivable way. His care will avail little if there be no hole in the bottom of the flower pot. The more he waters it and manures it, the quicker will the plant die. There is no sub-soil drainage, and the roots rot in a stagnant sub-soil atmosphere. A hole in the bottom of the flowerpot gives sub-soil drainage, gives air and changes all; the plant, if not quite killed by previous mismanagement, speedily reviving and thriving beyond expectation.

Had Major Corbett advocated deep cultivation preceded by sub-soil drainage there is little in his pamphlet that could be disputed except the statement that such treatment of the soil entirely dispenses with necessity for irrigation. All known experience goes to prove, (1), that sub-soil drainage combined with deep cultivation enables the land to withstand without injury droughts, excessive waterings, &c., that prove the ruin of fields not enjoying these advantages, and (2), that with such preliminary treatment the land will better absorb and retain for purposes of growth all fertilisers that may subsequently be bestowed upon it, whether rain, irrigation water (surface or sub-soil,) or manures.

The irrigation duty of water is certainly doubled by sub-soil drainage, if English experience goes for anything; and such being the case, the expediency of extending existing canals, and of having recourse to fresh supplies of water until experiments with the sub-soil have been fairly tried in India may fairly be called in question.

The above assertion that "sub soil drainage, combined with deep cul-

tivation, enables land to withstand drought" will appear so incredible to those who have not studied the subject, or had occurred demonstration of its truth that some explanation of such an apparent paradox appears

necessary

Let us imagine a field in India under irrigation. The water covers the field to a depth of two or three inches and so it is left an unmisgivable field of mud some six or seven inches deep. Below the "pan" the water will not sink except partially, and so it is almost entirely evaporated by the sun, and unless the watering be speedily renewed, the soil down to the pan being but shallow, and readily acted on by the sun's rays, soon becomes as hard and dry as the pan itself cracking in all directions

Again, let us suppose this same field supplied with sub soil drains, say three feet deep, and the cultivation extending deep enough to break up the pan. The water now will no longer lie on the surface, it passes through the surface soil, through what was pan, and moistens the whole soil, down to the level of the sub soil drains, and even lower. In its passage downwards the water carries air, and, most important of all the minute pores created by the passage of the water permit the continued gentle circulation of air in the sub soil after the running water has passed through the drains. We thus obtain three feet of soil wholesomely moist and aerated, and knowing this, we need no longer wonder that the sun's rays take so long to exhaust the moisture of this soil when elsewhere all is parched. The water that has moistened the three feet of soil in the second case, is what in the first case would have wilted six or seven inches deep, and been speedily evaporated. Hence the increased irrigating duty of water on sub-soil drained land

Returning to Colonel Rundle's apt quotation of the acknowledged principle in farming, that "the more water that can be passed through the soil, so long as it is not allowed to remain in it"—it is a pan underneath soil, how can the water be efficiently passed through the soil without sub soil drains? Without going so far as Major Corbett apparently wishes us to, in asserting that our hot winds and extreme heat are entirely due to shallow cultivation and irrigation, it may safely be conceded that deep cultivation will exert a considerable amount of heat radiated from the earth. Sub-soil drainage carries the moisture, and virtually the cultivation deeper than the reach of the plough, and therefore enables the earth to absorb

still more and radiate still less of the heat. This effect is a well established fact. Sub-soil drains in England protect the crops from frost; why should they not protect the cotton against this enemy in the N. W. Provinces.

It will be seen from the foregoing that the fundamental laws of nature as evinced in the beneficial effects of sub-soil drainage should be as applicable to India as to England. Why then is sub-soil drainage ignored here, seeing that it promises to be almost as important an agent in averting famine as irrigation is?

Though no valid reason can possibly be assigned against a trial of sub-soil drainage on differing soils and crops, on a small scale and at a trifling cost, its inapplicability is strongly urged on three grounds, viz.—(1), Frequently a want of a fall in the land; (2), A general drought is anticipated from its introduction; and (3), Its cost.

First, as to want of fall.—Sub-soil drainage is universal in England—even in the flat marsh and fen lands of Lincolnshire. Where irrigation water will run, sub-soil drainage water surely will. Therefore, if there is not sufficient fall for sub-soil drains, the surface drainage is clearly deficient, and the sooner that is rectified the better. No one disputes the necessity for efficient surface drainage.*

Secondly, as to the anticipated drought.—This objection has already been answered; but be it borne in mind that the arguments are only generally applicable where sub-soil drainage and deep cultivation are combined.

Apropos to this part of the question and Major Corbett's assertion that, "the opinion is gaining ground that sub-soil drainage has been overdone in England," is a very interesting correspondence in the *Times* during the drought of June and July 1870, particularly a letter on the "Lessons of the Drought," by Mr. Scott, in the *Times* of July 6th. If that correspondence proves anything, it proves that the chief sufferers were not those who had sub-soil-drained, but their neighbours who had *not* sub-soil-drained. All however cried out for storage of water and irrigation in England. How then can we dispense with irrigation in Upper India?

Thirdly, as to cost.—Without lengthening this paper unnecessarily

* If steam ploughing be conceded, a very great deal of even this surface drainage might be very economically effected by working a "Fowler's Ditcher" by means of the steam plough tackle. At the Royal Agricultural Society's steam plough trials, near Stafford, May 8th, 1871, one of these ditchers in a stiff soil efficiently cut ditches about two feet deep, three feet wide at top, and eight inches wide at bottom. Unfortunately I had not an opportunity at the time of making notes to arrive at cost; though an immense saving over manual labor was self-evident.

with the details on which the estimates are founded, but which are given in Appendix, the following may be safely accepted as the average costs of sub soil draining and deep cultivation. Supposing some soils to require pipes and some simply the steam draining plough, the average depth of drains to be 3 feet, and the distances between drains 18 feet, the cost with manual labor per acre with pipes will be Rs 20 per acre, steam cultivation 8 or 9 inches deep Rs 5 per acre, similar steam cultivation with sub soil drainage also effected by steam costing, where no pipes are required, Rs 6 per acre, and where pipes are necessary, Rs 17 per acre.

Supposing the average gross value of land for cereals to be taken as low as Rs 10, for the higher classed crops (such as sugar cane) at Rs 30 per acre, and the yield to be doubled by the improve land and dry here proposed—a very moderate estimate—it surely is not difficult to imagine from whence the funds are to be derived whereby to sub soil drain the cultivable, or at least irrigable land of India. Let the experiment—a simple enough one in all conscience, be but once tried, and there need be no fear of its extending in India as in England, if what is here asserted is any approximation to the truth.

For sub soil draining and deep cultivating with steam, an expenditure of Rs 6 per acre is here advocated. The expenditure thus incurred, (as in England) with sub soil drainage,) might be recovered, capital and good interest, by small annual payments by the cultivator, not only without inconvenience, but with immense advantage to him, and ample remuneration to those advancing the money for the outlay. But what is the case with our existing irrigation works which we might so value as to grudge little for their extension?

According to the Irrigation Reports for 1896, the Ganges Canal up to date may be said to have cost Government a sum of 2 millions of pounds, and only then to have been completed. The expenditure that year was an enormous sum, but the year was an extraordinary one. Probably Rs 3 per acre irrigated in 1896, and not very long before that, twenty years after the completion of the work, must have been very common. The sub soil drainage and the

are immediate

Rightly or wrongly, our canals get credit for originating an untold amount of malaria and disease, and if the facts as to the cost, &c., of sub-soil-drainage and deep cultivation, the well-known antidotes to this malaria, are found everywhere else to be as here stated, is it not incumbent on us to try the experiment in India; and, should the experiment prove successful, not only enjoin the extension of such improved husbandry, but even hereafter in time make it obligatory wherever canal irrigation is carried on?

To satisfy the sceptical as to the *results* of deep cultivation and sub-soil drainage, the first experiments might easily be effected with deep cultivation, with sub-soil drainage, and with both combined, by manual labor on three or four acres of different class soils in juxtaposition to a similar acreage cultivated in the ordinary way with and without irrigation; and so much the better if a tract of *reh* soil be selected for the experiment. The main questions to be settled in the first place are—(1), The irrigating duty of water; (2), The benefits derivable without manure; (3), The benefits derivable with manure; (4), The effect on the *reh*; (5), The necessity or otherwise for Irrigation in Upper India.

If the question of *cost* be the stumbling block, Government is already in possession of by far the most expensive portion of the apparatus for a trial with steam.

There are lying idle at Bareilly, a 10-H. P. traction engine by Clayton and Suttleworth, and a B 1 centrifugal pump by Gwynne. All that is now required is Fiskens's apparatus and tackle, with Fowler's cultivator, digger and ditcher, the whole probably costing Rs. 3000.

At the Stafford trials in May 1871, a sister engine to the Bareilly one proved itself capable of accomplishing as hard work in ploughing with Fiskens's apparatus as even Fowler's far more powerful engines—two of 20-H. P.—working Fowler's system, though of course the speed was much less in the former case. The Judges' award went to Messrs. Fowler; but it is quite possible that the decision might have been different, had the trials taken place in India, where the wearing parts of Fiskens's tackle (chiefly Manilla rope) could be so easily replaced, not to mention the great advantage as to first cost, weight, and portability which Fiskens's system possesses.

The Gwynne's pump at Bareilly might prove valuable, if not necessary, to settle the question of the "irrigating duty" of water applied to soil cul.

tivated on the existing system as compared with the improved system proposed

Such questions as those relating to climate, health, &c, protracted experience of operations on a large scale can alone solve

Assuming such to be the case, advances by Government to cultivators and assistance in sub soil draining their land are certainly as legitimate applications of public money as the extension of canals, which most probably have not yet done half their duty, and must do it all before they cease to poison the air with malarial

In the interests of irrigation can there be a more important question to settle than the treatment of the "Rich" lands, extending year by year throughout our irrigated districts" and rendering our irrigation worse than useless? Sub soil drainage combined with deep cultivation is the rational remedy for the evil complained of—more than that—it is the only known practical remedy. It has been tried and proved successful by a private individual on a small scale at Lahore at least, and it is almost inconceivable that a persistent reiteration of theories opposed to reason and fact should have sufficed hitherto to forbid a very inexpensive public experiment to solve this question of such national importance

Finally, the conclusion we arrive at is—That Major Corbett is right in demanding deep cultivation, but that, according to all known precedent, such cultivation should be preceded by sub soil drainage, and that though sub soil drainage and deep cultivation cannot be regarded as substitutes for irrigation, precedent tends to prove that the two combined are efficient economisers of canal water, and, experimentally at least, as worthy of the attention of Government as the extension of canals that have not yet done half their duty

Cost of steam Ploughing

(1) Smith of Woolston's round about system as actually observed at Ellington, Lincolnshire, April 30th, 1870, on soil which in India would be classed as "doomant," second ploughing from 7 inches deep to 10 inches deep Engine a 12-H P agricultural single cylinder with double expansion valve, by Tuford, working at 60 lbs pressure, cost £280. Cultivating tackle cost £170. Steel wire rope 1,100 yards cost £50. Total £500

* This Mr. Tuford's report on the Western Juma Canal district, submitted to Government in 1864, and many other reports. From personal observation, I can testify to the rapid extension of

| | | | | | <i>In England</i> <i>Actual.</i> | | | <i>In India</i> <i>Estimated.</i> | | |
|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------------------------------|----|----|--------------------------------------|----|----|
| | | | | | £ | s. | d. | RS. | A. | P. |
| Engine, | ... | ... | ... | ... | 280 | 0 | 0 | 3,700 | 0 | 0 |
| Tackle, | ... | ... | ... | ... | 170 | 0 | 0 | 2,300 | 0 | 0 |
| Wire rope, | ... | ... | ... | ... | 50 | 0 | 0 | 680 | 0 | 0 |
| Totals, ... | | | | | 500 | 0 | 0 | 6,680 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | or say, 6,700 0 0 | | |

Cost of working per day of 10 hours.

| | | | | | | <i>In England</i> | | | <i>In India</i> | | | |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------------|----|----|-------------------|----|----|---|
| | | | | | | <i>Actual.</i> | | | <i>Estimated.</i> | | | |
| | | | | | | £ | s. | d. | RS. | A. | P. | |
| 1 Engine driver, | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | |
| 1 Man at drums, | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 0 | |
| 2 Men at anchors, | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | |
| 1 Man at plough, | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | |
| 2 Boys or porters, | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 0 | |
| 1 Man for water and general work, | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | |
| Fuel, { 7 cwt. coal, | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 2 | 4 | | | | |
| { 20 maunds wood, | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | | | | 5 | 0 | 0 | |
| Oil and waste, | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| Depreciation, wear and tear, interest on capital &c., | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| of Engine, | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | |
| Depreciation, wear and tear, interest on tackle, | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| rope, &c., | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 0 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 0 | |
| English supervision and other contingencies possibly peculiar to India, | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | | | | 1 | 13 | 0 | |
| | | | | | | <hr/> | | | <hr/> | | | |
| Totals, | | | | | | ... | 1 | 8 | 6 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| or say, | | | | | | ... | 1 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |

The work done at Elkington on April 30th was ten acres in a day of 10 hours, which gives a rate of 3s. per acre. The first time of ploughing down to 7 inches deep, the work done per day was said to be 5 acres, which gives a rate of 6s. per acre; so that the two ploughings together cost 9s. per acre, or say 10s. or Rs. 5 per acre, allowing for possible omissions. The actual cost in England and the estimated cost in India, may be taken as the same.

Notes on the steam ploughing at the Royal Agricultural Society's Exhibition at Wolverhampton, where the soil was stiff, give rates higher than this; but such stiff soils are quite exceptional.

A report in the *Scotsman* of 2nd March, 1871, on direct action plough with Thomson's road steamer at Dunmore Park, assigns 2s. 9d. per acre

For ploughing autumn stubbles, and 3s 10d per acre for spring ploughing, but the statement gave rise to much controversy in the papers, and this question of direct action ploughing must still be considered as an open one. Fowler's system and Tishchen's system both claim to be better than Smith's, but that is not a question to be entered into here. The only object is to show what the cost of steam ploughing need not exceed by well known, well tried and efficient methods.

Estimate of sub soil draining per acre in India

Drains to be composed of 2 inch drainage pipes, 15 inches long, laid 18 feet apart and 3 feet deep. Sectional area of cutting $2\frac{1}{2}$ superficial feet

Excavation and filling

$2420 \times 2\frac{1}{2} = 6050$, or say 6,000 c ft, @ Rs 1 s,

1986 2 inch pipes and collars or say 2000 pipes @ Rs 5,

Contingencies,

| | | |
|--------|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <hr/> | | |
| 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Total, | | |

Where a steam plough is available, in most instances the sub soil draining might be done by means of a special plough worked at 18 feet intervals, sub soil draining and deep cultivation being in such a case effected at very nearly the same cost as deep cultivation alone. No pipes will be required for this system of sub soil draining.

In some cases pipes will be found indispensable for sub soil draining, and may be laid by means of steam and Fowler and Fry's pipe draining plough. One rupee per acre would probably suffice to cover the cost of excavating the small pits excavated at short intervals for the introduction of the pipes, and in this case the cost might be estimated thus per acre —

Land excavation,

Machine work of sub soil draining and deep cultivation,

Cost of pipes,

| | | | |
|--------|----|---|---|
| Rs. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| " | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| " | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| <hr/> | | | |
| " | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| Total, | | | |

Abstract of Estimates

Deep cultivation and sub-soil draining by steam without

pipes, per acre,

Ditto ditto with pipes,

Deep cultivation and sub-soil draining by steam,

Sub soil draining with pipes by hand,

| | | | |
|-------|----|---|---|
| Rs. | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| " | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| " | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| " | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| <hr/> | | | |
| C | 5 | 1 | |

No. XXXV.

IRRIGATION EXPERIMENTS.

[*Vide* Plates Nos. XXVIII., XXIX., and XXX.]

Report of certain experiments made on the discharge and irrigating power of various forms of pipes or outlets on the Baree Doab Canal. By E. C. PALMER, ESQ., C.E., Exec. Engineer.

IN compliance with instructions conveyed in Superintending Engineer's No. 2809, dated 12th December, 1867, the following experiments were made:—

1stly.—On the discharge of muddy canal water through orifices, or rather, short tubes, having a length of 15 feet, the average length of the heads of the private water-courses on the Baree Doab Canal, &c.

2ndly.—On the time required to thoroughly saturate an acre of ground with the same orifices working under the same heads of pressure. The latter experiments were repeated on different descriptions of land.

3rdly.—For the sake of comparison with the above, the discharge and irrigating powers of jhullars (machines for raising water with small lifts) and wells.

These experiments were made by myself and my brother Captain Palmer, Executive Engineer, 2nd Division, Baree Doab Canal, so far back as November 1867, but we have not had leisure from our current duties to prepare the report sooner.

Before alluding to the experiments, it is necessary to describe as accurately as possible, the conditions under which they were made, and the apparatus employed. Near the village of Chevinda, in the Umritsur

District, and within a quarter of a mile of the Alwal rajbaha, lies a masonry tank, having a sluice by which the water may be run off into a neighbouring pond, from the sill of this sluice to that of another, by which water is admitted from the rajbaha, is a height of 6.6 feet. A sketch of the relative position of the tank, rajbaha and supply channel is given in *Plate 28*. The arrangement of the pipes whose discharges were observed, is shown in *Plate 29*.

The method adopted for observing the discharges was as follows — Water was admitted into the pressure tank by the channel, *a*, *e*, *Plate 29*, until the water was brought to a level in both reservoirs as shown in the section, the surplus flowing off at *c* and *d*. The supply was then cut off at *a*, and when the water was at rest (having, of course, one connecting pipe, *f*, open), the floating gauges figured in *Plate 29*, were placed exactly vertical, one in the pressure tank, the other in the reservoir. The wires of both were then adjusted to read alike. All the orifices, *f, f, f*, were then securely closed at *s s*, with the exception of that, to be observed.

Water was then again admitted into the pressure tank in excess of the probable discharge to be observed, and the long siphons forming the movable waste-weir at *c* raised or lowered until the reading of the gauge in the pressure tank was higher than that in the outer reservoir by the amount of head required.

The head thus measured was the height of the surface of the water in the pressure tank above that in the reservoir. H

As soon as equilibrium was established, the reading of a third gauge in the large tank was noted, the time taken, and the gauges in the pressure tank and reservoir, which could be both seen by the observer at once, carefully watched to see that the head did not vary during the experiment.

If the head showed a tendency to increase, a small obstruction placed in the channel at *n* was sufficient to correct it, if to decrease, a brick or two on the siphon *c*, over which the thin film of oil plus water was flowing, at once brought the weir up to the reading required.

After an interval of about two hours, the readings of the gauges in the large tank was again noted, time taken, and the experiment repeated.

As the tank was a large one for the purpose, $a = 2$ approximate gauge than that figured in *Plate 30*, was also employed as a check.

On one side of the tank, on a step 14 ft. 6 in. high, an observer was made

having a height of 1·4 feet; the inclined face was graduated each 10 feet, thus representing a vertical rise of 0·1, and the foot and tenths 0·01 and 0·001 foot. The steady flow of water up this incline during an experiment was very satisfactory.

When that portion or stratum of the tank, into which the water was discharged by the pipes, became filled, the sluice at D, *Plate 28*, was opened, and the water run off to the pond outside.

The orifices experimented on consisted of cylindrical tiles of well-burned clay unglazed, each 1·4 feet long, joined with a butt joint, having a collar cemented over the joint 3 inches wide.

Besides these a rectangular wooden-pipe, 1·3 feet \times 0·7 foot inside measurement, having a frame or diaphragm 1 inch thick, inserted in it 0·9 foot \times 0·4 foot inside measurement, representing the ordinary temporary "mogah," or private water-course head now in use on the Baree Doab Canal.

It will be observed that these experiments were made with both ends of the pipes submerged, such being the usual condition of water-course heads on this canal.

The water was the usually heavily silted water supplied by the Baree Doab Canal; the actual percentage of solid matter was not observed; it varied from day to day.

When the actual discharges of each pipe under various heads had been observed, the experiments on irrigation were commenced.

The same description of pipes made by the same machine were inserted in the rajbaha bank, the head of pressure being observed in the same way as for the discharges above described.

The time required to give a thorough watering to a field was thus determined:—

No one watering to any standing crop (save rice) requires so much water as the first given on the fallow land to saturate it for ploughing.

Fallow lands, therefore, of various qualities were selected to experiment on, and a Committee of villagers was always present to decide when the fields were properly irrigated.

Time was taken when the water reached the field, and when the Natives judged the irrigation completed.

No water was allowed to enter the field until the head on the pipe had become perfectly stable. When this had been effected, a handful of chop-

having a height of 1.4 feet; the inclined face was graduated each 10 feet, thus representing a vertical rise of 0.1, and the foot and tenths 0.01 and 0.001 foot. The steady flow of water up this incline during an experiment was very satisfactory.

When that portion or stratum of the tank, into which the water was discharged by the pipes, became filled, the sluice at D, *Plate 28*, was opened, and the water run off to the pond outside.

The orifices experimented on consisted of cylindrical tiles of well-burned clay unglazed, each 1.4 feet long, joined with a butt joint, having a collar cemented over the joint 3 inches wide.

Besides these a rectangular wooden-pipe, 1.3 feet \times 0.7 foot inside measurement, having a frame or diaphragm 1 inch thick, inserted in it 0.9 foot \times 0.4 foot inside measurement, representing the ordinary temporary "mogah," or private water-course head now in use on the Baree Doab Canal.

It will be observed that these experiments were made with both ends of the pipes submerged, such being the usual condition of water-course heads on this canal.

The water was the usually heavily silted water supplied by the Baree Doab Canal; the actual percentage of solid matter was not observed; it varied from day to day.

When the actual discharges of each pipe under various heads had been observed, the experiments on irrigation were commenced.

The same description of pipes made by the same machine were inserted in the rajbaha bank, the head of pressure being observed in the same way as for the discharges above described.

The time required to give a thorough watering to a field was thus determined:—

No one watering to any standing crop (save rice) requires so much water as the first given on the fallow land to saturate it for ploughing.

Fallow lands, therefore, of various qualities were selected to experiment on, and a Committee of villagers was always present to decide when the fields were properly irrigated.

Time was taken when the water reached the field, and when the Natives judged the irrigation completed.

No water was allowed to enter the field until the head on the pipe had become perfectly stable. When this had been effected, a handful of chop-

ped straw thrown in the water-course indicated when the water issued with the required head had reached the field, the escape in which the water had been running to waste was then closed, and the water turned into the field.

The same method was adopted for the observations of irrigation from wells and jhullars.

The actual discharge of these raising machines was somewhat difficult to determine with any accuracy without going to a greater expense than seemed necessary. Where a well had a cistern (for cattle watering) attached to it, it was used as a measure, and the discharge observed with facility and accuracy. But when this was not obtainable, the discharge was computed by weighing the water brought up by a certain number of the well buckets (huds) taking the average, counting the total number of sound buckets on the rope, and noting the number of minutes expended in a revolution, repeating the last several times, and taking a mean.

Checked by a cistern in one instance, the result showed very fair accuracy. I trust the above detailed description of the way in which these experiments were conducted will not be thought superfluous. It is true that such details are frequently omitted in the record of similar experiments, but it appears to me that the bare results of experiments are well nigh useless to the practical Engineer when the conditions under which they were made are not very exactly described.

A table of the discharges observed is given in Appendix A, and in Appendix B is shown the result of the irrigation experiments. The experiments on what was intended to be a 3-inch pipe, were made with the view of demonstrating whether anything smaller than a 0.4 feet pipe could, with an average head, discharge as much as a jhullar or well. Had it done so, it might have been expedient to use them as a standard for use to gardens. Comparing the discharge of No. VIII

Appendix A, with that of No. XXXIX, and XL, Appendix B, it will be seen that, with a head of 0.1 feet (the greatest head that can be determined on in a 2, 2.5, or 3 feet (the discharge of the 3-inch pipe is about half that of a 2.5 feet jhullar.

For comparison, it is therefore (in my opinion) too small, and the conclusion may be drawn that it is not worth the trouble of using it. The results of the experiments are given in the following table.

the discharge with the 0.4 feet pipe is about 43 per cent. more than that of the best jhullar observed, No. XXIV., Appendix B.

The 0.48 feet pipes were intended to be 0.5 feet diameter; but the shrinkage of the clay during firing being greater than was anticipated, their actual measurement was found to be 0.48 foot.

They discharged as nearly as possible half a cubic foot per second with a head of 0.4 foot,—*vide* Nos. II. and XXXVI., Appendix A.,—and this, which is more than double that of a good jhullar, is, I think, too large for ordinary service for small farms, to the requirement of which, the standard size pipe or outlet should be adapted, inasmuch as two, three, or four of a smaller sized pipe could be granted where the demand appeared to require a larger discharge.

As the group of fields belonging to one man or one family in the districts affected by this canal are generally considerably less than 50 acres, to fix on a sized outlet larger than is necessary for the irrigation of these would inevitably lead to great waste of water.

To judge of the proportional discharge of additional pipes that might be granted in one head for a large farm, two 0.5 feet pipes were laid close together, side by side, and simultaneously opened. Their united discharge, as shown in Appendix A., was:—

| Head. | 0.5 feet, double. | 0.5 feet, single. | Proportion of double to single. |
|-------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2 | .81 | 0.31 | 2.61 |
| 4 | 1.12 | 0.50 | 2.22 |
| 6 | 1.43 | 0.59 | 2.42 |
| | | | 3)7.25 |
| | | Average, | 2.42 |


Average, 2.42, say nearly $2\frac{1}{2}$ times that of a single pipe.

In granting additional pipes to a head, this should be borne in mind.

The result appears at first sight anomalous, but I think may be accounted for by the larger aggregate opening causing a higher velocity of approach. D'Aubuisson's experiments show a small increase.

The object of the experiments on the "Mogah" was to determine the

PLAN OF PRESSURE TANK
Showing position of Gauges



Section through A.B



actual discharge of an outlet of whose irrigating powers we have abundant statistics on the Barce Doab Canal

Appendix B, is intended to exhibit clearly—

1stly—The duty that may be expected from each description of outlet
2ndly—The actual volume of water required to thoroughly saturate an acre of various descriptions of soil

3rdly—The time each outlet would expend in watering an acre
In considering the power or duty of an irrigation head, it is obviously necessary to determine beforehand the duration of its flow

After an experience of some seven years on a great variety of soils, and during some remarkably dry seasons, I may be allowed to express my opinion that, on this canal, no Officer is making the utmost of the water whose outlets are allowed to flow more frequently than one day in four, and, as it is necessary to assume some duration, I shall, in the following remarks, base the calculation on the supposition that a private water-course flows eight days (of 24 hours) in the month

The area cultivated round a good jhullar may be safely taken as an average sample of the size of a farm owned by one man or one family. It is a more constant quantity than the arable round a well, as the circumstances of the latter must always present infinite variety. The mean area of 50 jhullar farms actually measured was 52 acres, say, 22 acres of bhureet, and 30 acres of rubbee harvest

The averages in Appendix B show that an average depth of 0.24 on the whole surface represents a thorough watering on average soil (in sandy land it is as much as 0.31), and $43,560 \times 0.24 = 10,454$ cubic feet as the volume required for 1 acre. Actually the average is (excluding the sand) 0.21 and $9,148$ cubic feet, but it will be safer to use the larger number

Taking a single holding or farm to be 52 acres, we see that with a 1 feet pipe working under a head of 0.4 discharging (vide Appendix A) 3323 cubic feet per second, with such an outlet a man would require 8 days to prepare his 22 acres of bhureet for ploughing, and nearly 11 days for the 30 acres of rubbee ploughing. The best season for this operation lasts about six weeks or $1\frac{1}{2}$ months, he would have at least 8 + 4 days (vide above) of constant flow from the canal, and would, therefore, be able to effect his irrigation from a single pipe easily for the labour, and with economy for the rubbee

From this I infer that such a pipe is well suited for our requirements, as a minimum standard outlet.

It may be objected that during seasons of drought so small an orifice, irrigating only 2·7 acres per day of 24 hours, would be incapable of securing 20 to 30 acres of standing crops, but it must be remembered that (excluding rice) no standing crop requires more than half of the quantity of water per acre that is necessary to saturate the ground for ploughing; the standing crops would, therefore, be watered at the rate of 5·4 acres per day.


The owner of such an outlet would be able to secure as much crop with his water flowing once in four days as his neighbour with a 22 feet well, working his cattle night and day, the proportional discharge being as 33 to 10 (*vide* experiments, Nos. V. and XX.)

The experiments, both on discharge and irrigation were too few to render this report as complete as I should wish. I can only say we found the greatest difficulty in finding spare time from our current work to conduct them, and that we were anxious to make sure of a few very carefully observed experiments rather than a larger number conducted more hastily.

And to obtain accurate results an expenditure of time apparently disproportionate to the amount of work actually recorded seems essential if the observer insists on seeing and doing everything himself. After a long day's work in the field, watching an irrigation experiment, it often occurs that some blunder or accident renders the whole day's work not, perhaps, wrong, but doubtful, and therefore, worthless.

At Appendix C. there are given a few deductions based on these experiments, which may be found interesting, but would needlessly lengthen this report.

ELEVATION OF GAUGE AND GUIDES AND SECTION THROUGH FLOATING IRON DISH.



Were adjusted to level of eye
of the observer

N.B. The worn float 1 foot
square was sufficiently
large to prevent any
agitation of the water
by the ripple of the wire
The height of the wire
was adjusted to be near
by level with the eye of
the observer

Scale 1/2

APPENDIX A.

Mean results of experiments of the discharge of various forms of irrigation outlets.

AB—The cylindrical forms are earthen pipes described in the report. The rectangular orifices are the inside dimensions of a frame of wood 0.1 thick, fixed in a trough or box forming a diaphragm.

| Serial numbers of experiments in field book | Diameter of orifice | Head of pressure. | Theoretical discharge as $\sqrt{2gh}$ | Actual discharge observed, mean of experiments. | Remarks |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| VII, ... | 0.265 | 0.3 | 0.2283 | 0.0370 | This size of pipe was not used in irrigation experiments, its discharge obviously too small |
| VIII, ... | 0.285 | 0.4 | 0.2298 | 0.0732 | |
| IX, ... | 0.265 | 0.6 | 0.3966 | 0.1083 | |
| VI, XXXVIII, | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4310 | 0.2318 | |
| V, LII, LIII, .. | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6377 | 0.3323 | |
| IV, XXXIII, .. | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.7811 | 0.4137 | |
| I, ... | 0.48 | 0.2 | 0.6494 | 0.3130 | |
| II, XXXVI, .. | 0.48 | 0.1 | 0.3184 | 0.5003 | |
| XXIII, ... | 0.48 | 0.6 | 1.3141 | 0.5981 | |
| XIV, .. | 5 + 3 | 0.3 | 1.4093 | 0.8131 | Two 5 pipes act close together. |
| XIII, XXXII, | 5 + 3 | 0.1 | 2.005 | 1.1268 | |
| XV, ... | 5 + 3 | 0.6 | 2.441 | 1.435 | |
| XXVII, X, .. | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.306 | 0.801 | The size of the diaphragm used for irrigation, was 39 X 45, discharge of which is computed from this |
| XI, XXXV, .. | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.817 | 1.114 | |
| XXXI, ... | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.065 | 1.450 | |

APPENDIX B.

Experiments of Irrigation on various soils.

Experiments of Irrigation on various soils.

IRRIGATION EXPERIMENTS.

| IRRIGATION EXPERIMENTS. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|----------------------|--|---|--|---|----|--|----------------------|
| Experiment. | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of experi- ments in Field-book. | Orifice. | Head of pressure. | Discharge in cubic feet per second. | Volume of water re- quired for one acre. | Represent- ing a depth over the whole surface. | Time re- quired for our water- ing of one acre. | | Remarks. | |
| | | | | | | H. | M. | | |
| XVII. | .9 4 | 0.2 | 0.801 | 12,480 | .29 | 1 | 20 | Sandy land. These experiments were made on very light sandy soil : the fields being 2,700 feet from the rajbaha ; time be- ing taken from the time the water reached the field ; loss by absorption in the long and sandy water-course is not included. | Ordinary Loamy soil. |
| XVIII. | .9 4 | 0.4 | 1.114 | 14,304 | .33 | 3 | 34 | | |
| XIX. | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.23 | 12,851 | 0.30 | 15 | 24 | | |
| XXI. | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.33 | 13,319 | 0.31 | 11 | 8 | | |
| XXII. | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.41 | 14,595 | 0.33 | 9 | 48 | | |
| Average, .. | .. | .. | .. | 13,509 | 0.31 | .. | .. | | |
| XXV. | .99 .42 | 0.4 | 1.28 | 10,291 | 0.24 | 2 | 14 | "Rollie" land or heavy clay soil usually found in Drain- age Channels. [A parched clayed field with wide fissures caused by the shrinking of the clay. | |
| XXVIII. | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.33 | 9,057 | 0.21 | 7 | 34 | | |
| XXIX. | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 6,870 | 0.157 | 3 | 49 | | |
| Average, .. | .. | .. | .. | 8,739 | 0.20 | .. | .. | | |
| XXVI. | .99 .42 | 0.4 | 1.28 | 13,977 | 0.32 | 3 | 2 | | |

Land representing the average soil found on the high land between Haecce and Sully "bar"
 { Field said by the owner to be thoroughly watered, but I considered it not half saturated

| | | | | | | | |
|----------------|------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|----|----|
| XLIII, | 0°' | 0.4 | 0.6 | 5,913 | 0.14 | 3 | 18 |
| Average, | .. | .. | .. | 9,960 | 0.23 | .. | .. |
| XLII, | 0° | 0.5 | 0.56 | 9,778 | 0.234 | 4 | 51 |
| XLIII, | 0° | 0.2 | 0.313 | 8,072 | 0.18 | 7 | 10 |
| XLIV, | 4° $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0.5 | 1.21 | 9,374 | 0.15 | 3 | 6 |
| XLV, | 4° $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0.2 | 0.601 | 9,804 | 0.225 | 3 | 24 |
| Average, | .. | .. | .. | 9,257 | 0.21 | .. | .. |

Irrigation by wells and jhullars on various descriptions of soil

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------|-----|---------|------|--------|------|----|----|--|
| XVI, | 8' | Jhullar | 0.07 | 10,000 | 0.23 | 44 | 0 | { Soil ordinary loam, 120 feet distant, the raising gear in bad order (not worse than usual); it was stopped for repairs for 24 hours out of the whole interval, but this was not deducted from the interval, as practically it would be impossible to keep it working 44 hours worked by one bullock. |
| XXIV, | 4' | Do. | 0.23 | 7,006 | 0.16 | 8 | 41 | |
| XXIX, | 16' | Do | 0.13 | 13,311 | 0.30 | 27 | 37 | |
| XL, | 16' | Do. | 0.14 | 20,855 | 0.47 | 32 | 35 | |

{ Soil, ordinary loam, close to the jhullar Apparatus in very good order, two bullocks
 { Soil, recent river deposit, distant 6,800 feet, jhullar in good order, two bullocks
 { Soil, light river deposit, distant from jhullar 1,400 feet, rather over-watered in my opinion

Irrigation by wells and ghullars on various descriptions of soil.—(Continued).

| Number of experiments in Field-book. | Orifice. | Head of pressure. | Discharge in cubic feet per second. | Volume of water required for one acre. | Representing a depth over the whole surface. | Time required for our watering of one acre. | Remarks. |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| XX., | Lift, 22' | Well. | 0.10 | 10,080 | 0.23 | 26 45 | { Ordinary loam, distant 225 feet; well gear good, driven rather fast, a rather light watering. { No irrigation observed from this well, which was situated on the extreme limit of well irrigation in the "bar," and only used for irrigating a small garden patch, chiefly used for drinking. |
| XLVII., | 51' | Do. | 0.21 | .. | .. | .. | |
| Average, .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 0.28 | .. | |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----|----|----|----|-----|----|---|----|--|
| Water-rate, .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | RS. | A. | | | |
| Water advantage rate, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 2 | 8 | | | |
| | | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 10 | |

Rice requires ten waterings, but a watering for rice, whether for ploughing or for a standing crop, has a very different meaning to that applied to any other crop. We have seen that to saturate the ground thoroughly for ploughing requires on average soil a depth of 0.24 feet to be thrown on the ground, *i. e.*, a quantity representing that depth were the soil impermeable, and with this quantity the surface of the ground is free of water in an hour or two; but, for rice, the irrigation is continued until some 6 inches of water remain on the surface of the heavy clay in which this grain flourishes. Nine inches depth on an acre represents 32,670 cubic feet, and ten such waterings would expend 3,26,700 cubic feet, and this divided by the khureef rate $\frac{326700}{46234} = \text{Rs. } 7.1$; the rate now levied on this crop is

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----|----|----|----|-----|----|---|----|--|
| Water-tax, .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | RS. | A. | | | |
| Water advantage rate, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 4 | 12 | | | |
| | | | | | 1 | 2 | 5 | 14 | |

Taking the figures for the whole canal, again quoting the same report, it appears that 1,16,000 acres were irrigated during the rubbee by flow (10,000 acres by raised irrigation may be omitted). With the volume above noted, divided by the acreage in feet, we obtain a depth over the whole crop $\frac{959.9 \times 3600 \times 24 \times 182}{146000 \times 43560} = 2.37$, and for the khureef, acreage of which was 1,04,000 $\frac{1782.1 \times 3600 \times 24 \times 183}{104000 \times 43560} = 6.219$.

Had the whole of rubbee harvest consisted of wheat and barley (and its proportion was more than 5 acres to 1 of all other crops) the depth should have been $\frac{42500}{42560} = 0.975$.

Had the whole of the khureef been rice and cheena, the two being actually in area as 4 to 1 of all other crops, the depth should have been $\frac{326700}{43560} = 7.5$.

From this it would appear there was a greater waste of water than can be accounted for by evaporation of water in channels during the rubbee; while the actual expenditure on the khureef is so near that of the calculated, especially when allowance is made for the crops, other than rice taking less water, that it can only be explained by the usual rain-fall, which of course relieves the canal greatly for a few weeks (during the harvest referred to 22 inches fell in Umritsur).

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------|--------|-----------|---------|------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------------------|-------|--------|----|
| District crops. | Mode of irrigation. | CHARGES. | | | | RETURNS. | | | | Profit. | Rain-fall. | No. of waterings. | Cubic ft. | No. of ploughings. | Depth to surface springs. | Feet. | | |
| | | Cultivation. | Irrigation. | Landlord's rent. | Government assessment. | Total. | GRAIN. | | STRAW. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Quantity. | Value. | Quantity. | | | | | | | | Value. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Barley, | Well | 8-0 | ... | ... | 1-50 | 9-50 | 24 | 16 | ... | ... | 16-00 | 6-50 | 2-33 | 10 | 1,32,343 | ... | 26-2 | |
| Kingneo, | " | 7-0 | ... | ... | 1-50 | 8-50 | 21 | 14 | ... | ... | 14-00 | 6-50 | 3-96 | 9 | 61,395 | ... | 41 | |
| Cheena, | " | 7-0 | ... | ... | 1-50 | 8-50 | 24 | 14 | ... | ... | 14-00 | 5-5 | 3-19 | 12 | 89,159 | ... | 41 | |
| Cotton, | " | 6-0 | ... | ... | 1-50 | 7-50 | 8 | 12 | ... | ... | 12-00 | 4-5 | 5-84 | 21 | 2,58,020 | ... | 37 | |
| Saulkote. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wheat, | " | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 15-4 | 23-09 | 22-74 | 5-62 | 28-71 | ... | ... | ... | 64,011 | ... | ... | |
| " | Nil | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 7-39 | 11-7 | 15-09 | 3-75 | 14-82 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | |
| " | Well | 10-80 | 3-52 | ... | 3-00 | 17-32 | 13-0 | 19-5 | 10 | 2-5 | 22-0 | 4-68 | ... | 4 | ... | 10 | 45 | |
| Barley, | " | 6-18 | 2-64 | ... | 3-00 | 11-82 | 16-0 | 16-0 | 10 | 2-5 | 18-5 | 6-68 | ... | 3 | ... | 6 | ... | |
| Sugar-cane, | " | 28-18 | 10-56 | ... | 3-00 | 41-74 | 20-0 | 50-0 | ... | ... | 50-0 | 8-26 | ... | 12 | ... | 17 | ... | |
| Loss. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cotton, | " | 10-76 | 4-4 | ... | 3-00 | 18-16 | 1-5 | 18-0 | ... | ... | 18-0 | 0-16 | ... | 5 | ... | 7 | ... | |
| Maize, | " | 6-08 | 3-52 | ... | 3-00 | 12-60 | 18 | 22-5 | ... | ... | 22-5 | 9-9 | ... | 4 | ... | 6 | ... | |
| Chureo, | " | 5-29 | 2-64 | ... | 3-00 | 10-93 | ... | 10-93 | ... | ... | 10-93 | 0-0 | ... | 3 | ... | 3 | ... | |
| Flax, | " | 9-80 | 3-52 | ... | 3-00 | 16-32 | 10 | 25 | ... | ... | 25-00 | 8-08 | ... | 4 | ... | 10 | ... | |
| Tobacco, | " | 15-44 | 12-32 | ... | 3-00 | 30-76 | 16 | 48 | ... | ... | 48-00 | 17-34 | ... | 14 | ... | 8 | ... | |
| Maize, | Nil | 9-72 | ... | ... | 1-28 | 11-0 | 12 | 15 | ... | ... | 15-00 | 4-00 | ... | ... | ... | 6 | ... | |
| Moh, | " | 8-25 | ... | ... | 1-25 | 9-50 | 6 | 7-5 | 6 | 2-0 | 9-50 | 0-0 | ... | ... | ... | 5 | ... | |

The pipes experimented on were all 15 feet in length,—that being the average length of water-courses heads,—and were of two kinds in section, cylindrical and rectangular. The former were of baked clay, 255, 4 and 4.8 in diameter, intended for 3, 4 and 5 pipes, and the latter of wood, with a diameter fixed in, ranging on average 6 X 2.

As the tank into which the discharge for ascertaining the quantity of water issued was a large one, each experiment was carried on for about two hours, and the depth of water discharged into the tank then measured off from a very much enlarged scale, as described in the report. Every care seems to have been taken to ensure as much accuracy as possible. The several experiments on discharge are shown in Appendix A.

For the quantity of water and the time required for irrigating land, Mr. Palmer made experiments on fallow land, selecting fallow land as more water is required to saturate it for ploughing than is required for watering standing crops

| | | | | | |
|--------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sun by soil, | " | " | " | " | " |
| Tuesday " | " | " | " | " | " |

| | |
|---|----------------|
| Heavy clay soil, | 28 cubic feet. |
| Ordinary soil found on high land between Beas and Ravee | |
| Rivers, | 27 " |

From these experiments, taking .3 feet as the depth of water required, to saturate land, and which is more than is necessary for any but a sandy soil, Mr. Palmer shows that an ordinary-sized farm of 52 acres obtained from actual measurement of 50 jhullar farms, consisting of, say, 22 acres of khureef and 30 acres of rubbee land, could be watered for ploughing from a .4 pipe, the former in 10 days, and the latter in $13\frac{2}{3}$ days; and that as the season for ploughing lasts $1\frac{1}{2}$ months, if it be assumed that a water-course flows 8 days in the month, the former area could easily be prepared within the time required, and the latter with a little economy.

The time required to cover an acre of land as above is .455 days, or at the rate of 2.2 acres per day. For standing crops the rate may be taken at 4.4 acres, for these, with the exception of rice, do not require more than one-half as much water as is necessary for ploughing. This rate, even in a season of drought, would be sufficient to secure 20 or 30 acres of cultivation.

In comparing the irrigating power of such an outlet with that of machines for raising water, Mr. Palmer states that as much irrigation could be obtained with water flowing only once in 4 days as from a 22 feet well worked night and day. As from experiment No. XX., Appendix A., the discharge of the 22 feet well was .10 cubic feet per second, the advantage in favor of the canal water-course does not appear to be quite so great.

I quite agree with Mr. Palmer in thinking that the .4 pipe is best suited for canal water-course heads. Where large areas of land had to be irrigated, and one such pipe would not suffice, two or more could be given to the same head. In cases of such heads, Mr. Palmer points out that the discharge from two pipes placed together is more than twice that from a single pipe; the discharge from double and single .5 pipes experimented on being nearly as $2\frac{1}{2}$ to 1.

These experiments, which are of much use in enabling some idea to be formed of the quantity of water requisite for irrigating a certain area of land, and thereby utilizing the water available to the greatest extent, have been carried out with much labor and care, and under great difficulties, for which Mr. Palmer and Captain Palmer deserve great credit.

| | | Old Equivalent. | New Equivalent. |
|---------------|-----|--|--|
| 1 Square inch | = | 0.06451 Square Decimetre | 0.0644765 Square decimetre |
| 1 Square foot | = | 0.092890 „ Metre. | 0.0928461 „ Metre. |
| 1 Square yard | = | 0.836097 „ „ | 0.83561 „ „ |
| 1 Acre | = | 0.40467 Hectare. | 0.40444 Hectare. |
| 1 Square mile | = | 258.98944 Hectares. | 258.8400 Hectares. |
| <hr/> | | | |
| 1 Cubic Metre | = { | 35.31658 Cubic Feet. 1.30802 „ Yards. | 35.24675 Cubic Feet. 1.30914 „ Yards. |
| <hr/> | | | |
| 1 Cubic inch | = | 16.38618 Cub. Centimetres. | 16.37207 Cub. Centimetres. |
| 1 Cubic foot | = | 28.315311 „ Decimetres. | 28.29087 „ Decimetres. |
| 1 Cubic Yard | = | 0.76451 „ Metre. | 0.76385 „ Metre. |
| <hr/> | | | |
| 1 Litre | = | 0.22024 Gallon. | 0.22018 Gallon. |
| <hr/> | | | |
| 1 Gallon | = | 4.54041 Litres. | 4.54173 Litres. |

The kilogram remains unchanged, being 15432.34874 grains, or 2.204621 lbs. avoirdupois : 1,000 kilograms equal 0.984206 ton.

One pound avoirdupois equals 0.45359265 kilogram.

One ton equals 1016.04754 kilograms.

R. S.

INDIA OFFICE,
The 5th December, 1871. }

 No. XXXVII.

 TABLES OF INDIAN CROPS.

 BY CAPT. J. M. HEYWOOD, R.E.

The data furnished in these Tables have been collected in connection with investigations on the duty of water in regard to Irrigation schemes. The list of Bengal Crops has been revised by the Principal of the Indian Museum, at Calcutta, and the Superintendent of the Calcutta Botanical Gardens.

The list of Madras Crops was communicated by Dr. Hunter, of the Madras School of Art.

The list of the Bengal and North West Crops is incomplete, the deficiency in this respect can however, it is believed be easily supplied by numerous officers in those Provinces.

From Bombay no data have been collected.

| Description of crop. | | Bengal. | | Madras. | | When sown. | | When cut. | | When sown. | | When cut. | | When sown. | | When cut. | |
|----------------------------|----|-------------------------|--|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------------------|----------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|----|------------|----|-----------|----|
| | | Scientific name. | | When sown. | | When cut. | | Native name of crop. | | When sown. | | When cut. | | When sown. | | When cut. | |
| Wheat, | .. | { Triticum vulgare, | | November. | Febr. and March. | Godum-bay. | July. | December. | October. | March. | October & November | October & March and April. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Oats, | .. | { Triticum durum, | | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Barley, | .. | { Avena sativa, | | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Jowar (great millet), | .. | { Hordeum hexastichum | | July. | October. | Cholund. | September | " | June. | " | October. | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Bajra (spiked mil- | .. | { Sorghum vulgare, | | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| let), | .. | { Penicillaria spicata, | | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Kangaroo (Italian millet), | .. | { Pennisetum Italicum, | | April. | July. | Mucca cholum. | July. | October. | June. | August & September | Sept. and October | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Maize, | .. | { Zea mays, | | July. | October. | Chamay. | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Cheena or Arzan, | .. | { Panicum miliaceum. | | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Damra, | .. | { Panicum frumenta- | | October. | Febr. and Sept. and Nelloo. | February. | " | February. | " | February. | October. | Febr. to Nov. to February | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Kalo debdhan, | .. | { ceum, | | May and June. | Oct. and Nov. | Dec. and January. | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Aous, | .. | { Sorghum bicolor, | | June and July. | Dec. and Jan. | Tharoom-bo. | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Aumon, | .. | { Oriza sativa, | | February. | Febr. and March. | Paratie. | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Bora, | .. | { Saccharum officina- | | Febr. and March. | May. | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| (Sugar-cane, .. | .. | { rum, herbac- | | February. | May. | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |

Finnia

Pulsia

| | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|----|----|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------|----------------|-----------|----------|-----------------------------------|
| Heim, | .. | .. | Cannabis sativa, | April | September | Ganga | Any time | 6 months | |
| Jule, | .. | { | Corchorus capsularis, | " | August & September | Allicev-raj. | " | 5 months | March and April |
| Jax, | .. | { | Linum catharticum, | February. | April | | " | | |
| Jun, heim, | .. | { | Crotalaria juncea, | April | August | Janj a nar. | August | March. | |
| Sama i atari, | | { | Ilbicus rambolus, | July | November | Poolchur. | " | " | June and October |
| Muscor, | .. | . | Trum lens, | October | February | | | | |
| Choro muscor, | | .. | Lycum hirtum, | " | " | | | | |
| Maz, | . | { | Phacelus man g, | February | April | Tucha pa yaroo | September | December | |
| Choral Tullay, | | .. | Phacelus Roxburghi | " | " | | | | |
| Arhar, | . | . | Cajanus indicus, | June | March | Thonary | July | April. | October & Febr and November March |
| Channa, | .. | { | Cicer arachidum, | October | February | Kadair | " | " | |
| Buro chudra, | | . | Vicia sativa, | " | " | | | | |
| Chural, Khesari, | | . | Lathyrus sativus, | " | " | | | | |
| Leas, mutur, | | .. | Tisum arcece, W. | " | " | Puttane | September | December | |
| Cholo mutur, | | .. | Becus subquadratum, | " | " | | | | |
| Moscor, | . | .. | | | | | | | |
| Sama moscor, | .. | { | Phacelus aurea, | June | September | Ulandoo | July. | February | June and October |
| Kodi, | .. | { | Phacelus acutifolius, | | | Toolla pyre | December | March | |

| Description of crop. | Scientific name. | Bengal. | | Madras. | | | North West. | | Punjab. | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------|-----------|-------------|--------------------|------------|-----------|
| | | When sown. | When cut. | Native name of crop. | When sown. | When cut. | When sown. | When cut. | When sown. | When cut. |
| Indigo, .. | { Indigofera tinctoria, | Febr. and June and | July. | Averie. | November | March. | | | | |
| Safflower, .. | { Carthamus tinctorius, | October. | February. | Emboord. chay root | October. | February, | | | | |
| Madder, .. | { Rubia cordifolia, | June. | February & March. | Munjel. | August. | " | | | | |
| Turneric, .. | { Curcuma longa, | " | " | Injre. | September | " | | | | |
| Ginger, .. | { Zingiber officinale, | February. | April. | Alleveray. | Any time. | " | June. | September to Novr. | | |
| Linseed oil, .. | { Linum usitatissimum, | December. | February. | Collamilie. | December. | March. | | | | |
| Coriander, .. | { Coriandrum sativum, | September | February & March. | | | | | | | |
| Kam til, .. | { Quizotea abyssinica, | October. | " | Yelloo. | January. | April. | | | | |
| Taramira, .. | { Sinapis eruca, | " | " | Kadaghoo | September | February. | | | | |
| Shwet swisha, .. | { Eruca sativa, | June. | Febr. and March. | Sillamunk. | August. | November | | | | |
| Sesamum, Til or Tilli, .. | { Sesamum orientale. | October. | " | Kadaghoo | September | February. | | | | |
| Mustard, .. | { Sinapis nigra, | " | " | | | | | | | |
| Rai (native mustard), .. | { Brassica campestris, | " | " | | | | | | | |
| Castor oil, .. | { Ricinus communis, | June. | Febr. and March. | | | | | | | |
| Shwet rai, .. | { Sinapis glauca, | October. | " | Kadaghoo | September | February. | | | | |
| Saroor, .. | { Brassica juncea, | " | " | | | | | | | |
| Sureha, .. | { Sinapis dichotoma, | " | " | Kadaghoo | " | " | | | | |

DYE.

OIL SEEDS.

| ROOT AND TUBERS. | | DRIED SPICES. | | GARDEN FRUITS, &c | | |
|----------------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------|
| Poppy, .. | Peperer somniferum, L., | November | March | Casa casa. | October | March |
| Tobacco, .. | Nicotiana tabacum Nicotiana rustica, | September | February | Poghrully. | January. | April |
| Tookham bhangra, .. | Lallemantia Royleana, | July | January | | | |
| Petha (Pumpkin), . | Banucasa corifera, | February | April and May | Pitha | February | April |
| Tarbur (water melon), .. | Citrullus vulgaris, | February | May | Molan | April | July |
| Karbua (melon), .. | Cucumis melo, | " | " | " | " | " |
| Khira (cucumber), | Cucumis sativus, | April & May | July and September | Veller. | " | " |
| Kakar (cucumber), | Cucumis utissimus, | February | April and May | | | |
| Safed kadoo (squash) | Cucurbita maxima | January & February | " | Pasani | July | December |
| Chikenda (snake gourd), .. | Trichosanthes anguina, | April | August. | Poodelum | " | " |
| Phalwal, .. | Trichosanthes dioeca, | November | February | S a t h a | December | March |
| Sira, .. | Anethum sira, | November | February | coopce | | |
| Melch, or melon, | Trigonella farnungra cum, | " | " | Koth are ray | July | October |
| Chineco badam, | Arachis hypogrea, | July | " | | | |
| Gajar, carrot, | Daucus carota, | October | January & February | Carrot la-ling | September | January |
| Singhara, .. | Tripa bispinosa, | | August to October | | | |

No. XXXVIII.

FELLING TIMBER IN THE HIMALAYAS.

[*Vide* Plates Nos. XXXI, XXXII.]

By GEORGE PELLEW PAUL, C.E., *Timber Agent to the Contractors for the Delhi Railway.*

[NOTE BY EDITOR.]

THE following extracts are taken from an interesting book written (and published for private circulation) by an Engineer, who has devoted five years to felling trees, and launching logs from the pine forests of the Himalayas bordering on the river Sutlej. Although many individuals have conducted similar operations in this country, scarcely any have as yet recorded and published their experience, giving the actual details of the work carried out by them. The record of failures and successes, of difficulties encountered and overcome, and of the varied details of foresters' work in the Himalaya, (or elsewhere,) would be useful, not only to forest conservancy officers, timber agents, &c.; but to the engineering profession at large, whose members are occasionally liable to have work of a similar nature devolving upon them in connection with road-making, bridge building, &c., in mountainous and wooded countries. The book from which these extracts are taken is far too large to be reproduced *in extenso* in this publication, nor indeed is all its matter exactly suited to the special scope of an Engineering Journal: but the portions selected will give a general idea of the nature and style of the work, and the insertion of this article in the "Professional Papers on Indian Engineering," may induce others familiar with the subject to furnish records of their experience of the Engineering operations connected with timber felling and transport.

Introductory Remarks.—In 1865, stocks of deodar timber in the depots on the various rivers of the Punjab had become so scarce, and the principal sources (forests of the Chenab and Ravee rivers) from whence it had for many years previously been supplied, had been so much exhausted, that for fear of the utter annihilation of such forests, strict orders had been issued by the Government to stay further fellings; and as our requirements for sleepers especially, and wood generally, were on such a large scale, and as I saw no other prospect of obtaining anything approaching our wants, I was induced to suggest to the Firm the advisability of ourselves undertaking the cutting and launching of logs in some of the Himalayan forests bordering the Sutlej river, (provided we could obtain the sanction of Government) and floating them down to Phillour, close to which place the line of railway passed.

Not until the early part of 1866 did an opportunity present itself for carrying out this idea, when (the late) Mr. T. T. Aratoon made us an offer of 8,000 Deodar trees situated in the Koonawar sub-division of Bussahir, of which by some means or other he had become possessed. Preliminaries being arranged, I started from the plains in the beginning of May 1866, reaching the scene of my future labors towards the end of the month.

Locality of the Scene of Operations.—The forests in which I was permitted to fell—seven in number—viz., BAKRI, KOONMOORE, KUTARI, JOOMRAV, PHUKIA, JAKRI and KAKRI, are situated in the sub-division of Raveecnee, District of Koonawar, (quasi-independent) territory of Bussahir, in latitude $31^{\circ} 30'$, longitude $78^{\circ} 13'$, distant about 130 miles from Simla, in an easterly direction, on the left hand side of the valley formed by the river Sutlej, which here careers along with mad impetuosity between two ranges of mountains, whose cloud-capped summits are mostly about fifteen thousand feet above the level of the sea, although some of these giants tower up to 18,000 and 21,000 feet, notably those of the Hailang Range, whose principal peak, called Kylass, reaches the latter height.

The region is rugged in the extreme; the accessible portion of the valley-being not more than from seven to nine miles wide, and then only in patches where the nature of the hill sides allow of a proceeding.

The principal features of the country are deep worn valleys, sometimes narrow, anon spreading out, always more or less rocky, divided by mighty spurs, and rapid torrents: precipitous mountains, the tops of whose vast chains are veiled in everlasting snow, forming the watershed line of the innumerable streams which issue from their sides, and from whose drainage they are fed: inaccessible crags, and almost impenetrable forests of pines, oaks, and birches.

The only means of communication with the outer world was by the Hindoostan and Tibet road, whose average width of 7 feet only allowed mules being used as the method of transport, and even this road was not available for our purposes the whole way, as it quitted the left and crossed to the right bank of the river Sutlej at Wangtu, just twelve miles short of the scene of our operations. From that point there were no means of communication, but a hill track in every respect both bad and dangerous.

Labor.—The State of Bussahir is very well populated, and there is no lack of labor, if it can only be induced to come forward for work; but the men, as a rule, are so thoroughly lazy, that it is only to obtain just sufficient to pay their taxes to the Rajah and Wuzeers that they come for employment.

However, as the timber was urgently required to prevent any delay in the opening of the railway, I had to make arrangements for importing laborers from the adjacent territories, and with the help of the "necessary advances" I was fairly successful.

These "foreign" laborers came from Kooloo, Kangra and Koteghur in British territory, and the (quasi) independant States of Mandee, Gurhwal, Chamba, as well as from the Chooara Division of Bussahir, all access to these places being across snowy passes. The men of Kooloo, Kangra and Chamba are a stalwart race, and in appearance a much more manly looking set of beings than the generality of the Hill races, although they too require the usual amount of driving to keep them at their tasks. They used to arrive in May, or as soon as the passes were open, and left again about the end of October, just before the passes were closed. The Bussahirees of the Koonawur, Pandra-Bis and Athara-Bis districts, used to work all the year round, as, in spite of snow and frost, operations (after the first season this was done) were carried on in winter, as well as summer, to enable timber to be launched as speedily as possible. For weeks I

their work.

The following tabular statement will show the annual consumption of provisions, from which some idea will be formed of the labor that this entailed upon me. Lalla Gopal Singh, treasurer to the H. M. S. Station, Simla, was my principal grain contractor for 1894, and I have been testimony to the able manner in which he and his staff performed

regions.
period mules and such like beasts of burden cannot travel up into these in laying up sufficient supplies for the winter months, during which summed nearly simultaneously with its arrival, and I had great difficulty accumulated a reserve, but for the first three seasons everything was consumed I could to guard against such a contingency, by endeavoring to would be the inevitable result of such an occurrence. I took every precaution arrangements, and starvation stared them in the face, and their dispersion on that score may be inferred. The least break down in the commissariat dependent on me for their actual daily feeding, some idea of my anxieties were occasions when from 400 to 500 mouths (nearly a regiment) were as no other means of transit were available, and when I add that there 70 miles. It had all to be carried on the backs of mules, sheep or goats, British territory of Kooioo opposite Rampore), an average distance of fore to bring everything up from the lower hills, (principally from the ported laborers were obtainable near the scene of operations, I had there grain for their own consumption, and no supplies of food for my men. Provisions.—The inhabitants of Koonawar grow but barely sufficient

know

and Gurwal, particularly the latter, are the most ardent rascals I and Koonawares, as the most honest, while the people of Choocara dry times in employment, I am inclined to look upon the Chamba men, From personal observations of the different races I have had at sun- at extracting logs from the forests and putting them into the river

have worked in a foot of snow with the thermometer below freezing point

Abstract of Provisions consumed in 54 working months, or an average of 213 Maunds per month.

| YEAR. | Flour. | Goor. | Rice | Dall. † | Tobacco | Salt. | Ghee. ‡ | Red pepper. | Soap. | Oil. |
|-----------------|--------|-------|------|------------|---------|-------|------------|----------------|-------|------|
| | § Mds. | Mds. | Mds. | Mds. | Mds. | Mds. | Mds. | Mds. | Mds. | Mds. |
| 5 months, 1866 | 665 | 13 | 17 | 3 | 4 | 1½ | 1½ | ... | ... | 9 |
| 8 months, 1867 | 1,151 | 15 | 41 | 16 | 23 | 12 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 18 |
| 12 months, 1868 | 2,973 | 31½ | 214 | 42 | 11½ | 22½ | 26½ | 1½ | 3 | 23½ |
| 12 months, 1869 | 2,971 | 20 | 271½ | 32½ | 8½ | 28 | 33½ | 1½ | 2 | 23½ |
| 12 months, 1870 | 1,391 | 11½ | 67½ | 11½ | 4½ | 9½ | 12½ | 1½ | 1½ | 22½ |
| 5 months, 1871 | 814 | 3 | 13 | 15½ | 6½ | 57½ | 21 | 2 | ½ | ... |
| Total Mds. | 10,271 | 60½ | 624½ | 120½ | 60½ | 131½ | 99½ | 7½ | 4½ | 96½ |

In issuing them the losses sustained from "tare and tret," rats, mice, robberies, &c., were—

| | Per cent. | |
|----------------|-----------|--|
| Flour, .. | .. | Not yet ascertained. Still in course of issue. |
| Goor, .. | 40 | Fermented greatly. |
| Rice, .. | .. | Not yet ascertained. Still in course of issue. |
| Dall, .. | 10 | |
| Tobacco, .. | 43½ | A great portion became stale and unfit for use: thrown away. |
| Salt, .. | 36 | |
| Ghee, .. | 9 | |
| Red pepper, .. | .. | Not yet ascertained. Still in course of issue. |
| Soap, .. | 50 | Greatly affected by rats. |
| Oil, .. | 3 | |

The greater portion of the loss occurred while the provisions were kept

* Unrefined product of the sugar-cane (*Saccharum officinarum*).

† Split peas (*Phaseolus Mung*, and *P. Radiatus*).

‡ Clarified butter.

§ 80 lbs. = 1 Maund.

in the native huts at Oronce and elsewhere, and before our own stores were ready to receive them: since then our loss has been merely the difference between weighing in and out.

Հոգ քոս ու Տիրուհիս սնունդ թոս

Rates of Wages in the Forests—These throughout were high, but as the scene of my operations was so far away from any centre of labor, the dearth of imported provisions rendered it necessary to hold out a good inducement to obtain workmen, for, otherwise, it would not have been worth their while coming very far. But the wages are at least 25 per cent higher than the Government need pay in future with regular work carried on in the manner proposed under the suggestions for the future working of these forests.

TABLE OF RATES.

[illegible]

| | RS. | A. | P. |
|---|--------------|----|----|
| Felling trees, each, | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Clearing the branches, each tree, | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Marking logs, each, | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Logging, with cross cut saw, each, | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| " axe, | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Rough masonry in checkwalls per 100 cubic feet, | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| Filling in to ditto, 1000 " | 2 | 8 | 0 |
| Sawing scantlings 13 x 11 x 5½ each, | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| " " 13 x 11 x 11 " | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| " " 14 x 11 x 5½ " | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| " " 14 x 11 x 11 " | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| " " 24 x 11 x 5½ " | 1 | 8 | 0 |
| " " 24 x 11 x 11 " | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| " planks per 100 superficial feet, | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| " small scantlings, per cubic foot, | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Erecting railing on mule road per 100 lineal feet, | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Hire of a mule from Simla, carries 2½ maunds or 200 lbs., | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| " " " " one stage, | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| (Two pice additional, per stage, for mate's fee.) | | | |
| Hire of a coolie from Simla, | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| " one stage, | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| (Two pice additional, per stage, for mate's fee.) | | | |
| Steel, per seer, | 6 to 8 ans. | | |
| Blasting powder, including carriage, per seer, | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Iron, | 5½ to 6 ans. | | |

PROVISIONS.

| | | | |
|---|----|----|---|
| Flour, per maund, | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| (Issued out at Rs. 5 per maund), | | | |
| (Portions purchased at Rs. 3-8, 4, and 5, per maund). | | | |
| Goor (unrefined produce of sugar cane), | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Rice, | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Portions purchased at Rs. 5, and 6 | | | |
| Dall, | 6 | 10 | 8 |
| Tobacco, | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Portions issued at Rs. 10 | | | |
| Salt, | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Ghee (clarified butter), | 32 | 0 | 0 |
| Portions purchased at Rs. 24 | | | |
| Masallas (red pepper and turmeric), | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Soap, | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Oil, | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Portions issued at Rs. 13-5-4 | | | |

The greater number of my coolies were distant from their homes three to four days' journey, yet once a month they found it cheaper to leave

their work and wages, and traveled to and from that distance to fetch their own provisions, instead of taking ours at eight seers per rupee

RS. A. P.

Thus, say that a man worked regularly, he would receive for

a month of 30 days, @ 4 annas, ..
His flour, if obtained from our stores, would cost him, ..

| | | |
|-------|----|---|
| 7 | 8 | 0 |
| 3 | 12 | 0 |
| <hr/> | | |
| 3 | 12 | 0 |

Profit Rs., ..

If he goes to his home to fetch it, he would lose at least 6

days' wages = Rs. 1 8 0, this deducted from Rs. 7 8 0, leaves,
His flour would stand him at 20 seers per rupee,

| | | |
|-------|---|---|
| 1 | 8 | 0 |
| 4 | 8 | 0 |
| <hr/> | | |

Profit Rs., ..

So that although he loses 6 days of wages, yet is he better off by 12 annas at the end of the month by bringing his flour from his own house

I endeavoured to introduce Alardol Wanzel (*Beta Campesstris*) amongst the villagers as a beneficial crop for their cattle, to be stored for winter use, and although they fully appreciated the advantages to be derived from its cultivation, still the question, "what would be the tax on it as soon as it was found to be of use?" prevented its adoption

It was the same with the sun flower (*Helianthus annuus*). Although admitting it would be of great service and profitable to rear, yet "what would be the new exaction," interfered with me in this attempt to benefit the people. Yet these two plants would prove of the utmost value in every respect, particularly the former, which would greatly assist in preventing the cattle from dying of starvation in winter, as they now do

Mule Road.—From the impracticable nature of the hill paths on the left bank of the Sulley beyond Wangtu, it was utterly impossible for laden mules to reach the scene of the operations. Thus, in order to be able to convey the provisions to some spot that would be tolerably centrally situated for the work-people, and where I could accumulate and keep a supply of them on hand, I was obliged to make a mule road to Kiliba, and without it I can safely say that I never should have been able to accomplish this undertaking, as I could not have fed my imported laborers. A rough alignment, avoiding the worst precipices as much as possible, was soon made, and August 1867 saw us turn the first sod, although ..

fair start did not take place till the November following ; it was passable for laden mules into Ramni ($6\frac{3}{4}$ miles from Wangtu), in September 1868, and unto Kilba, by a temporary expedient over the Janee precipice ($7\frac{1}{4}$ miles from Ramni junction) in April 1869, and finished throughout in July of the latter year, having occupied 23 months in its actual construction. Considering the natural difficulties of making a road through a mountainous country, it may be said that it was expeditiously built. The width was six feet. Three mural precipices of granite rock had to be blasted through, and innumerable small rocks had to be circumvented, walling and filling in more or less had to be done, together with three bridges spanning that number of torrents. The cost, including labor, blasting powder and materials, but without any allowance for supervision, &c., was Rs. 32,500.

Provision Stores.—Two were built both being needed to enable us to keep the provisions required to feed the imported laborers.

One was situated at Kilba, the head-quarters of the work, and therefore the largest, as it had to supply the laborers working in the Sapni, Koomkoomce, Kunai, Joompan and Phinla Forests; the other at Ramni, for the use of the men employed in that forest and the adjoining one of Janee. In addition to the foregoing, a powder house was built at Kilba. This was an old cave built up on three sides with rough stones, the forth being a portion of the rock forming the cave.

Bungalows.—Three were built one being over the Kilba store (whereby the same roof answered for the two); the second at Ramni, erected at one end of the store there. These were for our use in the hills; to which I may add that at the permanent camping grounds of Lingnay, and at the mouth of the Phinla Khud, a few huts for servants were constructed. The third one was at Pulhan, in the plains, the head-quarters of the catching and rafting operations.

Cash.—I made a very satisfactory arrangement with a native banker, by which he agreed to remit me all monies required for disbursements in the forests, at a commission rate of $1\frac{1}{4}$ per cent., taking upon himself all risks and responsibilities from accidents or robberies that might happen in transit from the Bank to our Safe, thus avoiding any chance of loss on our part. Considering that it had to come 134 miles on men's backs,

and that it had to pass eight nights on the road, I think it will be admitted that this was the best method to be adopted

Method of extracting logs from the forests—Before describing our own operations, I will briefly allude to the primitive mode. Premising that the greater portion of the Kine Forest tracts are situated in the territories of the quasi independent rajahs bordering our frontier, the process of obtaining a permit (*Chapp*) was very simple, and merely consisted in giving a couple of handfuls of rupees to the rulers, and allowing a certain amount of "palm oil" to trickle down amongst the officials of the Court, (a bottle of brandy has been a good persuader before now), when permission was at once accorded to commence operations

The operator would then proceed with a gang of men to the scene of his future labors, and fell whatever trees he chose, how and where he liked (there being no supervision, he could do as he pleased) from May to October in any one season, that being the period for which the honorarium he had given was supposed to count. His sole anxiety was to launch everything he had felled, as more than likely somebody else might obtain a permit the following year to fell and launch in the same forest, in which case the new owner would be sure to appropriate any of the logs of his predecessor remaining over from the previous season, even though they might have the first owner's marks

As regards the method adopted for sliding the logs into the river, no effort beyond allowing the logs to turn their own track, and smooth it themselves in their downwards passage was attempted, and it was simply a question which was strongest, wood or stone. Yet so long as not more than 30 per cent of breakages occurred, timber traders realized a fair profit, and were satisfied. That this excess of loss is not exaggerated, the remains of the innumerable broken pieces now lying in the old slides (2) of those days bear silent testimony


Yet not all timber merchants were so short sighted as not to see and understand the advisability of improving the slides in their worst parts. The late Mr. M. Ter Artoon made a small trial in this respect, but it was carried out without any attempt at method or regularity, and was therefore not of great benefit

I have now demonstrated how the extraction of logs can be effected with a minimum breakage, (even with my necessarily rough and incomplete

because hurried, arrangements,) at a loss certainly not greater than 15 per cent., which I believe will be about the damage sustained by us; less it may be, but not greater.

Mark for Saw-cut.

Mark for Saw-cut.



Above is a sketch of the marks I adopted for the recognition of our logs on arrival in the plains.

The figures on the left denote the forest number of the tree, and were consecutive from 1 to 8,000, our limit for felling: they also corresponded with the figures on the stumps.


The letters in the centre of each log stand for the initials of the Firm —Brassey, Wythes and Henfrey.

The figures on the right denote the number of the log: each forest commencing with No. 1.

The above were cut into the sap-wood with an ordinary axe, and cost one anna, or three half-pence, for each log.

The sawn scantlings from Ramni had the initials of the firm branded in on their four sides.

Mark for the Sawn Scantlings.



Branded on both sides.

In order to prevent any over or under felling of our limit, and also to avoid any disputes with the native officials of the neighbouring court, I never allowed any work to commence in any forest until the marking had been finished. Just above the root our numbers were cut in deep, as shown in the left hand portion of the sketch, and there they are to this

Dance,
I wish,
Do
Learn,
In music,
Say me,
Joomy us,
Thank,

Thus there was no fear of selling any trees but our own, and we not well be cheated in paying for more selling, clearing, marking, and so on. Four or five of our books showed
 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 70. 71. 72. 73. 74. 75. 76. 77. 78. 79. 80. 81. 82. 83. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 93. 94. 95. 96. 97. 98. 99. 100. 101. 102. 103. 104. 105. 106. 107. 108. 109. 110. 111. 112. 113. 114. 115. 116. 117. 118. 119. 120. 121. 122. 123. 124. 125. 126. 127. 128. 129. 130. 131. 132. 133. 134. 135. 136. 137. 138. 139. 140. 141. 142. 143. 144. 145. 146. 147. 148. 149. 150. 151. 152. 153. 154. 155. 156. 157. 158. 159. 160. 161. 162. 163. 164. 165. 166. 167. 168. 169. 170. 171. 172. 173. 174. 175. 176. 177. 178. 179. 180. 181. 182. 183. 184. 185. 186. 187. 188. 189. 190. 191. 192. 193. 194. 195. 196. 197. 198. 199. 200. 201. 202. 203. 204. 205. 206. 207. 208. 209. 210. 211. 212. 213. 214. 215. 216. 217. 218. 219. 220. 221. 222. 223. 224. 225. 226. 227. 228. 229. 230. 231. 232. 233. 234. 235. 236. 237. 238. 239. 240. 241. 242. 243. 244. 245. 246. 247. 248. 249. 250. 251. 252. 253. 254. 255. 256. 257. 258. 259. 260. 261. 262. 263. 264. 265. 266. 267. 268. 269. 270. 271. 272. 273. 274. 275. 276. 277. 278. 279. 280. 281. 282. 283. 284. 285. 286. 287. 288. 289. 290. 291. 292. 293. 294. 295. 296. 297. 298. 299. 300. 301. 302. 303. 304. 305. 306. 307. 308. 309. 310. 311. 312. 313. 314. 315. 316. 317. 318. 319. 320. 321. 322. 323. 324. 325. 326. 327. 328. 329. 330. 331. 332. 333. 334. 335. 336. 337. 338. 339. 340. 341. 342. 343. 344. 345. 346. 347. 348. 349. 350. 351. 352. 353. 354. 355. 356. 357. 358. 359. 360. 361. 362. 363. 364. 365. 366. 367. 368. 369. 370. 371. 372. 373. 374. 375. 376. 377. 378. 379. 380. 381. 382. 383. 384. 385. 386. 387. 388. 389. 390. 391. 392. 393. 394. 395. 396. 397. 398. 399. 400. 401. 402. 403. 404. 405. 406. 407. 408. 409. 410. 411. 412. 413. 414. 415. 416. 417. 418. 419. 420. 421. 422. 423. 424. 425. 426. 427. 428. 429. 430. 431. 432. 433. 434. 435. 436. 437. 438. 439. 440. 441. 442. 443. 444. 445. 446. 447. 448. 449. 450. 451. 452. 453. 454. 455. 456. 457. 458. 459. 460. 461. 462. 463. 464. 465. 466. 467. 468. 469. 470. 471. 472. 473. 474. 475. 476. 477. 478. 479. 480. 481. 482. 483. 484. 485. 486. 487. 488. 489. 490. 491. 492. 493. 494. 495. 496. 497. 498. 499. 500. 501. 502. 503. 504. 505. 506. 507. 508. 509. 510. 511. 512. 513. 514. 515. 516. 517. 518. 519. 520. 521. 522. 523. 524. 525. 526. 527. 528. 529. 530. 531. 532. 533. 534. 535. 536. 537. 538. 539. 540. 541. 542. 543. 544. 545. 546. 547. 548. 549. 550. 551. 552. 553. 554. 555. 556. 557. 558. 559. 560. 561. 562. 563. 564. 565. 566. 567. 568. 569. 570. 571. 572. 573. 574. 575. 576. 577. 578. 579. 580. 581. 582. 583. 584. 585. 586. 587. 588. 589. 590. 591. 592. 593. 594. 595. 596. 597. 598. 599. 600. 601. 602. 603. 604. 605. 606. 607. 608. 609. 610. 611. 612. 613. 614. 615. 616. 617. 618. 619. 620. 621. 622. 623. 624. 625. 626. 627. 628. 629. 630. 631. 632. 633. 634. 635. 636. 637. 638. 639. 640. 641. 642. 643. 644. 645. 646. 647. 648. 649. 650. 651. 652. 653. 654. 655. 656. 657. 658. 659. 660. 661. 662. 663. 664. 665. 666. 667. 668. 669. 670. 671. 672. 673. 674. 675. 676. 677. 678. 679. 680. 681. 682. 683. 684. 685. 686. 687. 688. 689. 690. 691. 692. 693. 694. 695. 696. 697. 698. 699. 700. 701. 702. 703. 704. 705. 706. 707. 708. 709. 710. 711. 712. 713. 714. 715. 716. 717. 718. 719. 720. 721. 722. 723. 724. 725. 726. 727. 728. 729. 730. 731. 732. 733. 734. 735. 736. 737. 738. 739. 740. 741. 742. 743. 744. 745. 746. 747. 748. 749. 750. 751. 752. 753. 754. 755. 756. 757. 758. 759. 760. 761. 762. 763. 764. 765. 766. 767. 768. 769. 770. 771. 772. 773. 774. 775. 776. 777. 778. 779. 780. 781. 782. 783. 784. 785. 786. 787. 788. 789. 790. 791. 792. 793. 794. 795. 796. 797. 798. 799. 800. 801. 802. 803. 804. 805. 806. 807. 808. 809. 810. 811. 812. 813. 814. 815. 816. 817. 818. 819. 820. 821. 822. 823. 824. 825. 826. 827. 828. 829. 830. 831. 83

[illegible]

Room 101 est

the following is a specimen —

So that by a reference to any left hand number on our logs on arrival in the plains, I could at once distinguish which forest it had come from.

Working Operations Sapni Forest.—This forest (situated on the east side of the spur which runs towards the junction of the Buspa and Sutlej rivers,) although a few years back almost impenetrable, is now bare of trees; the cause—a fire that occurred about seven years ago destroying the whole of the lower portion, and leaving but a wreck above. It is now trying to recover itself, and the natural reproduction is very good where allowed proper action, but no benefit will ever accrue to this or any other one of the forests until properly enclosed. Primarily, each of the paths leading from one village to another, or to their outlying hamlets, require fencing on either side, leaving, say, a space 10 feet between for the passage of goats, sheep, &c., after which attention should be turned to demarcating the upper and lower boundaries. A little judicious thinning amongst the younger coniferæ, and clearing away of scrub to prevent their being choked, is advisable. There are also a number of standing dry, and partially burnt trees, and others that have fallen from the action of wind or snow, these should all be removed to the river: at present they are but so much additional food for fire, should such again happen. Besides they (the two former) attract lightning, and are dangerous from that cause. All the refuse wood should also be gathered together and sent down to the river; it would be useful in the plains for firewood, would cover its own expenses, and would be one element less for ignition. The soil is a very deep rich humus, the collection of centuries of fallen leaves, overlaying gneissoid granite rock. The general slope is about an angle of 35° in the lower portion, higher up it becomes less: the aspect is almost due east. But very few Kelmung trees now remain for felling, although they can be supplemented by the Rai and Lim, which are plentiful in the upper portions of the forest.

The trees marked over to us in this forest were so few in number, that I could not go to great expense for building intercepting walls, so I contented myself with constructing a couple of small rough ones at the two worst places in the slides, just sufficient to give the logs a turn into two hollows, which answered very fairly as natural slides. They also acted as counting places, as the logs once past them went direct into the river Buspa. The logs being collected on these walls, and notice being given,

The trees were in girth, taken at a man's height from the ground, as follows:—

| | |
|--------------------------|----|
| 6 feet in girth, | 35 |
| 7 " | 46 |
| 8 " | 52 |
| 9 " | 36 |
| 10 " | 19 |
| 11 " | 6 |
| 12 " | 1 |
| 13 " | 2 |
| 14 " | 1 |
| 15 " | 1 |

Total Trees, 199

being an average of 8 feet in girth for the trees of this forest. A loss of 46 logs, equal to 9 per cent., occurred between forest and river.

The principal implements made use of in these operations are—

The felling axe, weighing about 4 lbs., used for cutting down the trees, clearing them of their branches, and other purposes too numerous to mention. The cross cut saw for converting the trees into logs.

Wooden levers, about 6 feet 6 inches long, 3 inches in diameter (cut from the nearest tree or sapling) with which to move the logs.


Koomkoomee forest.—Situated on the west side of the spur mentioned under the former forest, and to the east of Kunai village. The soil and rock differ in no respect from the previous description; the aspect though is north, and the upper portion of the forest is on a steeper slope than the lower. The auxiliary pines are tolerably plentiful, and in places they appear to be ousting the cedar. Here again demarcation and fencing are greatly required.

The intercepting arrangements here were more elaborate, and consisted of a wall nearly 1,000 feet in length, (the width being twenty feet,) so laid out as to cut across the lower portions of the natural slides, and by its means the logs were conveyed to a certain point, whence they could reach the river with the least damage. But for this they would have gone, some into a streamlet whence further removal would have been difficult, and occasioned great delay in their after progress to the river, and others over an earthen precipice with plenty of large boulders spread about its base.

as the Joompan Khud) from the Sdeeling stream (likewise known as the Phinla Khud). This was a virgin forest in every sense of the word, it having been protected from the presence of ordinary timber traders by the rocky nature of the lower portion, rendering the removal of timber, in their non-professional eyes, so extremely improbable, that none of them had ever even dreamt of making the experiment.

In this forest I had to construct eight long intercepting walls to prevent the logs being broken or getting into inaccessible places; five of them were in the east forest, and aggregated 4,020 feet in length, while the three in the western portion amounted to 670 lineal feet; into these dozens of natural slides opened, and a tolerable constant rush of logs has passed over them. The eastern ones were all led to the only available point that offered itself for continuing their course to the river, by utilizing the bed of the Halabgar stream for a short distance from its mouth, by filling it up with stones, and levelling it as well as I could by blasting out the large rocks, and then rough pitching it; the approach to it being an earthen slide (in continuation of a short wooden one) from which large pieces of rock and stones were always escaping, caused by the passage down of the logs wearing away the earthen support from under them, and requiring constant attention in mining out projecting stones, or smash would go the logs. In fact all the breakages in the forests happen in the lower third part of the route, where the face of the country is so rocky and steep; the upper two-thirds being generally well coated with mould and the slope more favourable, accidents but rarely happen. The bed of the stream was a constant source of worry as it could not be maintained in a permanent state of repair, from the water disarranging, by its force, our attempts at keeping up a fairly even surface, and particularly during the height of the rainy season was this the case. Last year (end of July), owing to a delay in bringing some logs to the counting place, the annual flood came down and carried off 300 logs at one swoop. Some of the mountain torrents are subject to this, and woe betide everything that may be within its influence. I have known *Koonch* trees, four feet in diameter, torn up and carried, roots, branches, and all, into the Sutlej. During two or three spare intervals, of a few days each only that occurred in the passing of the logs down the intercepting walls, I managed to put in two wooden shoots of the above pattern, and the time they saved, though they were only so small, was immense. I only wished

I could have constructed more of them, but the flood of logs was so



steadily, and the men so eager not to be delayed, (doing so might have lost future labor,) that but three opportunities offered either for lengthening or building new ones, and of these I need hardly say I availed myself. The cost per cubic foot calculated similarly to those previously given in detail, amounted to Rs 0 6 10 1/2 per cubic foot. The sketch of the cross-section of the Phula Khud (vide page 406), will give an idea of the sides of the Halabgar stream, as they differed but slightly, and that only close to the Gulley, the former running gently down to its edge, while the latter was some 30 feet above it, here also was the counting place, thus no


clighting could occur. The expenditure for this forest was heavy, but spread over the very large number of logs that had to come out, the proportion is not so very much greater than in the others, and without the accessories of the intercepting walls, I feel confident that not 30 per cent of whole logs could ever have reached the river, and the greater number of those would have been so much shaken, that on being sawn up, they would have been found unsuitable for any purposes requiring strength. The logs were all cut to a length, 11 feet. The cost per cubic foot, calculated as follows:

to those previously given in detail, amounts to Re. 0-6-10 $\frac{3}{4}$ for the out-turn of this forest.


Phinla Forest.—Situated on the east flank of the spur which meets the Sutlej near the mouth of, and separated from the previous forest by, the Sdeeling stream (commonly known as the Phinla Khud.) In connection with these forests of the Himalayas it is a noteworthy circumstance that nearly all the best timber and straightest trees grow on old terraces originally made for cultivation. From particulars that I have gleaned, it appears that, somewhere between 150 and 200 years ago, an epidemic visited the country, and carried off nearly all the inhabitants; in some of the villages only one family out of 15 or 20 escaping! The population being thus so greatly reduced, cultivation could only be carried on over a limited area of the arable lands that had, previous to that event been tilled by the community, the remainder would, therefore, in the regular course of things, return to its natural state, and thus in the course of years the forests gradually but surely spread themselves over the fields and terraces as we now see them. I am inclined to believe that pine forests more or less dense have existed in these hills from time immemorial, and as the seeds retain vitality for a long time when buried in their mother earth, might they not have sprouted when no longer disturbed by the plough? When once a pine forest has taken possession of a place, I feel certain it can never be eradicated (continual fires passing over its site always excepted). The ground becomes so saturated with seed, that although it may be cleared and turned over again and again, yet when left only for a few years, a new forest will commence to be formed. There are many parts of these forests where, if merely hoed just sufficiently to loosen the soil, a most satisfactory result would ensue: a pick-axe used in like manner would also answer. My observations of the various natural slides lead me to this belief, as after a good course of ploughing up from the logs rolling and bumping over them has taken place, if the slide is not touched for sometime tiny coniferae raise their heads. In one or two instances, logs have again passed over and crushed and scattered these incipient firs, totally changing the surface of the earth, when lo, after another rest, other little fellows have sprouted up, and it is upon this circumstance that I conclude that the soil of a pine forest becomes so impregnated, that natural reproduction follows as a

matter of course, if rest is allowed, accompanied by a thorough system of fencing and enclosure to keep out flocks and herds. The auxiliary firs are here in fair numbers, with the addition of the *span* which is in great abundance near the upper limits of the decidar. The aspect is north east, the soil very good, thin at the top, but increasing in depth about half way down, overlying granite. The slope is very steep in every direction from 35° to 40° being about the angle of inclination.


Here again intercepting walls were indispensable to prevent the logs from getting into inextricable positions owing to the necessity of bringing the doorman west forest logs into the same outlet as the *Phinla* ones, and in order to economize time and labor as much as possible, I was obliged to utilize the *Sdeeling* stream from about one third of its distance up. Though the result was disappointing in every way, very expensive, and the source of after delays in the launching operations, yet these causes were quite counterbalanced by the celerity with which I could open out a rough communication between forest and river (was I not working against time, and was not the wood urgently required in the plains?) improving it afterwards as time and opportunities offered. It is quite true that I could have continued the intercepting wall across the spur in-to a natural slide in the adjoining forest of *Punning* (A to B, plate XXII), but the delay in constructing it introduced me to over-look its otherwise many advantages, and to give it up. However, as time wore on, we blasted out projecting rocks, and built catching walls at intervals in the *Sdeeling* stream, so that at last it presented the appearance of a huge staircase. The walls were very strong, being constructed with long



wooden ties, length and crosswise, ballasted with large boulders; the narrower portions of the stream had to be filled up to a width of 18



Plan of Wooden Ties and pitching adopted in Phintia Khud.



Longitudinal Section of ditto.

feet. In places wooden ways bolted to strong cross timbers (as in sketch), were laid down to expedite the passage of the logs: rough pitching everywhere had to be done. Each summer season required fresh attentions of like nature, as the body of water being then increased by the melting of the snow, it attained sufficient strength to dislodge some of the smaller boulders. Occasionally the current would change from one side to the other, when, after a certain time, displacement of rocks would ensue, blocking up part or the whole of the roadway, then new projections would crop up requir-

ing smoothing down to prevent interference with the logs. If these matters were not promptly attended to, the contract gangs would desert, and their reports of the difficulties and delays they had incurred would deter others from coming to the works. A reference to the sketch of the slides of this, and the west Joompan forest, will show that the bed of the torrent was the only means of exit, unless I had made two long additional intercepting walls (A to B, C to D, plate XXXII.,) one for each forest, and the delay and expense attendant on their construction did not, I considered, warrant my doing so. This forest had likewise never been previously felled in; it too had been protected by the rocky nature of the ground at its lower portion, and thus it was left to me to solve the question, "is extrication possible or not?" The breakage here was greatest of all, partly from having to use the stream, the water rendering the logs so slippery, that when once started, there was no certainty as to their aftermovements: a projecting knob of stone might give

them a turn into a direction they ought not to take, when a slight would ensue, the result being a smash. However the principal damage was caused by a landslide in February 1870, which coming down with great impetus on a large collection of logs (from the previous seasons work in the forest) buried them, and injured more or less the thinner ones; nevertheless with the exception of about 100, all have been extricated and launched during the past year. This performance gave me much labor, and caused delay to other parts of the work. A principal hindrance arose also from the fact of the laborers not relishing the idea of working in the water, it was not until daily work became scarce in the other forests that men could be induced to come here.

The cost of moving out timber from this forest was Rs 0-7-5½ per cubic foot. The loss before reaching the river may be assumed at one-fifth the two causes of this excessive damage have already been detailed, and therefore it is obvious that extricating logs was a mountain torrent is a mistake, and should never be attempted again.

The Ramni Forest—Is situated two miles up the valley of the Alelgard, being divided from the dance forest by the east flank of the spur that runs down to the Gulley. From its locality no one had ever dreamt of looking at it, and the inhabitants unanimously recorded their conviction that not a cubic inch of timber could ever come out of it. Its position was such, that without a large outlay for roads communicating with the Baley, not a stick of timber, whether round or squared, could ever be got out of it, in fact the time had not arrived for utilizing forests of this description placed so far away from the main river.

The Ramni forest is a magnificent one, and contains even now over 2,000 available cedar trees, besides immense tracts of auxiliary fir Oals—(Mohn) 9 to 12 feet in girth, and 70 to 80 feet high, abundant, and here are deciduous trees in great numbers, amongst the latter may be mentioned Shio, Koonch, Lar, Kahl ar, Kashim, Soab, and many others, all really useful trees for various purposes, particularly for furniture, but which, from their specific gravity being too great for that, cannot at present be utilized, as there is no means as yet of getting them to any market where any reasonable price could be obtained. The cost of covering the cost of carriage. The cost of carriage is so great that they are being burned away, accidental (1) and are being burned away.

originated for the purpose of obtaining good grass near home, for flocks and herds. By simply firing the grass above the limits of arborescent vegetation no harm could accrue, as the blaze would not descend, and danger from this source would be removed, while the extra distance for the men to take their sheep and goats is not worth mentioning: a saving of from three weeks to a month in the spring time in obtaining fresh green grass is the real cause of these fires. The old crop is burnt off the face of the ground, and the new soon sprouts up, affording early pasturage to the cattle; but for this arrangement the villagers would have to lay up a supply of fodder sufficient to carry them over that period, and this causing them exertion is objectionable, the more so when the remedy is so handy. While on this subject, I think I must give expression to a theory I have formed as to the reason why the southern slopes of these mountains are so very bare; and that is because they have been more continuously resided upon, from the fact of their aspect being south, and therefore warmer than the opposite slopes, which have a northern aspect. The usual fires have of course annually happened, and these by degrees have slowly but surely exterminated nearly everything but grass. I may add that the epidemic mentioned (at page 404 of this report) does, not appear to have crossed over to that side. The aspect of this forest is both north-east and north-west, the soil deep and good, overlying granite, gneissoid and quartzose rocks, with the glittering mica and mica slate scattered in places. The slope in the eastern portion is about 30° , while opposite it is nearer 40° . There are several level spots about the little valley, evidently formed from landslips at some former period.

As a last resource, I had to decide upon building roads, sawing up the logs into sleepers and beams, and transporting them out on carts.

When manual labor is employed it is very disadvantageous to gather logs into too large collections, as owing to the inequalities and slope of the ground the men cannot work them off fast enough, and sawing platforms are therefore necessary to this end: about 250 logs was the greatest number we ever got together in any one spot at one time. My own opinion is, that conversion into scantlings previous to removal from the forests is a mistake in every respect, and causes a dreadful waste of material in the forest; and then the hard knocks they receive in the Sutlej on their way down renders the greater portion unfit for any useful purposes. Of this I felt tolerably sure beforehand, as I had had good evi-

dence of a similar fact on the Ravee in 1865, where out of a number approaching 49,000 sleepers, only 30,000 were good for anything, the rest having been too greatly damaged in their transit from the hills to the plains

In the few spare moments we had, we tried to distil pine oil from the chips that came off the logs in converting them into saw-logs, and the experiment was tolerably successful, and would have been entirely so could but proper time and attention have been devoted to it. With a little more reaping, an oil quite equal to kerosene could be obtained at about half the cost. Up to the point we left off at, the expenditure was about Rs 180 per mawnd (without reaping and merely for distilling the oil from the chips). The idea here was to try and make a sufficient quantity of it to send to Simla for sale, using the mules that brought out the provisions for its transport, and thus by giving the muleteers a return far lower the prices of the said provisions this, and not the hope of making any profit on the oil, was the sole reason for making the experiment. So many other matters however engaged our attention, that by degrees the matter fell to the ground from want of opportunity and time to carry it out. The auxiliary fire would yield an annual supply of turpentine oil if properly looked after for such a purpose. A small niche, slightly sloping to one corner, and a tin cup with protruding lip, fixed underneath, would catch all the fluid that the trees could spare. Exhaustion should not be resorted to, its waste of its superabundance of the fluid should a tree be made to give 2 or 3 inches should work up spirally round a tree (like the worm of a bark-worm) at regular intervals, and only one incision in a tree in a year would be made

In this forest the expenditure amounted to Rs 62½ and the logs been removed in similar manner as in other forests, we would have been Rs 74½ per tree, Rs 23-11½ per log, Rs 1½ per cubic foot, for extracting timber from this forest. The same calculation to be derived from the foregoing figures is, that the expenditure on the first of all constructed between the works and the sea, no attempt to extricate logs or timber from a forest ~~could ever again~~

be tried.

But the actual cost of the same ~~works~~ was much greater.

be seen from the following table, which shows the details of the out-turn of sawn timber, launched into the River.

| Dimensions of each sleeper. | No. of sleeper launched. | Contents in feet. | Merely scant- lings, 10 cubic feet. | Remarks. |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---|---|
| 12 x 11 x 11 | 3,071 | 30,986 | 6,119 | Total pieces of scant- lings sawn, |
| 12 x 11 x 5½ | 2,589 | 11,915 | 2,589 | 12,604 |
| 14 x 11 x 11 | 1,287 | 15,146 | 2,571 | Total Launched, |
| 14 x 11 x 5½ | 739 | 1,316 | 739 | 11,368 |
| 16 x 11 x 11 | 607 | 8,160 | 1,211 | Deduct for 1,110 broken pieces launched, |
| 16 x 11 x 5½ | 359 | 2,413 | 359 | 555 |
| 18 x 11 x 11 | 333 | 5,112 | 676 | Leaves for breakage in transit from forest to river, being equal to an average of 5·13 per cent. |
| 18 x 11 x 5½ | 298 | 1,573 | 298 | 681 |
| 20 x 11 x 11 | 245 | 4,789 | 1,140 | |
| 20 x 11 x 5½ | 197 | 1,655 | 391 | |
| 22 x 11 x 11 | 496 | 9,169 | 1,981 | |
| 22 x 11 x 5½ | 306 | 2,828 | 612 | |
| 24 x 11 x 11 | 351 | 7,073 | 1,401 | Average contents of each piece of sawn scant- lings, 10 cubic feet. |
| 24 x 11 x 5½ | 210 | 2,117 | 420 | |
| 26 x 11 x 11 | 131 | 2,861 | 521 | |
| 26 x 11 x 5½ | 59 | 611 | 118 | |
| | 11,036 | 1,10,826 | 20,903 | |
| Add still to come out, ... | 332 | 3,320 | 628 | |
| Add for broken pieces, ... | 555 | 4,995 | ... | |
| Total ... | 11,923 | 1,19,141 | 21,531 | |

Thus each sleeper will have cost Rs. 2-14-2½, while on each cubic foot of sawn timber has been expended Rs. 0-8-4½ merely for putting into the water, and without any allowance for supervision, catching, rafting, &c.

Up to the end of 1870 there had reached Phillour 4,47½ whole scantlings, and 1,656 broken pieces, out of 8,039 whole, and 791 broken pieces

of scantling launched (August 31st, 1870) proving that the river must cause serious damage to timber in transit, and in a much greater proportion than occurs in bringing it from forest to river.

Success in the River Suiley—This was an actual necessity for various reasons, particularly so to try and check the robberies of logs that daily occur. My Assistants, who had the management of this work, had a most unpleasant time of it, as the whole of the villages inhabiting the valley on either side of the Suiley were against them, and I put every difficulty in their way to try and prevent them carrying out the work in a proper manner. Yet not only were the villagers against our proceedings in this matter, but likewise the Rajahs through whose territories the river passes. The favorite method of obliterating marks, and most ingenious every one must admit it to be, as to sodden with water that part of the log where the mark has been cut up and then to let a good sized stone and pound away. Thus gradually the wood is peeled off, leaving not a trace to show how it was done, or that there had ever been an imprint of any description on any portion of the log. It may be objected that the fact of the logs being crossawn at the ends would deter the people from touching any of that sort. Not a bit of it. By judiciously chipping one end with an axe, and slightly rounding the other this drawback is at once removed, and I would afford a spectator the idea that it had come from the forests in its state. The objection is so perfect that detection—unless caught in the act—is impossible. These two kinds of deception will give some slight notion of the difficulties that lay in our path. I might add a third example, which is to run a log up on to one of the numerous sand banks that abound in the lower portion of the river, and there bury it, removing it at such time or opportunity as might prove best suitable.

There is no doubt, but that without this sweeping, the greatest part of the logs that have already reached the plains would not have done so, as when the river is on the annual decline, great numbers of them get into side channels, or to sand banks, or to creeks on solitary rocks in mid stream, and there they remain until such times as the water again rises sufficient to remove them. In the meantime they are undergoing a trying process from the rays of the sun, which, shining only on the upper portion, while the underside in the water or on damp ground, causes longitudinal cracks in them, ruining their value and usefulness. This can be avoided by simply assisting them into the nearest channel, so

expediting their arrival at the depôts. Sweeping gangs, under European supervision, should always be kept up for this purpose.

Here again we had to make foot-paths to enable us to keep as near the banks of the river as possible. Several miles of them were constructed at the worst positions of the route, and where it was difficult or dangerous to proceed along without them.

They were about 18 inches in width. But for them the parts of the river they were intended to serve, and where logs were found to stick in numbers, could not have been visited by us. The logs would have gone on collecting, and we should all have wondered what had become of them.

There are some very bad places in the river, full of large boulders and rocks, the worst ones being under the Rusthall and Dippi Forests, below Serahan, and particularly so at Lakkri Ghât, between Belaspore and Naila, and it is here I feel certain that the principal damage to logs happens. It is a mixture of rapid and waterfall, with the bed below choked with the debris of ages, and on this the logs are driven with such force, that breakage ensues, and thus do I account for the innumerable small pieces of timber that yearly reach our depôts. The only remedy, so long as the river is to continue to be the mode of transit for the logs, is to construct a dam about a couple of miles up on the Belaspore side, and make a small canal round, rejoining the river a short distance below. Ten feet wide and about 7 feet in depth would be quite sufficient to float the logs down singly. Another plan would be to catch all logs between Dhair and Seeree, and from the latter place make a line of railway (following the right bank) to Bull for their conveyance past this objectionable rapid.

Catching the logs in the Plains.—The arrangements made for this purpose extended over a lengthened space, (at least 100 miles of river frontage), so as to allow the logs to be caught during daylight, as they are invisible at night, and it would have been too dangerous to send the skinsmen out after dark. To this end there were no less than 31 catching stations fixed at different points on the river between Naila, at the great bend of the Sutlej, and Kurrianah, seven miles above Phillour, so that logs passing the upper stations during the night would be in the neighbourhood of the lower ones towards daylight, when they would be secured. In many places the river is very wide, and is frequently divided into several side channels, which, although capable of floating logs down for three months in the year, during the height of the rains, become,

the main channel lowers, so many traps to catch our logs. They have been the ultimate cause of great trouble and expense in extricating our logs from their dry beds, an operation which was always performed towards the end of each season, when the depots and the river were generally cleared, and the results taken down to Phyllour loosely, or in rafts, as occasion served. The river is so low in the winter months that logs cannot float far, as they are certain to be brought up by a sand bank. With an average gang of men to keep them together, there is no chance of their going astray, even if taken down in the former manner.

The process of catching the logs is to engage, about the month of May, a certain number of tarroos (men with inflated skins) who watch for the logs, a short distance on the upper side of their respective depôts, and as soon as they observe one coming, jump into the water and swim out to it, roll it over to note its mark, and, if it belongs to their employer, work it gradually to the catching station where it is landed, and at once rolled up the bank out of reach of unexpected floods. The same process is repeated daily throughout the season. As payment is only made on the logs caught and landed, it may be imagined with what eagerness the gangs would rival one another in their efforts to catch the logs, the first one touching it naturally claiming it, and some ludicrous scenes are occasionally enacted by the men in their anxiety to attain their object. The inflated skin sometimes collapses just as the owner is about to touch a log, and under it he most likely goes, amidst the jeers of his more fortunate brethren, however, as the tarroos are all expert swimmers, beyond the loss of a log no harm would ensue. To prevent paying twice over for the logs, each had a store number cut into the sapwood. They were also booked somewhat after the style before-mentioned, as being in use in forests, thus cheating in this respect could not well occur.

The rates for labor under this heading were—

| | | | |
|---|----|----|----|
| Rs. A. P. | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Daily laborers, | .. | .. | .. |
| Monthly tarroos (small inflated skins), | .. | .. | .. |
| " " " " | .. | .. | .. |
| Dress, (large) | .. | .. | .. |
| Carpenter, per day, | .. | .. | .. |
| Cleaning knots, per 100 logs, | .. | .. | .. |
| Catching logs, per 100, | .. | .. | .. |
| (Including landing and rolling up the bank) | .. | .. | .. |
| Catching sleepers, per 100, | .. | .. | .. |
| (Including removing to above high water mark) | .. | .. | .. |
| Rs. A. P. | 0 | 2 | 0 |

Rafting logs from the Catching Stations to the Dépôt at Phillour.—This is the last scene in the life of a "forest" log, as once arrived at its destination, its conversion is so speedy, that further separate existence it has not, and from thenceforward enters into the family of useful necessities for the comfort of the human race. The rafts generally contain 30 logs, and are taken charge of by 2 or 3 men; the time occupied in proceeding from Pulhan (the head-quarters of the catching and rafting operations, to Phillour being nearly a month in the low seasons of the year, although in June to August, when the river is in full flood, about 8 to 10 days suffice. The rafts are tied together with bamboos (*Bambusa stricta*) laid crosswise, and native twisted rope made of *Moonj* or of *Bhagghar*, and are steered by a couple of long *chooarees* at the tail. At night they moor alongside the bank, proceeding on next morning. It was considered impossible to take logs down during the height of the floods, but the necessity of delivering the timber early and regularly drove us to make the trial, and although some difficulty met us at first from the fears of the tarroos, we overcame that, and now for the last two seasons they have offered no further objections on this score.

We had a couple of boats for inspection purposes, and to examine the side channels in the banks of the river, and although the trips in them, performed during the height of the rains, were attended by danger, particularly so at the different rapids, where, unless the management of the helm was properly looked after, a smash up against the conglomerated sides of the river's bank would ensue, with drowning to follow as a sure result; yet the cold weather excursions were very pleasant. Visits to the different catching stations, or to stray logs about the river, in order to note their positions, and give orders for their due removal, made pleasant breaks in this, otherwise quiet existence.

The rates for labor and materials under this were—

| | RS. | A. | P. |
|--|------|----|-----|
| Rafting logs (labor only) per 100, | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| Rs. 11, 13, 26, and 30, have been paid at times, dependent on nearness to Phillour or size of logs. | | | |
| Rafting sleepers per 10, | 4 | to | 5 |
| Moonj rope for tying rafts, per maund of 80 lbs., .. | 2 | 8 | 0 |
| Bhagghar rope for ditto, per maund of 80 lbs., .. | 1-4 | to | 1-8 |
| Chooarees for guiding rafts, per 100, | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| Bamboos for keeping logs together when in rafts, per 100, .. | 1-12 | to | 2-8 |
| Boatmen, per month, | 5 | 0 | 0 |

About two annas (slightly under) per cubic foot has been the average cost for catching and rafting out timber up to date, which sum also includes the cost for supervision, purchase of materials, and all charges that have been incurred for this purpose. I should have been very glad to have presented this information in a more detailed manner, separating the labor from supervision, &c, but the particulars of the first two seasons' expenditure have only been supplied to me by our Chief Office in an abstract form, I am prevented from doing so.

Total Out turn—From the details of each forest, it is calculated that 894,889 cubic feet of timber had on May 31st, 1871, been launched from them. The total expenditure for supervision, &c, (to the 31st May, 1871) was as follows —

| Rs. A P | | |
|-----------|----|---|
| 67,000 | 0 | Purchase money, |
| 28,000 | 0 | Seigniorage to the Rajah, |
| 8,476 | 15 | Office expenses (includes Commission for Cash Remittances), |
| 86,438 | 7 | Salary Account, |
| 100 | 6 | Travelling Allowances, |
| 6,504 | 4 | Native Establishment, |
| 436 | 0 | Medical Expenses, |
| 9,093 | 0 | Purchase of Tools and Materials |
| 3,647 | 3 | Blasting Powder, |
| 4,081 | 14 | Carriage of Materials, |
| 7,121 | 2 | Bunglows, Stores Huts and Powder Magazine |
| 39,500 | 14 | Wangta and Kibba Mule Road, |
| 411 | 14 | Loss on provisions, &c, |
| 2 | 61 | Law Expenses, &c, |
| 4,379 | 11 | Bad debts, |
| 14,702 | 11 | Sweeping the Sudds, |
| Total Rs. | | |
| 314,100 | 2 | |

From this has to be deducted the proportions to be recovered from Mr. Aratoon, and what lost amounting to Rs. 35,418, leaving Rs. 278,712 2-9 to be divided over the out turn of the forest logs, being an average of 5 annas per foot cube for supervision, as the charges for putting it into the river to be added to the forest labor charges above detailed for each forest. That it is excessive, I admit, but the difficulty of procuring labor in sufficient quantity to carry out the work to a speedy termination is the principal, though not sole cause for it, the other being the necessity of keeping up an extensive establishment owing to the extended periods of the forests, and last, but not least, the delay occasioned by the British Forest.

LIST OF TREES AND PLANTS MENTIONED IN THIS ARTICLE.

| Kunawuree Name. | Botanical Name. | English Name. |
|-----------------|--|-----------------------|
| Bhagghar. | <i>Andropogon involutus.</i> | |
| Breekche. | <i>Quercus Ilex.</i> | Holly leaved Oak. |
| Chooaree. | <i>Bambusa arundinacea.</i> | Small Bamboo. |
| Kakkar. | <i>Pistacia Integerrima.</i> | Pistacia. |
| Kashin. | <i>Rhus Buckiamela.</i> | Sumach. |
| Kelmung. | <i>Cedrus Deodara.</i> | Himalayan Cedar. |
| Koonch. | <i>Alnus Nipalensis.</i> | Alder. |
| Laur. | <i>Acer cultratum.</i> | Maple. |
| Lim. | <i>Pinus Excelsa.</i> | Lofty Pine. |
| Mohru. | <i>Quercus dilatata.</i> | Oak. |
| Moonj. | <i>Saccharum Munja and Eriophorum Comosum.</i> | |
| Rai. | <i>Abies Smithiana.</i> | Himalayan Spruce. |
| Shko... .. | <i>Ulmus campestris.</i> | Elm. |
| Soah. | <i>Morus Serrata.</i> | Himalayan Mulberry. |
| Span... .. | <i>Picea Webbiana.</i> | Himalayan Silver Fir. |

J. P. P.

LIST OF TREES AND PLANTS MENTIONED IN THIS ARTICLE.

| Kunawuree Name. | Botanical Name. | English Name. |
|-----------------|--|-----------------------|
| Bhagghar. | <i>Andropogon involutus.</i> | |
| Breekche. | <i>Quercus Ilex.</i> | Holly leaved Oak. |
| Chooaree. | <i>Bambusa arundinacea.</i> | Small Bamboo. |
| Kakkar. | <i>Pistacia Integerrima.</i> | Pistacia. |
| Kashin. | <i>Rhus Buckiamela.</i> | Sumach. |
| Kelmung. | <i>Cedrus Deodara.</i> | Himalayan Cedar. |
| Koonch. | <i>Alnus Nipalensis.</i> | Alder. |
| Laur. | <i>Acer cultratum.</i> | Maple. |
| Lim. | <i>Pinus Excelsa.</i> | Lofty Pine. |
| Mohru. | <i>Quercus dilatata.</i> | Oak. |
| Moonj. | <i>Saccharum Munja and Eriophorum Comosum.</i> | |
| Rai. | <i>Abies Smithiana.</i> | Himalayan Spruce. |
| Shko... .. | <i>Ulmus campestris.</i> | Elm. |
| Soah... .. | <i>Morus Serrata.</i> | Himalayan Mulberry. |
| Span... .. | <i>Picea Webbiana.</i> | Himalayan Silver Fir. |

J. P. P.

| No. | W | Ea | fi | P |
|-----|---|----|----|---|
|-----|---|----|----|---|

22 *BASSIA LATIFOLIA.
(*Sapotacæ.*)

| |
|-------------------------|
| 66 3420 20070 760 |
|-------------------------|

23 BASSIA LONGIFOLIA.

| |
|-------------------------|
| 60 3174 15070 730 |
|-------------------------|

24 *BAUHINIA VARIEGATA.
(*Leguminosæ.*)

25 BAUHINIA VAHLII.

26 BERRYA AMMONILLA.
(*Tiliacæ.*)

| |
|-------------------------|
| 50 3836 26704 784 |
|-------------------------|

27 BETULA BHOJPUTRA.

28 BIGNONIA CHELONOIDES.
(*Bignoniacæ.*)

| |
|-------------------------|
| 48 2804 16657 642 |
|-------------------------|

29 BIGNONIA STIPULATA.

| |
|--------------------------|
| 64 5033 28998 1386 |
|--------------------------|

30 *BOMBAX HEPTAPHYLLUM.
(*Bombacæ.*)

| |
|-------------------|
| 2225 6951 678 |
|-------------------|

31 BORASSUS FLABELLIFORMIS.
(*Palmacæ.*)

| |
|-------------------------|
| 65 4904 11898 914 |
|-------------------------|

The wood is lighter colored, and close-grained, but of less strength than that of the last named species. It is used for house-building, and cart framing, and has been employed for railway sleepers.

The "Mahwah" a well known Indian tree is in most districts preserved for its large fleshy flowers which are eaten and used in distilling arrack. The wood is, however, sometimes used for doors and windows and furniture: but it is said to be eagerly devoured by white ants.

A common tree in Southern and Central India, esteemed for its edible flower and fruit, and the oil extracted from its seeds. For these reasons it is not commonly considered a timber tree, though in Malabar where it attains a large size, it is used for spars, and is considered nearly equal to teak though smaller.

This and other species of the genus are valuable, not for their timber, but as ornamental trees for avenues, &c., having beautiful conspicuous flowers: the centre wood is hard and dark like ebony, but seldom large enough for building purposes.

Some species of Bauhinia are scandent plants: and among the largest of these is the "Elephant Creeper" (a name applied also to *Argyrea nervosa*) which destroys hundreds of valuable timber trees in the Sál Forests of Northern India, where one of the most arduous duties of the forest department is the eradication of these gigantic creepers whose cable like stems form festoons from tree to tree.

'Trincomallie' wood is indigenous to Ceylon whence large quantities are annually imported into India; but the tree has also been introduced into South India. It is the most valuable wood in Ceylon for naval purposes: and furnishes the material of the Madras Masoolu Boats: it is considered the best wood for capstan bars, cross trees, and fishes for masts. It is light, strong and flexible, and takes the place of *Ash* in Southern India for shafts, helves, &c.

This is the best known of the Himalayan birches, and is valuable for its abundant loose bark used as paper, and for lining baskets, hookah tubes, &c.: also as a layer over the planking for roofs to receive the tiles or terrace in the native houses in Tibet, &c.

This tree with its large fragrant, brownish orange colored flowers, is considered sacred by the Hindoos, and is consequently not largely available as timber. The wood is highly colored, orange yellow, hard and durable: a good fancy wood and suitable for house building. It is found in Southern India and Assam.

A flowering tree of the Tenasserim forests which furnishes logs 18 feet in length, and 4 feet in girth, with strong, fibrous elastic timber, resembling Teak, used in house building, and for bows and spear handles. This is one of the strongest, densest, and most valuable of the Burman woods.

The large and stately Red "Cotton" tree is widely distributed throughout India and Burmah. Its light loose-grained wood is valueless as timber, but is extensively used for packing cases, tea chests, and camel trunks: and as it does not rot in water, it is useful for stakes in Canal banks, &c. It is a rapidly growing tree, and long planks three feet in width can be obtained from old trees.

The "Palmyra palm" is inferior only to the Date and Coconut palms to the natives of Asia. The sap furnishes Toddy, the seeds are eaten, the leaves are used for thatching, mats, baskets, and for writing upon: while the timber, which is very durable and of great strength to sustain cross strain, is used for rafters, joists and

| No | W | L | H | P |
|----|---|---|---|---|
|----|---|---|---|---|

bark The trees have however to obtain a considerable age,

| | | | | | | |
|----|-----------------|---------------|----|------|-------|-----|
| 32 | IMBEDIA SPINOSA | (Euphorbiace) | 60 | 4132 | 14601 | 892 |
|----|-----------------|---------------|----|------|-------|-----|

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| 33 | IBUTIA FRODOSA | (Leguminosae) | | | | |
| The "dark" tree, with its brilliant scarlet flowers is widely distributed throughout in the and often forms large tracts of low forest. The wood is generally small or grafted, and used only for firewood. In Guernsey, however, it is extremely useful for house purposes, and is also used for railway sleepers. It is well suited for water, and is consequently used for well curbs. | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| 34 | IBUTIA SPINOSA | (Euphorbiace) | | | | |
| The tree is found in the North West Himalayas but is here abundant, furnishes a very valuable wood for all the house work engineering, and is largely employed for this purpose at the Lushington C. Co. College, at Lushington. This tree is abundant in Tennessee, and furnishes a wood of great elasticity and strength, invaluable for gun carriages. It is used by the Americans for axle, cart poles, and other handles. This tree is widely distributed through South Eastern Asia, and is an important article of commerce, but from its value as a dye wood, it is not available as timber. The wood is a beautiful, flame color, with a smooth glossy surface, easily worked, and neither warping nor cracking. | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|----|----------------|---------------|----|------|-------|-----|
| 35 | IBUTIA SPINOSA | (Euphorbiace) | 60 | 4132 | 14601 | 892 |
| 36 | IBUTIA SPINOSA | (Euphorbiace) | 60 | 4132 | 14601 | 892 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|--------------------|-------------|----|------|-------|-----|
| 37 | CALAMUS | (Palmarace) | | | | |
| 38 | CALAMUS AUSTRIACUS | (Palmarace) | 45 | 3111 | 15661 | 612 |
| 39 | CALAMUS AUSTRIACUS | (Palmarace) | 45 | 3111 | 15661 | 612 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|--------------------|-------------|----|------|-------|-----|
| 40 | CALAMUS AUSTRIACUS | (Palmarace) | 45 | 3111 | 15661 | 612 |
| 41 | CALAMUS AUSTRIACUS | (Palmarace) | 45 | 3111 | 15661 | 612 |
| 42 | CALAMUS AUSTRIACUS | (Palmarace) | 45 | 3111 | 15661 | 612 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|--------------------|-------------|----|------|-------|-----|
| 43 | CALAMUS AUSTRIACUS | (Palmarace) | 45 | 3111 | 15661 | 612 |
| 44 | CALAMUS AUSTRIACUS | (Palmarace) | 45 | 3111 | 15661 | 612 |
| 45 | CALAMUS AUSTRIACUS | (Palmarace) | 45 | 3111 | 15661 | 612 |

| No. | W | Ed | f _t | p |
|-----|---|----|----------------|---|
|-----|---|----|----------------|---|

43 *CEDRELA TOONA.
(*Cedrelaceæ.*)

| | | | | |
|--|----|--------------|------|-----|
| | 31 | 2681 3568 | 9000 | 560 |
|--|----|--------------|------|-----|

wood is suited for furniture : in Malabar, however, it grows large enough to be used for spars of native boats.

The "Toon" is a valuable tree found throughout India, and yields a wood extensively used by furniture and cabinet makers; which though not strong, is light, aromatic, close grained, beautifully veined, easily worked, and susceptible of a high polish.

44 CEDRUS DEODARA.
(*Conifereæ.*)

| | | | | |
|--|--|------|--|-----|
| | | 3565 | | 456 |
| | | 3203 | | 586 |
| | | 3925 | | 517 |
| | | | | 655 |

This handsome tree of the North West Himalaya furnishes a fragrant, almost imperishable, timber of great value in roof and bridge building, and for railway sleepers. It is considered to be identical with the cedar of Lebanon. It is the most valuable timber of the Himalayas, where it grows in large forests. The appearance of the tree and value of the timber vary much with the soil, and aspect of the place where it is grown.

45 CHICKRASSIA TABULARIS.
(*Cedrelaceæ.*)

| | | | | |
|--|----|------|------|-----|
| | 42 | 2876 | 9913 | 614 |
|--|----|------|------|-----|

This is one of the trees named in commerce "*Chittagong*" wood though occurring also in Burmah, Southern India and Eastern Bengal. The wood resembles "Toon" in appearance and aroma, but is more strong and tough, though very liable to warp; it is used as 'Mahogany' by cabinet makers.

46 CHLOROXLON SWIETENIA.
(*Cedrelaceæ.*)

| | | | | |
|--|----|------|-------|-----|
| | 60 | 4163 | 11369 | 870 |
|--|----|------|-------|-----|

This tree of Southern India and Ceylon, produces a beautiful yellow wood somewhat resembling 'box' and known as *Satin wood*: it is well adapted for ornamental decoration, and for picture frames is nearly equal to American maple. Logs up to 18 feet long and 6 feet in girth are obtainable in Madras. It is a hard and durable wood, used for posts and rafters, agricultural implements, and wheel naves.

47 COCOS NUCIFERA.
(*Palmaceæ.*)

| | | | | |
|--|----|------|------|-----|
| | 70 | 3605 | 9150 | 608 |
|--|----|------|------|-----|

The "Cocoanut palm" widely distributed through Southern India and the Eastern Archipelago, is one of the most valuable of trees, chiefly esteemed for its nut, but furnishing also a very hard and durable wood, fitted for ridge poles, rafters, battens, posts, pipes, boats, &c. It grows from 40 to 100 feet high, and 2 to 4 feet mean girth, and thrives best near the sea.

48 CONNARUS SPECIOSA.
(*Connaraceæ.*)

49 CONOCARPUS ACUMINATUS.
(*Combretaceæ.*)

| | | | | |
|--|----|------|-------|-----|
| | 59 | 4352 | 20623 | 880 |
|--|----|------|-------|-----|

A large tree plentiful in the Burmese forests, with heavy, strong white timber, adapted to every purpose of house building. A large timber tree of Southern India and Burmah, where it reaches a height of 80 feet before the first branch, and a girth of 12 feet at 6 feet above ground. The heart wood is reddish brown, hard and durable; used for house and cart building. If exposed to water it soon decays.

50 *CONOCARPUS LATIFOLIUS.

| | | | | |
|--|----|------|-------|------|
| | 65 | 5033 | 21155 | 1220 |
|--|----|------|-------|------|

This is an equally large tree as the preceding: but it is more widely distributed, occurring in Northern India, and in the Dehra Dhoon. It furnishes a hard durable chocolate colored wood, very strong in sustaining cross strain. In Nagpore 20,000 axle trees are annually made from this wood. It is well suited for carriage shafts.

51 CUPRESSUS TORULOSA.
(*Conifereæ.*)

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|

This is a handsome lofty tree of the North West Himalaya; but is not at all abundant: and being esteemed as sacred (and termed 'dewadara' (deodar) or "god timber" in some hill states) it is not felled or made generally available as timber, though very well suited for this purpose.

52 DALBERGIA LATIFOLIA.
(*Leguminosæ.*)

| | | | | |
|--|----|------|-------|-----|
| | 50 | 4053 | 20283 | 912 |
|--|----|------|-------|-----|

This tree is distributed throughout India, but reaches perfection on the Malabar coast. It is perhaps the most valuable tree of the Madras presidency, furnishing the well known Malabar blackwood. The trunk sometimes measures 15 feet in girth, and planks 4 feet broad are often procurable, after the outside white wood has been removed. It is used for all sorts of furniture, and is especially valued in gun carriage manufacture.

53 *DALBERGIA Oojeinensis.

A tree 30 feet high, growing in the valleys of the Himalaya, in Oudh, on the Godavery, and in Bombay. The centre timber is dark, of great strength and toughness, especially adapted for cart wheels, and ploughs.

| No. | W. | L. | H. | P. |
|--|----|------|-------|------|
| 54 | 50 | 4022 | 2127 | 807 |
| There is scarcely a tree in India which deserves more attention than the <i>Shorea</i> , taking into account its beauty and uses and its rapid growth. | | | | |
| 55 | 60 | 3516 | 12072 | 706 |
| It is more and for planting in Campments. It furnishes the best material for carriage axles with their best timber, and in the best of all. | | | | |
| 56 | 70 | 5630 | 17033 | 907 |
| (<i>Dillenia</i>) | | | | |
| 57 | 43 | 3335 | 12691 | 711 |
| (<i>Dillenia</i>) | | | | |
| 58 | 60 | 4296 | 19830 | 757 |
| A middle sized tree of Ceylon and Ceylon, furnishing a fine and costly cabinet work. | | | | |
| 59 | 81 | 5078 | 15373 | 1160 |
| This is the North Indian representative of the European <i>Alnus</i> . It is a tree of considerable size, with black streaks and marks, esteemed for ornamental purposes and valuable. Obtainable in logs 12 feet long, 4 feet in diameter. | | | | |
| 60 | 45 | 3247 | 16761 | |
| (<i>Dipterocarpus</i>) | | | | |
| 61 | 45 | 3235 | 15070 | 762 |
| The tree producing the <i>Hydrocotyle</i> fruit, is distributed throughout India, furnishing a hard and durable wood, used for gun stocks, furniture, boxes and veneering, and is available for well curing, as it does not decay under water. | | | | |
| 62 | 45 | 3235 | 15070 | 762 |
| (<i>Hydrocotyle</i>) | | | | |
| 63 | 45 | 3235 | 15070 | 762 |
| (<i>Hydrocotyle</i>) | | | | |
| 64 | 46 | 2270 | 16761 | 662 |
| (<i>Hydrocotyle</i>) | | | | |
| 65 | 46 | 2270 | 16761 | 662 |
| (<i>Hydrocotyle</i>) | | | | |

78 INGA XITOCARPA. 58 | 4283 | 16637 | 836
 well suited for aracruz. The heart wood is black, and is termed Iron wood in Burmah.
 This valuable timber tree known as the Iron wood of Arracan is found throughout Southern India and Burmah, furnishing a

79 JUGLANS REGIA. (Juglandaceae). 40 | 3663 | 15388 | 637
 41 | 3663 | 15388 | 612
 the grove any
 of wagons, &c.
 The Jango is generally diffused over all the warmer parts of Asia, and is much esteemed for its fruit. The wood, however, is of inferior quality, coarse and often grained, of a deep gray color, decaying if exposed to wet, and greatly eaten by white ants. It is, however, largely used, being plentiful and cheap, for common doors and doorposts, boards and furniture, and also for firewood. It should never be used for beams, as it is liable to warp off short

81 MANISIA INDICA. (Zygophyllaceae). 42 | 3710 | 9318 | 612
 43 | 3710 | 9318 | 603
 40 | 3663 | 15388 | 637
 41 | 3663 | 15388 | 612
 the grove any
 of wagons, &c.
 The Jango is generally diffused over all the warmer parts of Asia, and is much esteemed for its fruit. The wood, however, is of inferior quality, coarse and often grained, of a deep gray color, decaying if exposed to wet, and greatly eaten by white ants. It is, however, largely used, being plentiful and cheap, for common doors and doorposts, boards and furniture, and also for firewood. It should never be used for beams, as it is liable to warp off short

82 MELANOMISCA USTATA. (Anacardiaceae). 40 | 3663 | 15388 | 637
 41 | 3663 | 15388 | 612
 the grove any
 of wagons, &c.
 The Jango is generally diffused over all the warmer parts of Asia, and is much esteemed for its fruit. The wood, however, is of inferior quality, coarse and often grained, of a deep gray color, decaying if exposed to wet, and greatly eaten by white ants. It is, however, largely used, being plentiful and cheap, for common doors and doorposts, boards and furniture, and also for firewood. It should never be used for beams, as it is liable to warp off short

83 MELIA AZARACH. (Meliaceae). 40 | 3663 | 15388 | 637
 41 | 3663 | 15388 | 612
 the grove any
 of wagons, &c.
 The Jango is generally diffused over all the warmer parts of Asia, and is much esteemed for its fruit. The wood, however, is of inferior quality, coarse and often grained, of a deep gray color, decaying if exposed to wet, and greatly eaten by white ants. It is, however, largely used, being plentiful and cheap, for common doors and doorposts, boards and furniture, and also for firewood. It should never be used for beams, as it is liable to warp off short

84 MICHELIA CHAMPACA. (Magnoliaceae). 42 | 3710 | 9318 | 612
 43 | 3710 | 9318 | 603
 40 | 3663 | 15388 | 637
 41 | 3663 | 15388 | 612
 the grove any
 of wagons, &c.
 The Jango is generally diffused over all the warmer parts of Asia, and is much esteemed for its fruit. The wood, however, is of inferior quality, coarse and often grained, of a deep gray color, decaying if exposed to wet, and greatly eaten by white ants. It is, however, largely used, being plentiful and cheap, for common doors and doorposts, boards and furniture, and also for firewood. It should never be used for beams, as it is liable to warp off short

86 MICROCOPES FLVGI (Sapotaceae). 41 | 3663 | 15388 | 637
 87 MICROCOPES HICAXBRIA. 70 | 3918 | 13036 | 911
 88 MICROCOPES INDICA. 49 | 4296 | 23521 | 612
 brown color; used for house building, and for gun stocks
 correct-grained, but strong fibers; durable wood of a reddish
 This is a valuable tree of South India and Ceylon; with
 for instrument, rulers, and other articles of timber.
 very similar to the last named, used for similar purposes; and
 This tree grows in South India and Ceylon, and furnishes wood
 building.
 and is used for cabinet making, purposes, and ordinary house
 wood is heavy, the beauty
 This is an ornamental, more than a useful tree, grown in gar-

| No. | W | Ed | H | P | |
|-----|---|------|-------|-----|--|
| 89 | *MORINGA PTERYGOSPERMA. A handsome tall tree, with shady foliage, and of rapid growth. (Moringaceæ.) The wood is white and soft; and the scrapings from the root form a good substitute for the horse radish. | | | | |
| 90 | *MORUS INDICA. This species of <i>Mulberry</i> , as well as <i>Morus Multicaulis</i> , and <i>M. Nigra</i> , are common in Northern India: in some parts of the Punjab and Oudh being planted in connection with silk worm rearing. It is also grown in avenues, for which, however, it is unsuited, being for many months quite bare of leaves. The wood is yellow, close-grained, very tough, and well suited for turning. (Moraceæ.) | | | | |
| 91 | NAUCLEA CADUMBA. A noble ornamental tree of India and Burmah, with orange (Cinchonaceæ.) colored flowers: sometimes in the latter country, reaching 80 feet in height, and 12 feet in girth. It has a hard, deep yellow, loose-grained wood, used for furniture. In the Gwalior bazaars, it is the commonest building timber, and is much used for rafters on account of cheapness and lightness, but it is obtained there only in small scantlings. | | | | |
| 92 | *NAUCLEA CORDIFOLIA. This is also a very large tree, with a soft close even-grained wood resembling in appearance Box, but light and more easily worked, and very susceptible to alternations of temperature. It is esteemed as an ornamental wood for cabinet purposes. | | | | |
| | 42 | 3052 | 10131 | 661 | |
| | | 3167 | | 506 | |
| 93 | *NAUCLEA PARVIFLORA. A large fine timber tree: with a wood of fine grain easily worked, used for flooring planks, packing boxes and cabinet purposes; it is much used by the wood carvers of Saharunpore. | | | | |
| | 42 | | | 100 | |
| 94 | *PHOENIX SYLVESTRIS. This wild "date palm" is common all over India, and is valued (Palmarceæ.) for the 'toddy' extracted from it. The trunks are used for temporary bridges, revetment piling, and water conduits. The wood is brown and cross-grained, and not very strong. | | | | |
| | 39 | 3313 | 8356 | 512 | |
| 95 | PICEA WEBBIANA. The silver fir, of the N. W. Himalaya, grows at high altitudes, (Coniferæ.) 8000 to 12000 feet, in dark sombre forests: and reaches from 100 to 200 feet in height, with very short straight lateral branches. The wood is white, soft, easily split, and used as shingle for roofing, but is not generally valued as timber. | | | | |
| | 88 | | | | |
| 96 | PINUS EXCELSA. A handsome lofty pine growing at altitudes of 6000 to 11000 in the N. W. Himalaya, and furnishing a resinous wood, much used for flambeaux: it is durable and close-grained; much used for burning charcoal in the hills: and also for building. | | | | |
| 97 | *PINUS LONGIFOLIA. The long leaved 'Cheer' pine is the first of this genus obtained in ascending the Himalaya, growing from 2000 to 6000 feet altitude; and being common and light, is largely used in house building. It requires however to be protected from the weather, and is suitable for only interior work in houses. It grows well as an imported tree in the plains as low as Meerut. | | | | |
| | | 1048 | | 609 | |
| | | 4668 | | 735 | |
| | | 3806 | | 594 | |
| | | 3672 | | 582 | |
| 98 | *PONGAMIA GLABRA. This tree grows all over India and Burmah, and is an excellent (Leguminosæ.) avenue tree, reaching in good soil a height of 40 feet, with dense dark green shining foliage all the year round, which, however, is apt to be much disfigured by numberless leaf-mining insects, 'blotching' the leaves. The wood is light, tough and fibrous, but not easily worked, yellowish brown in color, not taking a smooth surface. Solid wheels are made from this wood: it is, however, chiefly used as firewood, and its boughs and leaves as manure. | | | | |
| | 40 | 3481 | 11104 | 686 | |
| 99 | *PROSOPIS SPICIGERA. A fine timber tree, well suited for dry sandy soils, and furnishing (Leguminosæ.) a strong hard tough wood, easily worked. It grows in Mysore and Bombay, but thrives especially in Sindh, where it obtains a large size. It is common also in the Jullundur Doab. | | | | |
| 100 | *PSIDIUM POMIFERUM. The Guava is a well known fruit tree of South-Eastern Asia. (Myrtaceæ.) It is a small tree, and furnishes a gray hard, tough, light, very flexible, but not strong wood: which is very close and fine grained, and easily and smoothly worked, so that it is fitted for Wood Engraving, and for handles of scientific and other instruments. | | | | |
| | 47 | 2676 | 13116 | 618 | |

No | W | E | S | P |

| | | | | | | |
|-----|---|-------------------------------|--|---------|---|--|
| 101 | PLEOCARPUS DALBER- GEOIDES (<i>Leguminosae</i>) | 16 1150 19036 864 931 | This large and handsome <i>Ficus</i> tree is a native of the An- daman and Nicobar forests, and furnishes a red, Mahogany like timber, prized by the natives above all others for cart-wheels, and certainly used by Government in the construction of ordnance carriages. | | | |
| 102 | PLEOCARPUS MAR- SURIUM | 56 4172 10313 868 931 | This large and very beautiful tree is widely diffused and yields one of the most abundant and useful timbers of Southern India, and also the valuable gum <i>kinu</i> . The wood is light brown, strong, and very durable, close grained, but not easily worked. | | | |
| 103 | PLEOCARPUS SAVATILUS. | 70 4582 19036 975 931 | The red sandal wood tree grows in the forests of South India. The wood is sold by weight as a dye wood, and exported to Eng- land. It is heavy, extremely hard, with a fine grain, and is suit- able for turnery, being of a dark red colour, and taking a good polish. | | | |
| 104 | PLEOCARPUS ACERU- LOTUM | | | | A lofty, handsome, slender tree suited for arcades, from South India, Assam and Burma. It has a dark brown wood of great value, and as strong as iron, but its durability has not yet been tested. | |
| 105 | PLEOCARPUS ROXBURGHII (<i>Euphorbiaceae</i>) | | | | A large shady timber tree with straight, erect, trunk, and with wood white, close grained, very hard, durable, and suitable for turning. It grows along the foot of the Himalayas, and in Oudh, Assam, Sikkim and South India. | |
| 106 | QUERCUS. | | | | A common species of <i>Q.</i> are found in the Himalayas, Sikkim (a) " " INDIA (b) " " BILVATA (c) " " SWICHARFOLIA (d) " " (Corymbosa) | |
| | | | | (c) 491 | boat, hence, it has not found its way to the plains by land. | |
| 107 | RHUS ACUMINATA. | | | | | |
| 108 | SAVALUM ALBUM. (<i>Morindaceae</i>) | 68 3181 19461 874 931 | This is the true <i>Santal</i> wood, and is found in small quantities in the forests of the Southern Himalayas, Assam, Ceylon (Sikkim), etc., and is sold by weight, but to be burned as a perfume. It is also valued for making with barks and small articles of ornament. | | | |
| 109 | SAPHORUS PARAGUAYENSIS. (<i>Sapindaceae</i>) | 61 3062 15115 652 931 | It is used by painters for paints and other purposes, also for sale. | | The tree is valued for its nuts or berries, and for its wood. | |
| 110 | SCOTICUM IN THURUA (<i>Sapindaceae</i>) | | | | A tree of Southern India, producing a red skin, hard and | |
| 111 | SHORUA OBTUSA. (<i>Simarubaceae</i>) | 69 4000 10731 700 931 | | | | |
| 112 | SHORUA BHOIRAVA (<i>Simarubaceae</i>) | 69 4000 10731 700 931 | | | | |

112 | 69 | 4000 | 10731 | 700 | 931
SHORUA BHOIRAVA
(Simarubaceae)
111 | 69 | 4000 | 10731 | 700 | 931
SHORUA OBTUSA
(Simarubaceae)
110 | 61 | 3062 | 15115 | 652 | 931
SCOTICUM IN THURUA
(Sapindaceae)
109 | 68 | 3181 | 19461 | 874 | 931
SAPHORUS PARAGUAYENSIS
(Sapindaceae)
108 | 69 | 4000 | 10731 | 700 | 931
SHORUA BHOIRAVA
(Simarubaceae)
107 | 69 | 4000 | 10731 | 700 | 931
SHORUA OBTUSA
(Simarubaceae)
106 | 69 | 4000 | 10731 | 700 | 931
SHORUA BHOIRAVA
(Simarubaceae)
105 | 69 | 4000 | 10731 | 700 | 931
SHORUA OBTUSA
(Simarubaceae)
104 | 69 | 4000 | 10731 | 700 | 931
SHORUA BHOIRAVA
(Simarubaceae)
103 | 69 | 4000 | 10731 | 700 | 931
SHORUA OBTUSA
(Simarubaceae)
102 | 69 | 4000 | 10731 | 700 | 931
SHORUA BHOIRAVA
(Simarubaceae)
101 | 69 | 4000 | 10731 | 700 | 931
SHORUA OBTUSA
(Simarubaceae)

| No. | W | Ed | ft | p |
|-----|---|----|----|---|
|-----|---|----|----|---|

- 89 *MORINGA PTERYGOSPERMA. A handsome tall tree, with shady foliage, and of rapid growth. The wood is white and soft; and the scrapings from the root form a good substitute for the horse radish.
(*Moringaceæ.*)
- 90 *MORUS INDICA. This species of *Mulberry*, as well as *Morus Multicaulis*, and *M. Nigra*, are common in Northern India: in some parts of the Punjab and Oudh being planted in connection with silk worm rearing. It is also grown in avenues, for which, however, it is unsuited, being for many months quite bare of leaves. The wood is yellow, close-grained, very tough, and well suited for turning.
(*Moraceæ.*)
- 91 NAUCLEA CADUMBA. A noble ornamental tree of India and Burmah, with orange colored flowers: sometimes in the latter country, reaching 80 feet in height, and 12 feet in girth. It has a hard, deep yellow, loose-grained wood, used for furniture. In the Gwalior bazaars, it is the commonest building timber, and is much used for rafters on account of cheapness and lightness, but it is obtained there only in small scantlings.
(*Cinchonaceæ.*)
- 92 *NAUCLEA CORDIFOLIA. This is also a very large tree, with a soft close even-grained wood resembling in appearance Box, but light and more easily worked, and very susceptible to alternations of temperature. It is esteemed as an ornamental wood for cabinet purposes.
| 42 | 3052 | 10131 | 664 |
| 3467 | 506 |
- 93 *NAUCLEA PARVIFLORA. A large fine timber tree: with a wood of fine grain easily worked, used for flooring planks, packing boxes and cabinet purposes; it is much used by the wood carvers of Saharunpore.
| 42 | | | 400 |
- 94 *PHOENIX SYLVESTRIS. This wild "date palm" is common all over India, and is valued for the 'taddy' extracted from it. The trunks are used for temporary bridges, revetment piling, and water conduits. The wood is brown and cross-grained, and not very strong.
(*Palmaceæ.*)
| 39 | 3313 | 8356 | 512 |
- 95 PICEA WEBBIANA. The silver fir, of the N. W. Himalaya, grows at high altitudes, 8000 to 12000 feet, in dark sombre forests: and reaches from 100 to 200 feet in height, with very short straight lateral branches. The wood is white, soft, easily split, and used as shingle for roofing, but is not generally valued as timber.
(*Coniferae.*)
| 88 | | |
- 96 PINUS EXCELSA. A handsome lofty pine growing at altitudes of 6000 to 11000 in the N. W. Himalaya, and furnishing a resinous wood, much used for flambeaux: it is durable and close-grained; much used for burning charcoal in the hills: and also for building.
- 97 *PINUS LONGIFOLIA. The long leaved 'Cheer' pine is the first of this genus obtained in ascending the Himalaya, growing from 2000 to 6000 feet altitude; and being common and light, is largely used in house building. It requires however to be protected from the weather, and is suitable for only interior work in houses. It grows well as an imported tree in the plains as low as Meerut.
| | 4048 | 609 |
| | 4668 | 735 |
| | 8806 | 594 |
| | 3672 | 582 |
- 98 *PONGAMIA GLABRA. This tree grows all over India and Burmah, and is an excellent avenue tree, reaching in good soil a height of 40 feet, with dense dark green shining foliage all the year round, which, however, is apt to be much disfigured by numberless leaf-mining insects, 'blotching' the leaves. The wood is light, tough and fibrous, but not easily worked, yellowish brown in color, not taking a smooth surface. Solid wheels are made from this wood: it is, however, chiefly used as firewood, and its boughs and leaves as manure.
(*Leguminosæ.*)
| 40 | 3481 | 11104 | 686 |
- 99 *PROSOPIS SPICIGERA. A fine timber tree, well suited for dry sandy soils, and furnishing a strong hard tough wood, easily worked. It grows in Mysore and Bombay, but thrives especially in Sindh, where it obtains a large size. It is common also in the Jullundur Doab.
(*Leguminosæ.*)
- 100 *PSIDIUM POMIFERUM. The Guava is a well known fruit tree of South-Eastern Asia. It is a small tree, and furnishes a gray hard, tough, light, very flexible, but not strong wood: which is very close and fine grained, and easily and smoothly worked, so that it is fitted for Wood Engraving, and for handles of scientific and other instruments.
(*Myrtaceæ.*)
| 47 | 2676 | 13116 | 618 |

1 d 1 y 1 p 1 A 0N

[illegible]

in, however, a difficult lumber to work up, and it is freely in ex- posed situations. A good wood for joists, beams, etc., etc., and for railway purposes, and is often sold in the market under

126 THE FIRST POPULIN
(Machaceae) | 49 | 3294 | 1843 | 100 | Scrolling tree furnish a sale red, strong, striated, and even grain.

[illegible]

| | | | |
|-----|--|-----|--|
| 126 | * <i>Trichia neoflora</i> (<i>Lophobolus</i>) | 127 | <i>Ulmus luteolus</i> (<i>Ulmaceae</i>) |
|-----|--|-----|--|

The *Argus* of *Mex* is a small literary treat found in all our India and Burma, and is continued on account of its fine

1 59 | 3584 | 18191 | 619 | and will be published for sale and sale of the same in the month of June 1871. The price of the same will be \$1.00 per copy. The same will be sold by the publisher, J. H. Johnson, 101 N. 3rd St., New York, N. Y.

אדם ראשון (אדם) חיה עם נחית בלחץ זה נחית בלחץ

VERNACULAR INDEX TO INDIAN TREES.

List of Local Synonyms of the Trees enumerated in the Preceding List.


be. Bengali. bu. Burmese. c. Canarese. e. English. g. Gurhwal. h. Hindustani. k. Kumauree.
te. Telooگو. ta. Tamil.

| | | | | |
|----------------------|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| A. | | Caoutchouc tree, e., 67 | Gomar, be., .. 71 | Kaith, h., .. 66 |
| | | Casuarina, e., .. 41 | Googilam, te., .. 112 | Kaki, c., .. 42 |
| Abju, h., .. 20 | | Catechu, e., .. 3 | Gooler, h., .. 68 | Kala jam, be., .. 116 |
| Abncoos, h., .. 57 | | Cedar, e., .. 44 | Gnooshwoay, bu., 42 | Kali keekur, d., .. 2 |
| Acen, .. 122 | | Chandama be., .. 108 | Guava, e., .. 100 | Kamba, h., .. 40 |
| Aglay, ta., .. 45 | | Chandama, m, ta., 108 | Gumber, be., .. 71 | Kanagalu, c., .. 55 |
| Aing, bu., .. 61 | | Cheel, h., .. 97 | Gurrapa badam, te., 115 | Kanazo, bu., .. 75 |
| Akrot, h. k., .. 79 | | Cheer, h., .. 97 | Gwai douk, bu., 48 | Kantul, be., .. 15 |
| Am, h., .. 81 | | Chickrassi, be., .. 45 | Gyo, bu., .. 110 | Kanyeen, bu., 61, 62 |
| Amaki, h., .. 63 | | Chittagong, e., .. 45 | | Karakaia, te., .. 121 |
| Amultas, h., .. 42 | | Chulta, be., .. 56 | H. | Karanj, h., .. 98 |
| Anjili, ta., .. 14 | | Chumpa, h., .. 84 | Hanee, c., .. 101 | Kasneer, h., .. 67 |
| Aonla, h., .. 63 | | Chumpaka, be., .. 84 | Honay, c., .. 102 | Katuvagi, ta., .. 7 |
| Arjun, h., .. 119 | | Chumpakamu, te., 84 | Horse radish tree, e., 89 | Kelmung, h., .. 44 |
| Asan, h., .. 124 | | Cocoanut palm, e., 47 | Htan bu., .. 31 | Kelu, h., .. 44 |
| Asoka, ta., .. 73 | | Coral tree, e., .. 64 | Iitein, bu., .. 93 | Kerwal, h., .. 80 |
| Atti, ta., .. 68 | | Coramundalum, ta., 58 | Iulda, h., .. 121 | Keekur, h., .. 2, 5 |
| Aucha, ta., .. 74 | | Cork tree, e., .. 85 | Huldoo, h., .. 92 | Khair, h., .. 3 |
| | | Cotton tree, e., .. 30 | Hurda, .. 121 | Khajoor, h., .. 94 |
| | | Cuddapah, ta., .. 21 | Hurdoo, h., .. 92 | Kheerne, h., .. 87 |
| | | | Hyebeen, bu., .. 128 | Khoomb, h., .. 40 |
| B. | | D. | | Khoura, be., .. 113 |
| Babool, h., .. 2 | | Date palm, e., .. 94 | I. | Kirin, h., .. 87 |
| Baer, h., .. 128 | | Deodar, h., .. 51 | Imlee, h., .. 117 | Kobin, bu., .. 110 |
| Baheera, h., .. 120 | | " g., .. 44 | Indian rubber tree e., 67 | Kokoh, bu., .. 13 |
| Baibya, bu., .. 50 | | Dhak h., .. 33 | Ippie, te., .. 22 | Konda tangedu, te., 78 |
| Baklee, h., .. 50 | | Dhamin, h., .. 72 | Iron wood, e., 77, 78 | Koramanu, te., .. 32 |
| Bakula, be., .. 86 | | Dhamnoo, h., .. 72 | | Koon, be., .. 110 |
| Bamboi, bu., .. 40 | | Dhao, h., .. 50 | | Koonkoodoo, te., 109 |
| Bamboo, e., .. 19 | | Dhoon Sirris, e., 4 | | Koovai, c., .. 38 |
| Ban, k., .. 106(a) | | Dhoura, h., .. 46 | | Koosoom, h., .. 110 |
| Bans, h., .. 19 | | | J. | Kuehmar, h., .. 24 |
| Banghi, c., .. 7 | | E. | Jack, e., .. 15 | Kudumb, h., .. 91 |
| Bejasal, h., .. 102 | | Ebony, e., 57, 59 | Jambai, c., .. 78 | Kukkur, k., .. 107 |
| Bèt, h., .. 37 | | Eedjul, h., .. 20 | Jambo, h., .. 78 | Kumbala, bu., .. 113 |
| Bhojputra, k., .. 27 | | Eengyeen, bu., .. 112 | Jamoon, h., .. 116 | Kumbli, te., .. 40 |
| Billu kurra, te., 46 | | Eeta, te., .. 94 | Jarul, h., .. 80 | Kumbar, h., .. 71 |
| Bitti, c., .. 52 | | Elm, e., .. 127 | Jeapota, h., .. 105 | Kurroo murdoo, bu., 123 |
| Bjoobeen, bu., .. 55 | | Erool, .. 78 | Jhund, h., .. 99 | Kurroo pallay, te., 105 |
| Blackwood, e., .. 52 | | Eruputtu, ta., .. 52 | Jungli badam, be., 115 | Kurroo vallum, ta., 2 |
| Bokain, h., .. 83 | | Eruvalu, ta., .. 78 | | Kursoo, k., 106(c) |
| Boomaiza, bu., .. 12 | | Eyue, h., .. 124 | | Kurunj, h., .. 98 |
| Box, e., .. 34 | | | K. | Kussumb, h., .. 110 |
| Bukkum, h. be., 36 | | | Kada lipua, ta., 80 | Kuthbel, h., .. 1 |
| Bur, h., .. 69 | | F. | Kadam, h., .. 91 | Kuthul, h., .. 20 |
| Burgut, h., .. 69 | | Furrud, h., .. 64 | Kadhalsna, c., .. 14 | Kyatha, bu., .. 11 |
| Burhul, h., .. 16 | | | Kadon kadet, bu., 48 | Kywon, bu., .. 11 |
| | | G. | Kadu kai, ta., .. 121 | |
| C. | | Gandaga, c., .. 108 | Kail, k., .. 96 | L. |
| Calamander, e., 58 | | | Kaim, h., .. 93 | Lakoocha, h., .. 1 |
| Cane, e., .. 37 | | | | |

Finally, it should be observed that the theory assumes a rock foundation. Its absence generally indicates construction in earth and puddle, but should masonry be still adopted, a due extension of foundations would have to be decided on, into which subject it is not proposed to enter.

Admitting that the triangle is the sole geometrical figure which, being

Fig. 1.




equilibrated with a fluid pressure, is so equilibrated throughout, for all its parallel sections: that is, that if $\triangle ABC$ is in equilibrium against the fluid pressure on AC , $\triangle bc$ is so against that on Ac , and $\triangle b'c'$ against that on $A'c'$. It follows that the triangle is to be taken as the *matrix* for all still water walls, and, by extension, it is convenient to take it as the matrix for all hydraulic walls what-

soever.

Of equal triangles, $\triangle ABC$, $\triangle A'BC$, $\triangle A''BC$, under the same head of


Fig. 2.



pressure, some will have more stability than others, and one will have maximum stability. Or, to take an-

other point of view, triangles of equal stability under the same head will have different bases and areas, and one will have maximum area. This proposition, investigated by the theory of maxima and minima, gives a minimum triangle of rotary stability, or, as it will be briefly called, a minimum profile. And this particular triangle is adopted for a matrix.

Fig. 3.



It is obtained, for water, by the following simple construction:—

Given

x = the height in feet.

n = specific gravity of the profile—say,

1.79 for brickwork.

2.0 for concrete.

2.3 for masonry.

$$\text{Make } BC = \frac{\sqrt{n^2 + 2n + 9}}{2n}$$

set back from the water side

$$CF = \frac{(3 - n)\sqrt{n^2 + 2n + 9}}{2}$$

Erect $FA = X$ Join AB , AC Then ABC is the minimum profile of which AC will be the water face, and any other triangle of equal area will be overturned by the pressure. The profile will be in exact equilibrium, and the resultant of its own weight and of the water pressure will pass through its heel B .

It will be seen that the form of this profile depends entirely on the specific gravity of the material.

The water pressure is now supposed to increase, causing the wall to fail on the joint DE , and over turning the section ADC .

As the movement commences, the pressures acting on the joint DE will

be more and more lightened on the water side of a neutral axis N , and more and more intensified on the land side of it. This neutral axis will, as the action continues, move towards the heel D , until at last the joint will open, when N will have reached, and coincided with it, and vanished. At this instant the whole stress is, compounded of the weight of the wall and the pressure of the water, will be concentrated on the point D . A wedge

will be thrown out, and the wall will topple over.

It follows then, that if arrangements were made to ensure the stability of the wall, we have here a limit of the stress that can under any circumstances, be brought to crush the material at its back. If then, we should add on material at the back of dimensions calculated to resist this crushing force distributed over it, we should, at the same time, be giving stability by retreating the heel. And if it should appear that the amount of this retreat is sufficient to remove all chance of mere disturbance (and very little should suffice to do that if we assume the water to be still, to which case every other will, by proper allowances, be reduced) it will follow that we have here a sufficient provision against all that can attend the occurrence of a failure which cannot even occur, and, a fortiori, a provision for security in the integral structure.





Fig. 4

The working height h is the height of the water surface from foundation, plus 5 feet. On completion of the profile, this 5 feet will be cut down from the vertex, giving true water surface, and a splash wall will be substituted for the frustum.

I.—If the constants be granted as assumed, copy the corresponding diagram to the depth required.

Fig. 7.



II.—If other values be taken for the constants.


Draw a minimum profile, of height h

$$b = \frac{2h}{\sqrt{n^2 + 2n + 9}} \quad c = \frac{(3-n)h}{\sqrt{n^2 + 2n + 9}}$$

n being specific gravity of the material.

Divide the height h into tens of feet from the top, and rule horizontal

Fig. 8.



lines. At their intersections with the back AD describes circles of radius ρ given by

$$\rho = a \left(\frac{\beta}{x} + \gamma \right) x^3$$

$$\text{in which } a = \frac{9.5906}{p} \sqrt{\frac{5n^2 - 8n + 9}{n^2 + 2n + 9}}$$

$$\beta = 0.016$$

$$\gamma = \frac{n}{864p}$$


x = depth below apex A

n = specific gravity of material.

p = safe compressible strain per square inch.

Draw tangent curves to these circles, defining the rib.

Fig. 9.



Cut off 5 feet from the top and the profile $BEFC$ is complete.

PROFILE FOR WALLS RETAINING WATER

DIAGRAM 1
BRICK.

DIAGRAM 2
CONCRETE.

DIAGRAM 3
MASONRY

Theoretical H W Level
True H W Level

Banking H W Level
True H W Level

$$P = 100 \quad H = 1.792$$

$$P = \left(\frac{100}{X} + 0.0000 \right) 18.8981 X^3$$

$$P = 100 \quad H = 2$$

$$P = \left(\frac{100}{X} + 0.000023 \right) 0.083868 X^3$$

$$P = 100 \quad H = 2.30$$

$$P = \left(\frac{100}{X} + 0.000026 \right) 0.091111 X^3$$

| X | P |
|-----|--------|
| 0 | 0.000 |
| 10 | 0.128 |
| 20 | 0.518 |
| 30 | 1.179 |
| 40 | 2.125 |
| 50 | 3.160 |
| 60 | 4.279 |
| 70 | 5.746 |
| 80 | 7.697 |
| 90 | 11.282 |
| 100 | 18.217 |

| X | P |
|-----|--------|
| 0 | 0.000 |
| 10 | 0.136 |
| 20 | 0.65 |
| 30 | 1.259 |
| 40 | 2.270 |
| 50 | 3.596 |
| 60 | 5.235 |
| 70 | 7.135 |
| 80 | 9.576 |
| 90 | 12.271 |
| 100 | 15.348 |

| X | P |
|-----|--------|
| 0 | 0.000 |
| 10 | 0.148 |
| 20 | 0.603 |
| 30 | 1.376 |
| 40 | 2.486 |
| 50 | 3.964 |
| 60 | 5.781 |
| 70 | 7.960 |
| 80 | 10.561 |
| 90 | 13.592 |
| 100 | 16.961 |



It will be observed that this dorsal rib is the locus of a generating circle whose radius is a function in the third degree of the depth. It is therefore evident that at some depth, this radius will exceed the base of the minimum profile, which is a function in the first degree of the depth. Beyond this depth, the water face will cease to be a plane, and these expressions will cease strictly to apply.

The depth will exceed any that are ever likely to occur in practice, but it will be well to note it as a corroboration of this theory that where this point is reached, the hypotheses which have been here called extreme, are realised, and our limiting strains become actual strains. That is to say, beyond these great depths the profile is only sufficient, and the straight backed profiles *fail*. This, too, is a corollary from the increase of pressure by squares.

No. XLII.

THE PLANIMETER.

BY JOHN ELLIOTT, ESQ., M.A., *Fellow of St. John's College, Cambridge, and Professor of Mathematics at the Thomason C. E. College.*


THE object of the present article is to describe the planimeter, and to prove mathematically the property upon which its construction depends.

The planimeter is an instrument devised to measure by mechanism plane areas bounded by any curve whatever.

Several have been constructed by different inventors, all of which are apparently founded on the same mathematical property. The one in most common use is Amsler's, and of this several kinds differing in arrangement have been constructed. The simplest form is that shown in *Fig. 1.*

A is a loaded disc, which rests on the table and serves as a fixed support for the instrument. At its centre B is

Fig. 1.



an upright pin upon which turns the arm BC, to which at C is hinged the arm CD. The tracing point D can be moved in any direction over the paper. Exactly in the straight line CD is the arm E of the small wheel F whose edge rests on the paper, and whose centre is vertically below the intersection of CB and CD. When the tracing

point is carried round the outline of any figure (the fixed point or support of the instrument A being external to the figure to be measured) so as

to return finally to the same point whence it started, it will be shown that the distance rolled by the edge of the wheel R multiplied by the length of the arm CD , is equal to the area of the figure bounded by the curve described by the moving point. The distance rolled by the wheel is measured by a graduated circle and vernier, not shown in the figure, complete revolutions of the wheel being indicated by a second wheel driven by an endless screw on the shaft R . It is also evident that by a proper sub-division of the wheel (depending on the length of CD) the numbers indicating the distance rolled will at once give the units of area contained within the given bounding line.

An improved form of the instrument is shown in the accompanying

Fig. 2.




diagram (Fig. 2). It is that termed the Polar Planimeter, and is the one now in general use.

The chief points of difference between this and the one previously described are the following:—

1st. The centre of the rolling wheel is not necessarily vertically under the point of intersection of the two movable arms, but may be at any point in or beneath the arm NO .
2nd. The arm NI (Fig. 2) corresponding to CD of (Fig. 1) may be lengthened or shortened at pleasure. The object of this will be stated presently.

The details of its construction are as follows:—

A bar BC carrying a needle point at B , and loaded disc A , is attached at the point B to the surface to be measured. At the other end C of this bar, which is cranked to escape contact with the portion GFK of the instrument in certain positions, a pair of vertical pivots are centred, so that

the bar moves freely upon them in a horizontal direction. The axes of the pivots are upon a frame which carries the calculating apparatus, consisting of a roller (E) fixed on a horizontal spindle carrying a divided drum (D), which divides the circumference of the roller into 100, and by vernier into 1000 equal portions. Upon the horizontal spindle a worm (not shown in the figure) is cut. This by a pinion (F), moves the small disc G, which is divided to register the number of complete revolutions of the roller. The frame which carries the calculating apparatus and bar described has a tubular fitting HI, in which slides, and is adjusted by the screw M, the bar ON which carries the tracing point at N. This bar ON is divided by various lines indicating different adjustments.

It is, for any one of these, moved along the tube until the corresponding line exactly co-incides with the edge I of the tubular fitting. The planimeter as ordinarily supplied is usually marked with the following scales:—

| | | | |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|--|
| 1 sq. dem. | <i>i. e.,</i> | one square decimetre. | } For every rotation of the roller. |
| 0·1 sq. ft. | ” | 0·1 square foot. | |
| 2000 sq. m. } | ” | { 2000 square metres. | |
| 1 : 500 } | ” | { on a scale 1 : 500. | |
| 10 sq. in. | ” | 10 square inches. | |
| 0·5 sq. dem. | ” | 0·5 sq. decimetres. | |
| 1000 sq. m. } | ” | { 1000 square metres. | |
| 1 : 500. } | ” | { on a scale 1 : 500. | |

It will be sufficient to explain two of these to show the method of using them. Suppose first that the line corresponding to 0·1 square foot co-incides with the end I of the tube, and that the instrument is placed into position to measure any area. The distance traversed by the rolling wheel as measured by the two registering wheels D and G will give the area in units, each equal to one-tenth of a square foot. That is, if the wheel G registers 5 complete revolutions, and the drum and vernier D $\frac{435}{1000}$, or ·435 of a revolution, the actual area of the figure to be measured will be $5·435 \times 0·1$ square foot, or ·5435 square feet. Similarly for the markings 10 square inches, 1 square decimetre, 0·5 square decimetre.

The expression 2000 square metres } indicates that if employing a scale
1 : 500 }
of 1 : 500, the unit of measurement being the metre, any area be laid down on paper, and the planimeter be employed to determine the area in

the usual manner, then each revolution of the rolling wheel will correspond to 2000 square metres of actual area

Thus, supposing the same number of revolutions made as in the previous case, the area would be $5\ 135 \times 2000$ square metres, or 10,870 square metres. The remaining scale $\left. \begin{array}{l} 1000 \text{ square metres} \\ 500 \end{array} \right\}$ is to be understood in a similar manner

Besides these there are usually other numbers engraved along the top of the bar. These vary in different instruments, and depend as will afterwards be seen, on the lengths of the arms BC and CN, and the distance of the wheel from the point of intersection of the movable arms. These are in the one supplied to me 20 778, 20 776, 22 130. They are employed when the fixed point is placed *within* the figure to be measured

Method of use of the Plimeter in measuring areas Slide the frame along the bar until the line indicating the required denomination of area is adjusted in the manner already stated. Thus if measurements are in inches, set it to the line adjacent to scale 10 square inches. Each revolution of the wheel will therefore indicate 10 square inches of area. It is then placed upon the paper in the manner shown in the engraving, the fixed and loaded point being placed if possible *outside* the figure. The reading of the instrument is then taken. Thus suppose the horizontal disc reads 8, the drum of the vertical roller 74, and the vernier 6, this will give the complete reading 8 716. The tracing point must now be moved carefully and slowly to follow the outline of the figure *from left to right*, so that the wheel may rotate in the direction corresponding to the increase of rotation as registered by the wheels, until it returns to the starting point. Suppose the reading to be now 10 62, Then the difference between 10 62, and 8 746 or 1 879 indicates the number of revolutions made by the roller, and since each revolution corresponds to an area of 10 square inches, the total area measured is $1\ 879 \times 10$ square inches, or 18 79 square inches

The rule therefore when the fulcrum is outside the figure is—

Multiply the difference between the initial and final readings for a complete revolution by the number engraved on the bar corresponding to the particular adjustment

If the fixed point is placed inside the figure to be measured, as must be done in large areas, (if we wish to avoid the labor of dividing it into

smaller areas, and taking the sum of these for the whole), the calculation includes the use of the figures engraved on the top of the bar. Thus suppose the adjustment is as before for inches, and that the corresponding number on the top of the bar is 22·130. This must be added to the second reading before the first reading is subtracted. Supposing the initial and final readings were the same as in the preceding case, the following would be the determination of the area.

| | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------------|
| Second reading, | ... | ... | ... | ... | .. | 10·625 |
| Add number engraved corresponding to 10 square inches, | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 22·130 |
| | | | | | | <hr/> 32·755 |
| Subtract the first reading, | ... | ... | ... | ... | | 8·746 |
| | | | | | | <hr/> 24·009 |
| | | | | | | 10 |
| | | | | | | <hr/> |

Multiply by 10 (since each revolution = 10 square inches,) 240·09 square inches = area of given figure.

The rule when the fulcrum is outside the figure is :—

To the second reading, add the constant quantity engraved on the upper face of the bar, corresponding to the given adjustment, and multiply the difference between this and the initial reading, by the number of units of area corresponding to each revolution of the instrument.

When the fulcrum is placed within the figure to be measured, it will sometimes happen that the horizontal disc (which only records ten revolutions of the rolling wheel), will go through more than one revolution either forwards or backwards. In that case we must add 10 for each complete revolution, observing that if the disc passes the numbers moving forward as 8, 9, 0, 1, 2, &c., for each revolution, 10 is added to the second reading, but if backwards as 2, 1, 0, 9, &c., it must be added to the first reading (which is equivalent to the direct correction of subtracting it from the second reading). The reason of this is obvious.

I shall first of all prove the principle for the simplest case, that in which the wheel is at the intersection of two limbs of equal length, somewhat fully, as it will simplify the processes of integration, and supply the key to the more difficult cases.

Suppose $QC'C$ to be a small portion or arc of the curve bounding the area to be measured, C and C' being consecutive points, OB , BC the position of the arms for the first point C , OB' , $B'C'$ their position for the consecutive point C' . Assume OA as a fixed line of reference, and let $\angle COA = \theta$, $\angle BOA = \phi$, $OB = BC = OB' = B'C' = a$, and $CO = r$. Then BB' (a small arc of the circle whose radius is OB) is the space described by the extremity B of the arm OB , corresponding to CC' , the arc described by the tracing point.

The wheel at B only moves in a plane perpendicular to BC , or $B'C'$. Draw therefore BD at right angles to CB meeting $C'B$, or $C'B'$ produced in the point D .

Then since the motion BB' is equivalent to the motion BD , (perpendicular ultimately either to BC or $B'C'$, if the arc CC' is taken small enough) and the motion DB' in the direction BC or $B'C'$, and that the rotation of the wheel only measures motion in the direction perpendicular to BC or $B'C'$, BD will ultimately be the space rolled through by the wheel as the arms OB OC pass to the consecutive position OB' OC' .

Also since BOB' is the small change in the angle ϕ it may be denoted by $d\phi$ (ϕ and $d\phi$ being expressed in circular measure)

Hence arc $BB' = OB \times d\phi$

$= ad\phi$

Also since BDB is a right angle, BDB' may be considered to be a right-angled triangle.

$\therefore BD = BB' \cos B'BD$

$= a \cos B'BD \cdot d\phi$

$= a \cos (\pi - OBC) d\phi$, ultimately (since $OB'B$ and $CB'D$ are then right angles)

$$= a \cos 2 BOC \cdot d\phi$$

$$= a \cos 2 (\theta - \phi) d\phi$$

Also the total space described by the wheel = the sum of the elementary spaces BD

$$= \int a \cos 2 (\theta - \phi) d\phi$$




Fig. 3.

Total space described by the wheel \times BC

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int \alpha^2 \cos 2(\theta - \phi) d\phi. \dots\dots\dots (1). \\
 &= \int \alpha^2 \cos 2(\theta - \phi) \{ d\theta - d(\theta - \phi) \} \\
 &= \int \alpha^2 \cos 2(\theta - \phi) d\theta - \int \alpha^2 \cos 2(\theta - \phi) d(\theta - \phi) \\
 &= \int \alpha^2 \{ 2 \cos^2(\theta - \phi) - 1 \} d\theta - \int \alpha^2 \cos 2(\theta - \phi) d(\theta - \phi) \\
 &= \int 2\alpha^2 \cos^2(\theta - \phi) d\theta - \int \alpha^2 d\theta - \int \alpha^2 \cos 2(\theta - \phi) d(\theta - \phi) \\
 &= \int \frac{r^2}{2} d\theta - \int \alpha^2 d\theta - \int \alpha^2 \cos 2(\theta - \phi) d(\theta - \phi) \dots\dots\dots (2), \\
 &\quad \text{since evidently } r = 2\alpha \cos(\theta - \phi)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now for a complete revolution when the fixed point is external to the area, θ and $\theta - \phi$ return to their original values, and then by the principles of the integral calculus the second and third integrals of the expression (2) vanish, and hence

Total space described by the wheel \times BC

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int \frac{r^2}{2} d\theta \text{ taken between the limits of } \theta \text{ for a complete} \\
 &\quad \text{revolution.} \\
 &= \text{area of the figure.}
 \end{aligned}$$

If the fixed point is internal, θ will in one revolution increase from the initial value θ' to $\theta' + 2\pi$, and $\overline{\theta - \phi}$ return to its original value. In this case, the last integral in expression (2) only will vanish, and then the total space described by the wheel \times BC


$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{\theta'}^{\theta' + 2\pi} \frac{r^2}{2} d\theta - \int_{\theta'}^{\theta' + 2\pi} \alpha^2 d\theta \\
 &= \text{area of curve} - 2\pi\alpha^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

or area of curve $= 2\pi\alpha^2 +$ total space described by the wheel \times BC.

In this case we see that the constant quantity $2\pi\alpha^2$ must be added to the total space described by the wheel multiplied by BC, to give the area enclosed by the curve. This number $2\pi\alpha^2$ may (as is usually done) be converted into revolutions, and added to the number recorded by the wheels. It then would correspond to the numbers 22.130, &c., already pointed out as engraved on the top of the bar, and is of course constant for the given length α of the bars.

Secondly. Suppose the wheel is attached at a point not in the same vertical line with the point of intersection of the

Fig 4



arms
Let OB, BC, OB, BC be the positions of the arms for two consecutive points C and C of the curve
Let E be the point in BC giving the position of the wheel

Draw CH, BD, EF perpendicular to BC
N is the point of intersection of BC and B C or of two consecutive positions of the arm BC

EF is evidently the small arc described by the wheel during the change from the position OBC to OBC. Employ the same notation as in the first case, and let $BD = c$, and $\angle OCB = \theta$ (or the angle which the radius vector makes with the small portion of the curve CC, or ultimately with the tangent at C or C) be denoted by x

Then since $\angle OCB = \angle BOC = \theta - \phi < BOC = x - \theta + \phi$, also $OC =$ elementary arc of curve $= ds$
In the right angled triangle HOC, $CH = ds \sin (x - \theta + \phi)$, whence since CH, BD, EF are all parallel,

$$DE = BD - \frac{CB}{EB} (CH - BD)$$

$$= BD \left(1 + \frac{CB}{EB} \right) - \frac{CB}{EB} CH$$

$$= \left(1 + \frac{a}{c} \right) a \cos 2 (\theta - \phi) d\phi - \frac{a}{c} \sin (x - \theta + \phi) ds$$

$$= (a + c) \cos 2 (\theta - \phi) d\phi - \frac{a}{c} \sin (x - \theta + \phi) ds$$

$$= (a + c) \cos 2 (\theta - \phi) d\phi - \frac{a}{c} \left\{ (\sin x \cos (\theta - \phi) - \cos x \sin (\theta - \phi)) \right\} ds$$

$$\text{But } \sin x ds = r \frac{d\theta}{ds} ds = r d\theta,$$

$$\text{and } \cos x ds = \frac{dr}{ds} ds = dr$$

$$\therefore EF = (a + c) \cos 2 (\theta - \phi) d\phi - \frac{a}{c} \left\{ (r \cos (\theta - \phi) d\theta - \sin (\theta - \phi) dr) \right\}$$

$$\text{also } r = 2a \cos (\theta - \phi)$$

$$\therefore dr = -2a \sin (\theta - \phi) d (\theta - \phi)$$

$$\text{whence } EF = (a + c) \cos 2 (\theta - \phi) d\phi - 2 \cos^2 (\theta - \phi) d\theta - 2 \csc^2 (\theta - \phi) d (\theta - \phi)$$

$$= (a + c) \cos 2 (\theta - \phi) d\phi - 2c \cos^2 (\theta - \phi) d\phi - 2c \cos^2 (\theta - \phi) d (\theta - \phi) \\ - 2c \sin^2 (\theta - \phi) d (\theta - \phi)$$

$$= (a + c) \cos 2 (\theta - \phi) d\phi - c \{1 + \cos 2 (\theta - \phi)\} d\phi - 2c d (\theta - \phi) \\ = a \cos 2 (\theta - \phi) d\phi - cd\phi - 2c d (\theta - \phi) \\ = a \cos 2 (\theta - \phi) d\phi + cd\phi - 2c d\theta$$

and total space described by the wheel in a complete revolution $\times BC$
 $= a \Sigma (EF)$

$$= \int a^2 \cos 2 (\theta - \phi) d\phi + \int ac d\phi - \int 2ac d\theta$$

the limits of θ and ϕ being taken to correspond to a complete revolution, in which case the integrals $\int ac d\phi$ and $\int 2ac d\theta$ vanish, and therefore,

$$\text{the total space described} = \int a^2 \cos 2 (\theta - \phi) d\phi \\ = \int \frac{r^2}{2} d\theta, \text{ as in the previous case.}$$

The proofs in the more difficult cases will be given much more briefly.

Thirdly. Suppose the arms are unequal, and the centre of the wheel as before, at the intersection of the arms. Assume $OB, BC, OB', B'C'$, as in the preceding, to be the positions of the arms for two consecutive points, OA the fixed line of reference, and let (*see Fig. 3*).

$$\angle BOA = \phi; \angle COA = \theta. \angle OCB = \Psi$$

$$OB = a : BC = b, OC = r.$$

Then elementary space described by the wheel $= BD = BB' \cos DBB'$
 $= ad\phi \cos (\theta - \phi + \Psi)$

Hence total space described by the wheel in any finite motion $\times BC$

$$= \int ab \cos (\theta - \phi + \Psi) d\phi \\ = \int \frac{r^2 - a^2 - b^2}{2} d\phi \\ = \int \frac{r^2}{2} d\theta - \int \frac{a^2 + b^2}{2} d\theta - \int \frac{r^2 - a^2 - b^2}{2} d (\theta - \phi) \dots (3).$$

We also have—

$$a \sin (\theta - \phi) = b \sin \Psi, \dots\dots\dots (4).$$

$$\therefore a \cos (\theta - \phi) d (\theta - \phi) = b \cos \Psi d\Psi, \dots\dots\dots (5)$$

$$\text{and } \int \frac{r^2 - a^2 - b^2}{2} d (\theta - \phi) = \int ab \cos (\theta - \phi + \Psi) d (\theta - \phi)$$

$$= ab \int \cos (\theta - \phi) \cos \Psi d (\theta - \phi) - ab \int \sin (\theta - \phi) \sin \Psi d (\theta - \phi)$$

$$EF = BD - \frac{EB}{CB} (CH - BD),$$

$$= BD \left(1 + \frac{EB}{CB}\right) - \frac{EB}{CB} \cdot CH,$$

$$= \left(1 + \frac{c}{b}\right) a \cos (\theta - \phi + \Psi) d\phi - \frac{c}{b} \sin (\chi - \Psi) ds,$$

$$= \frac{a(b+c)}{b} \cos (\theta - \phi + \Psi) d\phi - \frac{c}{b} \left\{ \sin \chi \cos \Psi ds + \cos \chi \sin \Psi ds \right\}$$

$$= \frac{a(b+c)}{b} \cos (\theta - \phi + \Psi) d\phi - \frac{c}{b} \left\{ r \cos \Psi d\theta - \sin \Psi dr \right\}, \dots\dots (12).$$

$$\Delta \text{gain } r \cos \Psi = \frac{r^2 + b^2 - a^2}{2b} \dots\dots\dots (13).$$

$$\text{and } r = b \cos \Psi + a \cos (\theta - \phi)$$

$$\therefore dr = -b \sin \Psi d\Psi - a \sin (\theta - \phi) d(\theta - \phi),$$

$$\text{and } \sin \Psi dr = -b \sin^2 \Psi d\Psi - a \sin (\theta - \phi) \sin \Psi d(\theta - \phi)$$

$$= -b \sin^2 \Psi d\Psi - \frac{a^2}{b} \sin^2 (\theta - \phi) d(\theta - \phi) \dots\dots\dots (14).$$

whence substituting (13) and (14) in (12), that expression becomes

$$\frac{a(b+c)}{b} \cos (\theta - \phi + \Psi) d\phi - \frac{c}{b} \left\{ \frac{r^2 + b^2 - a^2}{2b} d\theta + b \sin^2 \Psi d\Psi + \frac{a^2}{b} \sin^2 (\theta - \phi) d(\theta - \phi) \right\}$$

whence the space described by the wheel during finite motion $\times BC$

$$= \int a(b+c) \cos (\theta - \phi + \Psi) d\phi - c \int \frac{r^2 + b^2 - a^2}{2b} d\theta - bc \int \sin^2 \Psi d\Psi - \frac{a^2 c}{b} \int \sin^2 (\theta - \phi) d(\theta - \phi)$$

But by the preceding investigation from equations (3) and (6)

$$a \int \cos (\theta - \phi + \Psi) d\phi = \frac{1}{b} \int \frac{r^2}{2} d\theta - \int \frac{a^2 + b^2}{2b} d\theta - \int b \cos^2 \Psi d\Psi + \int \frac{a^2}{b} \sin^2 (\theta - \phi) d(\theta - \phi)$$

Substituting this in (15), the expression becomes—

$$\frac{b+c}{b} \int \frac{r^2}{2} d\theta - \frac{b+c}{2b} \int (a^2 + b^2) d\theta - b(b+c) \int \cos^2 \Psi d\Psi + \frac{a^2(b+c)}{b} \int \sin^2 (\theta - \phi) d(\theta - \phi)$$

$$- \frac{c}{b} \int \frac{r^2}{2} d\theta - \frac{c}{2b} \int (b^2 - a^2) d\theta - bc \int \sin^2 \Psi d\Psi - \frac{a^2 c}{b} \int \sin^2 (\theta - \phi) d(\theta - \phi)$$

$$= \int \frac{r^2}{2} d\theta - \int \frac{a^2 + b^2}{2} d\theta - bc \int d\theta - b^2 \int \cos^2 \Psi d\Psi - bc \int d\Psi + a^2 \int \sin^2 (\theta - \phi) d(\theta - \phi)$$

$$= \int \frac{r^2}{2} d\theta \text{ for a complete revolution when the fulcrum is within the}$$

figure,

and $= \int \frac{r^2}{2} d\theta - \pi (a^2 + b^2 + 2bc)$, for a complete revolution, when the fulcrum is outside the area to be measured.

J. E.

No. XLIII.

NOTES ON FLOORS IN BOMBAY.

[vide Plate No XXXVII]

By J. H. E. HART, Esq., C.E. *Acting Superintending Engineer,*
Bombay.

In the public buildings lately constructed by the Government of Bombay, flooring as illustrated in the accompanying sheet of drawings (*Plate XXXVII*), has been adopted by Colonel Toller, R.E.

The following is a brief description—The framing of the floors generally consists of iron or teak wooden girders, resting on stone bed plates, and placed at distances apart of from 8 to 10 feet, on these girders are fixed joists of teak wood, at a distance apart of one foot, from centre to centre. Slabs of stone, bricks, or board, with concrete thereon, form the body of the floor, and on this are laid boards, Alston tiles or Mosaisic work as a surface.

Fig. 1 shows the general arrangement of girders and joists in plan. *Fig. 2* is a cross section, taken on line AB in *Fig. 1*, of a floor composed of iron girders and rectangular wooden joist, with concrete between. The concrete is supported by short boards, fixed on galleys between the joists, and the floor-surface is formed of Alston tiles, laid in Portland cement, on the concrete. The boards are either bevelled and lapped, or tongued, grooved and beaded.

Fig. 2A is a cross section of the above, on line CD, to an enlarged scale.

This description of floor is used in the *New Secretariat Building*, it is finished off underneath as a ceiling, by boxing the iron girders and channeling the joists and surface.

Fig. 3 is a similar arrangement of girders and joists, but in which the concrete is supported on slabs of Porebunder stone, $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches thick, laid on the top of the joists.

Fig. 3A is a cross section of the same to a large scale. This floor is largely used in all the Public Buildings, and is finished off below by painting the under surface of the slabs white, and the wood-work a suitable light color.

A similar form of floor is used in some buildings having, however, the girders of teak wood, instead of iron.

Fig. 4 is an arrangement of wooden girders and wedge-shaped joists, between which bricks-on-edge are jammed by the shape of the joists. On these concrete; and teak boarding, tongued and grooved, forms the floor surface. The ceiling below is formed by teak planking, with bevelled edges, which is varnished.

Fig. 4A is an enlarged cross section of the above, on line CD. This class of floor is largely used in the new Public Works and Post Offices, and in the Native General Hospital.

Fig. 5 is a cross section of a flooring, used in the Sassoon Mechanics Institution, formed of brick arching, concrete, and Minton tiles, on iron girders. *Fig. 5A* is a section of the same at right angles to the girders, and line of arching.

The ceiling below is formed of ceiling joists and painted boarding.

Another class of flooring, not shown in the drawings, is similar to that represented in *Fig. 4*, except that concrete (kept in position while setting by temporary boards) is used, instead of bricks-on-edge. The floor surface is formed of Minton tiles, and the ceiling is of varnished teak planking. This construction was adopted in the Elphinstone College Buildings.

The concrete used in the floors is formed of equal parts of Porebunder, chips and mortar, the mortar being made with equal parts of Salsette lime, and sand, ground in a bullock mill twice, at an interval of four days, the grinding being continued for 2 hours each time.

The Minton tiles are the encaustic tiles, made under patent in England.

The Mosaic work floor surface has been recently introduced as an experiment into Bombay by an Italian firm, and is laid down in the New Post Office. It is formed by small cubical pieces of marble, of various colors, embedded in a matrix of cement.

The cubes are arranged to form patterns and are afterwards rolled in,

FIG. 2 A.-ENLARGED SECTION ON LINE C.D.




FIG. 1 A.-ENLARGED SECTION ON LINE C.D.






FIG. 1. Q1



the surface being then polished. The cost is from Rs. 1-8 to Rs. 2 per square foot.

The effect is very good, but its lasting powers remain to be tested.

The following is a Table of the dimensions of the various parts of the above floors:—

| Names of buildings. | Girders 10 feet apart. | | Joists 1 foot apart. | |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | Span in feet. | Scantling in inches. | Span in feet. | Scantling in inches. |

| | | | | |
|---|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| New Secretariat, The Iron girders are as shown in drawings, the span being 30 feet | 23 to 26.5 | 12" X 18" | 9 to 11 | 6. d. |
| | | | 20 | 3½' X 11' |
| Public Works Offices, | 19.5 | 10" X 10" | 10 | 3' X 8' |
| | 23 | 12" X 18" | | |
| Post Office, | 20 to 23 | 12" X 18" | 7½ to 10 | 3' X 8' |
| | 25 to 27 | 13" X 20" | 10 " 11½ | 3½' X 9' |
| Native General Hospital, | 32 | 14" X 22" | 13½ " 19½ | 4' X 10' |
| | 18 to 20 | 10" X 18" | 7 to 4½ | 3' X 7' |
| | 21 to 24 | 12" X 18" | | |

The weights of these floors and of the materials composing them are as follows:—

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Iron girders, flanges, | 4 L-irons 3" X 3" X ½" | 2 plates 7½' X ½" | 11 lb 22" X ½" |
| Span 30 feet; length 38 feet; weight 27½ cwt. | | | |
| Teak wood, | 42 lbs. per cubic foot | | |
| Portlander alabs 2½ inches thick, | 136 | | |
| Brick, | 102.3 | | |
| Concrete, | 95 | | |
| Alumina tiles ½, | 147 | | |
| Structural weight of floors from | 60 to 90 | | |
| Casual weight, a crowd of people, | 84 to 90 | | |

The component parts of the above "structural weight" are as follows:—

| | | |
|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| Iron girders, | 9.5 to 8.1 lbs., | per square foot of floor. |
| Woolen do., | } 6.3 | " " " |
| 12' x 15' average, | | " " " |
| Joints 5' x 8', | } 7.0 | " " " |
| Average, | | " " " |
| Porebunder slabs, | } 23.4 | " " " |
| 2½ inches thick, | | " " " |
| Concrete 3' thick, | 37.0 | " " " |
| Minton tiles, .. | 6.2 | " " " |
| Boarding 1' thick, | 3.5 | " " " |
| Filles 2' x 1', | 1.2 | " " " |
| Bricks, | 44 to 54 | " " " |

The following is the cost in Bombay of the several items of work in floors of about 21 feet span per 100 superficial feet of flooring.

| | RS. | A. | P. | | RS. |
|--|-----|-----|----|-------------------|----------|
| 204 c. ft. Basalt bed plates or templates, @ | 2 | 0 | 0 | per c. ft., | 4.08 |
| 5.90 cwt. Wrought-Iron girders, | @ | 13 | 0 | 0 " cwt., | 76.70 |
| 12.24 c. ft. Teak girders, | @ | 3 | 12 | 0 " c. ft., | 45.20 |
| 17.63 " " joint, | @ | 3 | 8 | 0 " " " | 61.80 |
| 70 " " wall plates, | @ | 3 | 0 | 0 " " " | 2.10 |
| 160.00 s. ft. Slabs of Porebunder stone, | @ | 120 | 0 | 0 per 100 s. ft., | 120.00 |
| 25 to 33 c. ft. Concrete, 3' to 4' thick, | @ | 130 | 0 | 0 " " c. ft., | 3½ to 45 |
| 100 s. ft. Minton tiles (6s. pattern), | @ | 70 | 0 | 0 " 100 No., | 70.00 |

Note.—The price of concrete includes consolidation, and upper surface of plaster of fine chunam.

BOMBAY, }
28th March, 1872. }

J. H. E. H.

| | | | |
|--|---|---|-----|
| E , derived from experiments on extension under tension. | " | " | E |
| contraction under compression | " | " | E |
| deflection under transverse load. | " | " | E |

These values of E may be distinguished as follows —

each of these three manners
 external force should be derived from experiments on loads applied in
 The values of E corresponding to these three different applications of
 sing, and Transverse
 three principal applications of external force, viz, Tension, Compression,
 to strain within certain limits (called the limits of elasticity), under the
 law of elasticity, viz, "*ut tensio sic vis*," i.e., that stress is proportional
 They are all three really only the quantitative expressions of Hooke's
 material

distinct constants expressing three distinct physical properties of any one
 denoted by the letter E , is indifferently applied by many writers to three
 The quantity termed "co-efficient" or "modulus of elasticity," and
 received text books proves a matter of considerable confusion to students
 near discordant as a matter of fact the want of this explanation in
 relating to elasticity and deflection, which without some explanation ap-
 This paper is an attempt to harmonize several sets of formulae and tables

By LIEUT ALAN CUNNINGHAM, R.E., Honorary Fellow of King's
 College, London, and Offg Professor of Mathematics, Thomason
 College, Roorkhee, N.W.P.

ON THE MODULI OF ELASTICITY AND ON DEFLECTION

NO XLIV

The practical usefulness of this paper is in pointing out the numerical relations between these quantities, which are important for the reason that in most *Treatises on Higher Applied Mechanics*, E_t is the quantity used throughout all formulæ and investigations, but the numerical value of E_t is alone accessible for many materials, (this is certainly the case with Indian woods,) and the particular E_c of different tables is different.

Determination of E_t and E_c .

Extension and Compression being both direct in action and normal to the transverse sections of the material strained, the quantities E_t and E_c are derivable from the same formula, thus,

If p = intensity of stress in lbs. per square inch of transverse section

l = length of piece to be experimented on in inches.

δl = strain, (*i.e.*, extension or contraction) produced by the stress p in inches.

Then by Hooke's law of elasticity,

$\delta l : l = p : E$: a constant quantity (provided the intensity of strain $\delta l \div l$ does not exceed the limit of elasticity). This constant is what is denoted by E .

$$\therefore E = \frac{p}{\delta l} \cdot l$$

E is of course either E_t or E_c according as the strain is an extension or contraction.

It is a remarkable thing that the strains, *i.e.*, extensions or contractions under equal loads are for many materials approximately equal, and that consequently E_t and E_c are approximately equal. It seems to be generally accepted in the profession that for practical purposes the values of E_t and E_c may be assumed to be equal in building materials. In fact all the present treatment of Higher Applied Mechanics depends on one consequence of the above, *viz.*, that the neutral surface of a beam under transverse load passes through the centre of gravity of every section.

It is especially to be noticed that the direct experiments necessary for the determination of E_t and E_c , (and more particularly of E_c) are very expensive and difficult. But on the assumption that they are equal, the value of E , (*i.e.*, E_t or E_c) may be determined indirectly through experiments on the deflexion of beams which are far less expensive and easier of execution than those on direct extension and contraction.

A straight beam of uniform rectangular section is placed on two supports on *same level*, and loaded at its middle

Let l = clear distance between supports in inches

L = the same in feet .. $L \approx l - 12$

b = breadth of beam in inches, d = depth of beam in inches

W = total load applied at middle in lbs

δ = deflection at middle produced by W in inches, (ϵ , neglecting the weight of beam)

Then it is proved by theoretical writers* (provided the limit of elasticity is not exceeded) on the three following assumptions —

(1) Hooke's law of elasticity

(2) That the neutral surface passes through the centre of gravity of every cross section (ϵ , that $L_e = L_0$)

(3) That the total deflection δ is small

$$\text{Then } \delta = \frac{W L^3}{48 E I} \text{ whence } L_e = \frac{4}{3} \frac{b d^3}{12}$$

From this equation, E may be calculated if δ be observed

On the co-efficient E

The most important writer, both as experimentalist and theorist on this quantity is Peter H Barlow. The experimenters on the Indian woods seem all to have followed his method and have deduced E (not E_1 which has been already indicated as the most useful) from their experiments

Barlow's chief merit is as an experimentalist: in his attempt to construct formulae theoretically for cases not experimented on, he is not so successful, and has made at least one serious mistake, which has unfortunately been copied into some of the Indian text books

His method is as follows: He investigates *theoretically* the deflection of a straight horizontal beam of uniform rectangular section, under the following four conditions —

Caso (1) As a cantilever loaded at free end

" (2) " cantilever uniformly loaded

" (3) " beam supported at both ends loaded at middle.

* See Rankine's Manual of Civil Engineering 6th Ed. Art. 107
† Treatise on Strength of Timber &c by Peter Barlow London 1825.

Case (4). As a beam supported at both ends, uniformly loaded.

He endeavors to establish that in each case the following quantity $\frac{l^3 W}{8 \delta^3}$ is a constant quantity depending only on the material in each case within the limits of elasticity.

Case (1). Assuming only (1). Hooke's law of elasticity.

" " (2). That the deflexion δ is very small.

He proceeds to establish the differential equation of the deflexion curve or "elastic curve," assumed by the originally horizontal lines of the beam and after integration by a method analogous to that still employed by all writers on physics, establishes the result $\frac{l^3 W}{8 \delta^3} = E$, a constant quantity, which he there denotes by E , (i.e., E_d) depending only on the material.

He also establishes the same result by a circuitous process of approximation for the benefit of readers not acquainted with the integral calculus.

Case (2). By the same circuitous process he establishes that in this case $\frac{l^3 W}{8 \delta^3} = E_d$, the same constant as in Case 1.

Case (3). He endeavors to establish this case from general considerations without reconsidering the form of the deflexion curve, and herein he decidedly fails. The writer considers Barlow's line of argument in this case (from general considerations) an unsafe one, requiring very great caution, as it involves several mere assertions (unproved) as premisses.

His principal premiss is that this case is similar as regards stress (called by him strain) to that of the same beam supported freely at its middle, with the projecting free ends loaded each with half the load. His inference is that the element of deflexion, and consequently also the whole deflexion, in the former case are respectively double of the element of deflexion and of the whole deflexion in the latter.

This inference is (in the writers' opinion) wrongly drawn from the premiss. The inference naturally deducible appears (to the writer) to be that the two quantities, viz., the element of deflexion and whole deflexion are respectively equal in the two cases.

This error of course vitiates the result which Barlow deduces, viz., $\frac{l^3 W}{8 \delta^3} = E_d$, the same constant as in Case I.

The result logically deducible is (in the writer's opinion) that $\frac{l^3 W}{3 \delta^3} = E_d$ the same constant as in Case I. This mistake appears to

1815 the intermediate editions are not accessible to the writer, but at any rate, in the 6th edition in 1867, the correct inference, viz, that $\frac{P}{W} \propto \frac{1}{\delta^2}$ is drawn from the very same line of argument, reprinted almost verbatim, but without any remark as to the reason of change from the 1815 edition

Case (1) By a repetition of the processes as in Case (1), he shows that the deflection at the middle in this case is $\frac{3}{8}$ of the deflection at the middle in Case (3), and consequently that $\frac{P}{W} \propto \frac{1}{\delta^2}$ is the same constant as in Case (1), according to the edition of 1846, and that $\frac{3}{8} \times \frac{1}{\delta^2} \times \frac{P}{W} = L$, the same constant as in Case (1), according to the last edition (1867).

The four preceding results were obtained for beams of the same breadth and depth. He afterwards establishes from the same two assumptions as before, that $L \propto \frac{1}{\delta^2}$, so that his four formulae become after rejecting for simplicity the factor 3

$$\text{Case (1)} \quad \frac{P}{W} \delta = \text{a constant quantity}$$

$$\text{" (2)} \quad \frac{3}{8} \frac{P}{W} \delta = \text{a constant quantity}$$

$$\text{" (3)} \quad \frac{1}{2} \text{ of, or } \frac{1}{2} \text{ of } \frac{P}{W} \delta = \text{a constant quantity}$$

$$\text{" (4)} \quad \frac{3}{8} \times \frac{1}{2} \text{ of, or } \frac{3}{8} \times \frac{1}{2} \text{ of } \frac{P}{W} \delta = \text{a constant quantity}$$

This constant quantity, *the same for all the formulae* (which is evidently *the times* that used in the investigation) he proposes to denote by L

Having established the four preceding formulae on theoretical grounds, he proceeds to test *one of them* experimentally the one chosen was Case (3), viz, that $\frac{3}{8} \frac{P}{W} \delta$ and consequently $\frac{P}{W} \delta = \text{constant quantity}$

This case was no doubt chosen in consequence of the greater facility of performing the experiment (on a beam freely supported at the ends, and loaded at its middle) than in the other three cases.

The result was that from a very large series of experiments, this for-

mula (taken by itself) proved correct; that is to say, it proved to be true that the quantity $\frac{l^3 W}{bd^3 \delta} =$ a constant quantity depending only on the nature of the material.

Up to this point, it may therefore be considered to have been established by Barlow, *both theoretically and experimentally*, that in his Case (3) the quantity $\frac{l^3 W}{bd^3 \delta}$ is a constant quantity depending only on the material, and that the equation $\frac{l^3 W}{bd^3 \delta} =$ a constant, is really the expression of a physical law. No experiments are recorded on the other three cases, so that no experimental test of the *comparative* correctness of the four numerical co-efficients $1, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{1}{32}, \frac{5}{8} \times \frac{1}{32}$ was established. It has already been pointed out that these should be as $1 : \frac{3}{8} : \frac{1}{16} : \frac{5}{8} \times \frac{1}{16}$, as in the latest edition.

It should now be noticed that the result established, *both theoretically and experimentally* by Barlow for his Case (3) that $\frac{l^3 W}{bd^3 \delta} =$ a constant quantity, depending only on the material is consonant with that obtained on theoretical grounds previously, viz., that *in this same case* of a straight horizontal beam of uniform rectangular section supported freely at both ends and loaded at the middle $\frac{l^3 W}{4bd^3 \delta} = E_t$, which is of course a constant for the material.

The essential difference in the two modes of investigation is that Barlow makes no hypothesis as to the position of the neutral axis, whereas writers who use E_t usually make the additional hypothesis that $E_t = E_c$ which involves the neutral axis passing through the centre of gravity of each cross section.

Determination of E_d .

It has been observed that experiments on deflexion of beams are far more easily conducted than those for the direct determination of E_t and E_c .

Most of the recorded values of E_d have been determined from experiments *on the deflexion at the middle of straight horizontal beams of uniform rectangular section freely supported at both ends and loaded at the middle*, only in consequence of the comparative ease and inexpensiveness of experiments so arranged.

Unfortunately, however, the recorded values of L_2 have been calculated by different experimenters from different formulae, so that although actually expressing the same physical property, viz., that $\frac{pW}{2l^3}$ is a constant quantity for each material, they differ greatly numerically according to the units of measure used by different experimenters, and care is required in using tables of L (or L_2) in observing the units of measure intended.

It is obvious that since $\frac{pW}{2l^3}$ is a constant quantity, therefore also $\frac{pW}{2l^3} \times$ (any numerical ratio) is also a constant quantity.

Different numerical multipliers have been chosen by different writers, and sometimes even by the same writer in one book, so that $\frac{pW}{2l^3} \times$ (some numerical ratio chosen by the writer) has been tabulated as L , (i.e., L_2) by different writers.

The principal tabulated values of L_2 are as follows —

AB—A comparison of each with what is styled in this paper the *Roorkes L_2* (vide part 5), which is most largely used in India is also given

- (1) In Barlow's original theoretical investigation of 1826 and 1845, $L_2 = \frac{1}{1} \frac{pW}{2l^3}$ also $= \frac{1}{1} \frac{pW}{36l^3} = 16 \times \text{Roorkes } L_2$. This is of little practical importance as it is not used in tables

(2) In Barlow's first tables

"Essay on Strength and Stress of Timber," 3rd Edition 1846

"Treatise on Strength of Timber, &c." New Edition, 1849, (Art 61)

Also in some Indian Tables

"Gleanings of Science" May and August 1829, Vol. I. (Experiments by Captain H. C. Baker) Calcutta 1829

"Scandlings of Timbers for Roorkes," by Peter Hays, Roorkes, 1845, vide Tables I to IV

"Scandlings of Timbers for Roorkes," by English Peter Hays, vide Tables I to IV 2nd Edition, Roorkes, 1845

$$L_2 = \frac{pW}{2l^3} = 1728 \times \text{Roorkes } L_2$$

This might be called "Barlow's first L_2 "

(3) In Barlow's four formulae (Art 103), and in the table (Art 101) of the

"Treatise on Strength of Timber, &c." 1845

"The numerical quantity actually tabulated is called $22 L$ and said to be $\frac{pW}{2l^3}$ "

the same as the quantity L_2 in Table ()

$$E_d = \frac{1}{32} \frac{l^3 W}{bd^3 \delta} = 54 \times \text{Roorkee } E_d.$$

This might be called "Barlow's second E_d ."

(4). In the latest editions of Barlow's works

"Treatise on Strength of Timber, &c." 1867.

and in some Indian Papers—

"Professional Papers on Indian Engineering" Vol. VI., Roorkee 1869. Paper No. CCXIV "Experiment on Dharwar Timbers," by J. H. E. Hart, Esq.

$$E_d = \frac{1}{18} \frac{l^3 W}{bd^3 \delta} = 108 \times \text{Roorkee } E_d.$$

This might be called "Barlow's third E_d ."

(5). In some of the Indian Tables—

"Description and Strength of Indian and Burman Timbers," by Conductor T. W. Skinner. Madras, 1862.

"Professional Papers on Indian Engineering" Vol. I., Roorkee, 1863. Paper XX-VII, "Scantlings of Timbers, Mysore," by Major R. H. Sankey, R.E.

Thomason C. E. College Manual, No. II., "Strength of Materials," 5th Ed., Roorkee 1869.

"Roorkee Treatise on Civil Engineering in India," by Major J. G. Medley, R.E. 2nd Edition. Roorkee 1869.

"Scantlings of Timber for Roofs," by Ensign P. Keay, 2nd Edition, Roorkee 1872.

"Professional Papers on Indian Engineering" 2nd Series, Vol. I., Paper XL.

"Indian Timber Trees, by Major A. M. Lang, R.E.

$$E_d = \frac{l^3 W}{bd^3 \delta} \text{ the "Roorkee" } E_d$$

N.B.—This co-efficient being used in the Thomason Civil Engineering College text-books might be called the Roorkee E_d . For practical calculations it is very convenient on account of its being much smaller numerically than the E_d of other tables.

Comparison of E_d with E_t and E_c .

As Barlow's theory and experiment are the source of all the determinations of E_d , the following comparison of E_d with E_t and E_c will be made by comparing his four deflexion formulæ (Art. 103 of his "Treatise on Strength of Timber," &c), after introducing the correction explained above with the formulæ for deflexion (under the same circumstances) which involve E_t .

It will be remembered that it is assumed that $E_t = E_c$ practically.

The results of comparison are given in the table below.

calculated from this formula, $a = \frac{40 bd^3 \delta}{L^3 W}$ for most timber woods used in England).

This form of co-efficient is specially convenient in carpentry if the rate of deflection of $\delta = \frac{L}{40}$ be the one decided on as a maximum, (but not otherwise), for substituting it in the formula there results the following formula very convenient for practical use, $bd^3 = a \cdot L^3 W$.

This formula is of course applicable only to straight horizontal beams of uniform rectangular section.

Tredgold gives the modifications of it for Barlow's four cases correctly, and also for cylindrical beams.

This co-efficient which may perhaps be called Tredgold's co-efficient has been calculated (in preference to E_d) by some of the Indian experimentalists.

"Notes and Experiments on the Stone and Timber of the Gwalior Territory" by Major Alexander Cunningham, B.E. Roorkee, 1853.

Tredgold's co-efficient is denoted in this by S.

"Professional Papers on Indian Engineering" (Second Series,) Vol. I., Paper XLVIII., "Experiments on Andaman Woods," by J. Bennett, C.E., Roorkee, 1872.

$$\text{Since } a = \frac{40 bd^3 \delta}{L^3 W} = \frac{40}{(\text{the Roorkee}) E_d}$$

$$\therefore \text{the Roorkee } E_d = \frac{40}{a}$$

$$\text{And } E_t = 432 \times \frac{40}{a} = 17280 \times \frac{1}{a}$$

Tredgold introduces another modification of the reciprocal form of E_d , intended to simplify the formulæ for cantilevers. Theory indicates that it should be $16 \times a$: he denotes it by b . Thus Tredgold's $b = 16 \times$ Tredgold's a (theoretically). Its use is evidently very limited, as cantilevers are not much used. Very few direct experiments are recorded by him, and the results are irregular, (as he acknowledges), probably in consequence of the early experimenters not foreseeing that unless the manner of fixation was quite similar in all experiments, the results could not be expected to be numerically accordant: thus some of the cantilevers experimented on were fixed not at the point of support, but at some little distance from it.

The term "fixed at one end" is now understood to mean that the neutral axis of the cantilever is immoveably fixed in direction at the point of support. Experiments in which this condition was not complied with

are useless for determining b directly. From the irregularity of the values of b , obtained from direct experiment the writer considers it preferable to use its theoretical value $b = 16 \times a$

Remarks on Barlow's and Tredgold's Formulae

It is to be observed that the utility of Barlow's four formulae (even when corrected) and of Tredgold's formulae is greatly limited by their not containing any factors to suit them to other forms of cross section, and to other distributions of load than those which were considered in the investigation, viz, solid straight horizontal beams of uniform rectangular section, and of circular section (in Tredgold's). General formulae applicable to any case whatever are given in Art, 169 of Rankine's "Manual of Civil Engineering," 6th edition, 1870, and somewhat more fully in Art. 300 to 304 of Rankine's "Manual of Applied Mechanics," 3rd edition, 1864.

As these formulae in their general form involve several integrations, they are certainly somewhat difficult of application, but to meet the wants of the practical man (i.e., to save the necessity of this labor) a table of the result of integration is given for thirteen cases, most likely to occur in practice, so that for these cases they are easily applied. These formulae involve E , the modulus of direct tensile elasticity. The influence of Barlow's writings, which were followed by Tredgold, has been very great in India so that unfortunately the value of E or of its modified reciprocal n is the only co-efficient of elasticity usually accessible for Indian woods.

The relations established in this paper, viz,
 Modulus of tensile elasticity $E_1 = \frac{1}{2} \times$ "Barlow's first" E_1 .
 $= 8 \times$ "Barlow's second" E_1 .
 $= 1 \times$ "Barlow's third" E_1 .
 $= 432 \times$ "the Rankine" E_1

$$= \frac{172 \cdot 0}{\text{Redgold's co-efficient } a}$$

will enable the modern English formulae of Higher Applied Mechanics to be applied to Indian practice. It is to be regretted that the number of experiments recorded on the Indian woods are so few.

No. XLV.

THE MOUNTAIN TRAMWAY.

(Vide Plates Nos. XXXVIII., XXXIX., and XL.)

A paper introducing to public notice several devices by which the water-power of the mountain streams can be utilized as a propulsive power, on inclined Tramways. BY the late WILLIAM SANDERSON, C.E.

Introduction.—The project for the application of the invention styled the hydraulic propeller on mountain tramways to the outer Himalaya—is recommended as a substitute for heavy and expensive Railways.

The mountain tramway, too slight for the steam locomotive, was designed (previous to the above-named invention) especially for the utilization of the water power of mountain streams, and for winding up the valley slopes without disturbing the surface where road making would cause landslips; its carrying powers under ordinary means of traction are very low, and it appeared unadvisable to construct a tramway on which so small a load could be carried, till the idea of the troughed channel and the water-wheel suspended from the car, permitting the repetition of the passing load, occurred and solved the problem.

This light tramway without the channel would be a valuable adjunct to the Ganges Canal, laid on its banks and worked by its water falls, with rope traction, or propulsion by atmospheric pressure.

A Railway has already been projected passing Roorkee, and following the canal bank to Hurdwar, which will involve large outlay for bridging the several mountain torrent beds; it is suggested that the design for the mountain tramway be adapted in its stead.

In the consideration of the best means of applying a retarding and arresting power to the car on mountain tramway, the idea of a new form

of steam carriage road for the plains, occurred, whereon the friction roller brake can be applied, so as to remove the necessity for the ponderous locomotive, and the concave wheel tires.

Reference is made to the water power of the doabs, and of the highlands of Central India—of the value of the water power in India there can be no doubt, and the invention here introduced to public notice has given occasion for general suggestions.

Reviewing the History of Railways, as far back as the early part of the 17th century, wooden tramways were used in the Collieries in Northumberland, carrying two to three tons upon small danged wheels; but little coal was then worked, except for domestic use. A hundred years or more later, Iron was produced in large abundance, and a Northumbrian introduced the edge rail of cast iron, spiked to a plug in a stone sleeper, and then men began to look for more powerful traction than animal power, but it was not till 1825 that the locomotive was ventured upon, and a new developing force gave an acceleration to the advancement of manufactures, and to such an enormous extension of steam power, that the very small water-power the country had made use of was overlooked. Steam power was adopted by the nations of Europe, generally, with similar, though smaller results.

In India although there is a scarcity of water power, away from the mountains, steam has not been so generally introduced by the directors of labor in official departments; but those dependent on their own resources, as the Contractors on our Railways, Planters, Manufacturers and others, have wisely availed themselves of steam power, and have been to a slight extent imitated by the departments. But so entirely has the English mind been taken up with the idea of extending steam power, that steam machinery is employed at Roorkee on the Ganges Canal in which a 80,000 H. P. is running to waste, and even when workshops have been established by untutored Englishmen, as on the mountain slopes over the Dhoo west of the Juma, steam power has been adopted within a few miles of a large water power. Now that the extension of the Railway system in the plains has been secured, attention may be drawn to the outer slopes of the Himalayas between the Sutlej and Nepal, an area of 20,000 square miles containing numerous valleys, cultivable plateaus, extensive mineral deposits, iron works, and tea plantations.

Railways to bear ponderous locomotives and trains are too costly for the hill country; even in the plains, in those districts where population and natural resources are scarce, the cost must tend to retard the extension of Railways, except where there are military and political requirements to warrant large expenditure on lines through districts without commerce.

The utmost possible reduction of the cost of Railways in the plains will not bring it low enough for the hill country: ordinary Railway works cost five times as much in the hills as in the plains, and the lowest safe estimate for the latter being £2,500, in the former it is £12,500 per mile; and in addition to this great cost, there is a further obstacle to the construction of permanent Railways for heavy running loads, in the liability to landslips which arises from the disturbance by road making, of the surface of mountain slopes.

To return to water power in India generally, admitting its scarcity over vast areas, and the almost universal necessity for the steam locomotive on Railways, and the steam engine for the purposes of the manufacturer; it must be noticed, that in the rivers rising in the Highlands of the Peninsula and running eastwards, and in those rising in Central India, there is contained an available water power for use as a tractive force—or for manufactory machinery. This is however merely suggestive, the present object is especially to note the value of the several streams flowing out the Himalayas (varying in capacity from river currents to the smallest rills,) as a motive power, to work tramways penetrating the hill country: which power may be applied in different modes.

First.—By rope traction by stationary engines acted upon by the mountain streams; the friction of ropes to convey their power to the carriages would necessitate the fixing of a water wheel and winding machinery at four mile distances. Rope traction could be adopted on the light mountain tramway by placing the cars of a train separately, 100 feet apart attached by a rope—the load being thus spread over the line, say 10 cars of 5 tons each. The same stream conveyed in an artificial channel on the hill side parallel to the line would be available at each winding apparatus, if conducted at a gradient less than that of the tramway to the next station where a fall should be provided.

Second.—By the use of condensers: by the water worked Stationary Engine, air may be forced into hollow metal spheres or air reservoirs, a pair of which being placed on the tramway car in conjunction with a pair

of cylinders and pistons, by mechanical contrivance alternate action may be obtained on the piston, or a pair of reservoirs may have alternate action on one cylinder of 40 strokes per minute, which with a driver of 5 feet diameter would give five miles an hour.

Third—The same fixed Stationary Engine might be made to coil India rubber or other elastic bands on spindles, which being fixed on a car especially constructed, (with the bands attached to suitable machinery, and left to uncoil) would become propellers. The use of elastic bands tightly coiled by machinery, then placed on cars, and giving motive power by uncoiling, is an American invention, the cost of winding the bands by steam machinery was found to be too great, and this mode of propulsion was abandoned after a short trial at New York.

Rope traction may be worked over the summit of an incline if not too long. The air engine is suggested also for short inclines leaving the water channel. The elastic bands not being of great weight, a sufficient number may be carried for 10 miles.

There are still other methods of applying the water power of mountain streams to the working of railways.

One is the 'Atmospheric Railway' principle which is more than two centuries old. The idea, Mr. Papin's, was not worked out till after the birth of steam power, it is still in use between Kingstown and Dalry. The principal of the apparatus is atmospheric pressure through a tube laid continuously between the rails, having on the upper face a longitudinal slit, through which an arm attached to the Railway car, passes, and within the tube is attached to a piston fitting the inside. The slit is provided with a lip which the compressed air in its passage behind the piston closes, the end of the tube is left open, and the air drawn out by machine worked air pumps. Nothing could be more easily arranged than a water worked engine to exhaust the tube of air, in front of the advancing piston attached to the car.

The next is the most simple arrangement that can be made, the least costly both in way and rolling stock. It is best described in the following section of Invention styled the

HYDRAULIC PROPULSION ON MOUNTAIN RAILWAYS

This Invention consists in the first place of an ordinary water wheel of any form, whether an exposed or boxed wheel, revolved by water flow

ing in a channel especially constructed, by which vertical revolution can be communicated to a pair of wheels running on rails or trams, and ascending an incline of 1 in 100 to 1 in 10. The dimensions of the water-wheel may vary according to the water power available, or to the traffic requirement; as a general rule, the diameter of the water-wheel should be six times that of the running wheels. The dimensions fixed upon for the drawings accompanying this specification are—9 feet diameter of water-wheel, and 18 inches the diameter of running wheels.

The shaft or axle of the water-wheel must extend over the trams, and for an especial reason these are placed 6 feet apart. The axle to be of steel bar $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches square, and to have a running wheel affixed to each end of cast-iron 18 inches in diameter, with a wrought-iron inner flange, projecting an inch over the tyre. The wooden nave to be fitted on the middle of the axle, to be 2 feet 9 inches in length, and $4\frac{1}{2}$ inches in diameter, and to be pierced for 11 pairs of radial arms, 2 feet 6 inches apart, and 2 feet 7 inches in length. These radial arms to be hard wood 1 inch square, to the ends of the pair of which, are to be fitted 11 floats of dimensions 2 feet 6 inches by 1 foot 8 inches. The floats to be made of wood and sheet iron, the wood to be pairs 1 foot 8 inches length, 1 inch square, and grooved to receive the sheet iron. The joints and radial arm and float frames to be connected and tied by $\frac{1}{4}$ inch iron rod on both sides, the floats to be at an angle of 25 degrees from radial line, and secured in position by oblique ties from a joint of radial arm and float frame to the tip of the next float, of $\frac{1}{4}$ inch iron rod, and the tips of the floats to be tied by $\frac{1}{4}$ inch iron rod on the periphery of the water-wheel.

The form and dimensions of the water-wheel herein styled the "Hydraulic Propeller on Mountain Tramway" are given in *Figs.* Nos. 1, 2 and 3 of the drawings annexed to this article.

This Specification of invention has reference in the second place to a form of Tramway consisting of a channel, placed midway between and parallel to a pair of trams or rails, and below the level of rails to a dimension equal to radius of water-wheel, minus radius of running wheel, plus $\frac{1}{16}$ radius of water-wheel: or, according to the dimensions fixed upon for the drawings, the space below the surface of rails or trams to bed of channel = 4 feet 6 inches — 9" + 3" = 4 feet.

From the foregoing, it is ascertained that the water-wheel with shaft or axle on the ends of which are running wheels one-sixth of the water-

HYDRAULIC PROPELLER ON MOUNTAIN TRAMWAY.

TRANSVERSE SECTION OF PROPELLER.




Fig 1

TRANSVERSE ELEVATION OF PROPELLER.




Fig 2

ELEVATION OF PROPELLER.




Fig 3

Transverse Elevation of Propeller

Note: The Propeller is the in position on the moving structure as per 15 Plate 12. The flange is immersed in the stream & is cut with in the channel at the side of the river or if a weir the flange is per 11 or 12 to be acted upon by the motive power.

The propeller of flat dam & water wheel for a Tramway with a radius of 12 3

wheel in diameter, the whole rigidly fixed in all parts, constitutes the "Hydraulic Propeller."

And a pair of trams or rails with gauge to fit that of the pair of running wheels on the shaft or axle of the Hydraulic Propeller, with a troughed channel between the rails to receive the floats or fans of the propeller, constitute the "Mountain Tramway."

The mode of working the Mountain Tramway by the Hydraulic Propeller is as follows:—

The Propeller being placed with its running wheels on the trams, and its floats within the troughed channel; water being permitted to flow in sufficient volume within the channel, will cause the water-wheel to revolve, and the revolution will be communicated to the running wheels on the trams in an ascending direction on the incline.

The rate of progress on the ascent will be equal to half the velocity of the stream within the channel, and will therefore decrease with the rate of inclination.

The dimensions of the water-wheel in diameter, breadth and size of floats, will vary with the rate of inclination, and as a water-wheel of dimensions according with any given rate of incline, cannot be worked on an incline of different ratio, it is essential to preserve one rate of inclination for as great a length as possible, and advisable to increase the rate of incline progressively on the ascent.

To make the motive power (obtained as described,) available for the conveyance of goods and passengers, the two running wheels of the propeller are connected longitudinally by a pair of light frames with two or other pairs of running wheels, one pair in front, and one pair behind the propeller, and these other pairs of wheels are connected by axles of square $1\frac{1}{2}$ inch steel bar. Bushes are to be fitted in the ends of the pair of light connecting frames, to receive the axles of the other pairs of wheels, and on the centre of the frames, a half bush with bridged hinge, will receive the axle of the propeller, which is thus made movable, to admit of the propeller being detached and lifted out of the water when descending the incline, or when necessary to stop in ascending.

The propeller may be lifted out of the carriage frame either by a lever or a screw. The half bushes to be provided with friction rollers.

The axles of the propeller and outer pairs of running wheels to be

rounded off, and reduced to an inch in diameter to fit the bushes in the carriage frames.

The carriage will be constructed of iron rod, and wire netting in connection with the frame; the form and dimensions are shown in *Fig. 4*. The weight of the propeller is 180 lbs., and the carriage body with two pairs of running wheels 904 lbs. A passenger carriage load with luggage, with two natives with tools will be 1250 lbs., and the total weight of propeller and loaded passenger carriage lbs. 3334. The weight of propeller and goods carriage will be 1500 lbs., and weight of goods may be 4000 lbs. on the higher inclines.

The greatest load of goods it is proposed to carry including weight of propeller and carriage is two tons. The following table shows the load capacity of propeller on tramway for varying rates of inclination:—

TABLE.


Of Velocities, Horse Power, and Load capacities of "Hydraulic Propeller on Mountain Tramway."

$$(\text{H.P.} = .0025 \left(90 \sqrt{\frac{a}{b} \div s} \right) \left(90 \sqrt{\frac{a}{b} \div s} \times .56 \right) I (V - v) \times x.$$

| Gradient = s . | Sectional area of tramway channel stream, sq. feet, $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} = a. \end{array} \right.$ | Wetted contour of channel, feet, $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} = b. \end{array} \right.$ | Velocity of channel stream, feet per second, $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 90 \sqrt{\frac{a}{b} \div s} = V. \end{array} \right.$ | Velocity of perimeter of Propeller, feet per second, $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 90 \sqrt{\frac{a}{b} \div s} \times .56 = v. \end{array} \right.$ | Area of immersion of Propeller, float, sq. feet, $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} = I. \end{array} \right.$ | Discharge of channel stream, cubic feet per second = | Horse Power = H.P. | Co-eff., resistance of gravitation = x . | Load capacity of H.P. on inclines. | Miles per hour. |
|------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--------------------|--|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1 in 20 | 4.13 | 5.78 | 17.00 | 9.50 | 3.00 | 72.21 | 4.18 | .46 | 2.50 | 6 |
| 40 | 5.50 | 6.60 | 12.80 | 7.20 | 4.35 | 70.12 | 3.10 | .55 | 2.40 | 5 |
| 60 | 6.08 | 7.16 | 10.90 | 6.10 | 5.12 | 66.27 | 2.50 | .61 | 2.20 | 3.90 |
| 80 | 6.82 | 7.90 | 9.90 | 5.50 | 5.76 | 65.78 | 2.25 | .65 | 2.10 | 3.70 |
| 100 | 7.34 | 8.00 | 8.90 | 5.00 | 5.92 | 65.33 | 1.69 | .75 | 2.00 | 3.50 |

HYDRAULIC PROPELLER ON MOUNTAIN TRAMWAY
LONGITUDINAL SECTION OF PROPELLER AND CARRIAGE

Scale 3 feet = 1 inch



rounded off, and reduced to an inch in diameter to fit the bushes in the carriage frames.

The carriage will be constructed of iron rod, and wire netting in connection with the frame; the form and dimensions are shown in *Fig. 4*. The weight of the propeller is 180 lbs., and the carriage body with two pairs of running wheels 904 lbs. A passenger carriage load with luggage, with two natives with tools will be 1250 lbs., and the total weight of propeller and loaded passenger carriage lbs. 3334. The weight of propeller and goods carriage will be 1500 lbs., and weight of goods may be 4000 lbs. on the higher inclines.

The greatest load of goods it is proposed to carry including weight of propeller and carriage is two tons. The following table shows the load capacity of propeller on tramway for varying rates of inclination:—

TABLE.


Of Velocities, Horse Power, and Load capacities of "Hydraulic Propeller on Mountain Tramway."

$$(\text{H.P.} = .0025 \left(90 \sqrt{\frac{a}{b} \div s} \right) \left(90 \sqrt{\frac{a}{b} \div s} \times .56 \right) I (V - v) \times x.$$

| Gradient = s . | Sectional area of tramway channel stream, sq. feet, $\left. \begin{array}{l} = a. \\ \end{array} \right\}$ | Wetted contour of channel, feet, $\left. \begin{array}{l} = b. \\ \end{array} \right\}$ | Velocity of channel stream, feet per second, $\left. \begin{array}{l} 90 \sqrt{\frac{a}{b} \div s} = V. \\ \end{array} \right\}$ | Velocity of perimeter of Propeller, feet per second, $\left. \begin{array}{l} 90 \sqrt{\frac{a}{b} \div s} \times .56 = v. \\ \end{array} \right\}$ | Area of immersion of Propeller, float, sq. feet, $\left. \begin{array}{l} = I. \\ \end{array} \right\}$ | Discharge of channel stream, cubic feet per second* = | Horse Power = H.P. | Co-eff., resistance of gravitation = x . | Load capacity of H.P. on incline. | Miles per hour. |
|------------------|--|---|--|---|---|---|--------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1 in 20 | 4.13 | 5.78 | 17.00 | 9.50 | 3.00 | 72.21 | 4.18 | .46 | 2.50 | 6 |
| 40 | 5.50 | 6.60 | 12.80 | 7.20 | 4.35 | 70.12 | 3.10 | .55 | 2.40 | 5 |
| 60 | 6.08 | 7.16 | 10.90 | 6.10 | 5.12 | 66.27 | 2.50 | .61 | 2.20 | 3.90 |
| 80 | 6.82 | 7.90 | 9.90 | 5.50 | 5.76 | 65.78 | 2.25 | .65 | 2.10 | 3.70 |
| 100 | 7.34 | 8.00 | 8.90 | 5.00 | 5.92 | 65.33 | 1.69 | .75 | 2.00 | 3.50 |

HYDRAULIC PROPELLER ON MOUNTAIN TRAMWAY
LONGITUDINAL SECTION OF PROPELLER AND EARRIAGE.

Scale 3 feet = 1 inch



weighted channel

The manner of placing and fixing the troughed channel in its proper position within the trams, will vary with the form of general construction of the Mountain Tramway

For considerable lengths the Tramway may be constructed of masonry on the surface of the ground, with section given in *Fig* No 5 without interfering with the waterway

Where extensive waterway must be provided, or in crossing unavoidable depressions, the design is a simple combination of iron, timber, and wood framing, which is practicable, from the light load it is proposed to run on the Tramway. This form of structure is so light, that it may be borne on timber frames, above the surface of the ground, over those portions of the line where the necessity for expensive masonry works would attend a permanent railway intended to bear ponderous locomotives and heavy trams. The design for the mountain tramway in this case (*Fig* 6) is described as follows —

Iron round bar $1\frac{1}{2}$ inch is formed into lengths of 72 feet stringers, there are two pair of iron bar stringers placed 6 feet apart horizontally and vertically, the vertical pair are connected by wooden posts 6 feet apart longitudinally, these posts being connected again by iron rod diagonal ties, thus the two vertical pair of iron bar stringers, with posts and diagonal ties, form a pair of lattice girders of the highest possible construction, and these "stringer lattice girders" rest upon timber tressels which may bear the structure 25 feet above the surface of the ground. For greater heights the supports should be of cast-iron or of masonry. The stringer lattice girders are connected transversely by the cross bearings of the troughed channel, and tied by the framing which supports the troughed channel.

The weight of this structure for 72 feet span will be 7500 lbs or 35 tons. The capacity of the 2 pairs of stringers, not including that of the rails and bearings, will be equal to 50 tons, and the greatest strain will be $7\frac{1}{2}$ tons. The safe running load will be 10 tons.

The form and dimensions of the mountain tramway & bearing frames are shown in *Fig*. 6

To meet the contingency of unavoidable crossings of mountain gorges and ravine like valleys, a combination of direct track and compound Catenary suspension, has been designed for runs up to 500 feet of wire rope. The transverse ties and channel supports are similar to those of

the stringer girder on bearing frames. This design to apply wherever the tramway is more than 75 feet above the surface of the ground. (*Fig. 7.*)

In order to bring the centre of gravity of the moving load low down, and within the framework, the pair of Stringer Girders are placed 6 feet apart, centre to centre, and the trams being placed on the inner edges, the tramway gauge is 5 feet 6 inches, which admits of the load being suspended below the axles, and the bottom of the carriage 6 inches above the troughed channel, which is 1 foot 6 inches by 3 feet 2 inches in breadth.

The sectional area of the stream within the channel on an incline of 1 in 20, is 4 feet. The velocity is 17 feet per second, and the discharge 68· cubic feet per second.

Having thus described this my invention, as aforesaid, I hereby declare that what I claim as of the invention is—*First*; a wheel with floats after the manner of a common water-wheel, with an axle extending to the length equal to the gauge of a tramway, upon which run a pair of wheels affixed to the ends of the water-wheel axle. And a pair of frames connecting the water-wheel axle, with axles of two other pairs of running wheels, thus transmitting the motive power to the tramway carriage.

Secondly, the troughed channel to conduct the stream of water, to act upon the water-wheel, placed parallel to, and midway between the trams or rails as afore described.

And *lastly*, the light form of tramway, in which iron bar, in the form of stringer girders, connecting and binding lattice work of wood and iron rod, the stringer lattice girders supported by bearing frames, over the parts of the tramway line where a large provision of waterway would be requisite, or over unavoidable depressions.

And this invention is styled the "Hydraulic Propeller on Mountain Tramway."

The design for wire rope suspension over mountain gorges and ravine like vallies, being a combination of direct tension and compound catenary is not a new invention.

Thus a carriage of the lightest weight is substituted for the ponderous locomotive, which may be run on the lightest possible form of tramway,

CHANNEL FOR THE HYDRAULIC PROPELLER-MOUNTAIN TRAMWAY TRANSVERSE SECTIONS OF THE SEVERAL FORMS OF CONSTRUCTION

Note 5 feet - 102 1/2

Fig 6

Structure of Wood & Iron on Timber Bearings




Fig 7

Wire rope in Compound Pulley Suspension





Fig 5

Structure on surface of Ground



yet fully providing for any requirements that may arise from extended traffic in the hill country.

The valleys of the Kossilla and the Guree have been explored for the "Mountain Tramway," and it is estimated that the three forms of construction will be of length in proportion as follows—

1st—Masonry or ground surface 40 per cent

2nd—On timber bearing frames 5½

3rd—Wire rope suspension 6

To meet objections to so fragile a structure it is observed—

1st—That the traffic requirements in the hills will be fully met by this tramway with a moving load 1½ tons, including the propeller and car, seeing that a hundred cars may run separately, 100 feet apart, at the same time, worked by the power of the same stream.

2nd—Massiveness and rigidity of structure, involving enormous expenditure in the formation, bridging and permanent way, absolutely necessary to bear the weight ordinarily passed over railways, are not in any degree requisite for this mountain tramway, and in considering this structure, all ideas connected with permanent Railways must be set aside.

The following investigation of weight, strength and bearing powers, proves that the structure is fully equal to all requirements for stability under the traffic load it is to carry. Extracting from details of specification and drawings, the weight of structure on bearing frames is as follows—12 feet span

Iron bar strings (1) 7½ ft. by 2½
 (2) equal iron bars (36) 1½ ft. by 2½
 Cast-iron rails 1½ ft. by 2½
 Fish plates, fish bolts &c.
 Bolt iron channel,

Weight of Iron,

1619
 66
 600
 120
 217
 3412

200
 40
 100

Weight of Wood,

Total weight of Structure, 1672 lbs., 4 cwt.

Total transverse strength of the frame structure is

100 by 4 by 20 ft.
 Area of the channel
 Depth of flange and bearing,

Total transverse strength 144,000 lbs., 6 cwt.

The total weight of structure is little more than one-sixth of its breaking weight.

| | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|
| | | | | | tons. |
| The greatest strain on the pair of stringer lattices is, | ... | | | | 13.72 |
| The tenacity is $\frac{(4) 39537 \times .7854}{2240}$, | ... | ... | ... | ... | 180.00 |

The tenacity above strain of the whole structure of the stringer lattices, 43.48

From the foregoing it may be deduced for 72 feet span that the strength of the entire structure is equal to the transverse strain, plus moving load by 4.12.

The safe load is 5 tons, and it is proposed to run $1\frac{1}{2}$ tons, or less than one-third the safe load.

The structure is altered for wire rope suspension by the substitution of the direct tension wire rope for the iron bar stringers.

The tensile strength of Russ. wire of $\frac{1}{20}$ to $\frac{1}{30}$ is 6 to 9 times per square inch that of a square inch of bar iron; the cost is much greater, but the greater dependence on the wire renders it advisable to make the rope entirely of strands of $\frac{1}{16}$ Russ. wire. The following has been deduced for

216 FEET SPAN.

Weight of structure suspended, 12 tons.

The tensile strength of structure without the catenary suspension, is

Direct tension, wire ropes 3375 square inches $\times 59.5$... = 200

In the cast-iron rails continuity would be destroyed, but as four fish-bolts of $\frac{3}{4}$ would give a tenacity equal to half the tensile strength of rails and bearings, it should be substituted, 18

Total tensile strength of structure, 218

Strain at centre equals, 58

The difference of tensile strength and strain is so great, that the latter need not be taken into account in providing for suspension.

Wire rope of 323 strands of $\frac{1}{16}$ Russ. wire would be $4\frac{1}{2}$ inches in diameter, containing sectional area of iron of 1.69 inch, and a pair of ropes = 3.375 inches, would amply provide against weight, strain of structure, and high wind.

The safe load sanctioned by the Lords Commissioners of the Admiralty on wire cables,—that is, ship's strain at anchor under a high wind, is half the breaking weight: and a wire rope of the dimensions given would

sustain 30 tons, and a pair 180 tons, and the greatest strain that could be brought on the structure suspended over 216 feet span would be 60 tons, or one-third the breaking weight, which is—

By Admiralty trials,
" Hodgkinson's "
" 180 tons
" 200 "

The latter being received as most trustworthy by the profession generally. The propeller of 9 feet diameter can be used only where there is sufficient flow of water, either from a perennial stream or full, or by storing a stream of insufficient capacity. Where water has to be accumulated by storage, the propeller could be run, say once in seven days, when the water flow should be permitted for a certain time, say six hours. Suppose such a tramway 20 miles in length, the flow of water in the tramway channel or six hours would work up 90 tons weight in 100 carriages, each carriage provided with its propeller and following on in succession. For velocity and discharge in the tramway channel we have as fol-

ows—

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| A = Sectional area of stream, 3.18×1.20 | $= 4.134$ sq feet | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| WC = Wetted contour, | $= 5.78$ feet | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| H = Hydraulic mean depth $\frac{A}{WC}$ | $= .071$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| s = Gradient, | $= .20$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| $V = 90 \sqrt{\frac{s}{f}}$ = velocity, | $= 13.5$ ft. per sec. | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| $u = 90 \sqrt{\frac{s}{f}} \times .56$ = velocity of perimeter of propeller, 2.6 " | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| I = Area of immersion of propeller float, 2.5×1.8 , | $= 3.95$ sq feet | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| II = Horse-power $= I (90 \sqrt{\frac{s}{f}})^3 (V - u)$ | $= 4.20$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |

And a 420 horse-power propeller, ascending an incline of 1 in 20 at the rate of 6 miles an hour, would take a load of $2\frac{1}{2}$ tons, as is shown in the cable. See Specification of Invention.

The tramway channel discharges on such an incline would be 72.21 cubic feet per second, so that a perennial stream of less capacity would have to be stored. Such a stream descending to the Kosi was measured as an experiment. In the driest season this spring stream gave 8 cubic feet per second, or 292,800 cubic feet in six hours. This stream, if stored in

eight reservoirs $120 \times 12 \times 12$ feet each, would provide sufficient water to work the tramway for six hours once a week.

The foregoing is to show that in the event of partial failure of water-supply, or in localities where it is scarce, the power can be accumulated in reservoirs at the summit, and along the line, for a tramway with propeller 9 feet in diameter, and of corresponding dimensions.

The cost of reservoirs would be Rs. 1,000 per mile, in addition to cost of tramway construction.

It should not be omitted that this mountain tramway may be constructed to any dimensions, from a toy model to the largest upon which the necessary discharge would be manageable.

A model tramway one-sixth of the proposed dimensions would give by computation—velocity $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet per second, velocity of perimeter $1\frac{1}{4}$ feet per second, horse-power .068, and load capacity 80 lbs. Under experiment, a load of 135 lbs. ascended an incline 1 in 20, 25 feet in length, in 9 seconds. This model tramway, with its small propeller and discharge in channel of only half a cubic foot of water per second, would take a maund in each carriage, and there might be a hundred carriages at once on the tramway, so that it would convey a traffic of 100 maunds per diem.

And, if the subject of the cost were reduced to one of secondary consideration, the largest streams could be provided with a channeled tramway and propeller of the largest dimensions, within the limits of control of water power. The principle of this new invention may be carried to the extent of channel discharge of 3,000 cubic feet per second, giving 50 horse-power on an incline of 1 in 80, with 7 miles an hour on the ascent. A work of such magnitude would, however, be enormous in cost.

At this period, any scheme for a tramway penetrating the hill country, must have for its object the accommodation of the military sanatoria, but the mountain tramway with hydraulic propeller is designed especially for the ascent of vallies and ravines in which there are perennial streams of sufficient capacity. In the larger vallies, an altitude may be attained at distances beyond existing traffic, but the developement of agricultural and mineral resources, and the consequent increase of population may lead in time to the spread of the tramway system over the plateaus distant from mountain rivers, and one or other of the several methods of application of the water-power, described at pages 474, 475 may be adopted to

some other mode of applying retarding force, avoiding undue strain on the tramway structure as well as on the car; the results of the study of this question are given as follows:—

Friction Roller Brake.—The gradients of a mountain tramway are necessarily steep, and it is necessary to have a brake power, other than that obtained by partially lowering the propeller; moreover in the descent of an incline, it may be advisable, to meet traffic requirements, to load up to tramway safe point, when the propeller may be removed, and a pair of running wheels put in its place. In this case separate brake power would be requisite, which is provided by a pair of friction rollers, made to press against the inner side of the troughed channel by a cross lever acted upon by a screw in precisely the same manner as the ordinary Railway brake.

In addition to this friction roller brake behind the carriage, a similar apparatus acted upon by a governor, when the arms are raised by centrifugal force at high speed; the motion of the running wheels is given to the governor shaft by a pair of mitre cogs. At a speed of 20 miles an hour, the governor shaft would have 6·4 revolutions per second, and the governor would be brought to act upon a cord, which is attached to a loose toothed collar, and drawing it in contact with a fixed toothed collar locking them together on the axle, and winding upon the collar, to which it is attached, a band or flat chain which acting upon a cross lever will draw the front friction roller brake into action.

The difference between the rear and front brakes is, that the former would depend upon the presence of mind and activity of the guard, while the latter is brought into action by undue velocity of the moving car descending the tramway incline.

The study of this simple and efficacious method of the application of an arresting force leads to a diversion from mountain tramways to Railways in general.

The present form of Railways renders necessary an enormous weight of engine and carriages; resulting in wasting “wear and tear.” There appears no reason why the troughed channel could not be laid between the tracks of a Railway for the especial working of horizontal friction rollers, in the manner described for the mountain tramway car when descending a steep gradient; the rear friction roller brake to be applied by the guard, and that in front automatically applied at undue high speed.

If the channel were lipped, the danger of leaving the track at high speed, (which is provided against on Railways as a structure, by enormously heavy locomotives, and carriages with the concave tire wheel, or flanged wheel rendering necessary the expensive rail and permanent way,) would be removed.

Instead of expending so much on rails and sleepers—the channel between the tracks, would be much less than that of the lightest rails. The channel might be formed in tolerably level country and in cutting, of two walls with flat iron bar 3 inches by $\frac{3}{4}$ inch let into the masonry and lie through at 20 feet intervals.

The local applying the channel between tracks of Railways in general, was commended to the present writer by Captain Thompson, R.E., in a conversation in which the friction roller brake on the mountain tramway was being examined. Captain Thompson suggested the channel with powerful friction below the carriage, holding two pairs of friction rollers to act alternately in the sides of the channel—to counteract lateral motion of the moving train, thus alone would permit of the reduction of weight of rolling stock to a fifth, the abolishment of the heavy concave tire wheel, and the heavy and expensive rail, but the employment of the friction rollers as brake power would be away with the necessity for heavy running wheels and correspondingly massive form of track, which would be necessary for the application of the ordinary brake to running wheels. The whole effect of the train in motion, from tangential force on curves, or from tendency to lateral motion from whatever cause, would be confined to the inner sides of the channel, and the running wheels being left free, simply to carry the load in motion, may be of the lightest form, and of much enlarged diameter.

This paper being intended to describe the mountain tramway only, no calculation as to extent of reduction of weight of Locomotives &c, on Railways have been made, but it may be supposed that the reduction would be as great as to permit the use of material much lighter and cheaper than iron, and a steam carriage road as described below, possibly may meet all demands, and be as safe as the heavy railway.

The steam carriage road to be 4 feet, formed of two sections of masonry 4 feet apart, and coped with ashlar. The masonry with 20,000 cubic feet of ashlar track and 1 coping, and 80,000 cubic feet rubble masonry or brick work—would cost say 150

36,000. The roller plate, if of flat iron bar, 2,000. The track, monolithic of Hurdwar cement, say 3,600. Total about 40,000 per mile without bridging, and this item of cost might be much reduced by combination of suspension and girder, admissible by so great reduction of moving load.

The automaton brake brought into action by a governor drawing the loose, and fixed toothed collars into contact, as described above, and thereby bringing the momentum force to act upon the cross lever bearing upon the friction rollers and pressing them against the side of the channel, is a new application, in connection with the specification of invention, but the power for brakes on running wheels taken from their revolving axles was invented by Mr. J. Clarke, C.E., some years ago, who obtains his power by bringing friction rollers in contact with the perimeters of the carriage wheels while in motion. Clarke's brake is in use on the North London Railway; it is too powerful and sudden in action on a Railway where such frequent stoppages are requisite, and is suited to long lines, and for emergencies.

The suggested new steam carriage road with friction roller brakes acting on the channel, mid tracks, would be admirably suited for long Indian lines. The brake should have two modes of application action, the ordinary screw and lever, and the automatic as described.

Water power in the plains.—The question of the water-power of mountain streams naturally leads to a consideration of the Rivers and Canals over so large an area of the Punjab. Then the rivers rising in Central India, and the tributaries of the Jumna and the Ganges. The rivers running out from Sirmoor, Gurwhal and Kumaon, require attention first, as being in connection with suggested mountain tramway routes.

The water flow of the rivers of Punjab and Rohilcund would be employed with great advantage in lieu of steam, either by rope traction or by working pneumatic apparatus, such as the tube and piston valve of the atmospheric railway principle—on tramways connecting the Oude and Rohilcund and the Delhi and Rohilcund Railways. As to the form of road best suited to the atmospheric table; the track should be of Hurdwar cement, 3 by 3 inches laid in grooves of masonry, which should have a fender wall, two feet above level of the track to receive the touch of horizontal friction rollers, and prevent swerve or oscillation, which would interfere with the precision of the action of piston valve in tube.

A light tramway laid above the surface of the ground, and worked

by atmospheric pressure, by the water power, could be laid through the Dehra Dhoon with facility and economy. At Dehra there is sufficient water power to work east and west between the Juma and the Ganges. At Bogoora there issues a masonry canal with sufficient water to work a branch tramway northwards, from a point 8 miles distant from Hurdwar. The subject of light tramways worked by water power on rope traction, or by exhausting a tube on the "Atmospheric Railway" principle, as affording an inexpensive means of communication through the tract lying between the Railways and the foot of the hills, in conjunction with the Mountain Tramway, is worth attention.

In the Ganges Canal Falls an enormous water power passes unemployed. Take the first fall from the Canal Head we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Discharge} = D &= 4000 \text{ cubic feet per second} \\ \text{Fall} &= F = 8 \frac{1}{2} \text{ feet} \\ \text{Whole power of fall} &= H.P. 2018 \end{aligned}$$

The falls are divided into 10 days, so that in one day the discharge is 24,000 cubic feet per minute,

$$H.P. = \frac{24000 \times 523 \times 85 \times 5}{83000} = 202$$

To utilize a part of this water power for the working of a light tramway by rope traction, or by any of the methods described, a breast wheel 22 feet in diameter, with 6 feet, with depth of bucket 2 feet, would afford H.P. 78, and three wheels between Moorkee and Hurdwar would work a heavy traffic on a Railway laid on the banks of the canal. A light road, with constant movement of cars throughout the day, instead of a costly railway is suggested.

Canals having a regulated flow offer a means of employing water power in this country which should not be overlooked. Even with a very slight fall, large wheels, with additional mechanical motions, could be made to draw 10 tons on a light tramway, even where there are no overfalls. This especial question of the utilization of the water power of canals as a tractive force is worthy of consideration in the " vexed question of Irrigation and Navigation."

These suggestions are offered for consideration at the time of commencement of several long lines of Indian State Railways, whether, as to the substitution of the cheap electric carriage track for the heavy rails, referred to in page 487

Or, as to the utilization of the water power of rivers where it may be available for the working of railways, either of rope traction, or atmospheric propulsion.

They are however especially recommended to the attention of Government and of those interested in the developement of the resources of the districts on the outer slopes of the Himalayas as pointing to a certain, and economical means of providing for traffic communications at a cost commensurate with their probable requirements.

NYNEE TAL, }
14th February, 1872. }

W. S.

No. XLVI

KUNKUR AND MORTAR ANALYSIS

By MURRAY THOROV, M.D., F.R.S.D., Professor of Experimental Science at the Thomason Civil Engineering College, Roorkee, and Civil Engineer to the Government, N.W. Provinces

In a recent number of *Allen's Indian Mail*, it was stated that Captain Ross, R.A., in a lecture he delivered at the United Service Institution, had said, that Kunkur, so much used in India for the making of mortar, contained no lime. Some time ago I was called upon to state whether this was in accordance with my experience, and I had no hesitation in replying that it was not. I have analysed several samples of kunkur, and have never met with one which contained less than 28 per cent of carbonate of lime, and in by far the greater number of specimens the proportion was a little over 50 per cent. Captain Ross's statement perhaps was founded on the analysis of a substance, which may have resembled kunkur, but even that is not likely, as the appearance of kunkur is very characteristic. A table containing six analyses made by myself, two by Captain Badgley, B.Sc., when he was a student at the Thomason College, and one by J. Parnsey, Esq., will be found on page 496.

As the thorough analysis of a kunkur or limestone is an operation which few Engineers can perform for themselves, the following process, which will give a rough approximation, has been suggested. Found a sample in a mortar, pass it through a fine sieve put 150 grains in a tumbler, and pour gradually on it diluted hydrochloric acid, stirring it with a bit of wood add the acid until effervescence ceases, then, filter it through blotting paper, and wash by pouring fully a quart of water through the filter, that which remains is clay or sand or both it should be carefully

dried, collected and weighed; the difference between this weight and the 150 grains represents *Carbonate of lime*. This remainder should now be repeatedly washed by decantation, so as to get rid of the lighter particles of *clay*, until *sand* alone is left, which should be dried and weighed. If the 150 grains are found to contain

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----|----|----|----|-------------|
| Carbonate of Lime, .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 112 grains. |
| Clay, .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 9 " |
| Sand, .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 29 " |

the stone will furnish a fair lime for general purposes.

Another simple plan which may be employed is to weigh a piece of the stone after it has been thoroughly dried: then heat it to redness in an open fire (say for four hours) to expel the carbonic acid: allow the stone to cool, and again weigh it, the loss of weight will show the amount of *Carbonic Acid* from which can be calculated the amount of *Lime*: as in every 100 parts of Carbonate of Lime, are 56 parts of Lime, and 44 parts of Carbonic Acid.

If however the Engineer's opportunities and appliances allow of a *thorough* analysis, this should always be made.

I would recommend the following process, which I have drawn up so that it may be used for a Mortar as well as a Limestone.

1. *Selection of the sample.* Care should be taken to get a fair average sample. In the case of a mortar a handful from various parts of the heap should be taken, and these thoroughly mixed, about two ounces of this should then be put in a well closed bottle. In the case of a limestone or kunkur, a piece should be broken off from various parts of the mass, or if it exist in several pieces, then parts of each should be taken.

2. *Preparation of the sample for Analysis.* The sample should now be reduced to powder, first in an iron, and then in an agate mortar. The powder should be so fine, that no grit whatever can be perceived when a little of it is rubbed between the fingers. From 5 to 6 grammes of the sample should be thus pulverised, and kept in a stoppered bottle labelled with a label corresponding to that of the sample.

3. *Estimation of the water.* It will be sufficient to dry about one and a half grammes, at 100.C until it ceases to lose weight, and the loss entered in the analysis as water; for a more accurate process for estimating water in a limestone, as well as for fuller details on the analytical process generally reference is made to Quantitative Analysis by Fresenius (3rd Edition, page 553.)

4. *Estimation of the siliceous residue.* About two grammes* of the sample are put in a beaker glass, and covered with half an inch of distilled water, the beaker is now inclined to an angle of 60° , and some pure hydrochloric acid is added. The inclination of the beaker is to prevent loss by spitting during the effervescence. When the effervescence has ceased, a little more acid is added, and the whole is then slowly evaporated to dryness. The last part of the evaporation must be done in an air bath. As soon as the mixture is quite dry, about half an ounce more of distilled water must be added along with a few drops of hydrochloric acid, the mixture made warm and filtered, what insoluble matter remains on the filter is now thoroughly washed with hot distilled water, the washings being allowed to fall into the first filtrate. The residue on the filter should be washed until a drop of the washings leaves no residue when evaporated on a bit of platinum foil. The insoluble residue on filter is treated as para. 3 directs. 5. *Estimation of the Oxide of Iron, Alumina, &c.* The acid filtrate and washings are now heated to boiling, and strong liquor ammonia continuously added, until after the last addition the mixture smells distinctly of ammonia. A brownish red precipitate will have fallen by this treatment, this precipitate is now to be collected on a filter, and rapidly washed with boiling distilled water. The precipitate or the filter is to be treated as para. 11 directs. 6. *Estimation of the Lime and Magnesia.* The filtrate and washings from the last operation are now well mixed and divided into two equal parts, which may be called A and B. In A the lime, and in B the mag-

nesia is estimated. 7. Portion A. is now heated to boiling, and while in ebullition 20 cubic centimetres of a standard solution of oxalic acid are added; care should be taken that the mixture is still alkaline after the addition of the oxalic acid if necessary, a few drops more ammonia should be added. The precipitate of lime oxalate which has been produced is now separated by filtration, and the precipitate is washed by boiling water 3 or 4 times. The filtrate is now warmed to 60°C , 2 C.C.† of oil of

* All weights taken must be made accurately with a balance which will turn easily with a milligramme, when the scales are loaded with 50 grammes each.

† This standard solution of oxalic acid is made by dissolving 21.5 grammes of ordinary crystalline oxalic acid in a litre of distilled water. A label should be affixed to this solution, to the effect that each cubic centimetre contains 0.1 of a gramme of oxalic acid, and corresponds to 0.1 of 1.26.

‡ C. C. Means cubic centimetre.

vitriol are added, and a standard solution of permanganate of potassium gradually dropped in, until its color remains permanent.

The process just described, is a very rapid and very correct one, for the estimation of lime, and where many limestones or mortars have to be analysed, it is well worth while to prepare and keep ready a small stock of the two standard solutions required. The preparation of the permanganate solution is described below.* The process may be explained thus, enough of the oxalic acid solution is added to precipitate, all the lime, and leave an excess of itself in the filtrate. The amount of this excess of oxalic acid is then determined by the standard permanganate solution, which decomposes the oxalic acid in the presence of sulphuric acid, and at a certain temperature into carbonic acid, thus :—

| Permanganate. | Oxalic acid. | Sulphuric acid. | |
|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| $K_2 Mn O_8 +$ | $5 (C_2 H_2 O_4 +$ | $4 H_2 SO_4$ | |
| $2 Mn SO_4 +$ | $2 KHSO_4 +$ | $8 H_2 O +$ | $10 CO_2$ |
| Sulphate of Manganese. | Sulphate of Potassium. | Water. | Carbonic Acid. |

While this action is going on, the fine purple color of the permanganate disappears, but as soon as it is completed, the color of the permanganate remains. The amount of solution of permanganate used to produce this permanent color is then read off, and every 10 C.C. of it correspond to 1 C.C. of oxalic acid solution. All that is necessary to complete the estimation of the lime is from the permanganate used to calculate the oxalic acid in the filtrate: this oxalic acid is over and above what was required to precipitate the lime, and if now it be deducted from the 20 C.C. used, the remainder has to be calculated out as lime, at the rate of 1 C.C. of oxalic acid solution, corresponding to .0112 of a gramme of lime. The result should be multiplied by 2, as only half the filtrate was used.

The only trouble about this process is the preliminary one of preparing the standard solution of permanganate and oxalic acid, but once these are prepared the estimation of the lime is easy and rapid, and that cannot be said of any other method of estimating lime.

8. Portion B is now to be employed for the estimation of the magnesia for that purpose, it is heated to boiling, and oxalate of ammonium is added in slight excess. The mixture is then allowed to stand 12 hours

* 10 grammes of crystals of permanganate should be dissolved in a litre of distilled water. This solution should then be titrated by the standard solution of oxalic acid, so that 10 C.C. of the Permanganate will equal 1 C.C. of the acid.

at the end of this time the precipitate of oxalate of calcium will have completely subsided. Now the clear fluid is separated by decantation, and the precipitate collected on a filter, and washed with cold water. The washings and decanted fluid are now mixed, and ammonia added until the solution smells of it, and then solution of phosphate of sodium, the whole is then well stirred. If the stirring is kept up for 15 or 20 minutes, the whole of the magnesias will be thrown down as magnesium and ammonium phosphate, which may be at once collected on a filter and washed with cold water, having about $\frac{1}{10}$ of solution of ammonia added.

3 The insoluble residue obtained by process in para 4, is now, having been dried, incinerated along with the filter and weighed, a certain amount is deducted for filter ash, this amount is ascertained by incinerating 10 filters, and dividing the ash obtained by 10. The weight of the residue is now calculated as a percentage result, and entered in the analysis as residue insoluble in hydrochloric acid or simply siliceous residue. It contains any sand, clay, and organic matter which may be in the sample.

10 In the case of a hydraulic limestone, the clay in this insoluble residue ought to be estimated for this purpose, it should be thrown little by little into a boiling solution of carbonate of soda (best boiled in a silver vessel). The pure silica or sand will be thus dissolved, and the clay left insoluble, it is only needed to ascertain the weight of the latter after thorough washing, drying and incineration.

11 The precipitate of oxide of iron and alumina obtained in para 5, is now incinerated and weighed, and after deduction for filter ash calculated as a percentage, and entered in the analysis as oxide of iron, and alumina dissolved by hydrochloric acid.

12 The precipitate of magnesias ammonium phosphate obtained by para 8, is also dried, incinerated and weighed, and the amount multiplied by 2, as only half the filtrate was used, (it should be well dried before incineration,) filter ash being deducted. Every 222 parts of the substance weighed contains 80 of magnesias, its composition being the magnesium pyrophosphate $Mg_2P_2O_7$.

13. *Estimation of Carbonic acid*. In the case of a limestone, it is not needed to estimate the carbonic acid, as all the lime, and all the magnesias obtained in the analysis may be calculated as carbonates and entered in the analysis as such. Every 56 of lime, and every 40 of magnesias require each $\frac{1}{4}$ of carbonic acid. In the case of a mortar, the carbonic acid

KUNKUR AND MORTAR ANALYSIS.

must be determined as part of the lime exists as hydrate and part as carbonate. About 3 grammes of the finely pounded mortar are put in a small flask fitted with a chloride of calcium tube, and a very small test tube: in the latter is put some strong hydrochloric acid. The mortar at bottom of flask is covered with distilled water, the small test tube full of acid is lowered in by means of a piece of fine platinum wire, so as to remain upright, and allow no part of its contents to be spilled. The chloride of calcium tube fitted to a cork with a small draught tube, is then adjusted to the mouth of the flask, and the whole is weighed. Then the flask is inclined so as to spill the hydrochloric acid among the water and mortar, (the acid should only be spilled over gradually,) a brisk effervescence ensues from the escape of the carbonic acid when all the acid has been spilled over, and effervescence has quite ceased, a gentle draught of air is drawn through the apparatus by the mouth, the apparatus being now weighed, it will weigh less; the loss shows the amount of carbonic acid.

ANALYSES OF KUNKURS.

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|--------------|---------------|------------|-----------|---------------|
| | Saharunpore No. 1. | Ditto. No. 2. | Ditto. No. 3. | Allahabad. | Delhi No. 1. | Ditto. No. 2. | Ghazepoor. | Allypore. | Ditto. No. 3. |
| Lime, | 57.18 | 79.33 | 78.54 | 52.80 | 53.49 | 28.97 | 40.0 | 15.5 | |
| Carbonic acid, | 10.32 | 6.73 | 8.42 | 3.64 | 3.00 | 4.09 | 32.0 | 12.8 | |
| Alumina, | trace. | trace. | trace. | none. | 1.57 | .94 | 11.0 | 38.4 | |
| Oxide of iron, | 32.50 | 13.94 | 13.04 | 42.39 | 41.41 | 63.63 | 15.2 | 80 | |
| Magnesia, | not determined. | | | .60 | .67 | 2.32 | 1.4 | | |
| Siliceous Residue, | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 99.43 | 100.14 | 99.95 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| Water, loss organic matter, &c., | | | | | | | | | |

Nos. 1, 2 and 3, were sent by Captain Moncrieff, R.E., when he was in charge of the Jumna Canal.

Nos. 4 and 5, were sent by the late Colonel Anderson, R.E., in connection with the Allahabad Barracks.

No. 6 was sent by Capt. Helsham Jones, R.E., it was being used for the works at Delhi.

No. 7 by J. Prinsep, Esq.

Capt. Badgley.

No. XLVII.

ON GRAVATTS'S "METHOD" OF ADJUSTING THE
"LINE OF COLLIMATION" IN ALTIMETER

By Lieut. ALBAN CUNNINGHAM, R.E., Hon'g Fellow of King's
College, London, and Offg Professor of Mathematics, Thomason
C. E. College, Roorkhee, N. W. P.

PREFACE—It is due to the readers of Paper XXI, of these Professional Papers
"On the Line of Collimation," to explain that its main object was to define the
"line of collimation," and the relative positions of the point chosen for observation,
and the intersection of the hairs of a theodolite or middle of the horizontal hair of
a level, also to point out that the reasoning at page 84 of Rankine's Manual of
Civil Engineering Edition of 1870, (by which it is attempted to show that the ad-
justment of the "line of collimation" in the Dumpy Level is unnecessary,) is incor-
rect. The main assertion of Professor Rankine's para. quoted is however correct,
although the proof given is incorrect: the implied conclusion in that paper of the
possibility and necessity of Gravatt's Method of Adjustment is incorrect: this does
not affect the general substance of the paper, the conclusions therein as to "line
of collimation," and relative positions of point observed and mid of horizontal hair
of a level are (in the author's opinion) correct, and will be used throughout this paper.

In this paper it will be shown that "Gravatt's Method" of adjustment
in altitude of the "line of collimation" of a level is a practical failure,
viz, that it simply fails (within the limits of practice), even to discover
any error in that line. As this so called Method of Adjustment has been
for many years supposed to be the most perfect method available, it is a
little startling to find out that it is practically useless.

Most equations employed in Geometrical Optics are only approxima-
tions. it may therefore be expected of an author objecting to a method
of such repute to show that the approximations he uses are sufficiently
accurate.

* The first approximation to the curve locus hereafter discussed came to the author
in a Paper communicated to the Editor of these Papers by D. V. Morille, Esq. B.E., D.V. Ireland.
The critical discussion of its sufficiency, and the experiments are due to the author.


It will be advisable first to explain "Gravatt's method" of adjustment in detail, then to investigate theoretically the possibility of its application within practical limits; lastly, very careful experiments made by the author expressly to test his conclusions will be adduced.

"Gravatt's Method" of adjustment in altitude of the Line of Collimation.

This method is thus performed:—

Three levelling staves $Q_1Q_2Q_3$ are ranged in a straight line Q_1Q_3 , and held as upright as possible: the distance Q_1Q_3 must be within the range of good definition of the telescope to be used, (see *Fig. 1.*)

Fig. 1.



The differences of level of the feet $L_1L_2L_3$ of the staves are found as accurately as possible; it is admitted that this can be accurately done with a level, even though not in adjustment, by simply placing the level midway between staves Q_1Q_2 , and also midway between Q_2Q_3 , and bringing the bubble to the centre of its run on each occasion of making a reading.

The level, which it is wished to adjust, is then set up on the line $Q_1Q_2Q_3$ as at L far enough from Q_1 to admit of clearly reading that staff. The telescope is directed in the plane of the staves $Q_1Q_2Q_3$, and the bubble brought to some definite position, which can be easily recognised (it is not necessary that it should be in the centre of its run). The three staves $Q_1Q_2Q_3$ are now read in succession; it is essential that the telescope remain quite steady throughout this period; as the staves are in the same vertical plane as the telescope, there is no necessity to touch the telescope except to focus it; any departure of the bubble from its original position must be corrected by the foot screws.

Let $Q_1Q_2Q_3$ be the points viewed and read on the three staves in suc-

cession.

Now, applying the differences of level of the feet L_1 , L_2 , L_3 of the staves already found with their proper algebraic signs to the height L_1Q_1 , (= the reading on the first staff), the heights L_2N_2 , L_3N_3 at which a level line $Q_1N_2N_3$ through Q_1 cuts the staves Q_2Q_3 can be ascertained

Taking the differences of the heights of the level line, and of the heights of Q_2 , Q_3 above L_2 , L_3 respectively, the differences of level of the points $Q_1Q_2Q_3$ can be obtained, thus

$$Q_1N_2 = Q_2L_2 \sim N_2L_2 \text{ and } Q_1N_3 = Q_3L_3 \sim N_3L_3.$$

Now if $Q_1Q_2Q_3$ lie on any straight line whatever, the following proportion would evidently obtain $Q_1N_2 : Q_2N_2 :: Q_1N_3 : Q_3N_3$

Also, if there be no error in the line of collimation, i. e., if the middle of the horizontal hair q , Fig. 1, traverse the object glass axis QC , it is easily seen (see Paper XXI,) that the "line of collimation" qC always coincides with the object-glass axis, and that therefore, the points $Q_1Q_2Q_3$ (which necessarily lie on the "line of collimation" qC) must lie on that straight line, and on trying "Gravaty's method" the proportion $Q_1N_2 : Q_2N_2 :: Q_1N_3 : Q_3N_3$ will of course be found to hold

But, if there be an error in altitude in the "line of collimation," i. e., if the middle of the horizontal hair be in the position q , see Fig. 2, (not on the object glass axis C) its middle point will traverse the line q_2q_3 parallel to the object glass axis in the act of focusing for obtaining distinct vision of the staves Q_2 , Q_3 which are at different distances from the level (see Paper XXI)

The "line of collimation" qCQ (Paper XXI), will no longer be a fixed line, but will have the three positions q_1CQ , q_2CQ , q_3CQ , on viewing the three staves $Q_1Q_2Q_3$, so that the three points $Q_1Q_2Q_3$ will not range on the object-glass axis QC , and it might be supposed that the ratio $Q_1N_2 : Q_2N_2 :: Q_1N_3 : Q_3N_3$ would no longer hold

It has been actually supposed hitherto that unless the points viewed $Q_1Q_2Q_3$ lay actually on the object-glass axis QC produced, this proportion would not hold, and that consequently if on actual trial, the proportion were found to hold good, it was supposed to be a proof that the "line of collimation" was correct, and further, that if on actual trial, it were found that this proportion did not hold, it was supposed that the difference of the actual length Q_1N_2 from that required by the proportion, viz.,

$Q_2N_2 \cdot \frac{Q_1N_3}{Q_1N_2}$ would be a measure of the error in altitude of the horizontal hair.

Let it then be understood that it is this difference of length, viz., $Q_1N_3 \sim Q_2N_2 \cdot \frac{Q_1N_3}{Q_1N_2}$ that is to say the amount of departure of one of the points Q_3 from the straight line Q_1Q_2 joining the other two, which "Gravatt's Method" proposes to find (by observation), and to consider a measure of the error in altitude of the horizontal hair.

Investigation of the Curve which is the locus of Q.

The form of the curve on which all the points viewed, (*i.e.*, covered by the middle of the horizontal cross-hair) lie, will now be investigated, and it will be shown that it is so flat a curve, that the departure of any point on it from a certain straight line (required to be measured by Gravatt's Method) is so small *within the limits practically obtainable*, that it falls within the limit of the errors of observation, *i.e.*, cannot be measured.

The references are to Parkinson's "Treatise on Optics," 2nd Ed. of 1866, and to Paper XXI., of the "Professional Papers on Indian Engineering," (Second Series.) It will be assumed as follows:—

(1). In spirit levels, the focussing screw moves either the object glass only, or else the diaphragm and eye-piece together: the instrument should be so constructed (by the maker), that (see *Fig. 2*), in the former case, the object-glass "centre" C , (Parkinson, Art. 109,) moves along its axis oC , and in the latter case, the middle of the horizontal hair q should move either along that axis oC , or on a straight line $q_1 q_2 q_3$ parallel to it.

(2). The "line of collimation" (see Paper XXI.) is the line qC joining the middle of the horizontal hair q to the "centre" C of the object glass, and is aligned with the point Q , chosen for observation, (see *Figs. 1* and *2*.)

(3). The centre of "the circle of least confusion" (Parkinson, Art. 64,) q corresponding to the point viewed Q is the image of that point (Art. 65.)

N.B. It might be supposed that the achromatic object glass being (in common parlance,) corrected for spherical aberration, there would be no "circles of confusion," (these being due to spherical aberration), but the glass is in fact corrected for spherical aberration *only for parallel rays directly incident*, (Art. 223). Now as the use of "Gravatt's Method" neces-




PLATE XII

Second approximation to the locus of Q.

It is shown (Parkinson, Art. 113, Cor. 4) on the assumptions

(1). That the object-glass is indefinitely thin.

(2). That the obliquity ϕ is so small that its fourth power may be neglected, that $\frac{1}{Cq} = \frac{1}{CQ} + \frac{1}{-f} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \frac{\phi^2}{-2f}$

Comparison of approximations.

Let $CQ = r$, $QM = y$, $CM = x$

$\therefore Cq = \frac{kr}{y}$ from the similar triangles QCM, qCm .*

1st approximation $y = k - \frac{kr}{f}$.

2nd „ $y = k - \frac{kr}{f} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \frac{\phi^2}{2} \cdot \frac{kr}{f}$.

Let δy be the difference of the ordinates y for the same radius vector r , then $\delta y = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \frac{\phi^2}{2} \cdot \frac{k}{f} \cdot r$.

It was shown, para. (6), that $\phi < \cdot 01$, and $\frac{k}{f} < \cdot 01$.

Also μ varies from 1.67 for flint glass, to 1.5 for crown glass (Parkinson, Art. 162).

Assuming $\mu = 1.6$, $\delta y < \left(1 + \frac{1}{1.6}\right) \frac{(\cdot 01)^2}{2} \cdot r$

$$< \frac{1.625}{2} \times \cdot 000001 \times r, \text{ i.e., } < \cdot 000008 \times r.$$

Now with a 10 inch level, 300 feet is about the utmost limit of accurate reading.

\therefore the greatest value of $\delta y < \cdot 000008 \times 300$ feet, an inappreciably small quantity.

With larger levels the limit of distance r increases say to 500 feet, but the small fraction $\phi^2 \frac{k}{f}$ decreases much more rapidly.

Thus it has been shown that within the limit of distance attainable in practice, the curve denoted by the second approximation differs from that denoted by the first approximation, by an inappreciable quantity, *even when the error in position of the horizontal hair is at its greatest*. It is obviously unnecessary to try any closer approximations, as far as the powers of θ are concerned.

* N.B.—Positive ordinates being measured downwards, the sign of k , i.e., $m\gamma = Cd$ is to be considered *inherently* negative throughout what follows.

It should be noticed that these results have been obtained on the approximate hypothesis, that the object-glass is indefinitely thin: it is not thought necessary to introduce the thickness of the object-glass into the investigation, as it greatly complicates it without materially affecting the above general conclusion.

It may now be shown that the locus of Q in a line differing inappreciably within the limits of practice from a straight line.

For $\infty = r \cos \phi = r \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{\phi^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{r^4}{\phi^4} - \text{dc.} \right) = r$ nearly, i.e., on

the same assumption as that by which the first approximation to the locus of Q was made, viz., that the obliquity ϕ is so small, that its square may be neglected.

Hence the first approximation $q.v.$, becomes

$$y = k - \frac{f}{kx} = k - \frac{f}{kx} \text{ or } \frac{f}{x} + \frac{y}{k} = 1,$$

which is the equation of a straight line whose intercepts on the axes are $CF = f$, and $CD = k$, see Fig. 2.

That is the locus of Q is a curve differing within the limits of practice inappreciably from the straight line joining d to F (the external principal focus) which is a fixed line external to the telescope.*

It is interesting to note that the curve denoted by the second approximation, is really a very flat hyperbola, to which dF is tangent at F , of which one focus is Q , and corresponding directrix a line through d , but it is beyond the scope of this paper to discuss this. It follows that the quantity required to be measured by Gravatt's method viz., the departure $Q_2N_2 \sim Q_2N_1 \cdot \frac{Q_2N_2}{Q_1N_1}$ of any one point seen as Q_2 from the straight line Q_1Q_2 , joining the other two is within the limit of practice quite inappreciable.

Experimental Trial.

In order to test practically the correctness of the above theoretical investigations, and to settle if possible finally the question of the practicability or impracticability of discovering any error at all in the position of the horizontal hair of a level by Gravatt's Method, the following experi-

* This agrees with the assertion of para. 20, page 84 of Rankine's Manual of Civil Engineering quoted, though from a quite different line of reasoning.

ment was made by the author with the assistance of a student* of the Engineer Class, Thomason Civil Engineering College. It is necessary to state that great pains were taken to make every part of the observations thoroughly trustworthy, the object being to render the experiment a crucial test. At the risk of being prolix, the precautions taken will be detailed, so that the reader may satisfy himself as to the trustworthiness of the results. It will be premised, that throughout this experiment

(1). Only one levelling staff, a new one with a smooth flat brass foot was used: all error due to dissimilarity of division of different staves was thus avoided.

(2). The pegs subsequently alluded to were all wooden pegs, about 18 inches long, driven about 12 inches into firm ground, until apparently firmly bedded: the tops of all of them were rounded off, so that the flat foot of the staff might rest on only one and the same point on each occasion of its erection.


(3). All perceptible parallax of the field of view, and the hairs was carefully removed before every reading.

The correctness of position of the bubble of the large level was noted both before and after every reading of the staff: no readings were recorded unless the bubble had retained its position: the level used was however a very steady one.

(4). The correctness of the verticality of the staff at the time of every reading was watched by the author's assistant, who stood a few feet off the line of sight abreast of the staff for this propose.

A large new 20-inch Troughton level was chosen for the experiment: it was a very steady instrument: two horizontal hairs were added to the one originally on the diaphragm, each $\frac{1}{16}$ -inch (by careful measurement) distant from the original horizontal hair, one above, one below it; (see diagram.)

Fig. 3.




The use of either of the new hairs produced a line of collimation, which was *obviously grossly different* from its proper position: in fact so great a deviation could not be made if moderate care were used in the insertion of a hair. A distance of 400 feet was chained carefully in one straight line on a fairly level piece of ground; 5 pegs, such as described

* Mr. W. P. Von der Hörst.

above, were driven at L_1 , L_2 , L_3 , L_4 , respectively, from L_1 , 100, 200 and 400 feet, respectively, from L_1 .

Fig. 4



The points half way between the successive pegs were marked with arrows in the course of the chaining the correctness of the bisection of the distance between the pegs was tested with a 50 feet tape, and the position of the arrows corrected. The staff was set up on each peg in succession, and the level set up at each of the middle points in succession with the aid of a plummet, and the staff read off on the equidistant pegs, the middle horizontal bar only being used throughout this operation. The results are recorded

TABLE I.

Extract of Field Book of Observations to find difference of Level of tops of the five pegs.

| Staves | STAFF READINGS | | DIFFERENCE OF LEVEL | | Reduced Level |
|---|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | Back | Fore | Also | Full | |
| L_1 — L_1 L_2 — L_1 L_3 — L_1 L_4 — L_1 L_5 — L_1 | 4 034 3 638 4 013 4 358 5 185 | 3 764 4 358 4 271 5 185 | 270 . | 3 115 1 897 873 345 | 000 + 270 — 070 — 948 — 2 815 |
| | Total, | 270 | 3 115 | — 2 815 | |

It will be admitted that the differences of level of the tops of the several pegs were thus correctly ascertained, all instrumental errors being eliminated in taking the differences of the several readings.

The level was then removed to a point A on the line produced, about 20 feet from it, it having been previously ascertained that it was the least distance at which a staff could be read directly, also with exact vision without parallel of the bars. The further it was thus about 420

feet distant; this was about the limit of distance admitting of accuracy of reading.

The staff was set up in succession on all the pegs, and readings taken on it at each peg *from each of the three horizontal hairs*. Whilst the level was at this point, the telescope was not touched, except from the necessity of focussing and correction of slight dislevelment caused by handling the focussing screw and eye-piece. The readings with each of the 3 horizontal hairs were recorded on separate field-book pages, the object being to ascertain, (if possible by this method), the amount of deviation from the object-glass axis of each hair. These readings are recorded in 3rd column, Table II.

TABLE II.

Reduction of readings on the five staves.

| Hairs | Staff. | Distance from L ₁ . | Readings on Staves. | Height of top of peg above L ₁ see Table I. | DEDUCED HEIGHTS OF POINTS VIEWED, VIZ., Q. | | Calculated heights above Q ₁ of the straight line Q ₁ Q ₂ produced. | Departure of points viewed, viz, Q from the straight line Q ₁ Q ₂ . |
|--------------|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|------------------------|--|---|
| | | | | | Above L ₁ . | Above Q ₁ . | | |
| Upper Hair. | L ₁ | ft. 0 | 2·707 | ·000 | 2·707 | ·000 | ·000 | ·000 |
| | L ₂ | 50 | 2·107 | + ·270 | 2·377 | — ·330 | — ·330 | ·000 |
| | L ₃ | 100 | 2·123 | — ·075 | 2·048 | — ·659 | — ·660 | + ·001 |
| | L ₄ | 200 | 2·341 | — ·948 | 1·393 | — 1·314 | — 1·320 | + ·006 |
| | L ₅ | 400 | 2·89 | — 2·845 | ·045 | — 2·662 | — 2·640 | — ·022 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Middle Hair. | L ₁ | 0 | 2·793 | ·000 | 2·793 | ·000 | ·000 | ·000 |
| | L ₂ | 50 | 2·411 | + ·270 | 2·681 | — ·112 | — ·112 | ·000 |
| | L ₃ | 100 | 2·662 | — ·075 | 2·587 | — ·206 | — ·224 | + ·018 |
| | L ₄ | 200 | 3·328 | — ·948 | 2·380 | — ·413 | — ·448 | + ·035 |
| | L ₅ | 400 | 4·79 | — 2·845 | 1·945 | — ·848 | — ·896 | + ·048 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Bottom Hair. | L ₁ | 0 | 2·872 | ·000 | 2·872 | ·000 | ·000 | ·000 |
| | L ₂ | 50 | 2·706 | + ·270 | 2·976 | + ·104 | + ·104 | ·000 |
| | L ₃ | 100 | 3·193 | — ·075 | 3·118 | + ·246 | + ·208 | + ·038 |
| | L ₄ | 200 | 4·237 | — ·948 | 3·289 | + ·417 | + ·416 | + ·001 |
| | L ₅ | 400 | 6·52 | — 2·845 | 3·675 | + ·803 | + ·832 | — ·029 |
| | | | | | | | | |

N.B.—The correction for curvature and refraction amounts to only ·001 at 220 feet, and ·004 at 420 feet, and has been neglected.

Discussion of the Results of the Experiment

The last column of Table II, shows the values of the quantity

$$\left. \begin{aligned} Q_1 N_1 - Q_2 N_2 \cdot \frac{Q_1' N_1'}{Q_2' N_2'} \text{ at staff 3,} \\ Q_1 N_1 - Q_2 N_2 \cdot \frac{Q_1' N_1'}{Q_2' N_2'} \text{ at staff 4,} \\ Q_1 N_1 - Q_2 N_2 \cdot \frac{Q_1' N_1'}{Q_2' N_2'} \text{ at staff 5,} \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{viz, the departure of the points ac-} \\ \text{tually seen } Q_1, Q_2, \text{ from the straight} \\ \text{line } Q_1 Q_2 \text{ produced,} \end{array}$$

which it has been explained as the resulting quantity upon the magnitude of which the amount of collimation error was to have been estimated

The extremely small amount of this quantity, and also its irregular variation in the case of the upper and lower hairs (which are presumably very incorrectly placed) is particularly to be noticed. It is remarkable that this quantity is actually *greatest* in the case of the middle hair (which is certainly the most correctly placed of the three hairs)

Consider the probable errors of observation. It will probably be admitted that an error of .001 might occur in the reading on staff 1 at 20 from the level

| | | | | | |
|----------|---|---|---|---|---|
| 002 | " | " | " | " | " |
| 01 | " | " | " | " | " |
| 5 at 420 | " | " | " | " | " |
| 2 at 70 | " | " | " | " | " |

The first two combined would cause a possible error of .008 in the length $Q_1 N_1$ which would be exaggerated eight times in the height calcu-

lated from the proportion at staff 5

The three errors combined might there produce a possible error of

$$8 \times (.001 + .002) + .01 = .021 + .01 = .031 \text{ in the resulting quan-}$$

$$\text{tity } (Q_1' N_1' - Q_2' N_2' \cdot \frac{Q_1' N_1'}{Q_2' N_2'}) \text{ at staff 5}$$

AB—It has not been thought worth while to consider the correction due to curvature and refraction as it amounts to only .004 in the whole distance

On the whole the author considers the calculated departures of Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 from the straight line $Q_1 Q_2$ produced, to be chiefly made up by errors in observation, after making due allowance for which the residual quantity is either nil or too small to warrant trustworthy conclusions being drawn as to the correctness or incorrectness of position of any of the three hairs *

* An experiment similar to the above (on a smaller scale) was performed with the same instrument, with the same set of hairs on a levelled floor on another occasion. The extreme distance of observation was only 120 feet, but the distances were much more carefully set out with a pair of 12 feet rods, on the level floor than was possible in the experiment in the open above detailed. The result was that the departure of Q_3 from the straight line $Q_1 Q_2$ was still some appreciable with any of the three hairs than in the experiment detailed above

Summary of Results.

The general conclusion both from the theoretical investigation and from the experiments is that the locus of Q , (i.e., of all the points covered after correct focussing without parallax by the middle of the horizontal hair) is a line differing insensibly within the limits of practice from the straight line dF , which is a line fixed relatively to the object-glass axis, so long as the diaphragm screws are untouched, and that the application of Gravatt's Method will necessarily entirely fail to effect its object, i.e., will not discover even a considerable error in altitude in the line of collimation.

Practical Conclusion.

It having been shown that all the points such as Q (which are covered after correct focussing by the middle of the horizontal hair), lie on the straight line dF , this line may be considered the *virtual line of sight*; (it must not be confounded with the *real line of sight* qCQ).

The necessary adjustments of the Dumpy, Troughton or Gravatt's Levels, will be only two.

(1). To set the large level parallel to the virtual line of sight.

(2). To set both these (after having been set parallel to each other) perpendicular to the vertical axis.

A simple way of effecting the former, is the following, slightly modified from one practised by Ensign P. Keay, Head Master, Thomason C. E. College.

To set the large level of a Dumpy, Gravatt, or Troughton level parallel to what has been above called the "virtual" line of sight:—

Place two pegs, AB , at any convenient distance apart on a tolerably level piece of ground soft enough to admit of easily driving pegs. Bisect the distance between them carefully, and place the level to be adjusted over this middle point with the aid of a plummet. Level the instrument as well as its incorrect adjustment will allow. Direct the telescope on a levelling staff held upright on peg A , with the bubble at the middle of its run. Record the reading.

Reverse the telescope, and direct it on the same staff removed to the peg B : if the bubble has left the middle of its run, bring it back to that position by the foot screws and record the reading on B .

It will be admitted that this process will give the difference of level of the heads of the pegs accurately. Now place the staff on the higher of the two pegs; direct the telescope on it, bringing the bubble to the centre of its run if necessary. Now

tap this peg gently into the ground until the reading on the staff is *the same* as that on the staff when on the lower peg.

The heads of the pegs will now be on the same level.

Now remove the level on to the line AB produced at a sufficient distance from the nearer peg to admit of distinctly reading the staff when placed thereon.

Then (a) in the Dumpy or Gravesat Level —

Direct the telescope in the same vertical plane as A and B, and bring the bubble to any convenient position (say the middle of its run), and again record the readings on the staff on pegs A and B, altering the focus and eye piece as necessary, but watching the bubble to see that the telescope remains *steady* throughout (Any change in position of the bubble to be corrected by the foot screws.) If the readings on the staffs are (as will probably be the case) different, this shows that what has been above named the virtual line of sight of the instrument is not level.

Now tilt the telescope with the foot screws slightly in the direction indicated by the readings, (*e*, object glass *down* if the reading on the *further* staff be the *greater* and *vice versa*), and again record the readings on both staffs, watching the bubble, which is of course in a new position, merely to see that the telescope remains steady whilst the focus is being altered. This operation must be repeated till the readings obtained on both staffs are the same.

It will then be a limited that the 'virtual line of sight of the telescope' is a level line. If the bubble be now, as will probably be the case, not in the middle of its run, it should be brought to the centre of its run by the adjusting screws in a Gravesat's or Dumpy Level.

And (b) in the Troughion Level —

The level being a fixture, it cannot be set parallel to the line required, if not already so. But the latter, (*viz*, the 'virtual line of sight') may be shifted so as to become parallel to the former, (*viz*, the level) by shifting the diaphragm, which alters the position of the line *gd* (Fig 2), and therefore also of *d*, the virtual line of sight. Direct the telescope in the same vertical plane as A and B, and bring the bubble to the centre of its run, and *return it there* throughout the remainder of the process (by moving the foot screws if necessary). Record the readings on the staff held on pegs A and B, altering the focus and eye piece as necessary.

If the readings on the staffs are (as will probably be the case) different, this shows that what has been above named the virtual line of sight of the instrument is not level.

Now tilt that virtual line of sight by shifting the diaphragm slightly in the direction indicated by the readings, (*e*, diaphragm *up* if the reading on the staff be the *greater*, and *vice versa*), and again record the readings on the staffs. This operation must be repeated till the readings obtained on both staffs are the same.

It will then be admitted that the 'virtual line of sight' is a level line, and therefore parallel to the large level.

This latter method is applicable also to the Dumpy and Gravesat level, but the former method will probably be found the easier in practice, as the foot screws are more easily handled than the diaphragm screws.

2 The second adjustment must now be performed in the usual way.

Note upon an "Example" of application of Gravatt's Adjustment recorded in F. W. Simms' "Treatise on the Principal Mathematical Instruments," Sixth Edition, 1844.

At page 35 of the above, an "Example" is recorded, in which it is stated that the quantity $Q_3N_3 - Q_2N_2 \cdot \frac{Q_1N_3}{Q_1N_2}$ was .11 of a foot, the distance L_1L_2 being two (Gunter's) chains, and L_2L_3 six (Gunter's) chains, a quantity far larger than the theoretic investigations indicate as possible, and also too great to be ordinarily due to observation errors: it seems (to the author) very unlikely that the horizontal hair of this instrument could have been so far out of position as the upper and lower hair used in the author's experiment (detailed), which had been purposely placed as far out of proper position as seemed possible, nevertheless the residual quantity is far larger than in the author's experiments.

This seems to require explanation.* It is not stated whether the "Example" is merely a *numerical illustration* of the method, the actual figures being hypothetical, or whether it is a copy of *actual field observations*. The context of about half the Example decidedly points to the latter, and the context of about half is consistent with the former alternative. One sentence however seems to render the former conclusion more probable. The words in question are "The instrument being now placed at d (say five feet from a , but the closer the better.)"

Had the example been taken from field observation, the position of the instrument would hardly have been mentioned in such a doubtful way: but the distance of five feet is *actually too small* to admit of correct readings being made, so that the example is either not from actual field observation, or else is an inaccurate one, and no argument as to the practicability of "Gravatt's method" can be drawn from the apparent sufficient magnitude (.11 of a foot) of the quantity from which the inference is to be drawn.

* Simms' Treatise being considered an authority.

ADDENDUM.

An objection has been raised to the process here proposed for adjusting the Troughon Level, page 509 (b) ϕ v, viz, "that this very process has been employed with success to discover and then correct the error in altitude of the 'line of collimation' ". It is scarcely necessary to point out to a reader who has understood the investigation given, that the process involves the same theory as that of "Gravatt's Method," and the success supposed to be obtained is as far as discovering or correcting any collimation error wholly imaginary.

It was supposed that if by any means (either by moving the foot screws or diaphragm screws) two points known to be on the same level, (at different distances from the object-glass) could be seen through the telescope, that, therefore, "the object-glass axis was level, also the middle of the horizontal bar was in that axis," ϵ , that there was no collimation error.

This, however, cannot be accepted without adequate proof all that can be legitimately inferred (see the investigation in this paper) is that the "virtual line of sight," αB (see Fig 2) is level.

However, as experiment is more convincing to many, the author performed the following experiment with every possible care.

The level described on page 504 with the diaphragm mounted with three hairs, as in Fig 3, before used, was used again. It will be admitted that the upper and lower hairs could not both be on the object glass axis, (ϵ , that one of them at any rate involved a collimation error).

The process described on pages 508 and 509 was very carefully followed, as for a Dumpy or Gravit Level (with the same precautions as on page 504), the distance of the level from A being 25 feet, and from B being 125 feet. It was found to be not only possible, but easy, by the motion of the foot screws alone, to tilt the whole telescope into two such positions

as to make *two equal readings on the two staves, i. e.,* to read along a level line, (1) when the upper hair *alone* was used, and (2) when the lower hair *alone* was used.

This experiment *conclusively* shows that the process proposed, (which was *supposed* to afford a means of discovering a collimation error,) does not warrant *any* inference as to the correctness or incorrectness of the line of collimation. All that can be inferred at the conclusion of the process is that "the virtual line of sight is level."

A. C.

No XLVIII.

EXPERIMENTS ON ANDAMAN WOODS

By J. BURNETT, C.E., *Executive Engineer, Port Blair.*

The following Notes on the Botanical names, &c., of the trees of this last of "Andaman Woods" has been kindly furnished to the Editor by the Conservator of Forests in British Burmah

- 1 (A) PADOUK. (*Pterocarpus indicus*) Yields gum kino there are two kinds in Burmah, the red and the white—the red furnishes the finer timber. It is plentiful in Tenasserim
- 2 (B) PEKKAL (*Lagerstrœmia regina*) Abundant in the low lands of Burmah Good timber for boat building, keeps well under water, but not well adapted for house posts
- 3 (C) YOYAY GREE (*Adenanthera pavonina*.) Yields hard tough wood to be found on the Southern part of Tenasserim
- 4 (D) GANGUA
- 5 (E) THINGAN (*Hopsea odorata*) Abundant in Tenasserim, scarce in Pegu and Arracan useful for boat building
- 6 (F) TONG-PEING (*Artocarpus echinata* and *Chaplasha*) Found in Tenasserim, where the Burmese value it for boat building
- 7 (G) BAY WARE. (*Carya arborea*) Not much worth plentiful in Pegu
- 8 (H) THIMIN (properly Thitmin) (*Agathis forankulolia*) Plentiful in Tenasserim used by carpenters for light work.
- 9 (I) KAYEEN (*Dipterocarpus alatus* and *latus*) The wood oil tree valuable principally for its oil plentiful in Burmah will not stand wet, and very much subject to white ants. Makes very good charcoal.
- 10 (J) KUPPALKER THEET (*Sonneratia*?) Not known I think peculiar to the Andamans.
- 11 (K) NABHAY (*Odina wodier*) Not uncommon in Tenasserim grows to 12 feet high. Wood red and hard, used for rice pounders, &c.
- 12 TEAK. (*Tectona grandis*) Plentiful in Tenasserim and Pegu, scarce in Arracan. Also the Hamilton teak, a very inferior kind, is met with in Pegu

Result of Experiments on the Stiffness of a few of the various Woods from the Andaman Forests, as made at
Port Blair, January 1872.

| No. | Burmese name. | Number of trials. | Length in feet. | Depth in inches. | Breadth in inches. | Deflection in inches. | Weight producing deflection in lbs. | Breaking weight in lbs. | Constant <i>a</i> . | Average weight per cubic foot. | Plentiful or otherwise. | To what average size procurable. | Remarks. |
|---------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 1. (A.) | PADOUK, | 1 | 3 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 663 | 916 | 0072152 | 49 1/2 | Plentiful. | 24 | A very durable wood, and well adapted for almost every building purpose. Timber close grained and fibre compact and tough. Broke 1/4th by compression and 3/4th tensile strain. Fracture long. |
| 2. (B.) | PYENMAH, | 1 | 3 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 383 | 723 | 0128895 | 41 | Plentiful. | 36 | A light and rather tough wood, well suited for house building, for which it is much in demand. Timber close grained, fibre coarse and loose. Greatest deflection 2 5/8th inch; broke as above, fracture very long. |
| 3. (C.) | YOUAY-GYEE, | 1 | 3 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 662 | 1115 | 0073935 | 55 | Moderate. | 20 | A first class wood, ranking with Padouk; is used extensively in buildings of every kind; is little liable to warp and is seldom attacked by white ants. Grain close and regular, fibre rather coarse. Broke as above with a fracture very long. |
| 4. (D.) | GANGUA, | 1 | 3 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 766 | 1325 | 0065866 | 70 | Moderate. | 16 | A very hard heavy wood, and remarkably tough, but is liable to split and warp when badly seasoned. Is much in use for beams and girders. Grain close, and fibre coarse and loose. Tree attains a great height, from 90 to 100 feet. Broke as above, fracture long. |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------|----|---|---|----|----|----|-----|-----|------|---------|----|-----------|----|--|
| VOL. I.—SECOND SERIES. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 (E.) | THINGAN, | .. | 1 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 58 | 469 | 52 | 581 | 0088111 | 58 | Moderate | 20 | Wood extremely durable in any situation, and is much in demand as posts and girders. Grain close and fibre rather short. Broke $\frac{1}{2}$ by compression and $\frac{1}{4}$ tensile strain, fracture long. |
| | | | 2 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 58 | 519 | 52 | 861 | | | | | |
| | | | 3 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 58 | 608 | | 959 | | | | | |
| 6 (F.) | TOUNG-FENG, | .. | 1 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 58 | 354 | 52 | 554 | 0140314 | 33 | Moderate | 16 | This a soft, light wood when fully seasoned, and is much used in building, shoes, stands exposure and resists the worm. Grain close and straight, fibre coarse. |
| | | | 2 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 58 | 326 | 52 | 547 | | | | | |
| | | | 3 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 58 | 322 | | 449 | | | | | |
| 7. (G.) | DAN-DWAE, | .. | 1 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 58 | 479 | 56 | 724 | 0094443 | 56 | Moderate | 20 | A dark brown and rather heavy wood, but of an inferior nature, is very brittle and soon decays, and is therefore little in use. Grain close and fibre short. Broke by compression, fracture very short. |
| | | | 2 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 58 | 541 | 56 | 651 | | | | | |
| | | | 3 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 58 | 469 | | 651 | | | | | |
| 8 (H.) | THUMIN, | .. | 1 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 58 | 383 | 52 | 605 | 0110728 | 34 | Plentiful | 14 | A pale yellow light wood slightly resembling pine, much used in planks and for making boxes, packing cases, &c, but is not durable. Grain close and fibre fine. Tree tall and straight. Broke short $\frac{1}{4}$ by compression and $\frac{1}{4}$ by tensile strain. |
| | | | 2 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 58 | 467 | 52 | 700 | | | | | |
| | | | 3 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 58 | 420 | | 680 | | | | | |
| 9 (I.) | KANYEN, | .. | 1 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 58 | 590 | 111 | 833 | 0076677 | 49 | Plentiful | 22 | Wood rather heavy, but of a useless description for building purposes. The species is known as the "wood oil tree." Grain compact, and fibre coarse. Broke as in "Thumin," fracture short. |
| | | | 2 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 58 | 593 | | 808 | | | | | |
| | | | 3 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 58 | 671 | | 815 | | | | | |
| 10. (J.) | KUPALLEE-THEET, | .. | 1 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 58 | 783 | 98 | 1269 | 0059663 | 66 | Scarce. | 30 | Is a very durable, hard and heavy wood, but is not much in demand, being scarce and difficult to work, is well suited for edge tool handles and gun stocks. Grain very close, and fibre fine and compact. Greatest deflexion 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ inch, broke at this $\frac{1}{4}$ th by compression, and $\frac{1}{4}$ th by tensile strain, fracture produced very long. |
| | | | 2 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 58 | 780 | | 1241 | | | | | |
| | | | 3 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 58 | 791 | | 1297 | | | | | |

| No. | Burmese name. | Number of trials. | Length in feet. | Depth in inches. | Breadth in inches. | Deflexion in inches. | Weight produced deflexion in lbs. | Breaking weight in lbs. | Constant <i>a</i> . | Average weight per cubic foot. | Plentiful or otherwise. | Remarks. |
|----------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| 11. (K.) | NABBAHAY, | 1 | 3 | 1½ | 1½ | 5-8-318 | 313 | 530 | 0136795 | 59 | Scarce. | A close grained pale red wood, rather heavy and difficult to season, said to be a good wood for cabinet work. Broke short half by compression and half by tensile strain. |
| | .. | 2 | 3 | 1½ | 1½ | 5-8-358 | 313 | 567 | | | | |
| | | 3 | 3 | 1½ | 1½ | 5-8-322 | | 536 | | | | |
| 12. | TEAK, MOULMEIN, | 1 | 3 | 1½ | 1½ | 5-8-442 | 447 | 718 | 0106579 | 42 | .. | Used at one time for every purpose, but is now giving place to the use of local timber. Broke as above, fracture rather short. |
| | | 2 | 3 | 1½ | 1½ | 5-8-450 | | 781 | | | | |
| | | 3 | 3 | 1½ | 1½ | 5-8-449 | | 742 | | | | |

NOTE.—The object of testing here the stiffness of imported teak, was, that a comparison might be made between itself and local wood. In the experiments made, the weight in each case was applied to the centre. And for finding the constant *a*, the rule laid down in Todd's Elementary Principle of Carpentry has been adopted as in the following extract.

"This constant has been found experimentally by various writers, but differentally, modified according to the circumstances; some giving it for beams fixed at one end, some when supported at each end, some taking the length in feet, others inches, &c. The author, in his former edition, finds the constant *a* as follows, viz., the length is measured in feet, the other dimensions in inches; and the result is taken 40 times, what the above formula gives, viz.,"

$$\frac{40 \times b \times d^3 \times \delta}{L^3 W} = a^*.$$

"And by this formula, the numbers or values of *a*, in the following pages, have been computed.

"Before these rules can be applied, the value of *a* must be obtained from experiments.

"It has been seen that the deflexion is as the weight and cube of the length directly, and as the breadth and cube of the depth inversely; and consequently, that the stiffness is as the latter directly, and as the former inversely; that is, the stiffness is as $\frac{b \times d^3}{L^3 \times W}$.

' Supposing, therefore, the deflection δ to have been obtained experimentally in any material, we should have $\frac{b \times d^3 \times \delta}{L^3 \times W} = \text{a constant}$ quantity, which being given, the deflection in any other case might be found "

The black letter following each number in this paper has been entered for the sake of reference, as it corresponds with the letter branded on each specimen of the several descriptions of wood, of which those above named are samples, forwarded to Calcutta in September last by direction of the Government of India

(Sd) JOHN BENNETT,
Executive Engineer, Port Blair.

(Sd) W CUSLEBY,
Super visor, P. W D

* 1st Paper XLIV This $a = \frac{40}{I_d}$ From this equation therefore $\left[a = \frac{40}{I_d} \right]$ the value of a may be found for any of the timbers in Article XL, in which

the value of I_d is given and conversely in this paper the value of I_d for any of the woods mentioned can be found from the equation $I_d = \frac{40}{a} - [Ed]$

No. XLIX.

BULL'S ANNULAR KILN.

Description of a Kiln for burning bricks by a new and improved method, invented and patented by W. BULL, Esq., Resident Engineer, Oudh and Rohilcund Railway.

THE consumption of wood in burning bricks for the large public works now being carried out in India, is gradually denuding the country of its finest trees, principally mangoe. That this is a matter for regret will I believe be generally allowed, and any method resulting in a diminished consumption will I feel sure benefit the country generally beyond the mere question of economy, (itself a matter for serious consideration.) The method or principle which, with its practical application, is described in this article, will be found to have realized the desired result, to an extent which will depend in a great measure on the care taken in carrying it into practice.

The accompanying plan with a short description will explain this method. It is applicable either to an annular kiln, suitable for continuous burning, or to one of oblong form. When the necessary space can be obtained, the former will be found the better plan.

A length, say of 50 feet, having been built, loading can if required, be commenced, and until the entire circle is completed the operations of building, loading and burning can be carried on simultaneously.

The saving in time resulting from this is obvious, as the supply of pukka bricks can be commenced three weeks after first starting operations,


ing, or with kutcha bricks set in mud, entirely. In the latter case, it will cost about Rs. 450, and this distributed over a season's burning, taking the minimum quantity at 300,000 bricks loaded per month for six months will be 4 annas per thousand. In addition to great economy, there are other advantages resulting from burning bricks by this method, viz., perfect regularity and system in working, an absolute certainty of a fixed number of 1st class bricks daily, as long as the kiln is in operation :—ease in firing, the strongest winds having no effect on it:—a minimum of breakage and distortion :—and thoroughly annealed bricks, from the fact of their being completely covered in, and allowed to cool very slowly.

It will be found an advantage to use a moveable sheet iron chimney as shown in plan, to assist the draught, but if not available, a temporary one can be built up as before mentioned, and need not of necessity be more than 3 feet high. In firing, the mouths of the flues should be kept open only just sufficiently long to admit of the necessary quantity of wood being supplied, then closed by the earthen dummy, and plastered with soft mud. The small hole in the centre of the dummy will, will allow the men firing to see when more fuel is required.

Tiles of all sorts which can be "set" on the concentric walls, can be burnt to perfection in this kiln, and if placed in favorable parts, can be turned out with scarcely a single failure, owing to the small height of the kiln, and consequent light load, and minimum of breakage.

In conclusion, I have no hesitation in stating, that the bricks burnt by this method, are *on the whole* more thoroughly and uniformly burned than in any other kiln I know of in use in India.

W. B.



No. I.

MAYO HOSPITAL, LAHORE

[Side Photograph, and Plate Nos XLIII and XLIV]

*Communicated by W Pardon, Esq, M Inst CE, FGS, Supdy.
Engineer
Photograph executed by Rai Kunhya Lal, Assoc Inst, CE, Rec.
Engineer*

This building has been lately erected at Lahore, under the Superintendence of Rai Kunhya Lal, Exec Engineer, Lahore Division, from the designs of Mr W Pardon, Budge Engineer. It is considered a handsome building, and well adapted for the purposes for which designed. The following estimate shows the cost at which the building has been actually constructed, and as such is a record of the cost of such works in Lahore, and the neighbourhood, in the years 1871-72

The above building is situated behind the Sunder Bazar, Anarkallee, Lahore, on the elevated piece of ground to the south-west of Huttian Chund's Serai. Its style of architecture is "*Italian*," and in designing it, the general principle of hospital accommodation for natives, contained in Government of India's Circular, No 19, of 5th March, 1866, has been adhered to, with such slight modifications as the special nature of the building demanded, so as to suit it for the purposes for which it is required at Lahore, viz, a School of Instruction, as well as an hospital. The building is double storied, the principal facade is 408 feet long, the breadth being 31½ feet

It consists of four main wards, each 115½' x 22½' x 16' (two in the lower, and two in the upper, floor), with dispensary out patients' room,

clinical clerk's rooms, and room for private examination, in the lower floor of the centre part of the building; operating room, store-rooms, house surgeon's rooms, and room for operating instruments, in the upper floor of the same; wash-houses, and in-door privies, (the former fitted with different kinds of baths for patients,) in the projections at the four corners, which are quite distinct from the wards, but connected to them by a verandah, which acts as a sort of covered passage; thus all offensive odours are cut off from the wards.

Access to the upper floor of the building is given by a flight of steps 12 feet wide, (sufficient for taking beds up and down,) situated on one side of the centre part of the building.

Flights of narrow steps are also constructed outside the building, for the sweepers and bheesties to get access to the upper floors of the wash-houses and privies.

In the centre of the building is a hall, 18' \times 18' inside, with a four-storied tower over it, surmounted with a dome, terminating in a stone pinnacle, and iron finials, gilt at top. The height of the tower above floor level, is 107 feet, and above the adjacent ground 120 feet, which is nearly as high as the minarets of the "*Badshahke Musjid*," the highest building in the City of Lahore.

The wash-houses and in-door privies have also a third storey over them, with open archways, covered with a slate roof of equable slope, terminating in a point.



The building is constructed of the best mortar masonry, faced with dressed bricks; the foundations are 8 feet deep, 3 feet of which consist of the best concrete, well consolidated. The floors are made of dressed square tiles, set in lime, on concrete, with fine joints. The main building has a slate roof, supported on strong trusses made of deodar wood; the verandahs are roofed with beams, kurries, and planks, of the same wood, having lime terrace over the planks, on a layer of small bricks laid flat.

Upper floors of main wards are also tiled, supported on burgahs and trusses of deodar wood, with iron tie-rods; those of centre rooms and verandahs are arched, the latter having tie-rods to carry the thrust.

The main outer cornice is of red sandstone, properly cut, and supported on stone corbels.

The doors are made of the best deodar wood, varnished.

The upper wards and centre rooms have neat boarded ceilings of



actual quantities of work, in the different buildings, together with the working rates and cost.

Extract from Report of the Lahore Medical School for the year 1871-72.

The main building consists of a centre facing north and south, and of two wings placed parallel to the centre, but a little behind it to secure free ventilation.

Each wing is occupied by two large wards, one on the upper story and the other on the lower floor, each of which is constructed for 24 patients, or 12 on each side.

Each ward measures $115\frac{1}{2}$ feet long by $22\frac{1}{2}$ feet wide, and is 18 feet high; so that its total cubic contents are 46,777 cubic feet, and its superficial area is 2,598 feet.


Hence the wall space for each bed is nine and a half feet, the superficial area is 108 square feet, and the total cubic space for each is 1,949 cubic feet, or, if the beds and the persons are deducted at the rate of ten cubic feet for each bed, and three for each person, there will still be 1,936 cubic feet of air available for each patient; while the amount laid down as necessary for hospitals in the tropics is only 1,500 cubic feet for each person.

The arrangements for ventilation are also most excellent. Each ward has seven doors on each side and one at each end; each door measures 4 feet 2 inches in width and 7 feet nine inches in height; so that the opening of each equals 32 square feet 3 inches; and, as there are 16 doors in every large ward, the total amount of space for the admission of fresh air is 416 square feet.

It is usually considered that 3,000 cubic feet of air are sufficient for a person in one hour; so that 72,000 cubic feet would be required for the 24 patients in each ward. This would be supplied by the passage of 170 feet of air through all the doors per hour, or of 340 feet, if half of the doors were only used; but this would necessitate the passage of only 5 feet 8 inches a minute, or little more than $1\frac{1}{2}$ -inch per second, an amount which is quite imperceptible and would cause no draught. Besides the doors, there are ventilators above each door, measuring 9 inches by 4 feet 2 inches, and two openings in the lower wards near the ceiling measuring 9 inches by 16 inches, which lead into the upper verandah.

In the upper wards the ventilation is effected through the ceiling itself,

Scale, 1/8 inch = 1 foot




SECTION ON E. F.




SECTION ON A. B.



SIDE ELEVATION.



SECTION ON C. D.



which is boarded over at the commencement of the slope of the roof, and each ceiling contains 12 circular ventilators about 1 foot in diameter, each closed by perforated zinc

The empty triangular space between the tiles and the boarded ceiling keeps the upper wards comparatively cool, even in the hottest weather, and it has ventilators at each end and a ventilating turret in the middle. There are two fire places in each ward, in which wood was burnt during the winter nights. These kept the air of the ward always above 58° F., which is the usual temperature of a house in Lahore during the frosty weather.

Access to the upper floor of the building is afforded by a stair-case, 12 feet in width, and quite straight, to facilitate the carriage of beds up and down. There are also smaller stair cases in the towers at the end of the building for the sweepers and bheesties.

The lower wards are allotted to native male patients—but on the west side to Alahomedans, and that on the east to other sects. Of the upper wards the one most remote from the public stair cases has been filled with female patients, while the west upper ward at present is occupied by European male patients, of whom from three to six are generally present.

The centre of the building is divided below into the dispensary and medical store room, also the rooms for the examinations of out-patients, of which there are three, one for medical cases, one for surgical, and one for ophthalmic cases. There is also a room for the private examination of patients, and the microscopical and chemical examinations of the products of disease.

In the upper floor of the main building are contained the general store-rooms and the wards for eye patients, the windows of which are darkened by blue paper, also apartments for the resident clinical clerks, while the north verandah is rendered available for an operating room by the insertion into one of the arches of a piece of plate glass, and measuring 3 feet by 7 feet this affords a clear upper light at all times of the year.

There is also a ward for contagious diseases, separated from the main hospital by a wall, it consists of a large room ventilated by four doors and a skylight above. This has been used lately for several small pox cases, and other diseases. This room is 20 feet in every direction, and is ventilated by an upper sky-light as well as by the four doors.

| | RS. | A. | P. |
|---|--------|----|----|
| Brought forward, | 9,730 | 13 | 10 |
| Chowkeedar and durwans' houses, | 476 | 0 | 4 |
| Out-door lavatory, | 551 | 4 | 10 |
| Large privy, | 395 | 2 | 1 |
| Small privy, | 202 | 4 | 1 |
| Total for out-houses, | 11,355 | 9 | 2 |

III. COMPOUND WALL, &C.

| | | | |
|---|-------|----|---|
| Compound wall including iron gates, | 5,977 | 15 | 1 |
|---|-------|----|---|

IV. MISCELLANEOUS CHARGES.

| | | | |
|---|-------|---|---|
| Levelling ground, making approaches, &c., | 1,403 | 0 | 0 |
|---|-------|---|---|

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|---|---|
| Grand Total Rupees, | 1,58,941 | 3 | 8 |
|-------------------------------|----------|---|---|

Abstract.

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|----|---|
| I. Main Hospital, | 1,40,204 | 11 | 5 |
| II. Out-houses, | 11,355 | 9 | 2 |
| III. Compound Wall, &c., | 5,977 | 15 | 1 |
| IV. Miscellaneous charges, | 1,403 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Rupees, | 1,58,941 | 3 | 8 |

K. L.

No. LI

BULL'S SLEEPER AND FISH-PLATE JOINT FOR PERMANENT WAY.

[Vide Plate XLV]

Description of a new style of Sleeper and Fish-plate Joint for Permanent Way Designed and patented by W BULL, Esq., Resident Engineer, Oudh and Rohilkund Railway, Lucknow.

So many Engineers of standing have written and published largely on the subject of permanent way, and so many improvements and alterations have been suggested and carried out from time to time, that it is difficult to judge to what extent anything now brought forward is original, or merely a modification of some thing which has been tried before. As far as I can ascertain, the plan I now propose to give a description of, is original in all the parts for which originality is claimed, and if it be considered worthy of a trial, experience will show the value if any, which is to be placed on it.

It is in connection with the bearing parts and joints of the rails, or the parts by which the maintenance of a line of Railway is chiefly affected. The rail I look on as an exhausted subject, and when there are several good sections to choose from, it becomes more a question of manufacture, than the superiority of one particular kind over another. The kind of sleeper proposed is best suited for a flat-bottomed rail, and if the double headed rail be used, a cast or wrought iron chair would be necessary. It is shown in the accompanying sketch, suitable for a 5½ foot gauge.

A great advantage it is hoped may be gained from the use of this style of sleeper is, that 30 per cent. more or less of the balast usual

ly required will be dispensed with, and I would only use it immediately under the sleeper itself, to be supplied at the ends, and at the opening in the middle. In the many different kinds of permanent way in use, the only advantage gained by the use of a complete layer of ballast, not obtainable from an equal quantity of earth or clay filling, is that of getting clean unmixed material for packing up when required, and it is only a very small portion which is thus used. In every other respect, on embankments in particular, it is a disadvantage to have a loose open material, which allows rain water to run through it and collect in pools underneath, causing the material of which the bank is composed to be gradually worked up into a state of soft mud, and the settling down of the rails to a much greater extent than is due to the subsidence of the bank itself, which if well constructed is sufficiently consolidated after two or three rainy seasons to bear the permanent way, with but very slight settlement, if care be taken with surface drainage. It may be said that the "Camber" given to a bank, will sufficiently throw off the water which percolates through the ballast, and doubtless it would if it remained as originally constructed, but such is never the case, as there is always a depression in those parts which have to bear the weight of the rails with the load they have to carry. Any bank, from which permanent way has been removed after some years, will be found of a most irregular surface; and the outside which has had no weight to carry, will be always the highest, particularly if the bank has been in the first place badly made, and owing to the great settlement the ballast has required to be banked up with earth, as is often done.

By the plan proposed, the rain water which would otherwise find its way as before said, to the depressed parts of the bank, and injuriously affect the level of the rails, would be almost entirely thrown off. After "linking-in" on the formation, the rails should be lifted, and levelled by packing at the ends, and open parts of the sleepers between the rails. Allowing for a six inch lift, which should take the depressions out of the worst constructed bank, we should require $9' \times 16'' \times 6''$ (allowing for ballast spreading at the bottom), or six cubic feet for each sleeper, or per chain of 100 feet, 180 cubic feet, in place of 1500 cubic feet, which is the average quantity required for a $5\frac{1}{2}$ foot gauge. The ballast I would propose to use, would be a mixture of sand and fine kunkur, or, any other small ballast, and this if carefully filled into the open part of the sleeper


BULL'S PERMANENT WAY.

Section

Scale 2 1/2" = 1 foot


12 0"
7 8 1/2"
5 6"

Plan



Plan

(1/2 size)



Elevation



ly required will be dispensed with, and I would only use it immediately under the sleeper itself, to be supplied at the ends, and at the opening in the middle. In the many different kinds of permanent way in use, the only advantage gained by the use of a complete layer of ballast, not obtainable from an equal quantity of earth or clay filling, is that of getting clean unmixed material for packing up when required, and it is only a very small portion which is thus used. In every other respect, on embankments in particular, it is a disadvantage to have a loose open material, which allows rain water to run through it and collect in pools underneath, causing the material of which the bank is composed to be gradually worked up into a state of soft mud, and the settling down of the rails to a much greater extent than is due to the subsidence of the bank itself, which if well constructed is sufficiently consolidated after two or three rainy seasons to bear the permanent way, with but very slight settlement, if care be taken with surface drainage. It may be said that the "Camber" given to a bank, will sufficiently throw off the water which percolates through the ballast, and doubtless it would if it remained as originally constructed, but such is never the case, as there is always a depression in those parts which have to bear the weight of the rails with the load they have to carry. Any bank, from which permanent way has been removed after some years, will be found of a most irregular surface; and the outside which has had no weight to carry, will be always the highest, particularly if the bank has been in the first place badly made, and owing to the great settlement the ballast has required to be banked up with earth, as is often done.


By the plan proposed, the rain water which would otherwise find its way as before said, to the depressed parts of the bank, and injuriously affect the level of the rails, would be almost entirely thrown off. After "linking-in" on the formation, the rails should be lifted, and levelled by packing at the ends, and open parts of the sleepers between the rails. Allowing for a six inch lift, which should take the depressions out of the worst constructed bank, we should require $9' \times 16'' \times 6''$ (allowing for ballast spreading at the bottom), or six cubic feet for each sleeper, or per chain of 100 feet, 180 cubic feet, in place of 1500 cubic feet, which is the average quantity required for a $5\frac{1}{2}$ foot gauge. The ballast I would propose to use, would be a mixture of sand and fine kunkur, or, any other small ballast, and this if carefully filled into the open part of the sleeper

BULLS PERMANENT WAY.

Section

12' 0"
7' 8 1/2"
5' 6"

Scale, 3/16" = 1 foot




Elevation



Plan

(Elev)



couple of rivets on each side. This would also materially increase the strength of the joint.

A statement is here given to show the comparative cost of the parts which are different from those in permanent way in common use, taking for this purpose that used on the Oudh and Rohilcund Railway, N. W. of Lucknow, the gauge being 5' 6". The fish-plate would cost about the same in both cases, and is not included.

Oudh and Rohilcund Railway.

| Description. | | | | | | | Weight. | Cost in Lucknow. | | | |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|----|----|---------|------------------|----|----|--|
| | | | | | | | lbs. | RS. | A. | P. | |
| 2 | Pot | sleepers, | Livesay's | patent, | .. | .. | 160 | 6 | 10 | 0 | |
| 2 | Keys | for | above, | .. | .. | .. | 10 | 0 | 6 | 8 | |
| 1 | Tie-bar, | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 24 | 1 | 6 | 8 | |
| 4 | Cushions, | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 0 | 3 | 0 | |
| 2 | Cotters, | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | |
| Totals, | | | | | | | 196 | 8 | 13 | 4 | |

Proposed Plan.

One wrought-iron sleeper with bolt, lbs. 140, probable cost, Rs. 8-12-0

The simplicity resulting from the great decrease in the number of parts is obvious.

In the plan, the fish-plate is shown extending beyond the sleeper, and with four bolts. It is possible that with the joint sleeper 12 inches broad, and the fish-plate the same in length, two bolts would be sufficient.

A style of Permanent Way with the rail bearing directly in a wrought-iron sleeper is in use on the Oudh and Rohilcund Railway below Lucknow, but it is very difficult to lay, owing to the method of fixing the rails on the sleepers, and has other disadvantages, which it is hoped the plan now proposed will obviate.

W. B.

No. LII.

BEAMS "FIXED" AND "SUPPORTED."

By CAPT. ALAN CUNNINGHAM, R.E., *Honorary Fellow of King's College, London.*

There is a remarkable discrepancy in statement of the relative lengths of a beam under transverse strain in the two cases of its being

(1). Firmly fixed at both ends.

(2). Simply supported at both ends.

The ratios given in different authors are either 3 : 2, 2 : 1, or 3 : 1. By some authorities, the difference is said to be wholly a difference between theory and experiment. If this were really the sole difference, it would probably follow that if the experiments were trustworthy, the theoretical results must have been founded on false premises, and most practical men would be inclined to accept the results of experiment.

This is, however, by no means the case. The results obtained by experimenters differ from each other, and those obtained by theorists differ also from each other. The present paper is an attempt to explain the cause of these discrepancies, which are so great as to be almost a disgrace to the profession.

The statement of results in the various authorities may be classed as follows:—

(1). Results derived directly from experiment.

(2). Results obtained theoretically from certain simple laws (of resistance of materials) previously established as very approximately true by experiment.

(3). Simple statements of actual results, without any evidence.

These may be roughly styled results

(1) of experimentalists (*See* Table I).

(2) of theorists (*See* Table II).

(3) of copyists (*See* Table III).

The two former are obviously the only ones of real value as originals, the latter may be held however to represent the opinion of the profession.

It will be well to define the terms "strength" and "fixed," as great part of the discrepancies probably depend on different meanings being attached to these words. By "Strength" is meant one of two things—

(1). "Ultimate Strength" which is measured by the "Breaking Load."

(2). "Working Strength" which is measured by the "Working Load" (*i.e.*, greatest safe load).

By "fixed" is meant that the beam is supported and also firmly fixed *in direction* at both ends, *i. e.*, so that its neutral axis shall remain unaltered in position by the action of the load. The character of "fixing" requires particular attention: even so great an authority as Prof. Robison advances a demonstration (*v. infra*, Table III.) in which the beam is imperfectly fixed.

The relative strengths in question are stated generally for three distinct distributions of load:—

Case I. Load concentrated at middle of the beam.

Case II. Load uniformly distributed along the beam.

Case III. Load concentrated at any point in the beam.

The author has consulted* every work in the Central Library, Roorkee, which seemed likely to have any bearing on the subject, and has arranged the statements of the various authorities into three Tables I., II., III.—showing clearly the nature of the evidence (when recorded) on which each original author has based his statement, and has also recorded *his own opinion* on the character of the theoretical demonstrations given.

Discussion of the Experimental Evidence.

It is stated on high authority (Telford, P. Barlow, Tredgold) that the discrepancies are discrepancies between experiment and theory. This can however be the case only in Case I., when the beam is loaded in the

* It should be understood that the author has actually *consulted* every work from which he quotes, except those whose titles are in italics (of which there are no copies in the Roorkee Library).

middle, as the experiments (see Table I) seem to have been made in this case only, so that all the authorities are alike theorists as regards Cases II and III

The most important of the experimentalists is undoubtedly P. Barlow, who distinctly declares his opinion that the experiments of Mariotte and Mueschenbroek were not on a scale suited to determine the question, and that his own were conducted with great care on purpose to settle the discrepancy between the assigned ratios (of 3 2 and 2 1)

It is particularly to be noticed that the experimental ratio 3 2 is in every instance determined from the *Breaking Weight of Wooden Beams* of uniform rectangular section loaded only at the middle

It is to be regretted that Prof. Robinson who supports the ratio 2 1, made to test his theory they appear to have been experiments on de-

Section

Discussion of Theoretical Demonstrations

These may be classed under two heads

- (1) Demonstrations from Breaking Weights
- (2) Demonstrations from stresses within elastic limit

Class 1 The author considers the whole of the demonstrations of the first class (from the authors quoted) unsound the greater number depend upon several unproved assertions (the proof of the truth of which would be very difficult), and can therefore only be held as attempts at a popular demonstration, amounting in fact only to a probability of the truth of the result given. These the author has styled "hypothetical demonstrations" The demonstration given by Prof. Robinson the author considers as sound in itself, but inapplicable inasmuch as the beam which he considers is decidedly only imperfectly fixed over its supports,* as the neutral axis is permitted to take up a slope

The unsatisfactory character of the demonstrations of this class may be seen from the discrepant results

Class 2—The results obtained from the more recent of these demonstrations (from stresses within elastic limits) have at any rate the merit of being consistent with one another with two important exceptions

- (1) By P. Barlow
- (2) By the Rev H. Mosely

* His beam is laid continuous over 4 supports and fixed at the two outer he considers the central portion as a beam fixed at both ends!

P. Barlow's demonstration.—This is vitiated by the error (pointed out by the writer in Paper XLIV. of "Professional Papers on Indian Engineering," Second Series) made by Barlow in his Deflexion formulæ, viz., that the Deflexions in a cantilever and in a beam supported at the ends, loaded at middle, and *under the same load* are as $1 : \frac{1}{3^2}$. This error (the correct ratio is $1 : \frac{1}{1^2}$) is corrected in the 1867 edition of his "Strength of Materials:" the admission of this error of course destroys the *proof* of the ratio $3 : 2$, and in fact reproduces the very ratio $2 : 1$ of which P. Barlow is the principal antagonist.

But there are grave objections to the whole argument, as to which it is perhaps sufficient to note that the *supposed demonstration* has been omitted from the 1867 edition, which is perhaps sufficient proof that the later editors have felt these objections.

H. Mosely's demonstration.—The ratio $3 : 1$ given is really the ratio of the longitudinal stresses at *centres* of the respective beams: this author has omitted to notice that in fixed beams (uniformly loaded) the greatest stress is at the abutments and is twice that at the centre. Introducing this modification Mosely's result becomes $3 : 2$, *agreeing* with the other authorities of this Class in Case II.

Other demonstrations.—The whole of the demonstrations of this Class (except P. Barlow's) are obtained as the natural consequences of the following simple laws established *by experiment* as true for beams *only slightly deflected*, and *under stresses not exceeding the elastic limit*, viz. :—

- (1). The longitudinal strain (*i. e.*, elongation or contraction) along any originally horizontal layer is proportional to the distance of that layer from a certain line, *i. e.*, the strain throughout a cross-section is *uniformly varying*.
- (2). Stress varies as strain.
- (3). The elongations and contractions of a bar under the same load when stretching and crushing respectively, are equal in amount.

The results must necessarily be true *within the limits prescribed* (viz. deflexion slight, and elastic limit not exceeded), *if these premisses are true*, but it will be absurd to infer that these results are even approximations beyond those limits, *i. e.*, *no inference* can be drawn as to ratios for *Breaking Weights*.

This method of demonstration, viz., from a consideration of the "elastic curve," or curve assumed by the neutral axis of the beam, appears

(to the author) to be the only safe method of treatment in the case of a beam which is both supported and fixed

Remarks on Statement of Copyists

These books are merely compilation of facts, but are important as showing the opinion of the profession. The compilers have not been sufficiently careful in invariably stating the "conditions" to which their ratios were applicable

On the whole they bear out the author's opinion that in Case I, the ratio 3 : 2 is applicable to ultimate strength and the ratio 2 : 1 is applicable to working strength. It is remarkable that the modern compilers quoted are unanimous in giving the ratios as 3 : 2 in Case II, with the exceptions of Molesworth's and Nyström's Pocket books

Conclusions

Case I.—It will have been noticed that the ratio 3 : 2 is for this case dependent chiefly on *Experiments on the Breaking Weights of Beams*, and that of 1 : 1 chiefly on *Theoretical demonstrations* from stresses within elastic limits (demonstrations from Breaking Weights being in the author's opinion unsound).

The authors conceive that the explanation of the seeming discrepancy probably lies in the fact that the ratios indicated are

- (1) of Ultimate Strengths, by the experimentalists (viz, 3 : 2),
- (2) of Working Strengths, by the theorists (viz, 2 : 1),

and are very likely both correct under the conditions intended. As to the comparative utility of these two ratios, the author believes that the general opinion of the profession now is that large beams should always be designed from the safe limit of stress—intensity of the material, not from the ultimate strength of the material

Case II.—No experiments recorded (among the books accessible). The only sound demonstrations show the ratio of Working Strengths to be as 3 : 2

Case III.—No experiments recorded, and no demonstrations discovered (among the books accessible). The author has calculated the ratio of Working Strengths according to the principles indicated by him, and finds it to be (not 3 : 2 as stated by some authorities) that of

"Clear Length of Beam : Greater Segment"

TABLE I.—Relative Strength of uniform straight horizontal beams when fixed and when supported at both ends.
(From *Experiment and Theory*).

| Title of Work. | Authors. | Date. | Case 1. Load at middle. | | Case 2. Load uniformly distributed. | | Case 3. Load at any point. | | Character of Theoretical Demonstration. |
|---|----------------------|-------|----------------------------|--|--|---|-------------------------------|-------------|---|
| | | | Ratio. | Evidence. | Ratio. | Evidence. | Ratio. | Evidence. | |
| ? | <i>Mariotta.</i> | ? | 2 : 1 | Exp. on glass rods (small). | | | | | Quoted in Barlow's "Strength and Stress of Timber." |
| <i>Cours de physique experimentale.</i> | <i>Maschenbrock.</i> | ? | 2 : 1 | Exp. on small wood beams. | | | | | |
| <i>Memoires de l'Academie des Sciences.</i> | <i>Parent.</i> | 1707 | 3 : 2 | Exp. on breaking weight of wooden beams. | | | | | Hypothetical Demonstration. |
| ? | <i>Pitot.</i> | ? | 3 : 2 | Not quoted. | | | | | Quoted in "Encyclopedin Britannica" Art. Carpentry. |
| <i>Science des Ingenieurs.</i> | <i>Belidor.</i> | 1813 | 3 : 2 | Exp. on breaking weight of oak battens 1' x 1'. | | | | | |
| Strength and Stress of Timber. | P. Barlow. | 1826 | | Exp. on breaking weight of fir battens 6' x 2" x 2". | 3 : 2 | Not stated. | 3 : 2 | Not stated. | Hypothetical Demonstration. |
| Strength of Timber, &c. | | 1845 | 3 : 2 | | | | | | |
| <i>Encyclopedia Britannica.</i> Art. Carpentry. | <i>Robison.</i> | 1854 | 2 : 1 | Exp. on deflection ? | | | | | Inapplicable, the beam being imperfectly fixed. |
| <i>Experimental Mechanics.</i> | <i>R. S. Ball.</i> | 1871 | 3 : 2 | Exp. on breaking weight of wooden battens 40" x 1" x 1'. | 3 : 2 | Inferred by analogy from supported beams to be twice as | | | None. |

TABLE II.—Relative Strength of uniform straight horizontal beams when fixed and when supported at both ends.
(From *Theoretical Workers*)

| Title | Author | Date | Case 1 Load at middle | | Case 2 Uniform load | | Case 3 Load anywhere | | Character of Demonstrations |
|---|-------------------------------|------|--------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--|
| | | | Ratio | Demonstration | Ratio | Demonstration | Ratio | Demonstration | |
| | | | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | |
| <i>Algebra</i> | <i>Emerson</i> | ? | 2 | 1 | From breaking weight | 2 | 1 | From breaking weight | Hypothetical, quoted in Young's Mechanics |
| <i>La Science des Ingénieurs</i> | <i>Béthod</i> | 1818 | 3 | 2 | From breaking weight | 2 | 1 | From breaking weight | Hypothetical |
| <i>La Science des Ingénieurs</i> | <i>Ed. M. Navier</i> | 1813 | 2 | 1 | From breaking weight | 2 | 1 | From breaking weight | Hypothetical |
| <i>Elements of Mechanics</i> | <i>J. R. Young</i> | 1832 | 3 | 2 | Breaking weight | 3 | 2 | Breaking weight | Hypothetical |
| <i>Principles of Mechanics of Machinery and Engineering</i> | <i>J. Washbach</i> | 1847 | 2 | 1 | From breaking weight | 2 | 1 | From breaking weight | Hypothetical |
| <i>Encyclopædia Britannica, Art. Roofs, Carpentry</i> | <i>Prof. Robinson</i> | 1854 | 2 | 1 | From breaking weight | 2 | 1 | From breaking weight | Inapplicable as the beam is not firmly fixed |
| <i>General Theory of Bridge Construction</i> | <i>Thomson</i> | 1856 | 3 | 2 | From breaking weight | 3 | 2 | From breaking weight | Hypothetical |
| <i>Strength of Materials</i> | <i>Thomson & Colledge</i> | 1869 | 3 | 2 | From breaking weight | 3 | 2 | From breaking weight | Hypothetical |
| <i>Treatise on Civil Engineering in India</i> | <i>Major J. G. Medley</i> | 1869 | 3 | 2 | ditto | 3 | 2 | ditto | Hypothetical |

TABLE II. (*Continued.*)—Relative Strength of uniform straight horizontal beams when fixed and when supported at both ends.
(*From Theoretical Writers.*)

| Class. | Title. | Author. | Date. | Case 1. Load at middle. | | Case 2. Uniform load. | | Case 3. Load anywhere. | | Character of Demonstrations. |
|------------|--|----------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | | | Ratio. | Demonstration. | Ratio. | Demonstration. | Ratio. | Demonstration. | |
| Class (2). | Essay on Strength and Stress of Timber. | P. Barlow. | 1826 | 3 : 2 | From deflection. | | | | | Hypothetical. |
| | Treatise on Strength of Timber, &c. | P. Barlow. | 1815 | 3 : 2 | ditto. | | | | | Hypothetical. |
| | Mechanical Principles of Engineering and Architecture. | Rev. H. Mo-sely. | 1843 | | | 3 : 1 shd. be 3 : 2 | Within elastic limit. | | | |
| | Mannual of Applied Mechanics. | W. J. M. Rankine. | 1864 | 2 : 1 | Within elastic limit. | 3 : 2 | ditto. | | | From consideration of "elastic curve" assumed by neutral axis under strains within elastic limit. These demonstrations are sound. |
| | Course de Mécanique Appliquée de l'Ecole des Ponts et Chaussées. | M. Bresse. | 1866 | 2 : 1 | ditto. | 3 : 2 | ditto. | | | |
| | Theory of Strains. | B. B. Stoney. | 1866 | | | 3 : 2 | ditto. | | | |
| | Manual of Civil Engineering. | W. J. M. Rankine. | 1870 | 2 : 1 | ditto. | 3 : 2 | ditto. | | | |
| | Chatham Course of Civil Engineering. | Lt.-Col. Wray, R. E. | 1870 | 2 : 1 | ditto. | 3 : 2 | ditto. | | | |
| | Professional Papers on Indian Engineering [Second Series], No. LVII. | Capt. A. Cunningham, R. E. | 1872 | | | | | Length : Greater Segment. | Within elastic limit. | |

TABLE III.—Relative strength of uniform straight horizontal beams when fixed, and when supported at both ends.
(From *Copysists, no authorities given*)

| Title. | Author | Date | Case 1 Load all midside | | Case 2 Load uniform | | Case 3 Load any here | |
|---|------------------|------|----------------------------|------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | | | Ratio | Conditions | Ratio | Conditions | Ratio | Conditions |
| Memorandum book | T Telford | 1838 | 3 | 2 | } | Not stated | } | } |
| Aide Mémoire de Mécanique. | A Morn | 1840 | 2 | 1 | | Breaking weight. | | |
| Aide Mémoire de Mécanique | A Morn | 1847 | 2 | 1 | | Working stress | | |
| Pratique | A Bell Edinburgh | 1847 | 3 | 2 | | Breaking weight. | | |
| Practical Mathematics | E. Cressy | 1847 | 3 | 2 | | Breaking weight. | | |
| Encyclopædia of Civil Engi- neering | P Nicholson. | | 3 | 2 | | Not stated. | | |
| Encyclopædia of Architecture | P Nicholson. | | 3 | 2 | | ? | | |
| <i>Cyclopedia</i> | G D Dempsey | 1861 | 3 | 2 | | Breaking weight. | | |
| Builder's Guide | C Knight | 1860 | 3 | 2 | | Breaking weight. | | |
| English Cyclopedia Div Arts and Sciences. | J Hurst. | 1868 | 3 | 2 | | Breaking weight. | | |
| Overseer's Pocket-book. | Haswell. | 1868 | 3 | 2 | | Breaking weight. | | |
| Engineers and Mechanics | Adcock | 1869 | 3 | 2 | | Not stated | | |
| Pocket book | ? | 1869 | 2 | 1 | | Working stress | | |
| Engineer and Architect's | | | | | | | | |
| Pocket book | | | | | | | | |
| Railway Construction | Haswell | ? | 3 | 2 | | Breaking weight | | |
| Instruction in Military Engi- neering, Chatham | Various | 1870 | 3 | 2 | | Working stress. | | |
| Useful Rules and Tables. | W J M Rankine | 1870 | 2 | 1 | | Working stress | | |
| Pocket book of Engineering | G L. Moleworth | 1871 | | | | | | |
| Formulae | | | | | | | | |
| Elementary Principles of Car- pentry | T Tredgold | 1871 | 3 | 2 | | Breaking weight | | |
| Scantlings of Timbers for | J D Hurst. | 1872 | 3 | 2 | | Breaking weight | | |
| Roofs | Langdon P Key | | | | | | | |
| Pocket book of Mechanics and J Thinning | W R Strom, | 1872 | 2 | 1 | | Working load | | |
| Philadelphia. | | | | | | | | |

No. LIII.

FOURACRES' DEEP-WELL EXCAVATORS.

[Vide Plates Nos. XLVI. and XLVII.]



Invented and Patented by MR. C. FOURACRES, C.E.

BEFORE describing the new Deep-well Excavators in use on the Soane Anicut, and with the view of making this article complete, and rendering the working of the new machine intelligible to any readers who may not be acquainted with Mr. Fouracres' original invention, it is necessary to reproduce in an abridged form the description of the latter.

Fouracres' Well Excavator.—The accompanying drawing will make the construction and action of the Excavator clear with very few words of explanation; it consists of—

1st.—A spear of 1 inch square iron, 12 feet long, with shackle at the top to sling it by, and a cross-head at bottom.

2nd.—Two segmental scoops, hinged on the ends of the cross-head, and forming when closed (the edge of one slipping just within the other), a bucket of rather more than the third of a cylinder. Materials, sheet-iron and angle-iron for corners.



3rd.—Two iron collars A, B, sliding loosely on the spear, and connected at a fixed distance asunder, by a second side spear. To the lower collar are attached two hinged rods, F, to open and shut the scoops. To the upper collar, a small wooden platform, ED, is fixed, on which two men can stand whose weight will force down the instrument; or, in working below water, an iron weight can be substituted.

4th.—A lever hinged on the top of the spear to open the jaws of the scoop when over the discharge platform
 5th.—There are also two stops on the spear, and a spring clasp, C to keep

the jaws open while the scoop is being lowered

The action is very simple

The machine is hung over the well or block by tackle

and pulleys worked by a

windlass, from any conve-

nient form of staging, it is

lowered, with the jaws in the

open position till it rests on

the bottom the two attend-

ants step on the platform,

and one with his foot releases

the spring clasp, the wind-

lass men at once wind up,

but the weight of the men keeps the scoop from

rising till the jaws have closed and it is full of

sand, then all rise together, the two men step

off on the sides of the well, and, as the full

bucket rises to the level, they sway it over a

wooden platform at the side, and pull smartly

at the lever, the jaws open, and the catch holds

them, so the sand falls out on the platform,

the machine swings back, and is immediately



lowered again, while the sand is shovelled or

run away. This can be repeated at the rate of

one lift per minute, lifting $1\frac{1}{2}$ to $1\frac{3}{4}$ cubic feet

each time

Deep-Well Excavator.—The action of the




Excavator is in every respect similar to that of Fouraches' ordinary Excavator for small wells with this exception, that the process of closing the scoops of the Excavator is performed by two chains and a windlass, instead of by actual pressure by men's weight. The Excavator is lowered into the well, in the position shown in the drawing (*Plate XLVI*) by the chain a,

the end of which is attached to a windlass in any convenient position : as the men lower the chain *a*, those at the windlass *b* wind up the chains *c* which become loose as the Excavator descends ; when the Excavator reaches the bottom of the well, the catch *d* is released by means of the rope attached to it, and the scoops are closed by tightening up the chains *c*, which draws down the collar *f*, and so shuts the scoops upon the sand ; when the Excavator has taken its load, the men at the windlass attached to the chain *a*, wind it up, and those at the windlass *b* unwind their chain, so that the Excavator is free to rise ; when the machine reaches the top of the well it is swayed over the side, and the load released by opening the scoops with the lever *e*, which is hinged to render the machine more handy ; when lowered into the well a small line *i* keeps the lever in position to prevent it fouling the side of the well.

The pulleys marked *g* through which the chain *c* runs, are put on to the well when the curb is first laid ; the tie-rods outside, marked *h*, keep the pulleys in their places, while the well is being sunk and also tie the well together, to prevent the lower part falling in ; they also keep the windlass *b* on the top of the well firmly in its place ; when the well is sunk to the full depth, the outer rods are driven down clear of the hook ; the pulley can then with very little trouble be extricated from the curb, for it only fits loosely into wood ; the stirrup rods can then be drawn.

Self-Closing Deep-Well Excavator.—The Excavator is lowered into the well in the position shown by the dark-lined portion of the drawing, *Plate XLVII.* with the exception that the diagonal arms marked *b* are kept up in the position shown by the dotted lines, until the scoop of the Excavator takes the ground ; when the scoop is resting on the ground, the arms (*b*) are lowered by means of the rope (*c*), until they are in the position shown by the dark-lined portion, when the Excavator is thus fixed as it were at the bottom of the well, for it is evident that the collar *a*, (which is firmly rivetted to the main rod of the Excavator,) is completely prevented from moving by the compression of the diagonal rods *b* upon it, the catch marked *d* is liberated by pulling the rope attached to it ; when this is done the men at the windlass commence to wind up the main chain, which, being passed round the pulley *e*, and fastened to the collar *a*, draws down the collar *i*, and so presses the scoops of the Excavator into the ground ; at the same time the cross head *f*, attached to the main chain rises with it, and as it rises it lifts the ring and chain *g* ; the length of this chain is so

Scale 1/2 inch = 1 foot





the end of which is attached to a windlass in any convenient position : as the men lower the chain *a*, those at the windlass *b* wind up the chains *c* which become loose as the Excavator descends ; when the Excavator reaches the bottom of the well, the catch *d* is released by means of the rope attached to it, and the scoops are closed by tightening up the chains *c*, which draws down the collar *f*, and so shuts the scoops upon the sand ; when the Excavator has taken its load, the men at the windlass attached to the chain *a*, wind it up, and those at the windlass *b* unwind their chain, so that the Excavator is free to rise ; when the machine reaches the top of the well it is swayed over the side, and the load released by opening the scoops with the lever *e*, which is hinged to render the machine more handy ; when lowered into the well a small line *i* keeps the lever in position to prevent it fouling the side of the well.


The pulleys marked *g* through which the chain *c* runs, are put on to the well when the curb is first laid ; the tie-rods outside, marked *h*, keep the pulleys in their places, while the well is being sunk and also tie the well together, to prevent the lower part falling in ; they also keep the windlass *b* on the top of the well firmly in its place ; when the well is sunk to the full depth, the outer rods are driven down clear of the hook ; the pulley can then with very little trouble be extricated from the curb, for it only fits loosely into wood ; the stirrup rods can then be drawn.

Self-Closing Deep-Well Excavator.—The Excavator is lowered into the well in the position shown by the dark-lined portion of the drawing, *Plate XLVII.* with the exception that the diagonal arms marked *b* are kept up in the position shown by the dotted lines, until the scoop of the Excavator takes the ground ; when the scoop is resting on the ground, the arms (*b*) are lowered by means of the rope (*c*), until they are in the position shown by the dark-lined portion, when the Excavator is thus fixed as it were at the bottom of the well, for it is evident that the collar *a*, (which is firmly rivetted to the main rod of the Excavator,) is completely prevented from moving by the compression of the diagonal rods *b* upon it, the catch marked *d* is liberated by pulling the rope attached to it ; when this is done the men at the windlass commence to wind up the main chain, which, being passed round the pulley *e*, and fastened to the collar *a*, draws down the collar *i*, and so presses the scoops of the Excavator into the ground ; at the same time the cross head *f*, attached to the main chain rises with it, and as it rises it lifts the ring and chain *g* ; the length of this chain is so

Scale 1/2 inch = 1 inch



Plan of Bucket open



No. LIV.

MEMORANDUM ON SOME EXAMPLES OF WALLS
AND ARCH BUILDINGS IN CEMENT CONCRETE.[Vide *Plate* No. XLVIII.]

BY LIEUT., H. C. FOX, R.E.

1. *Petroleum Store at Bristol*.—This building is an example of an attempt to carry the advantage possessed by concrete over other building materials, viz., saving in cost, to its utmost limit. The structure as is evident from the sketch, is somewhat of a *tour de force*, and its partial failure, though due in a great measure, to faulty and unscientific design, tends to show that in the use of concrete it is unwise to save money at the expense of the factor of safety.

(Referring to the sketch) the dimensions of walls and arches are as follows :—

Party walls (piers), 6" thick.

Arches at springing, 5" "

, " crown, 4" "

Dimensions below floor level (in cement concrete) are not known.

Metho^d of tracing abutment arches in end chambers not known ; but in these two instances, the sketch gives a fair idea of the architect's drawings. The concrete was made of Portland cement, of very good quality (a high test for resistance to crushing, and tearing apart being specified) mixed with five times its bulk of furnace "clinkers," and I believe a small quantity of sand. The "clinkers" were used with a view to obtaining a concrete of small weight, though the architect could give no satisfactory reason for his wish to obtain this quality, and as the clinkers, varied a good deal in porousness and resistance to crushing (some being vitrified, while others were little stronger or harder than common coal

unders) they were very unsuitable for use in concrete, which as in this case had to stand a severe and irregular strain. I was told that great care was taken in mixing, laying, and ramming the concrete, the work being constantly supervised by a foreman of works appointed by the architect. The contractor also was a well known and trustworthy man.

A glance at the sketch will show that the structure of cement concrete was most unsuitably and unfairly loaded, and as might have been expected, when the load was put on, one of the end arches burst outwards at the haunch (D in sketch), and all the building on one side of the central passage (see plan) fell in, the party walls however, were not entirely thrown over, but broke at a, a, a, and the end walls stood. Moreover the central ponding range at the other side of central passage, when I saw it after the accident, showed no signs of failure, though it must have been subjected to a severe shake when the other range fell. The arches fell in very large pieces, but did not break in the floor. The concrete work had been completed two months when the failure took place.

I may add that the architect having attributed the failure to bad material having been used by the contractor, and a lawsuit threatening, the proprietor asked me to give him my opinion on the case. After inspecting the work, I was able to convince the architect, that he was to blame for the failure, and eventually he compromised the matter, bearing 3/4 of the expense of rebuilding.

I also suggested the following alterations in the design, which I believe will be carried out in rebuilding the fallen part, and applied as far as possible to the part which remained standing.

- 1 Concrete in new walls and arches to be made of broken hard stone, (each piece to be small enough to pass through a 2-inch ring), mixed with mortar (made of one cement to one pit sand) in quantity sufficient to a little more than fill the interstices between the stones. This quantity to be determined by experiment (one mortar to six stone will be about the proportion).
- 2 The concrete to be rammed till each stone touches the adjacent ones.
3. Iron tie rods to be introduced in the arches, except the end ones.
- 4 The contour of the superstructure to be altered somewhat as shown on sketch in dotted lines.

With these alterations I think the structure will be safe, though as the foundation is not good, the chambers should not be unequally loaded.

II *Fortifications at Bermuda*—The typical plan of a casemated bat-

tery given in the R.E. Professional Papers, Vol. XIX. (1871)., *Plate VI.*, to face page 90, is almost co-incident with the design of Fort Cunningham, Bermuda, (a work of which I was in charge for three years). The principal differences are as follows :—

Ground level, ft. c. same as that of 'gun-floor,' the magazines being in an excavation. Span of arches 16' 6" instead of 18' 9". Dry ditch. Fort Cunningham, is on the top of a hill.

After about half the magazines had been built in masonry, the C.R.E. decided that the remainder of the work should be executed in cement concrete, and when I gave up charge of the work this had been done, with the exception of the arching of the superstructure.

The concrete was made of hard crystalline limestone, Portland cement, and oolitic limestone powder (used as sand), and as concrete for building purposes had not been used before at Bermuda, a great number of combinations were tried before a final proportion between above materials was arrived at. At first the hard stone was broken by hand, but eventually a "Blake's stone crusher," (for description, *see Gillmore, on Limes, &c.*, page 243, *et seq.*), was obtained, and used with very satisfactory results. From the solid block, this machine produced broken stone (to go through a 2 inch ring), containing about 12 per cent. of coarse sand, and most of the work was built of concrete composed of nine parts broken stone, with its sand in it, to two parts cement mortar (one Portland cement to one soft stone sand).

This concrete was laid at the rate of 9" per diem, and when finished, had a fine smooth surface, as good as if it had been rendered. Movable boards wedged out from uprights were used as moulds for the walling; and in arching, a ring of brick (with a few headers to bond with concrete above) was turned over the centres to form a soffit, and the remaining 2 feet of the arch formed in concrete. In one case an arch of 10 feet span, (about 2 feet rise) was built entirely of concrete, and the centering struck 8 days after the arch was complete. This was done as an experiment, and not the slightest settlement could be observed. In some cases no stone or other lintels were used over openings in the walls, and all the openings and shafts for shell and powder lifts, issuers, lamp boxes, &c., were formed in the concrete, by wooden moulds slightly greased.

The principal difficulty was found in forming exterior angles, which on plan are shown rounded. A variety of expedients were tried to overcome

Scale $7\frac{1}{2}$ feet = 1 inch.

TRANSITIME REC-
tion of Ice Cham-
ber in dotted
lines



Section above is on A B

The height of crown of this arch was raised by the Archi-
Thus alteration probably had much to do with the failure

of concrete, about 5 feet apart, connecting inner and outer arches at this place.

No. LV.

WEBB'S SUB-AQUEOUS EXCAVATOR.

[Vide *Plate Nos. XLIX. and L.*]

Description of Webb's Patent Sub-Aqueous Excavator. By E. W. STONEY, Esq., *Resident Engineer, Madras Railway.*

THE excavator is a cylinder, from which about a fourth part is removed, to form horizontal and vertical cutting edges *a, b*, *Plates XLIX. and L.* It is formed of boiler plate rivetted to angle iron framing. On top are two iron catches *C, D*, which receive the wrought-iron bow *E*, this slides up and down the square guide bar *F*.


To the upper side of this bow the hoisting chain *G*, is attached by short pieces *h, i*, while underneath a pair of short chains *k, l* connect it with the excavator.

The square bar *F*, by means of which the excavator is turned and guided in its ascent and descent, together with its capstan, crab winch, and framing, all clearly shown in the drawings, complete the apparatus. The guide bar *F* is made in convenient lengths, connected by the joint *X*, and carries a sliding collar *N*, which can be clamped at any part of it, by means of pinching screw *r*.

On each side of this collar a hook is rivetted for the purpose of supporting the hoisting chains *G*, when disconnected.

The hoisting chain is provided with special links every six feet, which allow of its separation at them.

The mode of using the excavator is as follows:—The frame is placed as shown in *Plate XLIX.*, over the cylinder or well to be sunk, and the bar *F* dropped to the bottom of it. The crab barrel is then thrown out of gear, which allows the excavator to descend by its own weight, being guided in its descent by the bar *F*, which passes through the bow *E*: as soon as it reaches the bottom of the well, the hoisting chain *G* is disconnected at one of the links provided for the purpose, the upper part leading from



WEBB'S SUBAQUEOUS EXCAVATOR.

for 60 cubic feet excavated, or a rate of Rs. 0-1-4 per cubic foot = Rs. 2-4-0 per cubic yard.

By Steam Power.

| | | | | RS. | A. | P. |
|---|----|----|----|-----|----|----|
| 1 Engine driver, at Rs. 1 per day, | .. | .. | .. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 Stoker, at Rs. 0-8-0, | .. | .. | .. | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 7 Men coolies, at Rs. 0-4-0, | .. | .. | .. | 1 | 12 | 0 |
| 2½ Cwt. coal, at Rs. 30 a ton, | .. | .. | .. | 3 | 12 | 0 |
| ¾ lb Oil, | .. | .. | .. | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 25 Per cent on Rs. 2,100 for wear, depreciation, } and repairs of machinery, | .. | .. | .. | 1 | 10 | 10 |
| Royalty on patent, | .. | .. | .. | 1 | 9 | 7 |
| Cost per day, Total, | | | | 10 | 6 | 5 |

Quantity excavated 180 cubic feet, or a rate of Rs. 0-0-11 per cubic foot, or Rs. 1-8-9 a cubic yard.

If wood fuel be used, the cost would be for fuel $7\frac{1}{2}$ cwt., at Rs. 3 per ton = Rs. 1-2-6. This would reduce the cost of working to Rs. 7-12-11 a day = Rs. 0-0-8 per cubic foot, or Rs. 1-2-0 per cubic yard.

This excavator had a prolonged practical trial in sinking the Iron Cylinder foundations for the Kudlahoondy Bridge, Madras Railway. Twenty-six cylinders 6 feet diameter, were sunk by means of these excavators to depths of from 37 feet to 65 feet below the water level,

through 2 feet of mud.

„ 10 „ sand.

„ 25 „ blue clay.

„ 15 „ laterite gravel, very hard.

„ 3 „ decayed granite.


The above trial proves beyond doubt its practical value.

This excavator will, if tried in the N. W. Provinces, probably prove a formidable rival of the sand pump, and other excavators now in use.

Its chief merits appear to the writer to be its great strength and simplicity, which make it almost impossible to break or put out of order.

The simple manner in which it is used makes it peculiarly suited to the capacity of ordinary native workmen.

E. W. S.



WEBB'S SUBAQUEOUS EXCAVATOR.

No. LVI

EARTH CURRENTS AND AURORA BOREALIS.

By J J FAHIE, Esq, *Dash Station, Indo-European Telegraph Department*

On the night of the 4th-5th February last, I had the good fortune to witness a grand magnetic electric disturbance on four of the sections of the Persian Gulf telegraph. It was accompanied by an Aurora Borealis, which must have been one of unusual splendour. I can hardly conceive that the luminous phenomenon which I witnessed was the actual Aurora, for, as these meteors have their sphere in the region of the clouds, they cannot be more than three or four miles high at the pole, and, therefore, it is quite impossible that I could have seen anything but a reflection at this low latitude (22° north).

As seen from *Dash Station*, it took the shape, roughly, of an elongated ellipsoid, of a reddish pink colour, resting on the northern horizon, with its smaller end stretched out towards the east. It continually varied as regards colour, now bright, now dull, but its position did not alter from its first appearance at 11 P M to 1 30 A M (*Laurichee time*) when I last saw it. The Aurora, as usual, was preceded by a faint whitish glow in the west which was noticed about an hour after sunset, and which, as the evening advanced, became more distinct assuming, roughly, a pyramidal shape, with its base on the horizon. There was no moon, and the atmosphere was particularly clear, with a moderate breeze from the north.

The telegraph may be said to stand in the same relation to this class of phenomena, as the barometer does to atmospheric changes. I certain-
 * The time followed in this paper is *Laurichee time* which is also 45 minutes in advance of the true local time.

behaviour on the part of the former as surely indicates the prevalence of a "magnetic storm," as does that of the barometer the approach of an atmospheric one. To me the irregular and spontaneous working of the telegraph was, (if I may so speak) the harbinger of the Aurora, for hours before its reflection was visible, the wires told very plainly of its existence.

At 6-55 p.m. a permanent positive current was observed on the Jask-Gwador cable. This was at first set down to some irregularity in the instrument at the distant station; but such was soon ascertained not to be the case. Presently a similar current but weaker was perceived on the land-line between the same stations, while at almost the same moment permanent negative currents took possession of the Jask-Bushire and Jask-Fao cables. It was now evident that "earth currents" were about, and in very strong force too, as appeared from the deflections of the galvanometer needles which form part of the working apparatus. After a few minutes the direction of the currents changed, the cable and land-line between Jask and Gwador became charged with negative electricity, and the Jask-Bushire and Jask-Fao sections with positive. Thus throughout the evening, and at intervals of 2 to 5 and 10 minutes, the currents were constantly varying in direction and force. Soon after their appearance it was found very difficult to correspond with any of the distant stations; and from 8 p.m. to midnight, communication was entirely suspended except for a brief space at those particular times when the existing current was dying out, to give place to the succeeding one, which rapidly approached its maximum strength and in its turn receded.

Shortly after midnight, the earth currents entirely forsook the land-line, or at all events became so feeble, as not to be perceived on the ordinary instruments. They disappeared from the cable circuits about the same time, but at 12-30 on the morning of the 5th, they again set in on the Jask-Gwador cable, and continued at long intervals (during which communication was satisfactory) up to 8 a.m.; when they finally vanished, ending with a negative current which had uninterrupted possession of the line from 7-30 to 7-50 a.m. The Jask-Bushire and Jask-Fao lines were free from midnight to 2 a.m.; at which time strong negative currents set in for about one hour. From 3 a.m., no further disturbance was reported on the Jask-Fao cable; but the Jask-Bushire line was again, and for the last time, visited by negative currents which remained off and on

from 6 to 8 A M The regular weekly tests of the cables taken late on the forenoon of the 5th, showed that even then the earth currents although too feeble to interfere with the working, had not entirely vanished

It was observable between 7 P M and midnight, that the land line and cable to the eastward of us were always charged with the same kind of electricity, while the Jask Bushire and Jask Rao lines were as invariably charged with the opposite kind, it was also noticed that the current, were reversed in all the circuits at the same time, the one pair changing from positive to negative, at the same time that the other pair changed from negative to positive, and *vice versa*. Those on the Jask-Rao section, which is the longest of the cables, 655 knots, at one time arrived at such a high tension that whenever the connection between the line and earth was interrupted, a strong spark was emitted

Some experiments were made to determine the quantitative strength or intensity of the currents. The highest intensity measured was that on the Jask-Bushire line, where it was equal to that of 57 Minotti cells. At one period of the evening, it must have far exceeded this number on the Jask-Rao cable, for I was unable to obtain from the largest battery power available, (80 Minotti cells,) and through the same resistance as the cable, as strong a spark as that noted above —

The following are a few of the observations taken at intervals during the evening —

Jask Rao and Jask-Bushire Sections

| Time | Resistance in circuit. | Earth current deflection | Name of current. | Calculated strength of earth current |
|----------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 8 00 P M | 5,198 units | 59° | + | 39 Minotti cells. |
| 9 20 " | 14,198 " | 33° | + | 41 " |
| 10 " | 12 508 " | 43° | + | 57 " |
| 11 10 " | 4,330 " | 150° | + | 23 " |
| 11 15 " | " " | 83° | + | 14 " |
| 11-20 " | " " | 8° | — | 1 33 " |

The first three deflections were observed on a tangent galvanometer, the remainder with Sir. W. Thomson's delicate mirror instrument

When describing the auroral reflection, I Stated that it was constantly varying in depth of color. Now this might be caused by clouds passing before the aurora, or by some other changes in the reflecting medium. I will nevertheless hazard a conjecture that these variations corresponded with the different phases of the aurora itself, and also that they coincided with the alternations of the earth currents. In conclusion of this part of my subject, I have only to add that the Jask-Gwadar cable and land-line lie nearly east and west, and the Jask-Bushire and Jask-Fao lines north-west.

All electrical disturbances, such as I have now described, or such as are produced by thunder-storms, by polarisation of the earth plates to which the ends of a line are connected, by differences in the state of the weather and atmosphere or inequalities in the altitude at distant points of a line, &c., are technically called "Earth currents." From one cause or another these currents are always present in telegraph wires, particularly submarine cables. Happily, however, they are not often possessed of sufficient strength to interrupt communication,* and generally they are so feeble as only to be measured by the aid of testing instruments. In England it has been found that lines which pursue a N.E. and S.W. course are most subject to disturbance, while on the continent those lying E. and W. are generally more affected. Again it has been remarked in England that lines N. W. and S. E. are seldom disturbed, and then only to a slight extent, while my experience shows me that, as regards the degree of disturbance at all events, this rule does not hold good for the Persian Gulf. But the fact is Philosophers have not yet been able to trace any laws concerning either their direction or strength. Sometimes they are most powerful N. and S., and at other times E. and W., at one time they flow steadily in one direction for long periods, at another they change about in a most capricious manner from positive to negative in quick succession.

When they are accompanied by an Aurora borealis, and by certain abnormal perturbations, of the magnetic needle, they constitute, together with these two phenomena, what Humboldt has been the first to describe "as a Magnetic storm."

During the last four years there were probably not more than half a dozen instances of the cables in the Persian Gulf being seriously and for any considerable time disturbed by earth currents. I myself can only recollect four cases, in one of which (13-14 May 1869) the currents changed on the Jask-Gwadar section from 19 Daniell cells positive to 14.5 negative in about 10 minutes, and on the Jask-Bushire from 21 negative to 5 positive within the same time.

Many people regard the Aurora and earth currents in the light of cause and effect, but this is altogether a fallacy, for, to whatever influence they must be attributed, it is certain they are both the products of one and the same agency. Whether this be a purely electrical one, as suggested by De la Rive, or magneto electric as advanced by Humbolt, is as yet undecided, although the balance of belief inclines greatly to the latter hypothesis. De la Rive considers the Aurora as due to the discharges which take place in polar regions between the positive electricity of the upper atmosphere and the negative electricity of the earth and the stratum of air in connection with it, the earth currents being caused by differences in the electrical state of the earth consequent on these discharges. If this be a correct explanation the Aurora can hardly be said to be a distinct phenomenon from lighting. It seems to me that being identical in the manner of their formation &c, the one should be as universal as the other. Late experiments however have failed to sustain the electrical theory, inasmuch as during the finest Auroras the most sensitive electrosopes have not been affected, while the magnetic needle has always undergone very sensible, and at times considerable variations.

It is now generally admitted that all these abnormal variations of the needle and, indirectly, Aurora and earth currents are the effects of a disturbance of terrestrial magnetism a disturbance which is connected in some as yet unexplained way with the sun's spots. Humboldt's theory induces a like disturbance of the electrical equilibrium, and that it is the electricities of the earth and of the atmosphere so disturbed, which, in their efforts to re establish a balance, produce earth currents on the one hand and Aurora on the other.

In what manner the number and magnitude of the spots on the sun operate in producing magnetic storms has not been clearly proved up to the present philosophers have only succeeded in establishing the fact that these spots and the magnetic and electric perturbations of our earth increase side by side by annual increments and attain their maxima at the same time every ten years or thereabouts. This remarkable coincidence indicates that if the sun or his spots be not the first and great cause of magnetic storms there is at all events reason to suspect a close connection between them.

If we accept this theory I think it is easy to explain why Auroras al-

ways appear at the magnetic poles : thus we know the intensity of the earth's magnetism is there greatest : at these points therefore the solar influence exerts itself in greatest force and produces the greatest amount of magnetic disturbance. This in its turn induces the greatest electrical disturbance at and around the poles and thus gives rise to those magnificent displays.

The question of earth currents as forming part of the important subject of magnetic storms has for many years past engaged a great share of attention at most (if not all) of the observatories in Europe. Special wires have been erected for the Greenwich observatory with sensitive galvanometers in circuit, the variations of whose magnetic needles are daily recorded in all their *minutiæ* by the aid of photography. I am not aware whether the Colaba Institution is similarly provided. If not, no time should be lost in properly representing the matter to Government. Valuable opportunities are every day wasted : for if it be true that temperature by expanding the atmosphere has something to do in disturbing the earth's electrical equilibrium, in other words, in producing earth currents, it is obviously in such situations as Bombay, Calcutta, and Madras, that this conjecture can be best subjected to direct test. At all events much information would be acquired, which in time would probably tend to the elucidation of the true cause of these phenomena and of the laws that govern them.

No LVII.

[Vide Plates Nos. LI and LII.]

USEFUL RULES AND TABLES FOR TIMBERING OF
FLAT ROOFSBy MAJOR W H MICKLEY, B.S.C., F.G.S., Assoc Inst C.E.,
Executive Engineer

I had at first intended bringing out a set of tables for the scantlings of beams, rafters &c, of various kinds of timber under such loads as occur in Indian practice, but as I found that the work would have taken up more time than I could spare for the purpose the intention was given up. The following rules and tables will be found of great use in calculating the scantlings of beams, as in every case the paper work is reduced to simple multiplication and division. I have used the tables myself for a considerable time, and the constants for deflection and strength for many years. There are possibly errors in the tables, although every precaution for securing accuracy has been adopted, and if any such are noticed, I shall be glad if they are pointed out. The constants have been calculated for sal, teak, deodar and fir, a few blank lines are left in each table which will allow of constants for other timbers being inserted in manuscript if desired. The value of E , I have taken for deodar as 2,500, that adopted in the Hoorkee Treatise is 3,565. This is I am convinced much too large. Experiments carried on at Mairree* (in I think 1856), gave E , from 2,200 to 3,200, observations of existing flat roofs seems to show that 2,500 is about a suitable value to adopt. Harkine gives E , for cedar of Leb non (supposed to be the same tree as the deodar) at 486,000, which reduced to the Hoorkee E , $\approx 1,125$

* Report on Section and Fracture of deodar and fir woods, Robertson and Henderson

All experiments to determine E_d , have been (at least in the case of Indian woods), carried out with small pieces; and it is very desirable that its value should be determined from experiments on timbers of large size. This might be done without expense by observation of the actual deflection of the timbers of flat roofs. It would be a simple matter to score a straight line at each side of one or more beams of each roof before erection, marking with a small nail or screw or otherwise the point over the centre of each wall plate, and the centre of the beam. The deflection could then be measured with sufficient accuracy after erection, by stretching a fine line over the extreme screws. It is also a question whether a greater deflection than one-fortieth of an inch per foot of span might not be allowed for flat roofs. This in the case of a 24 feet span roof would be $\frac{6}{10}$ of an inch, an almost imperceptible amount. Observations on the actual deflections of the timbers of existing flat roofs which are in a satisfactory condition would be valuable. The question is important in an economical point of view.

RULES AND TABLES.

From the well known equations for the strength and central deflection of horizontal rectangular wooden beams supported at each end, and uniformly loaded, viz. :—

$$\delta = \frac{5}{32} \cdot \frac{Wl^3}{bd^3 E_t}, \dots\dots\dots (1).$$

$$W = \frac{4bd^3 \cdot f}{3l}, \dots\dots\dots (2).$$

where

δ = central deflection in inches.

W = uniformly distributed load in pounds.

l, b, d = length between supports, breadth and depth all in inches.

E_t = co-efficient of elasticity = $\frac{1728 E_d}{4}$, (E_d , being the co-efficient used in the Roorkee Treatise).

f = the co-efficient of transverse strength = $18 p$ (p being the co-efficient used in the Roorkee Treatise).

s = the factor of safety (taken = 10).

we readily obtain by putting.

$$\delta = \frac{l}{480}, w = \text{load in pounds per running foot of span, } L = \text{length}$$

in feet, r = ratio of depth to breadth = $d - b$, C_b , C_d , c , c_a constants given in the following tables —

- b or $d = (C_b \text{ or } C_d) \times \sqrt[4]{wL^3} \dots \dots \dots (3) \text{ from (1).}$
 b or $d = (c_b \text{ or } c_d) \times \sqrt[3]{wL^3} \dots \dots \dots (4) \text{ from (2).}$
 In (3) $\left(\sqrt[4]{\frac{1}{s}} \text{ or } \sqrt[4]{\frac{r}{s}} \right) \times \sqrt[4]{\frac{L_d}{2c}}$ and
 in (4) $\left(\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{s}} \text{ or } \sqrt[3]{\frac{r}{s}} \right) \times \sqrt[3]{\frac{L_d}{2c}}$

Thus both the deflection and strength formulas are each broken up into three factors

C or c , depending on the kind of timber, and the ratio of depth to breadth selected in any particular case

$\sqrt[4]{\frac{1}{s}}$ or $\sqrt[4]{\frac{r}{s}}$, depending on the span
 $\frac{1}{L}$ or $\frac{w}{1}$ load per running foot of the beam.

Values of these factors for each formula will be found in the following Tables for the loads, spans, and ratios of depth to breadth of ordinary occurrence

If it is desired to limit the central deflection of a proposed beam to $\frac{1}{8}$ inch per foot of span

$$(b \text{ or } d) = (C_b \text{ or } C_d) \times \sqrt[4]{\frac{1}{s}} - \frac{\sqrt[4]{w}}{1} \text{ from (3)}$$

and if the beam is to be strong enough to be at the point of fracture under 10 times the proposed load

$$(b \text{ or } d) = (c_b \text{ or } c_d) \times \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{s}} - \frac{\sqrt[3]{w}}{1} \text{ from (4)}$$

In permanent structures it is usual and desirable to fix the scantlings of the beams and rafters with reference both to stiffness and strength,

making use of both formulae, and adopting the larger result. The following diagram shows at a glance whether in any particular case the deflection or strength formula would give the larger scantling, thus saving the designer the trouble of making the double calculation. The diagram exhibits

the span for each unit load at which either formula gives the same scantling, and for a greater span the deflection formula gives the larger scant-

ling

The following formula has been used in constructing the diagrams

Since the required span for any unit load is that for which d from (3) = d from (4); we have—

$$\sqrt[4]{r} \times \sqrt{\frac{25}{E_d}} \times \sqrt[4]{w L^3} = \sqrt[3]{r} \times \sqrt[3]{\frac{10}{2p}} \times \sqrt[3]{w L^3}$$

$$\text{Whence } L = \frac{E^3}{p^4} \times \frac{r w}{25} \dots\dots\dots (5).$$

In the case of a cylindrical beam $r = \frac{16}{3\pi} = 1.698$. The value of $\frac{E^3}{p^4}$ is to be calculated for the kind of timber required, then find the value of $\frac{r w}{25}$ for each value of r in ordinary use, putting $w = 1,000$; and lastly set off the several values of L at 1,000 lbs. on the diagram, drawing straight lines to 0 lbs. The ordinate at each intermediate load will give the required span for that load.

A series of examples of the use of the diagram and tables will be found in note A.

The formulæ (3) and (4) are made applicable to the case of angle beams or other beams similarly loaded, by taking $w =$ the average load per running foot on the beam, and proceeding as if w thus found were a uniform unit load. (A proof will be found in Note B; the rule is strictly speaking approximate, but is near enough to the truth for all practical purposes).

In designing a flat roof, the spacing of the main beams is often fixed by the position of the openings, size of the available timber, &c.; when this is not the case, it is worth while to adopt the spacing which involves the least possible expenditure of timber. This point is investigated in Note C, with the following results.

When the roof covering is to be carried by rafters or bullies of certain fixed dimensions, it is self-evident that the most economical arrangement is to space the beams at the distance which the rafters can safely span, which call S . If the beams are to be placed nearer together than this distance, the waste of timber may be found as follows:—

put $A =$ content of one beam per running foot of a roof for spacing S .
 „ $B =$ „ „ „ „ „ „ S_1 .

$$\text{then } B = A \sqrt{\frac{S}{S_1}} \dots\dots\dots (6).$$

Example —A flat roof is to be carried by bullies which may have a bearing up to 10 feet, and it is proposed to place the main beams 5 feet apart, what waste will be caused by this arrangement?

$$\text{Put } A = \text{unity, } B = \sqrt{\frac{10}{6}} = 1.414,$$

or 1 per cent. more lumber will be used in the main beams if spaced at 5 feet, than if a 10 foot spacing were adopted.

When as is usually the case in permanent buildings, the exact scanning of the letters is not fixed beforehand. Calling the most economical spacing, S in fact

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| " | the spin or bearing of the main beams, | " |
| " | the ratio of depth to breadth fixed for the rafters | " |

[illegible]

derived from the deflection formula. If the cost per cubic foot of the beams is A inches.

[illegible]
$$S = 577 L_{\odot} \times \left(\frac{R}{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left(\frac{a}{A}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \dots \dots \dots (7) B$$

1. Երկրորդ հարցը վերաբերում է Երևանի քաղաքի և Մարտիրոսի շրջանի համայնքների միջև կապերի ամրապնդմանը, ինչպես նաև համայնքների միջև կապերի ամրապնդմանը, ինչպես նաև համայնքների միջև կապերի ամրապնդմանը:

From formula (7) A.

| Values of h and r | | Span in feet. | | | | |
|-----------------------|----|---------------|----|----|----|----|
| 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |

It will be observed that the above spacings are independent of the unit

A certain minimum section of timber is however always adopted in practice, usually 3" x 3" in ordinary flat roofs. The maximum bearing load on the beams.


TIMBERING OF FLAT ROOFS.

DIAGRAMS

Showing span for each unit load at which deflection and strength formulae give the same result p (see text)


$$\text{Teak, } \frac{F_s^2}{E_s^2} = \frac{(3810)^2}{(814)^2} = 1289 \quad \frac{20}{1289 \times 1000} = 5.168$$

$$\text{SIL, } \frac{F_s^2}{E_s^2} = \frac{(4963)^2}{(880)^2} = 204 \quad \frac{20}{204 \times 1000} = 9.154$$



$$\text{Teak, } \frac{F_s^2}{E_s^2} = \frac{(400)^2}{(600)^2} = 49 \quad \frac{25}{49 \times 1000} = 19.76$$

$$\text{Dead wt, } \frac{F_s^2}{E_s^2} = \frac{(200)^2}{(600)^2} = 25 \quad \frac{25}{25 \times 1000} = 10$$



DIRECTIONS FOR USE.

If the intersection of the load and span lines falls below the diagonal corresponding to p , use the strength formulae; if above use the deflection formulae. Half the unit loads greater than 1000 lbs., and double the span cut $\frac{1}{2}$.

The diagrams are constructed only for a deflection of $\frac{1}{8}$ in h per foot of span, and factor of safety 10, and cannot be used for other factors or

Span of roof of building

Load per foot of span

$$\delta = \frac{5}{8} \cdot \frac{150 \times (12)^4}{4.35 \times (6.5)^3 \times 1000} = \text{nearly } .105,$$

the required deflection being $\frac{12}{30} = .4$.

A cedar bullie for a mud roof of 10 feet span is loaded with 100 lbs. per running foot, required its girth, factor of safety being fixed at $7\frac{1}{2}$.

$$w_1 = \frac{100 \times 7.5}{10} = 75$$

$$g = \frac{.808 \times 4.642}{.237} = 16 \text{ inches.}$$

The same, factor of safety being 10,

$$g = \frac{.808 \times 4.642}{.216} = 17.5 \text{ inches.}$$

The same, deflection not to exceed $\frac{1}{40}$ th per foot,

$$g = \frac{1.135 \times 5.623}{.316} = 20.3 \text{ inches.}$$

Note.—Bullies usually taper, but if placed head and butt, the strength of each pair will be greater than if perfect cylinders of girth equal to the central girth of the bullies were placed side by side.

For if d be the diameter of each bullie at the centre, and d_1 be the difference between the diameters of the ends, then at any point distant x from the centre, the diameter $= d \pm \frac{d_1 x}{l} = \frac{d l \pm d_1 x}{l}$, and the sum of the moments of rupture of the pair of bullies at any point x varies as $2d^3 + \frac{6 \cdot d \cdot d_1^2 \cdot x^2}{l^2}$, but the sum of the moments of rupture of the pair of cylinders at any point varies as $2d^3$, and hence the strength of the pair of bullies is always the greater. It is also manifest that the *stiffness* of the pair of bullies will be greater.

In flat mud roofs or whenever bullies are used as rafters, the bullies should therefore always be placed head and butt.

An angle beam for a 12 foot verandah carries a flat roof 120 lbs. weight per superficial foot, on burgahs, to be of sál, $r = \frac{3}{2}$ (the verandahs meet at right angles). The load from the central pair of burgahs evidently equals $6 \times 120 = 720$ lbs., and the space between the burgahs from centre to centre along the beam $= 1.42$ feet, hence the average load per running foot of angle beam $= \frac{720}{1.42} = 510$ lbs., and the bearing of the beam $= 17$ feet. Reference to the diagram shows that the "deflection" formula should be used,

$$\therefore d = \frac{.291 \times 8.372}{.210} = 11.7, \text{ and } b = 9.85.$$

$$EI \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{w}{3L} \left(\frac{x^4}{4} - \frac{L^2 x^2}{2} + C_1 \right)$$

$$EI y = \frac{w}{3L} \left(\frac{x^5}{20} - \frac{L^2 x^3}{6} + C_1 x + C_2 \right)$$

$$C_2 = 0, \text{ for when } x = 0, y = 0,$$

$$\text{again when } x = L, y = 0$$

$$\therefore 0 = \frac{L^5}{20} - \frac{L^4}{6} + C_1 \therefore C_1 = \frac{7L^4}{60}$$

$$\therefore EI y = \frac{w}{3L} \left(\frac{x^5}{20} - \frac{L^2 x^3}{6} + \frac{7L^4 x}{60} \right) \dots\dots\dots (A).$$

which is the equation to the deflection curve. To find the value of x which makes y a maximum

$$u = \frac{x^5}{20} - \frac{L^2 x^3}{6} + \frac{7L^4 x}{60}$$

$$\frac{du}{dx} = \frac{x^4}{4} - \frac{L^2 x^2}{2} + \frac{7L^4}{60} = 0, \therefore x = \pm L \sqrt{1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{8}{15}}}$$

$$= L \times .519328$$

$$\text{putting } \Delta = \sqrt{1 - \sqrt{\frac{8}{15}}}, x = \Delta L$$

substituting in (A)

$$EI y = \frac{w}{3L} \left(\frac{\Delta^5 L^5}{20} - \frac{\Delta^3 L^5}{6} + \frac{7 \Delta L^5}{60} \right) = w L^4 \times \frac{2.347}{180}$$

$$y = \frac{w L^4}{E b d^3} \times \frac{12 \times 2.347981}{180} = \frac{w L^4}{E b d^3} \times \frac{5.009}{32} \dots\dots\dots (9).$$

The maximum deflection of a similar beam under a uniform unit load

$$= w \text{ is known to be } = \frac{w L^4}{E b d^3} \times \frac{5}{32}$$

which differs but slightly from (9).

NOTE C.

From the formula for deflection, we have—

$$b = \sqrt[4]{\frac{1}{r^3}} \times \sqrt[4]{\frac{25}{E_d}} \times \sqrt[4]{w_1 L^3}$$

$$d = \sqrt[4]{r} \times \sqrt[4]{\frac{25}{E_d}} \times \sqrt[4]{w_1 L^3}$$

\therefore content of a beam in terms of its length and load

$$= b d L = \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{2}}} \times \left(\frac{25}{E_d} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times w_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \times L^{\frac{5}{2}}$$

$$\text{or } \frac{c w_1^{\frac{1}{2}} L^{\frac{5}{2}}}{r^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\text{writing } c = \left(\frac{25}{E_d} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \dots\dots\dots (B).$$

If l = the space between two adjoining beams, or the unsupported length of a burgh, and if the burghs be uniformly loaded with w pounds per running foot, the distance from centre to centre of each burgh being one foot, the foot load on each beam from a pair of burghs, one at each side = $w, = w$ ■

If first the lumber in the burlaps be not taken into consideration

$$(B) \quad A = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Content of a beam per running} \\ \text{foot of roof for spacing} \approx S \end{array} \right\} = \frac{C S_1^{1/2} w^{1/2} L_1^{1/2}}{L_1^{1/2}} \times \frac{1}{L_1^{1/2}} \text{ from}$$

Second, taking the burgahs into consideration,—as before, unit load on each burgah = w , and from (B) we have content of one burgah = $\frac{w}{\text{cr} \frac{1}{2} \text{S}^2}$ and as the number of burgahs in each bay = L , if we assume the length of the roof to be infinite, we have contents per running foot of roof,

$$\text{For the burgals} = \frac{S^{\frac{1}{2}} L}{S^{\frac{1}{2}} L} = \frac{S^{\frac{1}{2}}}{L} = \frac{S^{\frac{1}{2}}}{L} = \frac{S^{\frac{1}{2}}}{L}$$

For the content of a beam per running foot of roof, we have, since the unit load on a beam = wS , and writing R for $\frac{S}{d}$,

$$BS^{-1} = \frac{R^{\dagger} S^{\dagger}}{c^{\dagger} L^{\dagger}} = \frac{R^{\dagger} S}{c^{\dagger} L^{\dagger} L} = BS^{-1}$$

and therefore the total quantity of timber per running foot

$$n = BS^{\dagger} + BS^{-1} = n$$

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{dS}{dt} \right) = 0 \therefore \frac{dS}{dt} = 0$$

11 02 2014 10:00:00 11 02 2014 10:00:00

$$r\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \times \frac{1}{r} \times I_2 = \frac{I_1}{r} \times \frac{1}{I_1} = S_1$$

$$S = L^{\frac{2}{3}} \times .577 \times \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \dots\dots\dots (7) A.$$

A certain spacing having been assumed to ascertain the saving or excess caused by any deviation therefrom, call the content per running foot of roof corresponding to spacings S and S_1 , respectively A and B , then we have—

$$\frac{cw^{\frac{1}{2}} L}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}} S^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{cw^{\frac{1}{2}} L^{\frac{5}{3}}}{R^{\frac{1}{3}}} S^{-\frac{1}{3}} = \frac{cw^{\frac{1}{2}} L}{S^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\frac{S^2}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{L^{\frac{2}{3}}}{R^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right) = A$$

$$B = \frac{cw^{\frac{1}{2}} L}{S_1^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\frac{S_1^2}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{L^{\frac{2}{3}}}{R^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right)$$

$$\text{whence } \frac{B}{A} = \frac{R^{\frac{1}{3}} S_1^2 + L^{\frac{2}{3}} r^{\frac{3}{2}}}{R^{\frac{1}{3}} S^2 + L^{\frac{2}{3}} r^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\frac{S}{S_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \dots\dots\dots (10).$$

if $R = r$, this becomes

$$\frac{B}{A} = \frac{S_1^2 + L^{\frac{2}{3}}}{S^2 + L^{\frac{2}{3}}} \left(\frac{S}{S_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \dots\dots\dots (11).$$

say for a 20 foot span, $S = 5$, and $S_1 = 7$.

$$\frac{B}{A} = \frac{49 + 89}{25 + 89} \times .85 = 1.13, \text{ or a waste to the amount of 13 per cent.}$$

would be caused by spacing the beams at 7 instead of 5 feet.

If S_1 be made 10

$$\frac{B}{A} = \frac{100 + 89}{25 + 89} \times .71 = 1.17, \text{ the waste in this case would be 17 per cent.}$$

NOTE D.

To find the span beyond which beams and burgahs should be used instead of kurries. The limitation caused by the desirability of using a minimum section of burgah must be taken into account, call this minimum section A , and spacing at which this section is stiff enough for the proposed load S .

* If the rate per cubic foot of the timber for the beams is greater than that for the burgahs. Call the rate per foot for the beams V , and the rate per foot for the burgahs v , then the formula for the most economical spacing as regards cost is

$$S = .577 L^{\frac{2}{3}} \times \sqrt[4]{\frac{r}{R}} \times \sqrt{\frac{V}{v}} \dots\dots\dots (7) B.$$

Thus if the timber be sál, rate for large scantlings Rs. 4, and for small Rs. 3.

$$\sqrt{\frac{V}{v}} = \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}} = 1.155, \text{ and for a 24 foot span } (R = r) \text{ the most economical spacing would be } 6.25 \times 1.155 = 7.22 \text{ feet.}$$

Then we have, ■ being the required span,
 $Asz = \text{content of the paragraphs for one day,}$

$$= \frac{H}{S \omega}$$

“ ”

$$= \frac{F^2}{S_{\text{H}}^2 S_{\text{H}}^2 S_{\text{H}}^2}$$

knives with which the above might

be replaced (spaced 1 foot from centre to centre.

$$\frac{R}{S} = \frac{R}{S} + \Delta S$$

$$\text{whence } x = \sqrt{\frac{(E_0 A^2 S R^2)}{23 w \cdot (S R + r - 2 \sqrt{S R r})}} \quad (12) \Delta.$$

$$\text{or if } R = r = \infty \quad \frac{E_d A^2 S R}{\sqrt[25]{(S+1) - \sqrt{S}}} \dots (18) \text{ A.}$$

If the cost per cubic foot of the lumber in the beams = Δ ,

and " " " " "

$$x = \sqrt[25]{\frac{E_0 v' A' S_H}{v^2 S_R + v'^2 - 2vv' \sqrt{S_R S_H}}} \dots (12) \text{ B.}$$

$$x = \sqrt{\frac{E_0 V_0 S R}{25 \omega (V_0 S + V_0 - 2V_0 \sqrt{S})}} \quad (18) \quad B$$

NOTE E.

There is another method of calculating scanlings for permanent structures, (brought to notice for the first time in Professional Papers No. 1 [Second Series] for July 1871), that is to substitute the strength formula (6 or d)

$$T_0 \times \frac{d\theta}{s} \times \left(\frac{1}{T_0} \right) =$$

such a value of s (the factor of safety) that the deflection under the proposed load may not exceed $\frac{1}{8}$ inch per foot of span. Equating the depths obtained under similar conditions of load and span from formulae (3) and (4) the following result is obtained:

$$\left(m \times \frac{R_2}{25} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times L_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(r \times \frac{1}{25} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times L_3^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ whence}$$

and the following result is obtained

[illegible]

This is the same result as that arrived at in equation (iii), page 217 1st Volume of the Roorkee Treatise on Civil Engineering (2nd Edition); (if s be written for n , and w for sw this will be evident).

The strength formula as written above, is not in a convenient form for practical use with varying values of s ; and must be changed into the form of formula (ii), $bd^3 = wL^2 \cdot \frac{s}{2p}$. The equation for s may be broken up into four factors.

(a). $\left(\frac{25}{E_d}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \times 2p$ depending on the kind of wood.

(b). $\sqrt[4]{L}$ depending on the span.

(c). $\sqrt[4]{w}$ „ „ load per running foot of the beam.

(d). $\sqrt[4]{r}$ „ „ relation of breadth to depth fixed upon.

Values of these for several kinds of wood, and for the loads and spans in ordinary use are tabulated below

$$S = \frac{(a) \cdot (b)}{(c) \cdot (d)}$$

Tabular numbers for wood selected.

| Wood. | Sál. | Teak. | Deodar.* | Fir. |
|---|--------|--------|----------------------|--------|
| (a) values of $\left(\frac{25}{E_d}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \times 2p =$ | 33.663 | 37.313 | 31.623 A 27.581 B | 26.742 |

Tabular number for span.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| (b) $\sqrt[4]{L} =$ | 1.000 | 1.188 | 1.316 | 1.414 | 1.494 | 1.563 | 1.625 | 1.680 | 1.732 | 1.780 | 1.820 | 1.861 | 1.898 | 1.934 | 1.968 | 2.000 | 2.030 | 2.060 | 2.087 | 2.115 | 2.141 | 2.166 | 2.189 | 2.213 | 2.236 |
| $L =$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |

Tabular number for load.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| (c) $\sqrt[4]{w} =$ | 2.236 | 2.661 | 2.943 | 3.162 | 3.345 | 3.500 | 3.622 | 3.762 | 3.976 | 4.162 | 4.324 | 4.472 | 4.605 | 4.729 | 4.849 | 5.143 | 5.318 | 5.477 | 5.624 | 5.886 | 6.116 | 6.324 | 6.514 | 6.688 |
| $w =$ | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | 125 | 150 | 200 | 250 | 300 | 350 | 400 | 450 | 500 | 600 | 700 | 800 | 900 | 1000 | 1200 | 1400 | 1600 | 1800 | 2000 | |

Tabular number for the ratio of depth to breadth selected.

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| $\frac{d}{b} = r =$ | 1 | $\frac{5}{4}$ | $\frac{4}{3}$ | $\frac{7}{5}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{5}{3}$ | $\frac{7}{4}$ | $\frac{9}{5}$ | $\frac{15}{8}$ | $\frac{2}{1}$ |
| (d) $\sqrt[4]{r_1} =$ | 1 | 1.058 | 1.075 | 1.088 | 1.109 | 1.137 | 1.149 | 1.159 | 1.170 | 1.187 |

* E_d taken at 2,500 for A, and 3,000 for B.

No LVIII.

WIRE-ROPE-WAY FOR MOUNTAIN TRAFFIC.

"Suggestions for an economical plan for carriage of goods from the Plains to Hill Stations."

IN an article in the July number of the "*Papers*," entitled the "*Mountain Tramway*," it is proposed to utilize the power existing in the downward rush of hill streams for propelling traffic upwards on an Inclined Tramway.

The idea is certainly an ingenious one, but there are many reasons opposed to its being carried into practice.

For working up goods from the foot of the hills to the Hill Stations, the plan described below appears to me a much simpler and more practical one, the motive power being always ready to one hands, and in unfailing quantities. The principal feature in this plan is the utilization of the stored up power existing in the material composing the hill tops, which might be used in conjunction with a Wire-rope-way, suspended between prominent points from the hill tops to the plains.

Take for example Mussoorie, which is the only hill station of which I have had any experience. The most convenient sites for the end stations having been fixed, prominent points 1,500 to 2,500 feet apart should be chosen, on the shortest available route, which I estimate, would be about four miles. About nine intermediate stations would therefore be required; at each station there should be a wheel firmly fixed in a vertical axis, about 4 feet diameter with a deep groove on the outside. At each intermediate station there should be two such wheels. From station to station, there should be working round the wheels an endless wire rope, of the strongest construction and able to bear about 6 maunds within a margin

of safety The action of the rope and wheels from station to station should be quite independent each of the other, by which the possibility of accidents would not only be lessened, but the damage done, if one were to happen, would be localised, and therefore reduced to a minimum The goods should be carried in large boxes or crates suspended from the end-
less ropes

We will suppose that the way, bobs, &c, are all ready, with a box at each station loaded up with stones or gravel, and that it has been found by experiment what weight of goods in a box at the lower wheel, a box loaded with a fixed quantity of stones at the upper wheel, between any two stations, is able to pull up The goods being loaded at the lower station, the man then loosens the rope, and away they go to the second station, when it is unhooked and fastened on to the second rope, and so on up to the top

There should be a brake worked by a "governor" on each upper wheel, to regulate the speed This and other details for return goods require working out of course, but the above is a simple sketch of the proposed plan, which with modifications might be suited to other localities besides the one alluded to

The cost of a line of wire way with all the necessary apparatus similar to that above described, from Rajpoot to Mussoorie, would be, from a rough estimation I have made, about Rs 12,250

The cost of working it would be Rs 21 per day, allowing for superintendence at the rate of Rs 300 per month

The present charge for goods from Rajpoot to Mussoorie is 7 annas per manud, which rate I would lower to 4 annas per manud allowing for 200 manuds per day for six months in the year only, the yearly income at this rate will be, (after deducting working expenses) Rs 5,220, without taking any credit for return goods This would be between 40 and 50 per cent, taking what it must be allowed is a very moderate view of the possible earnings A single way as above, would be capable of carrying 360 manuds daily, and the working expenses would not be appreciably increased, in full work it would therefore pay cent per cent

In the transport of commissariat stores to the hill stations, a great saving might be caused by the adoption of the above plan, or a modification of it The idea of a wire way is not original, and suggested the above plan to me

No. LIX.

EARTHWORK ESTIMATES.

[Vide Plate No. LIII.]

BY CAPTAIN A. M. BRANDRETH, R.E.

THE following plan may be useful. The given quantities of a section of channel are as below. Opposite are put the letters taken to represent them in the general case, and figures in the example given.

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|----------------|----------|
| Bottom width, | | B | 50 |
| Road width right in excavation, | | B ₁ | 20 |
| " " left | | B ₂ | 10 |
| Bank top width, right, | | B ₃ | 25 |
| " " left, | | B ₄ | 15 |
| Side slope of cutting, | | S | 1 to 1 |
| " " bank, | | S ₁ | 1.5 to 1 |
| Height of road over bed, | | D | 8 |
| Depth at which cutting equals bank, | | E | 4.25 |
| Distance between stations, | | L | 1000 |



Then if a , b , c , be the depths of digging at the several stations, the cross section at a will be

$Ba + Sa^2 + (B_1 + B_2) (a - D)$, and similarly where depth is b .
 $Bb + Sb^2 + (B_1 + B_2) (b - D)$, similarly for c , and so on, and the whole content being the sum of half the first and last section, and all the others, multiplied by the common length will be



$$\begin{aligned}
 & L \cdot B \cdot \left(\frac{a}{2} + b + c + \dots + y + \frac{z}{2} \right) \\
 & + S \cdot \left(\frac{a^2}{2} + b^2 + c^2 + \dots + y^2 + \frac{z^2}{2} \right) \\
 & + L (B_1 + B_2) \left\{ \left(\frac{m}{2} + n + \dots + r + \frac{s}{2} \right) - (n-1)D \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

EARTHWORK ESTIMATES.


TRIAL SECTIONS.



CROSS SECTIONS.



LONGITUDINAL SECTIONS.



No. LIX.

EARTHWORK ESTIMATES.

[Vide *Plate No. LIII*]

BY CAPTAIN A. M. BRANDRETH, R.E.

THE following plan may be useful. The given quantities of a section of channel are as below. Opposite are put the letters taken to represent them in the general case, and figures in the example given.

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|----------------|----------|
| Bottom width, | | B | 50 |
| Road width right in excavation, | | B ₁ | 20 |
| " " left | | B ₂ | 10 |
| Bank top width, right, | | B ₃ | 25 |
| " " left, | | B ₄ | 15 |
| Side slope of cutting, | | S | 1 to 1 |
| " " bank, | | S ₁ | 1.5 to 1 |
| Height of road over bed, | | D | 8 |
| Depth at which cutting equals bank, | | E | 4.25 |
| Distance between stations, | | L | 1000 |

Then if a, b, c , be the depths of digging at the several stations, the cross section at a will be

$Ba + Sa^2 + (B_1 + B_2)(a - D)$, and similarly where depth is b .
 $Bb + Sb^2 + (B_1 + B_2)(b - D)$, similarly for c , and so on, and the whole content being the sum of half the first and last section, and all the others, multiplied by the common length will be

$$\begin{aligned}
 & L \cdot B \cdot \left(\frac{a}{2} + b + c + \dots + y + \frac{z}{2} \right) \\
 & + S \cdot \left(\frac{a^2}{2} + b^2 + c^2 + \dots + y^2 + \frac{z^2}{2} \right) \\
 & + L(B_1 + B_2) \left\{ \left(\frac{m}{2} + n + \dots + r + \frac{s}{2} \right) - (n-1)D \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

EARTHWORK ESTIMATES.

TRIAL SECTIONS.

Deeper






Shallower




General Rule



CROSS SECTIONS.



LONGITUDINAL SECTION.



Digging

where n is the number of terms in which the depth of digging is greater than D

When the depth of digging is less than D , the banks are in excess of the excavation, and must be calculated for the total quantity, the amount being also calculated, and the quantity subtracted from the total, to show the quantity that has to be dug from borrow pits

If a, b, c , be the height of the banks, then the area of the sections will be

$$(B_1 + B_2) a + 2 B_1 a^2$$

$$(B_2 + B_3) b + 2 B_2 b^2$$

$$\dots \dots \dots$$

$$L (B_1 + B_2) \left(\frac{1}{2} + b + \dots \dots \dots + y + \frac{z}{2} \right)$$

$$+ 2 L S_1 \left(\frac{a^2}{2} + b^2 + \dots \dots \dots + y^2 + \frac{z^2}{2} \right)$$

Call the five series, M, N, O, P , and V to shorten matters, the 10th is to find the value of these series, an example of 15 stations is given, and worked out, simple figures taken, but with Barlow's table of squares, it does not matter what they are, but one place of decimals should be used. No further calculation is required. The section is irregular, and the depth of in excess embankment. If the section is irregular, and the depth of digging near K for some time, so that it seems many sections of embankment are necessary, but the details of working will be given at a later stage.

The advantages claimed are facility of check. Given a definite number of work it out after by the 3rd, and only check in detail if necessary that are required. The centre point, and the 6th and 7th are all given separate, which is necessary for the 10th, 11th, 12th, 13th, 14th, 15th, and not give the details in each. In any case, the 10th, 11th, 12th, 13th, 14th, 15th, and 16th are given in a round series, and the 17th, 18th, 19th, 20th, 21st, 22nd, 23rd, 24th, 25th, 26th, 27th, 28th, 29th, 30th, 31st, 32nd, 33rd, 34th, 35th, 36th, 37th, 38th, 39th, 40th, 41st, 42nd, 43rd, 44th, 45th, 46th, 47th, 48th, 49th, 50th, 51st, 52nd, 53rd, 54th, 55th, 56th, 57th, 58th, 59th, 60th, 61st, 62nd, 63rd, 64th, 65th, 66th, 67th, 68th, 69th, 70th, 71st, 72nd, 73rd, 74th, 75th, 76th, 77th, 78th, 79th, 80th, 81st, 82nd, 83rd, 84th, 85th, 86th, 87th, 88th, 89th, 90th, 91st, 92nd, 93rd, 94th, 95th, 96th, 97th, 98th, 99th, 100th, 101st, 102nd, 103rd, 104th, 105th, 106th, 107th, 108th, 109th, 110th, 111th, 112th, 113th, 114th, 115th, 116th, 117th, 118th, 119th, 120th, 121st, 122nd, 123rd, 124th, 125th, 126th, 127th, 128th, 129th, 130th, 131st, 132nd, 133rd, 134th, 135th, 136th, 137th, 138th, 139th, 140th, 141st, 142nd, 143rd, 144th, 145th, 146th, 147th, 148th, 149th, 150th, 151st, 152nd, 153rd, 154th, 155th, 156th, 157th, 158th, 159th, 160th, 161st, 162nd, 163rd, 164th, 165th, 166th, 167th, 168th, 169th, 170th, 171st, 172nd, 173rd, 174th, 175th, 176th, 177th, 178th, 179th, 180th, 181st, 182nd, 183rd, 184th, 185th, 186th, 187th, 188th, 189th, 190th, 191st, 192nd, 193rd, 194th, 195th, 196th, 197th, 198th, 199th, 200th, 201st, 202nd, 203rd, 204th, 205th, 206th, 207th, 208th, 209th, 210th, 211st, 212nd, 213th, 214th, 215th, 216th, 217th, 218th, 219th, 220th, 221st, 222nd, 223rd, 224th, 225th, 226th, 227th, 228th, 229th, 230th, 231st, 232nd, 233rd, 234th, 235th, 236th, 237th, 238th, 239th, 240th, 241st, 242nd, 243rd, 244th, 245th, 246th, 247th, 248th, 249th, 250th, 251st, 252nd, 253rd, 254th, 255th, 256th, 257th, 258th, 259th, 260th, 261st, 262nd, 263rd, 264th, 265th, 266th, 267th, 268th, 269th, 270th, 271st, 272nd, 273rd, 274th, 275th, 276th, 277th, 278th, 279th, 280th, 281st, 282nd, 283rd, 284th, 285th, 286th, 287th, 288th, 289th, 290th, 291st, 292nd, 293rd, 294th, 295th, 296th, 297th, 298th, 299th, 300th, 301st, 302nd, 303rd, 304th, 305th, 306th, 307th, 308th, 309th, 310th, 311st, 312nd, 313th, 314th, 315th, 316th, 317th, 318th, 319th, 320th, 321st, 322nd, 323rd, 324th, 325th, 326th, 327th, 328th, 329th, 330th, 331st, 332nd, 333rd, 334th, 335th, 336th, 337th, 338th, 339th, 340th, 341st, 342nd, 343rd, 344th, 345th, 346th, 347th, 348th, 349th, 350th, 351st, 352nd, 353rd, 354th, 355th, 356th, 357th, 358th, 359th, 360th, 361st, 362nd, 363rd, 364th, 365th, 366th, 367th, 368th, 369th, 370th, 371st, 372nd, 373rd, 374th, 375th, 376th, 377th, 378th, 379th, 380th, 381st, 382nd, 383rd, 384th, 385th, 386th, 387th, 388th, 389th, 390th, 391st, 392nd, 393rd, 394th, 395th, 396th, 397th, 398th, 399th, 400th, 401st, 402nd, 403rd, 404th, 405th, 406th, 407th, 408th, 409th, 410th, 411st, 412nd, 413th, 414th, 415th, 416th, 417th, 418th, 419th, 420th, 421st, 422nd, 423rd, 424th, 425th, 426th, 427th, 428th, 429th, 430th, 431st, 432nd, 433rd, 434th, 435th, 436th, 437th, 438th, 439th, 440th, 441st, 442nd, 443rd, 444th, 445th, 446th, 447th, 448th, 449th, 450th, 451st, 452nd, 453rd, 454th, 455th, 456th, 457th, 458th, 459th, 460th, 461st, 462nd, 463rd, 464th, 465th, 466th, 467th, 468th, 469th, 470th, 471st, 472nd, 473rd, 474th, 475th, 476th, 477th, 478th, 479th, 480th, 481st, 482nd, 483rd, 484th, 485th, 486th, 487th, 488th, 489th, 490th, 491st, 492nd, 493rd, 494th, 495th, 496th, 497th, 498th, 499th, 500th, 501st, 502nd, 503rd, 504th, 505th, 506th, 507th, 508th, 509th, 510th, 511st, 512nd, 513th, 514th, 515th, 516th, 517th, 518th, 519th, 520th, 521st, 522nd, 523rd, 524th, 525th, 526th, 527th, 528th, 529th, 530th, 531st, 532nd, 533rd, 534th, 535th, 536th, 537th, 538th, 539th, 540th, 541st, 542nd, 543rd, 544th, 545th, 546th, 547th, 548th, 549th, 550th, 551st, 552nd, 553rd, 554th, 555th, 556th, 557th, 558th, 559th, 560th, 561st, 562nd, 563rd, 564th, 565th, 566th, 567th, 568th, 569th, 570th, 571st, 572nd, 573rd, 574th, 575th, 576th, 577th, 578th, 579th, 580th, 581st, 582nd, 583rd, 584th, 585th, 586th, 587th, 588th, 589th, 590th, 591st, 592nd, 593rd, 594th, 595th, 596th, 597th, 598th, 599th, 600th, 601st, 602nd, 603rd, 604th, 605th, 606th, 607th, 608th, 609th, 610th, 611st, 612nd, 613th, 614th, 615th, 616th, 617th, 618th, 619th, 620th, 621st, 622nd, 623rd, 624th, 625th, 626th, 627th, 628th, 629th, 630th, 631st, 632nd, 633rd, 634th, 635th, 636th, 637th, 638th, 639th, 640th, 641st, 642nd, 643rd, 644th, 645th, 646th, 647th, 648th, 649th, 650th, 651st, 652nd, 653rd, 654th, 655th, 656th, 657th, 658th, 659th, 660th, 661st, 662nd, 663rd, 664th, 665th, 666th, 667th, 668th, 669th, 670th, 671st, 672nd, 673rd, 674th, 675th, 676th, 677th, 678th, 679th, 680th, 681st, 682nd, 683rd, 684th, 685th, 686th, 687th, 688th, 689th, 690th, 691st, 692nd, 693rd, 694th, 695th, 696th, 697th, 698th, 699th, 700th, 701st, 702nd, 703rd, 704th, 705th, 706th, 707th, 708th, 709th, 710th, 711st, 712nd, 713th, 714th, 715th, 716th, 717th, 718th, 719th, 720th, 721st, 722nd, 723rd, 724th, 725th, 726th, 727th, 728th, 729th, 730th, 731st, 732nd, 733rd, 734th, 735th, 736th, 737th, 738th, 739th, 740th, 741st, 742nd, 743rd, 744th, 745th, 746th, 747th, 748th, 749th, 750th, 751st, 752nd, 753rd, 754th, 755th, 756th, 757th, 758th, 759th, 760th, 761st, 762nd, 763rd, 764th, 765th, 766th, 767th, 768th, 769th, 770th, 771st, 772nd, 773rd, 774th, 775th, 776th, 777th, 778th, 779th, 780th, 781st, 782nd, 783rd, 784th, 785th, 786th, 787th, 788th, 789th, 790th, 791st, 792nd, 793rd, 794th, 795th, 796th, 797th, 798th, 799th, 800th, 801st, 802nd, 803rd, 804th, 805th, 806th, 807th, 808th, 809th, 810th, 811st, 812nd, 813th, 814th, 815th, 816th, 817th, 818th, 819th, 820th, 821st, 822nd, 823rd, 824th, 825th, 826th, 827th, 828th, 829th, 830th, 831st, 832nd, 833rd, 834th, 835th, 836th, 837th, 838th, 839th, 840th, 841st, 842nd, 843rd, 844th, 845th, 846th, 847th, 848th, 849th, 850th, 851st, 852nd, 853rd, 854th, 855th, 856th, 857th, 858th, 859th, 860th, 861st, 862nd, 863rd, 864th, 865th, 866th, 867th, 868th, 869th, 870th, 871st, 872nd, 873rd, 874th, 875th, 876th, 877th, 878th, 879th, 880th, 881st, 882nd, 883rd, 884th, 885th, 886th, 887th, 888th, 889th, 890th, 891st, 892nd, 893rd, 894th, 895th, 896th, 897th, 898th, 899th, 900th, 901st, 902nd, 903rd, 904th, 905th, 906th, 907th, 908th, 909th, 910th, 911st, 912nd, 913th, 914th, 915th, 916th, 917th, 918th, 919th, 920th, 921st, 922nd, 923rd, 924th, 925th, 926th, 927th, 928th, 929th, 930th, 931st, 932nd, 933rd, 934th, 935th, 936th, 937th, 938th, 939th, 940th, 941st, 942nd, 943rd, 944th, 945th, 946th, 947th, 948th, 949th, 950th, 951st, 952nd, 953rd, 954th, 955th, 956th, 957th, 958th, 959th, 960th, 961st, 962nd, 963rd, 964th, 965th, 966th, 967th, 968th, 969th, 970th, 971st, 972nd, 973rd, 974th, 975th, 976th, 977th, 978th, 979th, 980th, 981st, 982nd, 983rd, 984th, 985th, 986th, 987th, 988th, 989th, 990th, 991st, 992nd, 993rd, 994th, 995th, 996th, 997th, 998th, 999th, 1000th, 1001st, 1002nd, 1003rd, 1004th, 1005th, 1006th, 1007th, 1008th, 1009th, 1010th, 1011st, 1012nd, 1013th, 1014th, 1015th, 1016th, 1017th, 1018th, 1019th, 1020th, 1021st, 1022nd, 1023rd, 1024th, 1025th, 1026th, 1027th, 1028th, 1029th, 1030th, 1031st, 1032nd, 1033rd, 1034th, 1035th, 1036th, 1037th, 1038th, 1039th, 1040th, 1041st, 1042nd, 1043rd, 1044th, 1045th, 1046th, 1047th, 1048th, 1049th, 1050th, 1051st, 1052nd, 1053rd, 1054th, 1055th, 1056th, 1057th, 1058th, 1059th, 1060th, 1061st, 1062nd, 1063rd, 1064th, 1065th, 1066th, 1067th, 1068th, 1069th, 1070th, 1071st, 1072nd, 1073rd, 1074th, 1075th, 1076th, 1077th, 1078th, 1079th, 1080th, 1081st, 1082nd, 1083rd, 1084th, 1085th, 1086th, 1087th, 1088th, 1089th, 1090th, 1091st, 1092nd, 1093rd, 1094th, 1095th, 1096th, 1097th, 1098th, 1099th, 1100th, 1101st, 1102nd, 1103rd, 1104th, 1105th, 1106th, 1107th, 1108th, 1109th, 1110th, 1111st, 1112nd, 1113th, 1114th, 1115th, 1116th, 1117th, 1118th, 1119th, 1120th, 1121st, 1122nd, 1123rd, 1124th, 1125th, 1126th, 1127th, 1128th, 1129th, 1130th, 1131st, 1132nd, 1133rd, 1134th, 1135th, 1136th, 1137th, 1138th, 1139th, 1140th, 1141st, 1142nd, 1143rd, 1144th, 1145th, 1146th, 1147th, 1148th, 1149th, 1150th, 1151st, 1152nd, 1153rd, 1154th, 1155th, 1156th, 1157th, 1158th, 1159th, 1160th, 1161st, 1162nd, 1163rd, 1164th, 1165th, 1166th, 1167th, 1168th, 1169th, 1170th, 1171st, 1172nd, 1173rd, 1174th, 1175th, 1176th, 1177th, 1178th, 1179th, 1180th, 1181st, 1182nd, 1183rd, 1184th, 1185th, 1186th, 1187th, 1188th, 1189th, 1190th, 1191st, 1192nd, 1193rd, 1194th, 1195th, 1196th, 1197th, 1198th, 1199th, 1200th, 1201st, 1202nd, 1203rd, 1204th, 1205th, 1206th, 1207th, 1208th, 1209th, 1210th, 1211st, 1212nd, 1213th, 1214th, 1215th, 1216th, 1217th, 1218th, 1219th, 1220th, 1221st, 1222nd, 1223rd, 1224th, 1225th, 1226th, 1227th, 1228th, 1229th, 1230th, 1231st, 1232nd, 1233rd, 1234th, 1235th, 1236th, 1237th, 1238th, 1239th, 1240th, 1241st, 1242nd, 1243rd, 1244th, 1245th, 1246th, 1247th, 1248th, 1249th, 1250th, 1251st, 1252nd, 1253rd, 1254th, 1255th, 1256th, 1257th, 1258th, 1259th, 1260th, 1261st, 1262nd, 1263rd, 1264th, 1265th, 1266th, 1267th, 1268th, 1269th, 1270th, 1271st, 1272nd, 1273rd, 1274th, 1275th, 1276th, 1277th, 1278th, 1279th, 1280th, 1281st, 1282nd, 1283rd, 1284th, 1285th, 1286th, 1287th, 1288th, 1289th, 1290th, 1291st, 1292nd, 1293rd, 1294th, 1295th, 1296th, 1297th, 1298th, 1299th, 1300th, 1301st, 1302nd, 1303rd, 1304th, 1305th, 1306th, 1307th, 1308th, 1309th, 1310th, 1311st, 1312nd, 1313th, 1314th, 1315th, 1316th, 1317th, 1318th, 1319th, 1320th, 1321st, 1322nd, 1323rd, 1324th, 1325th, 1326th, 1327th, 1328th, 1329th, 1330th, 1331st, 1332nd, 1333rd, 1334th, 1335th, 1336th, 1337th, 1338th, 1339th, 1340th, 1341st, 1342nd, 1343rd, 1344th, 1345th, 1346th, 1347th, 1348th, 1349th, 1350th, 1351st, 1352nd, 1353rd, 1354th, 1355th, 1356th, 1357th, 1358th, 1359th, 1360th, 1361st, 1362nd, 1363rd, 1364th, 1365th, 1366th, 1367th, 1368th, 1369th, 1370th, 1371st, 1372nd, 1373rd, 1374th, 1375th, 1376th, 1377th, 1378th, 1379th, 1380th, 1381st, 1382nd, 1383rd, 1384th, 1385th, 1386th, 1387th, 1388th, 1389th, 1390th, 1391st, 1392nd, 1393rd, 1394th, 1395th, 1396th, 1397th, 1398th, 1399th, 1400th, 1401st, 1402nd, 1403rd, 1404th, 1405th, 1406th, 1407th, 1408th, 1409th, 1410th, 1411st, 1412nd, 1413th, 1414th, 1415th, 1416th, 1417th, 1418th, 1419th, 1420th, 1421st, 1422nd, 1423rd, 1424th, 1425th, 1426th, 1427th, 1428th, 1429th, 1430th, 1431st, 1432nd, 1433rd, 1434th, 1435th, 1436th, 1437th, 1438th, 1439th, 1440th, 1441st, 1442nd, 1443rd, 1444th, 1445th, 1446th, 1447th, 1448th, 1449th, 1450th, 1451st, 1452nd, 1453rd, 1454th, 1455th, 1456th, 1457th, 1458th, 1459th, 1460th, 1461st, 1462nd, 1463rd, 1464th, 1465th, 1466th, 1467th, 1468th, 1469th, 1470th, 1471st, 1472nd, 1473rd, 1474th, 1475th, 1476th, 1477th, 1478th, 1479th, 1480th, 1481st, 1482nd, 1483rd, 1484th, 1485th, 1486th, 1487th, 1488th, 1489th, 1490th, 1491st, 1492nd, 1493rd, 1494th, 1495th, 1496th, 1497th, 1498th, 1499th, 1500th, 1501st, 1502nd, 1503rd, 1504th, 1505th, 1506th, 1507th, 1508th, 1509th, 1510th, 1511st, 1512nd, 1513th, 1514th, 1515th, 1516th, 1517th, 1518th, 1519th, 1520th, 1521st, 1522nd, 1523rd, 1524th, 1525th, 1526th, 1527th, 1528th, 1529th, 1530th, 1531st, 1532nd, 1533rd, 1534th, 1535th, 1536th, 1537th, 1538th, 1539th, 1540th, 1541st, 1542nd, 1543rd, 1544th, 1545th, 1546th, 1547th, 1548th, 1549th, 1550th, 1551st, 1552nd, 1553rd, 1554th, 1555th, 1556th, 1557th, 1558th, 1559th, 1560th, 1561st, 1562nd, 1563rd, 1564th, 1565th, 1566th, 1567th, 1568th, 1569th, 1570th, 1571st, 1572nd, 1573rd, 1574th, 1575th, 1576th, 1577th, 1578th, 1579th, 1580th, 1581st, 1582nd, 1583rd, 1584th, 1585th, 1586th, 1587th, 1588th, 1589th, 1590th, 1591st, 1592nd, 1593rd, 1594th, 1595th, 1596th, 1597th, 1598th, 1599th, 1600th, 1601st, 1602nd, 1603rd, 1604th, 1605th, 1606th, 1607th, 1608th, 1609th, 1610th, 1611st, 1612nd, 1613th, 1614th, 1615th, 1616th, 1617th, 1618th, 1619th, 1620th, 1621st, 1622nd, 1623rd, 1624th, 1625th, 1626th, 1627th, 1628th, 1629th, 1630th, 1631st, 1632nd, 1633rd, 1634th, 1635th, 1636th, 1637th, 1638th, 1639th, 1640th, 1641st, 1642nd, 1643rd, 1644th, 1645th, 1646th, 1647th, 1648th, 1649th, 1650th, 1651st, 1652nd, 1653rd, 1654th, 1655th, 1656th, 1657th, 1658th, 1659th, 1660th, 1661st, 1662nd, 1663rd, 1664th, 1665th, 1666th, 1667th, 1668th, 1669th, 1670th, 1671st, 1672nd, 1673rd, 1674th, 1675th, 1676th, 1677th, 1678th, 1679th, 1680th, 1681st, 1682nd, 1683rd, 1684th, 1685th, 1686th, 1687th, 1688th, 1689th, 1690th, 1691st, 1692nd, 1693rd, 1694th, 1695th, 1696th, 1697th, 1698th, 1699th, 1700th, 1701st, 1702nd, 1703rd, 1704th, 1705th, 1706th, 1707th, 1708th, 1709th, 1710th, 1711st, 1712nd, 1713th, 1714th, 1715th, 1716th, 1717th, 1718th, 1719th, 1720th, 1721st, 1722nd, 1723rd, 1724th, 1725th, 1726th, 1727th, 1728th, 1729th, 1730th, 1731st, 1732nd, 1733rd, 1734th, 1735th, 1736th, 1737th, 1738th, 1739th, 1740th, 1741st, 1742nd, 1743rd, 1744th, 1745th, 1746th, 1747th, 1748th, 1749th, 1750th, 1751st, 1752nd, 1753rd, 1754th, 1755th, 1756th, 1757th, 1758th, 1759th, 1760th, 1761st, 1762nd, 1763rd, 1764th, 1765th, 1766th, 1767th, 1768th, 1769th, 1770th, 1771st, 1772nd, 1773rd, 1774th, 1775th, 1776th, 1777th, 1778th, 1779th, 1780th, 1781st, 1782nd, 1783rd, 1784th, 1785th, 1786th, 1787th, 1788th, 1789th, 1790th, 1791st, 1792nd, 1793rd, 1794th, 1795th, 1796th, 1797th, 1798th, 1799th, 1800th, 1801st, 1802nd, 1803rd, 1804th, 1805th, 1806th, 1807th, 1808th, 1809th, 1810th, 1811st, 1812nd, 1

dotted as it is proposed to alter, *i. e.*, to raise or lower the bed x feet, then the extra or less in sides is $S \cdot x \cdot L \cdot M$, and the rest is $(B + Sx) \cdot n \cdot L$, or $(B - Sx) \cdot n \cdot L$, and as M is already known, the calculation is a very simple one. Thus to raise the bed in r each from station 5 to 11, by 2 feet $1 \times 2 \times 1000 \times 12$, gives the sides, and $(50 + 2) \times 2 \times 7 \times 1000$ the rest in excess, following same order as in general expression above.

If there are tow-paths, the difference for the length they are calculated for is $(B_1 + B_2) \cdot x$ multiplied by the length, but as the excavation for them will be longer or shorter than before, according as the bed is lowered or raised, the easiest plan will be to take out the quantity afresh from the estimate.

The whole complication, if there is any in above general case, is from the generality of the case. If as would be the case usually only the actual quantity was wanted, the excavation from 5 to 11 in example would not have been calculated at all. It should be a rule that applies equally to all earthwork estimates that the reduced levels should be entered to nearest tenth, and the half of an odd tenth should be the whole tenth above its accurate value.

An estimate for 44 miles of earthwork, taken out in detail to sections 100 feet apart, and two places decimals, was made out again by undersigned taking the digging to the nearest half foot at every 1000 feet only, and the difference was only 2 in 130, and that it is believed was an error in the detail estimate. The labor wasted in earthwork calculations is believed to be very considerable.

ESTIMATE OF CROSS SECTIONS

| No. of station. | Excavations. | | | Bank. | | Remarks. |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----|-----|-------|----------------|----------|
| | Depth of Ex- cavation | M. | N. | O. | Height of bank | |
| 1 | 12 | 6 | 72 | 6 | | |
| 2 | 10 | 10 | 100 | 10 | | |
| 3 | 10 | 6 | 100 | 10 | | |
| 4 | 6 | 2 | 36 | 0 | | |
| 5 | 4 | | 8 | | | |
| 6 | 36 | | 316 | 26 | | |
| 7 | 4 | 2 | 8 | | | |
| 8 | 2 | 2 | 4 | | | |
| 9 | -1 | .. | .. | | | |
| 10 | -2 | 2 | 4 | | | |
| 11 | 4 | 2 | 16 | 8 | | |
| 12 | 12 | | 40 | | | |
| 13 | 4 | | 8 | | | |
| 14 | 6 | 6 | 36 | | | |
| 15 | 8 | 6 | 64 | | | |
| | 8 | 4 | 32 | | | |
| | 26 | | 176 | | | |

ABSTRACT ESTIMATE OF QUANTITY

| Letter standing for series | | Value of series. | | Constant width or ratio of slope | | Constant length | | Content. | | Total. | | Remarks | |
|-------------------------------|-----|------------------|------|----------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|----------|--|--------|-----------|---------|--|
| Stations 1 to 5. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| M | 36 | 50 | 1000 | 1,800,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| N | 316 | 1 | " | 316,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| O | 26 | | " | | | | | | | | | | |
| $\pi-1/2$ | 24 | | " | | | | | | | | | | |
| Difference | 2 | EM | " | 60,000 | | | | | | | 2,176,000 | | |
| Stations 5 to 11. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| P | 38 | 40 | 1000 | 1,520,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| Q | 266 | 8 | " | 798,000 | | | | | | | 2,318,000 | | |
| M | 12 | 60 | " | 600,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| N | 40 | 1 | " | 40,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| Total channel, | | | | 640,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| Difference in borrow pits, .. | | | | 1,678,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| Stations 11 to 15. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| M | 36 | 50 | 1000 | 1,800,000 | | | | | | | | | |
| N | 176 | 1 | " | 176,000 | | | | | | | 1,476,000 | | |
| Grand Total excavation, .. | | | | | | | | | | | 5,970,000 | | |

which reduces to the following, calling

$\frac{w'}{w} = n$, the specific gravity of the triangle,

$$x^2 \cot^2 \theta + (n - 3) bx \cot \theta + x^2 - 2nb^2 = 0,$$

or $2nb^2 - (n - 3) x \cot \theta \cdot b - x^2 (1 + \cot^2 \theta) = 0,$

or $b = \frac{(n - 3) \cot \theta \pm \sqrt{(n - 3)^2 \cot^2 \theta + 8n (1 + \cot^2 \theta)}}{4n} \cdot 0.$

It is evident, then, that there must be some value of θ which, introduced into this expression, will make b , and consequently the profile, a minimum.

To find this, differentiate and equate to 0 we get

$$(n - 3) \operatorname{cosec}^2 \theta \pm \frac{2(n - 3)^2 \cot \theta \operatorname{cosec}^2 \theta + 16n \cot \theta \operatorname{cosec}^2 \theta}{2\sqrt{(n - 3)^2 \cot^2 \theta + 8n (1 + \cot^2 \theta)}} = 0,$$

whence, after reductions,

$$\cot \theta = \pm \frac{3 - n}{\sqrt{n^2 + 2n + 9}} \dots\dots\dots (1).$$


and substituting this in the equation of equilibrium, we get on reduction,

$$b = \frac{2x}{\sqrt{n^2 + 2n + 9}} \dots\dots\dots (2).$$

and Fc , the sub-cotangent $= \pm \frac{(3 - n) x}{\sqrt{n^2 + 2n + 9}} \dots\dots\dots (3).$

These equations are simple, depending only on the specific gravity of the wall, and they determine a minimum profile of stability, of which the batter of the waterface is the governing principle.

Now let ABC be a minimum profile, and G its centre of gravity, its weight acting vertically in GD, and HF the line of resultant water pressure Compounding these forces, represented by LF and LM at their intersection L. the resultant stress LB must, by our principles of equilibrium, pass precisely through the point B, calling P and Q the components, the magnitude of this resultant R must be



$$R = \sqrt{P^2 + Q^2 + 2PQ \cos \angle FLM}$$

now $P = \frac{wx^2}{2} \operatorname{cosec} \theta$

$$Q = \frac{w'bx}{2}$$

cos PLM = cos θ by construction,

Substituting for b and θ their values found for the minimum profile,

we get

$$H = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{4}{w^2 x^4} \cos^2 \theta + \frac{4}{w^2 b^2 x^2} + 2 \frac{4}{w^2 b^2 x^2} \cos \theta}}{\frac{2}{x} \sqrt{w^2 x^2 \cos^2 \theta + w^2 b^2 + 2 w b x \cos \theta}} = \frac{\frac{w}{2} \sqrt{x^2 \left(1 + \frac{(n-3)^2}{n^2 + 2n + 9} \right) + n^2 \frac{4x^2}{n^2 + 2n + 9} + 2nx \frac{2x(8-n)}{n^2 + 2n + 9}}}{\frac{w}{2} \sqrt{x^2 \cos^2 \theta + n^2 b^2 + 2nbx \cos \theta}}$$

Finally,


$$(4) \quad R = \frac{2}{wx^2} \sqrt{\frac{10n^2 - 16}{n^2 + 2n + 9}}$$

It may also be useful to know the angle which this resultant makes with the horizontal. This is easily found to be

$$(5) \quad \cos r = \frac{3 - n}{\sqrt{2(8n^2 - 8n + 9)}}$$

It should here be observed, with reference to the minimum profile, that, like any equilibrated triangle, it is in equilibrium at every point of its height, a circumstance which is here evident from θ being a simple multiple of x , the same for the same value of θ belonging to the whole face of the wall

Now a wall exactly balanced as is the minimum profile, will exactly



fall on the lowest disturbance. Let us examine what will be the effects of such failure. Let it fail at the point A, through the point B falling just outside A. The triangle ABC is, it will be observed (or rather ABF) = ABC equilibrium, because the overthrusting pressure will rapidly ~~increase~~, and its resultant line of application be lowered relatively to A, by a rotation of the

apex out of the water, while the arm of gravitation will more slowly diminish on account of its moving through a lesser arc. Some cases, indeed, might exist in which this should not prove the case, but whether the triangle ABC continued its motion or found a new position of equilibrium, the result would be as follows:—

As the movement first occurred, the pressures acting on the joint AB would be more and more lightened on the water side of a neutral axis N, and more and more intensified on the land side of it. This neutral axis would move towards A as the action increased, until at last the joint would open, at which moment N would have reached A, and the whole stress R would be concentrated on the point A. The result, practically, would be that the back of the wall would throw out a wedge DEF, and the triangle ABC would topple over.

It follows, then, that if arrangements were made to ensure the stability of the wall, we have here a limit, immensely exaggerated, of the stress that can, under any circumstances, be brought to crush the material at its back. If, then, we should add on material at the back, of dimensions calculated to resist this crushing force distributed over it, we shall, at the same time, be increasing the stability by retreating the heel, and if it should appear that the amount of this retreat is sufficient to remove all chance of mere disturbance, (and very little should suffice to that, if we assume the water to be still, to which case every other will, by proper allowances, be reduced), it will follow that we have here a sufficient provision for the occurrence of a failure which cannot even occur, and *a fortiori*, a safe provision for security in the integral structure.

It cannot here be too strongly insisted, to prevent objections to the co-efficients which will hereafter be proposed, that this force R is *not* to be considered as an actual force in practice, concentrated at the back, and tending to disintegrate and push it out at that point. In practice, it will really be distributed in some varying ratio along the whole breadth of the joint, and a surprisingly small fraction of it will actually fall on, and about that point. But we are making provision for a hypothetical and impossible, a limiting and immensely extreme case, under which a quantity of material should be disposed at, and about the point E sufficient to resist crushing by a certain stress R, distributed over it, and which once effected must, under our agencies, render it not only improbable but impossible that the wall should be overthrown by these agencies.

Let ABC be a minimum profile. This surface of resistance would evidently be provided by setting off OL, OF from the back, perpendicular to R, so that EL should not be crushed by R distributed over it. We need not, however, trouble ourselves to find this angle, as the result will be ensured by describing a circle of radius OL round O, and making the rib tangential to it. If we do this for every point of the back AB, and draw lines tangential to all these circles, in fact their envelope on either side, we shall have designed the rib of resistance. Now OD will be calculated as follows —

We have (equation 4)

$$R = \frac{nx^2}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2(cn^2 - 8n + 9)}{n^2 + 2n + 9}}$$

Let the absolute resistance of the material to crushing be

p , lbs to the square inch

We will divide this by S as an admitted factor of safety for masonry in compression. This safe coefficient of $\frac{R}{p}$ we will call n , which will represent the safe pressure per square inch—to avoid mistake

The breadth of the rib will therefore be in feet,

$$\frac{144 \times p}{n^2} \div \frac{2(cn^2 - 8n + 9)}{n^2 + 2n + 9}$$

and the radius, or the off-set from the back will be half this, or

$$(6) \dots \dots \dots \frac{144 \times p}{n^2} \div \frac{2(cn^2 - 8n + 9)}{n^2 + 2n + 9}$$

As this is a quadratic expression, the back of the wall will have the curve of a conic section, and we may, for an immediate purpose, consider it a parabolic spandril. This rib has to be increased to an extent allowing for its own weight tending to crush it. Considering it a parabolic spandril, and resolving it vertically and horizontally, the crushing force will be one-third the area of the parallelogram standing on its base, of height x , and multiplied by w

That is, by equation (6), we shall have for the crushing force caused by the weight of the spandril

$$\frac{w n' x^3}{144 \times 12 p} \sqrt{\frac{2(5n^2 - 8n + 9)}{n^2 + 2n + 9}}$$

adding this to the main crushing stress R (equat. 4), we get for a corrected gross crushing stress—

$$\left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{w'}{144 \times 6 p} \right) \frac{w x^3}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2(5n^2 - 8n + 9)}{n^2 + 2n + 9}}$$

and, finally, for the corrected radius of the rib

$$\left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{w'}{144 \times 6 p} \right) \frac{w x^3}{144 \times 4 p} \sqrt{\frac{2(5n^2 - 8n + 9)}{n^2 + 2n + 9}}$$

Or, calling p the radius, multiplying and dividing by w , and giving it its ordinary value of 62.5

$$p = \left(\frac{1}{62.5 \cdot x} + \frac{n}{864 p} \right) \frac{6.7816 \cdot x^3}{p} \sqrt{\frac{2(5n^2 - 8n + 9)}{n^2 + 2n + 9}} \dots\dots\dots (7).$$

The second term of the first factor of this expression is for moderate heights, say under 50 feet, so extremely small, that it may be neglected in such cases, and we shall have for the radius

$$p = \frac{0.1085 x^2}{p} \sqrt{\frac{2(5n^2 - 8n + 9)}{n^2 + 2n + 9}}, \dots\dots\dots (8).$$

Diagrams 1, 2, and 3 are drawn from equation (7), on the assumption that the safe crushing weight $p = 100$ lbs. per square inch. In diagram 1, n is taken at 1.792 as corresponding to brickwork, weighing 112 lbs. to the cubic foot. In diagram 2, n is 2.306, for masonry weighing 144 lbs. to the cubic foot. In diagram 3, n is taken at 2, as an assumption for concrete.*

This theory has now to be tested by considerations of stability founded on failure by sliding, and by results of which the elements are laid down by Professor Rankine in his Applied Mechanics.

It will be seen in that work that the author starts by conceiving a triangular wall fully adjusted for safety, and that this adjustment is supposed to have been effected at the outset by locating the centre of resistance of the joint at a distance q from the bisection of the joint. He then

* For a correction of this process, which does not materially affect results, see addendum to this paper. It will also be observed that the adoption, for the back of the profile, of the envelope of these generating circles is a slight departure from mathematical truth, but a departure on the safe side and quite necessary for simplicity in construction.

calculates the equation of moments, involving two unknown quantities, q and the width t , and eliminates q by trying down a second equation based on the stability of friction. The result is an expression for t which shall be safe against overturning and against sliding, which, in the opinion of many, may be all that can possibly be required. To others, however, it may appear that in very lofty dams it is advisable to have security by a compression rib, the design of which has involved the consideration of maximum strains, and the degree to which either method should be applied, will, I think, be apparent from the diagrams I have enjoined.

Professor Rankine's results are worked out on certain special cases, but it will better suit my purposes here to develop his general theorem. The reductions involved being extremely lengthy, I shall only have space here to indicate operations

Rankine's equations, slightly simplified and adapted, are the following.

$$\frac{x}{t} = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} - b, \quad \dots \dots \dots (9).$$

$$\frac{x}{t} = m \frac{1 - \tan \phi \tan j}{\tan \phi}, \quad \dots \dots \dots (10).$$

$$a = \frac{j(g \pm b)}{m} \sec^2 j, \quad \dots \dots \dots (11).$$

$$b = m \frac{\frac{t}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}{\tan j}, \quad \dots \dots \dots (12).$$

t = bottom width

x = height

j = inclination of the face to the vertical.

ϕ = limiting angle of repose for masonry, taken as 34° .

m = the reciprocal on n , the specific gravity

g = a variable, = the ratio of the distance from the centre of resis-

tance to the bisection of the joint, to the whole breadth of

the joint, or t .

g' = the ratio of the distance from the perpendicular dropped on the

joint from the centre of gravity, to the bisection of the joint,

to the breadth t , of the joint.

It is evident that g' contains its own sign, and hence the alternative

signs will be dropped

I now proceed to develop the general equations. First to determine q'

We shall clearly have—

$$q' = \pm \frac{\frac{1}{3} \overline{AB}}{t} \quad \Delta F = \frac{1}{3} \overline{AB}$$


$$AB = \sqrt{AC^2 - x^2}.$$

$$AC^2 = \overline{AD}^2 + \overline{DC}^2 - 2 \overline{AD} \cdot \overline{DC} \cdot \cos \overline{ADC}.$$

$$= \frac{t^2}{4} + \overline{DC}^2 - t \cdot \overline{DC} \sin j.$$

$$DC = x \sec \theta.$$

$$\therefore AC^2 = \frac{t^2}{4} + x^2 \sec^2 j - tx \tan j.$$



$$\therefore AB = \sqrt{\frac{t^4}{4} + (\sec^2 j - 1)x^2 - tx \tan j}.$$

$$= \frac{t}{2} - x \tan j.$$

$$\therefore q' = \pm \frac{\frac{t}{2} - x \tan j}{t}$$

$$= \pm \left(\frac{1}{6} - \frac{\tan j}{3} \frac{x}{t} \right) \dots\dots\dots (13).$$

Squaring equation (9) we get—

$$\frac{t^2}{x^2} + 2b \frac{t}{x} - a = 0.$$

giving a and b their values, in which q' is replaced by its value as found above, (equation 13).

$$\frac{t^2}{x^3} + \frac{6m\left(\frac{g}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\right) \tan j}{3g + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \tan j \frac{x}{t}\right)} \cdot \frac{t}{x} - \frac{m \sec^2 j}{3g + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \tan j \frac{x}{t}\right)} = 0$$

This becomes the following:—

$$\frac{t}{x} = \frac{-\left\{6m\left(\frac{q}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\right) - 1\right\} \tan j \pm \sqrt{\left\{6m\left(\frac{q}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\right) - 1\right\}^2 \tan^2 j + 4m \sec^2 j \left(3q + \frac{1}{2}\right)}}{2\left(3q + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \quad (14)$$

Substitute this value in equation (10), and we get

$$\left[(3q + \frac{1}{2}) 2m \frac{1 - \tan \phi \tan j}{\tan \phi} + \left\{ 6m \left(\frac{q}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \right) - 1 \right\} \tan j \right]^2 = \left\{ 6m \left(\frac{q}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \right) - 1 \right\}^2 \frac{\tan^2 j + 4m \sec^2 j (3q + \frac{1}{2})}{\tan^2 j + 4m \sec^2 j (3q + \frac{1}{2})}.$$

Whence, after lengthy reductions, we draw the following value of q .

$$q = \frac{2a}{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}} \quad \text{in which} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a = 36m(\omega^2 + \omega \tan j) \\ b = a \omega \tan j - 12 \sec^2 j + 12 m \omega^2 \\ c = m \omega^2 - \beta \omega \tan j - 2 \sec^2 j. \end{array} \right. \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \omega = \frac{\tan \phi}{1 - \tan \phi \tan j} \\ \beta = 2 - 3m, \\ \alpha = 12(2m - 1), \end{array} \right. \quad (15) \dots\dots\dots$$

And q being determined from these equations, we can find $\frac{x}{t}$ by substituting its value in equation (14) simplified as follows:—

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{x}{t} = \frac{-B \pm \sqrt{B^2 - 4Ac}}{2A} \\ A = 8q \times \frac{1}{2}. \\ B = \left[6m \left(\frac{2}{q} + \frac{1}{1} \right) - 1 \right] \tan j \\ c = -m \sec^2 j. \end{array} \right. \quad (16) \dots\dots\dots$$

Now the limit of value of q is, as a result of its definition, $q = \frac{1}{2}$. That is to say, such is the limit of the values of q , within which equation (16) has any rational significance. If we insert this limiting value of q in equation (16) we get

$$\Delta = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{2} = 2.$$

$$B = \left(\frac{6m}{2} - 1 \right) \tan j = (3m - 1) \tan j.$$

$$C = -m \sec^2 j.$$

$$\frac{x}{t} = \frac{(1 - 3m) \tan j \pm \sqrt{(9m^2 + 2m + 1) \tan^2 j + 6m}}{(1 - 3m) \tan j \pm 2 \sqrt{(m - 1) \tan^2 j + 6m}} \quad (17) \dots\dots\dots$$

Also, if we insert the value $q = \frac{1}{2}$ in equation (15), we obtain

$$\tan j = \frac{4m \tan \phi}{-2(m + 1) \tan \phi \pm 2 \sqrt{(m - 1) \tan^2 \phi + 6m}} \quad (18) \dots\dots\dots$$

Now the greater the value of q , the less will be the value of t , and consequently the area of the profile.

That is, the minimum profile under frictional conditions will be that corresponding to the maximum value of q , or $q = \frac{1}{2}$.

Therefore equation (18) gives the value of $\tan j$ for this minimum profile, m and ϕ being given in every case, and if we insert this value of $\tan j$ in equation (17) that equation will determine the corresponding value of the base, thus completely determining the profile. /

We have here all that is necessary to enable us to realise, practically and fully, the law of profiles designed on the basis of frictional stability. The calculations are extremely lengthy, and I shall confine myself here to the nett results only.

I have considered, then, a structure of masonry weighing 144 lbs. to the cubic foot, and in which, consequently, $m = 0.433$, and I have taken an ϕ at 0.74, and my results are as follows:—

| Value of $\tan j$. | j or corresponding approximate angle with vertical. | θ or corresponding approximate angle with horizontal. | Value of q . | Ratio of base to height. | Remarks. |
|---------------------|--|---|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| 0 | 0° | 90° | 0.25480 | 0.5852 | vertical face. |
| 0.1 | 6° | 84° | 0.32542 | 0.5418 | |
| 0.2 | 11½° | 78½° | 0.41260 | 0.4985 | |
| 0.3 | 17° | 73° | increasing. | decreasing. | |
| 0.358 | 19½° | 70½° | 0.50000 | 0.4680 | minimum. |

This establishes the conclusion that of equally effective walls in respect of frictional stability, those are more and more economical which have an increasing departure of their water face from the vertical, and that, within the limits of this theory, the greatest economy is attained where the water face is inclined at about 70½° to the horizontal, at which position the base should be 0.468 of the height, being a saving of 0.1172, or say 11½ per cent. on the wall with a vertical face. These figures, be it understood, being for walls of the precise weight of 144 lbs. to the cubic foot.

I have further compared, for three several classes of work, the mini-

imum profile of rotary stability with this minimum or limiting profile of frictional stability, and my results are as below —

| Class of work. | Assumed weight per cubic foot | m | may establish | of B.C.C. hoi | rotary stability | Notes. |
|----------------------|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | |
| Brick work | 112 | 1 790 0.00 | 0.297 to 0.300 | 0.74 | 73° | 0.003 0.085 |
| Concrete (curved) | 120 | 2 000 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.74 | 77° | 0.00 0.00 |
| Masonry, | 144 | 2 300 0.483 | 0.100 to 0.100 | 0.74 | 80.5° | 0.160 0.16 |

That is to say, the inclination of the water face in the minimum profile of rotary stability is, in every practical case such as to bring it within the limits requiring theoretical allowances for friction and that, to satisfy this criterion, their bases should be increased to the following values, respectively —

| | | | |
|-----------|------|-------|-------|
| Brickwork | 0.74 | 0.085 | 0.003 |
| Concrete | 0.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Masonry, | 0.74 | 0.16 | 0.16 |

That is if the frictional criterion be considered a sine qua non That it need not necessarily be so considered, will be evident from the fact that by cutting the courses some 10 or 12 degrees towards the water, we could, at these values of θ eliminate it altogether. Accordingly, I have plotted on to diagrams 1 2 and 3, a straight line to the wall which satisfies this criterion. In it line it will be seen, falls outside the curve of the rib down to approximately heights, for brick work concrete and masonry respectively, of 55, 40, and 30 feet. Intersecting the curve at these points, it falls readily within it. That it is no great matter whether or not we satisfy this criterion will be evident on these diagrams from the extremely minute deflection of the line in increasing, amounting in no case, and that only at one point, to one foot in 16. The gross increase to the wall by its introduction would

be in the maximum case (brick-work), about 2 per cent., and on further consideration, even less.

For, practically, these walls must not terminate in an apex. They must carry a wash wall; and a gangway. They must have at least 3 feet top width. Let us say 4. Plotted on to the profiles, this will probably reduce the increase to little over 1 per cent. and in high dams this percentage will be sunk to practically nothing by distribution over an increasing mass.

The principles of the design of safe profiles as developed in this essay may, then, so far be summed up as follows, for practical application, and subject to a few provisos respecting only the height x , which will be made in the sequel.


I.

If the constants that have been assumed be practically accepted.

(a). If the wall do not exceed certain heights, being

| | |
|------------------------|----------|
| For brickwork, | 55 feet. |
| „ concrete, | 40 „ |
| „ masonry, | 30 „ |

make the profile a simple triangle on which



For brickwork, $AB = 0.585. x$, $BC = 0.299 x$.

„ concrete, $AB = 0.555. x$, $BC = 0.242 x$.

„ masonry, $AB = 0.516. x$, $BC = 0.160 x$.

(b). If the wall do exceed these heights, let the profiles of diagrams (1), (2), or (3) according to the material, be precisely initiated, according to their figurings, adapting the exterior straight back for

its upper portion.

II.


If the constants that have been assumed be not practically accepted.

Proceed to draw a minimum profile of rotary sta-

bility, in which $b = \frac{2x}{\sqrt{n^2 + 2n + 9}}$ $c = \frac{(3-n)x}{\sqrt{n^2 + 2n + 9}}$

n being the specific gravity of the material. At every 10 feet of the height, rule a horizontal line, and where it intersects the back AD of the profile describe a circle of radius ρ so

that $\rho = \left(\frac{1}{62.5. x} + \frac{n}{864p} \right) \frac{6.7816x^2}{p} \sqrt{\frac{2(5n^2 - 8n + 9)}{n^2 + 2n + 9}}$ or, for a rougher approximation



$$p = 0.1085x^2 \sqrt{\frac{r^2(m^2 - 8n + 9)}{n^2 + 2n + 9}} \text{ if the height is } < 50$$

m being the specific gravity of the material

p the safe compressive strain per square inch of the material

x the height, or rather, depth

Tangent lines drawn to all these circles will define, on the outside, the back of the wall, and on the inside, the inside limit of the rib, to be used or not, as details of construction may require

If the courses are to be cauted, the profile may be so far considered complete, otherwise increase it for frictional stability as follows —

$$\text{Calculate } \tan j = \cot \theta = \frac{\sqrt{n^2 + 2n + 9}}{3 - n}$$

Calculate a quantity q as follows, inserting this value of $\tan j$, and calling $\tan \phi$ (the limiting angle of repose) 0.74 on the authority of Rankine, and m being the reciprocal of the specific gravity of the material

$$q = \frac{-b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

$$m = 36m(\omega^2 + \omega \tan j),$$

$$b = a \omega \tan j - 12 \sec^2 j + 12 m \omega^2,$$

$$c = m \omega^2 - \beta m \tan j - 2 \sec^2 j,$$

$$a = 12(2m - 1),$$

$$\beta = 3 - 8m,$$

$$\omega = \frac{1 - \tan \phi \tan j}{\tan \phi}$$

being very careful about signs

Then, with the resulting value of q , enter the following equation, giving $\tan j$ its value as found, and remembering that $\sec^2 j = 1 + \tan^2 j$.

$$\frac{r}{t} = \frac{-B + \sqrt{B^2 - 4Ac}}{2A}$$

$$A = 3q + \frac{r}{t},$$

$$B = \left[6m \left(\frac{2}{q} + \frac{r}{t} \right) - 1 \right] \tan j,$$

$$C = -m \sec^2 j$$

The result will be a fraction, the ratio of the base to the height. The value of the base thus found is to be set back from the toe of the profile under correction, and the extremity joined to the vertex of the wall,

forming its back, and the corrected back of the profile will follow the curve below, and the straight line above the intersection.

All these calculations will, of course, be effected with logarithm tables.

These profiles having been designed for perfectly still water, require two corrections, viz. :—

(1). For waves.

(2). For currents.

WAVES.

The waves which occur on minor bodies of inland water are inconsiderable. A very usual height of splash wall is 4 feet, and we may safely fix this as the limit of height of undulation above mean level.

The effect of a wave will be to momentarily increase the head of water on the structure. It would seem, therefore, that a full provision would be to design the wall as for a depth of 4 feet greater than the proposed high water level will give.

It should be borne in mind that, as a reservoir should always have overfalls strictly calculated to pass off water of a certain maximum depth on their crests, it is this highest surface, and not the crest level of the highest overfall that is to be assumed as the primary high-water level, and to this, then, is to be added 4 feet for the effect of waves.

CURRENTS.

It is not proposed here to consider the case of retaining walls acting as overfalls. These, as requiring the particular condition of plumb, or nearly plumb, backs, to avoid wear at their feet, involve special considerations, which will be the subject of a future investigation.

But such currents as may be either the reflex of a main current towards an overfall, or the effects of a main current on the immediate flanks of an overfall will be here considered.

The maximum observed effect in lbs. pressure of the normal impact of water having velocity v , on a square foot of surface, is 4 times the hydrostatic pressure of a column of that base, and having the height due to the velocity v . Consequently, if v be the velocity of the current, the maximum additional pressure of impact on the wall may be held to be produced by an increment in head of


$$4 \frac{v^2}{2g}, \text{ or say } \frac{v^2}{16} \text{ in feet.}$$

The effect, then, of a reflex current may be considered so small as to be practically not worth considering, and that of a direct current on the flanks of an overfall may be taken as some fraction unknown of the surface velocity over the fall. This is a function of the maximum depth on crest. Calling this depth h , we may say that the maximum velocity is $\sqrt{2gh}$, and we shall have

$$v = a \sqrt{2gh}$$


in which a is some fraction, which can only be settled by experiment. But when we consider the case of a high overfall, it is very evident that the mean velocity of what must be, after all, an induced current or eddy, counted distributively over the whole back of the wall, must be an extremely minute fraction of the velocity of the escaping body of water. Now in an ordinary overfall of 2 feet on the sill, the velocity may be taken at 10 feet per second and if we take v at one fourth of this, we shall undoubtedly be far above the mark. In such case we should have $v = 2\frac{1}{2}$, or let us say 3, and $\frac{16}{v^2} = \text{about } 6 \text{ inches}$

If, then, we add on a foot to the working head, we shall have made safe provision for currents. In line, we arrive at a gross correctional addition to the head, which I have estimated at 5 feet, but which any one may fix at x_0 , according to his particular judgment, guided by these principles, and this correction is to be applied as follows —




An indefinitely deep profile ABC having been projected according to the principles which have been laid down, set off a height $AD = x_0$, and then terminate the profile by a horizontal line which will be considered the high water level of the work. On this should be erected the wash wall, and if the space be small for this and the gangway it may be economically provided by corbelling the back as per accompanying sketch

Now it will be evident from all that has been shown, that the principal



destructive stresses would come upon the back of the wall. Accordingly it would seem that by making the compression rib of the best work, and connecting it at horizontal and vertical intervals, by bond courses with similar work on the face designed to resist percolation, and by making arrangements for thorough drainage of the interior, we might

use material of the very roughest character for the filling. In fact, it will



be evident that such a wall is here assimilated to a cantilever or girder, which in effect it is, and the disposition of the sounder material entirely corresponds to that of flanges and web of a girder, and does the same duties. It would, in fact, be a good measure, were it not for the expense, to bond the facework vertically with hoop iron,

as it would positively be in tension in the case of any tendency to failure. However this investigation is not intended to go beyond the establishment of a normal profile, which profile will be departed from, added to, and worked up in design, subject to the various conditions of work and the practical insight and discretion of the Engineer.

ADDENDUM.

To ensure simplicity in results, the preliminary calculation of the half rib area for correction of the crushing force is necessarily rough, but it is approximate.

It has, however, been observed that it constituted a doubtful feature in the investigation that the weight of the half rib had been estimated on a breadth assumed, or rather deduced from conditions which were imperfect in not having embraced this weight. The following calculation

has, therefore, been made in revision of the former one, and, while it will be seen from the example given, that the difference of results justifies, by its minuteness, the method originally followed, it will yet be advisable to adopt this corrected value of p on account of its greater simplicity.

Let p be the half breadth sought. Then the crushing force due to the weight of the parabolic spandril assumed will be $p \frac{x^2}{2}$

Adding this to the crushing stress R , found in equation (4), the corrected gross crushing stress will be

$$p = \frac{x^2}{2w} + \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(cn^2 - 8n + 9)(n^2 + 2n + 9)}{2}}$$

and since the safe crushing strain per square inch is p , the breadth of the rib will be $\frac{144}{1} p \times$ crushing stress, and since $p =$ half of this breadth

$$p = \frac{1}{144} p^2 = \left(\frac{x^2}{2w} + \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(cn^2 - 8n + 9)(n^2 + 2n + 9)}{2}} \right)^2$$

which reduces to the following (making $w = 62.5$ and $\frac{x^2}{2w} = n$

$$p = \frac{3^2}{2^2} \frac{(138.4 - n - n^2) \sqrt{\frac{(cn^2 - 8n + 9)(n^2 + 2n + 9)}{2}}}{2}$$

This should supersede the value of p given in equation (7) To show how trifling is the difference in any case, let us take height $(x) = 50$ feet, $n = 2$, $p = 100$

Equation (7) gives $p = 3.602$

Revised equation gives, $p = 2.622$, or about a quarter of an inch difference.

E T A

No. LXI.

ESSAY ON THE GEOLOGY OF KUNKUR.

[Vide Plates Nos. LIV. and LV.]

By A. NIELLY, Esq., *Assistant Engineer*, S.W.D., B.D.C.

THE origin of the mineral called kunkur, which is spread as a sub-alluvium stratum over such a large proportion of India, has not yet, as far as I am aware, been thoroughly investigated.

David Page in his hand-book of geological terms, merely states that it seems to correspond in point of time to the boulder drift formation, and adds to this information a general description of it by Ansted.

The Roorkee Treatise seems to call it calcareous tufa when it is found in solid layers, and in the undulated districts; and kunkur when the well known vesicular nodule of the plains is meant. It appears to be, the Treatise says, a species of subsoil tufa formed by the deposition of calcareous matter extracted by the surface waters in minute portions from the beds of sand and clay, and re-deposited in a concentrated and irregular form.

I cannot help remarking that after reading this definition, the mind does not feel satisfied that a geological problem has been satisfactorily solved, and must inquire if the causes of origin attributed to this calcareous tufa, correspond with those generally acknowledged by geologists. If therefore I return to David Page, I find that calcareous tufa is a porous or vesicular carbonate of lime, generally deposited near the sources, and along the courses of calcareous springs, incrusting and binding together the objects that lie in the way. Occasionally when such springs discharge themselves into lakes and seas, beds of considerable thickness are formed, producing a light calcareous rock like the Travertine of Italy. When slowly formed in the open air, compact incrustations are the usual result.

The above extracts from David Page, and the study of the admirable descriptions of the glacial period, and of the deposits of calcareous strata to be found in Sir Charles Lyell's elements of Geology, induce me to offer a solution of the problem of the origin of Kankur

It is, that during the glacial period and after it,

When the boulder drift formation was taking place, and after its termination,

When India was slowly upheaved by volcanic action out of the deep sea. Innumerable hot springs, like those of Iceland, covered many points of the Indian soil with calcareous matter, which according to the circumstances in which it found itself when solidifying took various forms, and petrifed various beds of minerals lying in its way

In support of the above hypothesis, which I humbly submit to the judgment of geologists, I will describe as well as it is in my power, an excellent ground for geological researches situated in the Cooradaspore District, between Dinanagar, Madhopore, Pathankot and the Beas (vide *Plate LIV*) This ground offers a rich field of observation, as it contains large deposits of boulder drift, conglomerate calcareous sandstone, calcareous sand nodules, travertine or Kankur in many varieties of form and of purity as a carbonate of lime, and in some rare elevated spots, calcareous marble, on the surface of which the formation of round and flat nodules, of Kankur is even now proceeding

I shall avoid in this description classifying any of the formations that I have examined, leaving this classification to men of greater experience. I shall confine myself to stating facts that every body can see and to drawing from them inferences almost self evident

If I draw a vertical plan through Madhopore and the Dhangoo cut, I obtain a section of the country which gives me an idea of the formations on which rests the alluvial deposits, and of the way the work of deposition was performed (see *Plate LV*)

If I start from the Madhopore side, the lowest layer that I can observe is the calcareous conglomerate, the cementing or "the grouting" material of which is visible on many of the pebbles which compose it. Under this lie, I presume, the same strata of shales and clays that are to be found in the Dhangoo cut, and the calcareous sandstone which is found on the other side of the valley of the Ravee. Over the conglomerates appear the boulder drift, cemented with alluvium clay. As the line of the high

shore of Madhopore is continued on the other side of the river, it can be presumed that the deep ravine which leaves perpendicular cliffs of boulder drift, 60 feet high, on the south eastern side was once filled by drift through which the Ravee has cut its present bed.

Now if I leave Madhopore to travel in the direction of Patháńkot, I go up for a small distance towards what must have been an ancient shore of the Ravee. After passing it, I go along a ground insensibly sloping downwards, until after passing the torrents, and the town of Patháńkot, I go up by a gentle slope to the Choki torrent, and the Dhangoo hills.

Formerly the Choki running along the summit of the watershed of the country, divided its waters in two principal branches, one of which ran to the valley of the Beas and the other to the valley of the Ravee; but when the Baree Doab canal was made, the Ravee branch of the Choki was found so dangerous, that it was deemed necessary to divert the whole of its water towards the Beas. This was done by making a cut 200 feet deep through the Dhangoo hill. This cut gives to the geologist an insight in the formations which lie under the boulder drift.


As far as I could judge without instruments, the dip of the different layers is about 30° , and directed to the N. E. On the northern side the hill is very steep, and the slope cuts the strata at an angle of about 60° ; the slope of the southern side is long and easy. The layers are mostly composed of hard conglomerates alternating with loose sand and shingle, shales of various colors, loam and brown clay, and are covered on the southern side by layers of boulders, loam, shingle, and a last layer of alluvium clay. Now if I ascend to the summit of the hill and look towards the west, I find that the watershed, which somehow has lost its hilly character, turns round towards the S. S. W. until it meets the high grounds or promontory of Bhimpore, and takes the character of a plateau descending rapidly towards the green valley of the Beas, and gently sloping in the direction of the rich plains watered by the canal, and by the Ravee.

Then if I turn towards the N. E., I see on all sides nothing but hills and lofty peaks, and can fancy myself in the middle of a magnificent bay which once contained the estuaries of two great rivers and of numerous torrents.

If after having thus discovered the general features of the surrounding country, I carry my thoughts backwards to the glacial period, I find that

ROUGH SKETCH OF STRATA IN DHANGOO CUT

(200 feet deep).



of kunkur, left by the rain water carrying away the alluvium in which they were buried. These beds of flat kunkur are sometimes interrupted by a layer of calcareous sandstone, about 9 inches thick. If I pass to the eastern side of the promontory, and visit also all the natural drainage cuts, I fall on a still greater variety of calcareous deposits.

First of all at Mumeal, over a bed of fine river sand, are layers of calcareous sandstone, of the most contorted and curious shape; over this are beds of loam full of calcareous sand nodules, which look as if they had been formed round nuclei, and some of them assume the shape of sea shells. Whether they are petrified shells or not, I leave to more learned men to settle. These beds are sometimes interrupted by thin bands of calcareous flat nodule.

Further on near Choochan, I met with a small elevated spot, where the ploughs have not yet cut away the ancient marly soil. There I find again the same surface kunkur of *Pathámkot*, but here it is in its original state, rising vertically out of the ground, and surrounding the petrified stems of the jungly plants which grows on those grounds. Here also I find some flat kunkur, whose recent formation somewhat agrees with the description of kunkur found in the Roorkee Treatise, and alluded to before. Here the flat and round kunkur are evidently formed by rain water, laden with calcareous matter, dissolved from the marly soil, which lies in the centre of this kunkur nursery. The way the flat kunkur is formed is most curious; the calcareous matter meets scales made in the ground by the heat of the sun, and slowly converts them in flat nodules. If it is unquestionable, however, that these species of kunkur are formed by the agency of rain water, the first cause of origin is, I think, the mineral springs which originally saturated the marl with carbonate of lime.

Whatever may be the first cause of an excess of lime in the soil, it is certain that running water gave its form to the flat kunkur, as I saw further on, on my way southwards, and half way between Rusoolpore and the canal, the denuded horizontal surface of one of these beds formed many centuries ago, and I could see that the spaces between the nodules were well defined, continuous, threads through which the liquid calcareous matter must have flown.

Going again towards the south as far as Lahri, and on the canal side of the villages called Nya Pind, Kalipore, &c., I find at various depths extensive strata of calcareous tufa in the vesicular form, the upper layer

being the most rich in carbonate of lime, and the lower layer being frequently a gritty nodule, the form of which somewhat resembles a petrified sponge

I must here bring to its conclusion this small essay, which I am conscious does not do enough to prove that kurakur was formed directly and indirectly by the action of mineral springs, but I shall feel satisfied with the result of my labor, if this little work induces experienced geologists to weigh the value of the hypothesis, and helps them in finding the real cause of origin of kurakur

Could not also the hypothesis of mineral springs laden at first with carbonate of lime, and at their last stage with sulphate or carbonate of soda, as some of the springs now in activity in the lower range of hills, explain satisfactorily the presence of Itch in some of the soils overlying kurakur

Whatever may be the worth of the above hypothesis, I hope that this short essay will be found interesting and useful by the members of the Geological profession, who have the greatest interest in a thorough knowledge of the kurakurs, but only sources of natural cement

N A

From the edge runners, the mixture should be taken to a pug-mill, and when thoroughly pugged, (being passed through the pug-mill 2 or 3 times if necessary,) should be cut off in small bricks or lumps, not exceeding 2 or $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches in thickness, as it comes out of a shoot fixed at bottom of mill.

It may not be amiss to remark here that too much pains cannot be bestowed on the thorough incorporation of the raw materials, and in keeping them clean and free from sand and foreign ingredients during the process. As far as any chemical action is concerned, the clay remains almost inert after the mixture has attained a dull red heat, so that it is most important to bring it into the closest contact with the lime, before the burning commences. The presence of sand tends to produce vitrification during burning, and is most prejudicial to the cement; clay containing more than $\frac{1}{20}$ of sand should be rejected.


M. Lipowitz objects to drying in the air, and quotes two examples where doing so was found to be most injurious to the cement. But the English manufacturers expose their raw cement freely to the air in the reservoirs, where it sometimes lies for a couple of months before it is burned; and in one factory, I saw it being wheeled direct from the drying reservoirs to the kilns. So that, until experience shows us in India that kiln-dried, is stronger than sun-dried, cement; I should recommend stacking the blocks of raw cement, as removed from the pug-mill, in drying hacks like bricks.

When *thoroughly* dry, the blocks of raw cement should be burned, either in clamps with dried cow-dung, or in a good lime kiln with thoroughly dry wood, or with charcoal, as experience on the spot may show to be most advantageous. The proper degree of exposure to heat should be ascertained and carefully adhered to. The higher the heat to which it is exposed the greater the density of the cement, the greater also its strength and its ultimate degree of induration; while the lighter cements have the property of setting more rapidly.

The burnt cement should then be pounded until it can pass through a screen with meshes the size of a pea, and finally be ground as fine as flour, so that it can pass through a No. 60 gauge sieve (3600 meshes to a square inch). It should then be allowed to cool thoroughly on a dry floor before packing.

The cheapest packing for India would be in bags or sacks. These would not have any sea voyage to undergo, and the cement would, in all probability, be used tolerably fresh. Where it had to be sent long distances, small barrels could doubtless be purchased at advantageous rates from the nearest commissariat depôt.

A number of samples should be made up of cements formed according to these directions. The samples, when burnt, should be finely pulverised, and, when cool, should be moulded into bricks of the form shown by the shaded portion of marginal diagram. There should be at least a dozen bricks




Thickness of brick = $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches.

of each sample, and they should be allowed to set under still water for seven days after they have been taken out of the moulds. The lower of the two iron clips shown in the diagram should then be loaded until the bricks are torn in two, and if any of the samples prove themselves capable of supporting an average

weight of 225 to 250 lbs. on the area $1\frac{1}{2}$ inch square (or $2\frac{1}{2}$ square inches), I think we may safely adventure upon the manufacture. I have been particular in giving dimensions of the sample brick, as I wish to adhere as exactly as possible to the form of test generally used in England. It would be instructive and interesting to make up and treat in the same way bricks of the best "Kankar" lime.

The samples mentioned above should be carefully made up in the manner laid down, accurately labelled, and tested. The strongest composition having been thus ascertained, steady and persevering efforts at economical production must be made. I am most strongly convinced of the good policy of beginning in the simplest manner, consistent with economy and efficiency. Mistakes can then be easily and inexpensively rectified. The site of the factory should, from the very first be laid out with an eye to expansion of business, and economical working on a large scale. There should be no carrying backwards and forwards, the general arrangement of the works being somewhat as indicated below.



In conclusion, I must add a few words on the manipulation of the manufactured cement, which are, I think, necessary, as it has not been hitherto extensively used in India, and the best cement may be utterly ruined by careless handling on the works. In the first place, only just so much of it as is required for immediate use should be made up at any one time, as when once it has commenced to set, it cannot be worked up again like a mortar containing rich lime. In mixing it with sand or

gravel, the ingredients should be well mixed together in a dry state, before any water is added. In adding the water, only pour in enough to make a stiff paste, as flooding the cement is most prejudicial to it. The sand used should be clean and sharp, and when cement is used in brick-work, the bricks must be thoroughly saturated in water before use, otherwise they will absorb the moisture necessary for the proper setting of the cement. When used under water, cement must until it has set, be protected from any current.

Portland cement of the very first qualities can be delivered by the manufacturers free on board vessels in the Thames at 10s. per cask, containing 400 lbs. nett of cement. The freight to India in vessels sailing round the Cape, and landing charges in India, should not exceed 6s. per cask. The Railway charge per cask from Calcutta to Delhi would be Rs. 14-66 at $\frac{1}{2}$ pie per maund per mile. Therefore the cost of 100 lbs. nett of first class English-made cement at Delhi would be Rs. 22-66, say Rs. 23 to cover losses : 400 lbs. weight at 42 lbs. per bushel of 1-28 cubic feet capacity being equal to 4-5 cubic feet. We may take the cost of a cubic foot of English cement at Delhi to be Rs. 5-2-0*

A German analyses gives the following as composition of the Medway clay, which is used in manufacture of most of the London cement :—

| | | | | | | |
|----------------------|----|----|----|----|----|--------------------|
| Silica, | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 68-45 |
| Alumina, | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 11-64 |
| Oxide of iron, | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 14 80 |
| Soda and potash, | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 4-00 |
| Carb. lime and loss, | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1-11 |
| | | | | | | <hr/> 100-00 <hr/> |

Dr. Ure says that "all good hydraulic mortars must contain alumina and silica, the oxides of iron and manganese at one time considered essential, are rather prejudicial ingredients." Vicat is of opinion that the peroxide of iron exerts an injurious influence upon hydraulic mortars. M. Lipowitz, a German writer on the subject, whose

* When it may be necessary to import Portland cement into India, the specification for quality should be as follows :—

"The whole to be of the very best quality, ground extremely fine, weighing not less than 112 lbs. to the striked bushel, and capable of maintaining a breaking weight of 750 lbs. on an area of $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches square, or $2\frac{1}{4}$ square inches, 7 days after having been made in an iron mould, the cement having been immersed in water during the seven days." It should be packed in fir casks, with staves not less than half an inch thick, each cask having four iron hoops, and being lined with water-proof brown paper. The casks should be of manageable size, and weight, so as to avoid needless knocking about in stowing and transit. A larger quantity than 400 lbs. nett, should not be packed in any one cask, and perhaps 300 lbs. would be a better quantity where cement is intended to go far inland. Stow above highest line of bilge water in ships, as damp affects the cement most injuriously. Cement that has become wet through in barrels, and taken a "set," may be broken into lumps, rebrnnt and pulverised again, a bright red heat of one and a half to two hours duration is quite sufficient to restore the activity of injured cements. I may add that it would be very much better to get it from some of the well-known makers (such as Knight, Bevan and Co., J. B. White, and Co., Robins and Co., Hilton Anderson and Co.,) paying a fair price for it, than to go into the market for the cheapest article. Inferior cement of uncertain quality would be worse than the hydraulic mortar we already have in India.

treatise has been translated by Mr Reid, quotes, with approval, an opinion "that the best clays for cements are those which contain iron up to 10 or 15 per cent in the form of iron oxydide." General Gilmore says that the clays most suitable for combination with common slaked lime for preparation of artificial cement, contain 30 to 50 per cent of alumina, and 4 to 5 per cent of carbonate of lime. He considers that the oxides of iron do not counter hydraulic activity, whatever may be their action at subsequent stages of the induration. If we wish to produce a compound silicate of alumina and lime, which is, according to some, all that is necessary, we must have the following proportions —

| | | | |
|---------|-----|--|-------|
| Lime | II | chemical equivalents = $57 \times 2 = 114.0$ | 28.3 |
| Silica | III | " = $93 \times 2 = 186.0$ | 46.2 |
| Alumina | I | " = 102.8 | 20.5 |
| | | | 35.5 |
| | | | 100.0 |

Per cent.

The best analyses of Portland cement give—

| | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Lime | 60 | per cent. |
| Silica | 23 to 20 | " |
| Alumina | 7 to 10 | " |
| Oxide of iron | 5 to 1 | " |
| Alkalies, carb acid and water | 5 to 9 | per cent. |

15 per cent of the oxides of iron in the raw clay would give about 4 per cent in the burnt cement, I think, therefore, that the clay should, if possible, contain oxides of iron in any proportion up to 15 per cent, but that if this cannot be secured, any compact grey clay free from sand will answer our purpose, although, perhaps, not quite so well as the other. The proportion of pure lime added, can always be modified according to the chemical composition of the clay used.

Remarks on Lieut. Col. H. A. Brownlow's Report by P. Deyoung, Esq.

The rates estimated by Lieut. Col. Brownlow for cement delivered in Delhi are very correct, but it will not do to calculate on more than 4 cubic feet of cement in pretty good order in a cask, as the remainder will be found spoilt during the shipping, or during transit from Calcutta to Delhi. I have myself received in Calcutta nearly 10,000 casks of cement, and from experience I always found an average of 4 cubic feet of cement in good order per cask. Accordingly the rate of Portland cement at Delhi should be 5 50, instead of 5 2.

I do not quite agree with Lieut. Col. Brownlow as regards the advantage in India of using clay and pure slaked lime instead of clay and chalk. Without considering the increase in the cost on account of the double burn-

ing, I think the burning is always more difficult in one case than in the other, and the tenacity of the cement is never so good.

If a prejudicial action takes place on account of the Oxyde of Iron, I would advise the use of clay either entirely free from, or containing a small portion of it.

Many cement stones in France yielding cements nearly as good as Portland cement contain little or no Oxyde of Iron.

In the case of Portland cement sent from such a distance as England to Delhi, the cement will always lose a certain amount of its strength.

My experience authorizes me to say that it loses in ordinary circumstances $\frac{1}{4}$ th of its strength, and if left in godowns for six months, it will loose at least $\frac{1}{3}$ rd of its original strength.

It should be borne in mind that the cement casks are always placed at the bottom of the ships, and exposed to the moisture and dampness therein, that the unloading in Calcutta is, and will always be done in a more or less careless manner, and that the great heat of this country affects the quality of the cement to a certain extent.

Considering the question of the loss of strength in the cement imported from England, my opinion is that it is possible, if great care is taken in the manufacture, to make in India cements, artificial or natural, which will be about the same kind, and the same quality as some of the French cements, which, excepting the Boulogne cement, possess from $\frac{1}{4}$ th to $\frac{1}{5}$ th of less tenacity than the English Portland cement of good quality. Such being the case, the cement which will be manufactured in India may be as good as that received from England; but of course at the same time $\frac{1}{4}$ th inferior (for the reason above adduced) to the cement of fresh quality used at home.

The rough calculations I have made about the probable cost of cement manufactured in India show that it will vary from Re. 1 to Rs. 1-4 per cubic foot in the case of artificial cement, and from 9 to 14 annas in that of natural cement.

In the Bengal ghooting or kunkur stones, I have analyzed, I found a proportion of clay varying from 26 to 34 per 100.

This result impressed me with the idea of trying the possibility of manufacturing either Roman or Portland cement with such stones, in pulverizing those which were not too hard and making bricks, &c., or in extra burning, in their natural state, those which are homogeneous.

I may now safely say that as regards preliminary experiments, I have already succeeded in obtaining slow and quick setting cements, although I am proceeding with further experiments on that score.

I quite agree with Lieut.-Col. Brownlow as regards his proposal to aim first at the utmost simplicity of machinery and plant necessary for the manufacture.

I am of opinion that the best kind of fuel for burning Portland cement is coke, and will place charcoal in second order.

But coal also may answer, as I have seen it used in France.

As I am, however, about to try to burn cement with coal, I will be able in a short time to afford a more decided opinion on the subject.

I do not quite agree with Lieut.-Col. Brownlow as regards his opinion of using for the mixture of materials the dry and German system, instead of the wet English.

It may be said that principally when using pure shaled lime and clay, the German system is superior to a certain extent, but it is also more expensive.

Mr. Reid in his treatise about cement says, that the two principal inconveniences arising from the English system are —

1st.—That a much larger area is necessary in the English system than in the German one, and consequently in a country where the value of the land is always high, it increases to a great extent the amount of the capital invested in the manufacture of cement.

2nd.—That it requires about two months for the materials in the rats to dry, before being moulded into bricks.

As regards the 1st point, there is at present in India hardly any difficulty in the acquisition of land under the provisions of Act X. of 1870.

Referring to the 2nd point, I should say that in a country so hot as India, the evaporation being much more rapid, it is to be expected that the time necessary for drying will be comparatively small.

I think the proportion of materials used in the manufacture of artificial Portland Cement will vary as follows —

1st.—For artificial cement made of a mixture of chalk and clay.

From 3 chalk and 1 of clay (in mass).

To 4 " " " " " "

To 5 lime and 2 of clay (in mass).

2nd.—In the case of artificial cement, made of lime and clay.

To 5 lime and 3 of clay.

Suggestions about manufacture of Portland Cement.

(1). Except the remarks I have already made, I quite agree with Lieut-Col. Brownlow on all other points. I would however advise, as regards the details of manufacture, to follow the treatise of Mr. Reid on cements.

(2). *Kilns*.—As regards kilns for a small factory, I would advise their construction according to the sketch of Mr. Reid's book, but making them $\frac{1}{3}$ rd smaller, and increasing the height of the tapering dome by three feet.

(3). *Experiments*.—I would advise the construction at once of a small kiln, for the trial of samples of different kinds of lime and clay in various proportions; the balls for samples may be made of clay and chalk, or lime reduced in impalpable powder, and mixed in water in a tub: the water is on the following day to be removed by decantation, and the substance is then to be exposed to the sun until the evaporation reduces it into a plastic paste, with this balls may be made about two inches in diameter, and dried well; these balls may then be burnt, and when reduced to powder, a stiff mortar made with the cement thus obtained, which can be tested under water by means of the Aiguille of Vicat. Some cakes or balls made with this mortar may be left exposed to the air.

(4). *Natural cement*.—In France the Engineers have been using for several years cement proceeding from the burning, in the same way as for Portland cement, of marly clays of different kinds.

I have already found from the hills of Margohi and Rohtas, near Dehree in the Soane circle, two kinds of clay, which (mixed together in certain proportions and at times with a feeble addition of pure clay) will yield Portland and Roman Cement.

Every sort of clay giving a strong effervescence with hydrochloric acid, may be considered as marly clay, and may be found useful in the manufacture of cements.

The analysis of different sorts of clay, give the following results:—

| Yellow marly clay. | | | Per cent. | White marly clay. | | | Per cent. |
|--------------------|----|----|-----------|-----------------------------|---------|----|-----------|
| Carbonate of Lime, | .. | .. | 60.65 | Carbonate of Lime, | .. | .. | 80.00 |
| Magnesia, | .. | .. | 14.35 | " Magnesia | traces, | | |
| Oxide of Iron, | .. | .. | 0.67 | but not appreciable, | | | |
| | | | | Oxide of Iron, ditto ditto, | .. | | |
| Clay { Silica, | | | 23.33 | Clay { Silica, | | | 20.00 |
| Alumina, } | .. | .. | | Alumina, } | .. | .. | |
| Sand, | .. | .. | 1.00 | Sand, | .. | .. | 0.00 |
| Total, | | | 100.00 | Total, | | | 10.00 |

(5) *Cement of Boulogne*—The best marly clay used in France is that found in Boulogne, with which Messrs. Demarle and Co, manufacture cement in high demand in France

I have been using it in large quantities for three years in the manufacture of artificial stone (Cognet's system), and found it even sensibly better than the Portland cement of Messrs White Brothers, which is considered as one of the best sold in England

The Boulogne marly clay contains from 19 to 25 per 100 of clay. Every sort of clay containing more than $\frac{1}{4}$ th per 100 of sand is to be rejected

To be certain of a good homogeneity the clay is pulverized and mixed with water in vats, the water is then removed by decantation, and the clay being thus by evaporation in a sufficiently stiff state to be moulded, balls are made of it and burnt in a kiln up to a white heat

The white heat is necessary for the spreading of the bad parts, which are picked, and rejected carefully after the burning

The cement is afterwards ground in fine powder, and sifted through a sieve of 60 meshes to 1 inch

(6) *Cement in the neighbourhood of Paris*—Sometimes clays may be found homogeneous enough to enable their being burnt without the process of either pulverization or washing The clay found near Paris contains about the same proportion of Silica and Alumina as that of Boulogne Such descriptions of marly clay are found (in beds) close to the beds of Gypsum (or Sulphate of Lime), great care must however be observed in selecting them to avoid the mixture of Gypsum with them. These may be burnt in their natural state, and treated after the same manner as the cement of Boulogne.

The best factories are those of Messrs Barbier and Co at Paris, Chauxne, and Argenteuil, Messrs. Blacker and Lefebvre at the Butte Chamont, the Moulinsaux and the Rainey

I used these cements, particularly the first sort, for the building of several miles of sewer in Paris, and found it not much inferior to the English Portland

(7) *Marly clays*—I feel almost sure that marly clays of about the same composition as those of Boulogne or Paris may be found in the proximity of many lime quarries now existing in India, and I opine therefore that a careful search will enable the discovery of materials well—

ed for the manufacture of cement, and producing a cement less expensive than artificial cements.

(8). *Bulk of each material required for experiments.*—As regards the quantity of materials which will be required for experiments, I think for the manufacture of artificial cement three cubic feet of lime stone, and two of clay of each sort may be sent, and if stones or marly clay, supposed to be natural cement stones, are found in the Sewalik Range, a cubic foot of each kind will do for the present.

NOTE.—*Instructions for the use of the Aiguille of Vicat* for testing hydraulic lime.—Take a small quantity of lime newly slaked, and free from impurities of every kind; make of it a paste about the stiffness of damp clay. Put this in a tumbler to the thickness of one inch, then add water slowly to two-thirds of the tumbler.

The lime is said to be set when it can support, without sensible depression, the weight of the Aiguille of Vicat.

1st.—*Limes feebly hydraulic* (containing 18 per cent. of clay). These set after 15 or 20 days of immersion, and get harder gradually by slow degrees, principally after the 6th and 8th months. After one year their hardness can be compared to *dry soap*; they are after that period a little soluble in pure water.

2nd.—*Ordinary hydraulic limes* (containing 20 per cent. of clay). These set after 6 or 8 days of immersion, and get harder gradually within one year, but the greatest part of the hardness is acquired after six months, and then the hardness of the lime can be compared to soft stone; the water has no more action upon it.

Limes eminently hydraulic (containing 30 per cent. of clay). These set after 2 to 4 days of immersion; after one month they are hard and insoluble in pure water; and after six months they can be compared to the *absorbent calcareous stones*.

Limes which do not set after 30 days are not hydraulic.

* The weight of the Aiguille of Vicat is $10\frac{1}{2}$ ounces (avoirdupois).
The extremity of the Aiguille must be a square of $\frac{1}{24}$ th of an inch.

Note by Lieut Col H A Brownlow, R E, on Mr Deyoux's Remarks

Use of pure slaked lime instead of chalk—I recommended this because we have no chalk in this part of India, and I think burning the limestone will be found cheaper than grinding it to an unpalpable powder. It is only a question of economy, and the cheapest process should be adopted.


Use of clay, free from oxide of iron—The supposed prejudicial action of oxide of iron is, as will be seen from the remarks on this subject in my report, more than doubtful, and the action which takes place in the burning of Portland cement between the oxide of iron in clay and the chalk is understood to be most beneficial. I alluded to it in explanation of my remark that a cement of same tenacity as Portland could not most probably be produced in India, where I proposed to use slaked lime instead of chalk. So that I do not think clay should be rejected, because it contains oxide of iron, so long as the proportion does not exceed 15 per cent.

Loss of strength in imported Portland cement—Mr Deyoux's experience seems to decide the question in favor of manufacture in India. *Process of manufacture*—While maintaining my opinions with some diffidence against those of Mr Deyoux, who has a practical knowledge of his subject, I would suggest, as a further objection to the adoption of the "wet" or English system of mixing the raw ingredients of cement, that in these provinces for about four months in the year, the mixture in the setting reservoirs would be largely adulterated by sand and organic impurities driven on to it by the wind, and that during the rainy season the evaporation would be even slower than in England. Although more expensive there, can, I think, be no doubt of the superiority of the German over the English system of manufacture.

Testing the burnt cement—Regarding the use of the Argillo de Vicat for this purpose, I would remark, 1st, that it is only a test of rapidity of setting, and gives no idea of cohesive strength of the mortar, 2nd, Although remarkable quickness of setting is not necessarily accompanied by inferior hardness and strength, yet is somewhat curious that within the range of experiments quoted by General Gillmore, in his treatise on limes and hydraulic cements, the quickest setting cements gave ultimately the worst, and the slowest setting, the best results, and, That General Gillmore gives it as his decided opinion that the *setting time* is of less reliable than any simple device for trying the *water strength* of the cement when ten or twelve days old. The test proposed by me in my report is that


MANUFACTURE OF CEMENT IN INDIA.

ally adopted in England, and largely on the continent as well, and
efore strongly maintain its superiority over Monsieur Vicat's needle.
ccompanying sketch shows an excellent form of testing machine,



Scale 3 inches = 8 feet.

and a better pattern of mould for making up the bricks to be tested than



Elevation.



Section at X. X.



Section at W. W.



Section at Y. Y.
and Z. Z.

that shown in my report. They are both taken from Mr. Grant's admirable paper on Portland cement (see Proceedings of Inst. C.E., Vol. XX Session 1865-66).

Paper by P. Deyou, Esq., on Manufacture of Lime, Mortar and Concrete in Bengal

Manufacture of "glooming lime"—Lime as a rule ought to be sifted fine enough to be free of all unburnt or overburnt particles which do not slake immediately, but which may slake after a certain time, and consequently may injure the quality of the mortar.

As "glooming lime," from want of homogeneity, can never be well burnt, and so sitting of the lime after slaking will largely increase the cost of the lime.

In grinding the lime and the unburnt portion, which ought to pass through a sieve of 8 meshes to one inch, some of the parts not slaked may act as cement, while others will remain inert.

It may happen that in the case of *Chaux limet* (Argillaceous intermediate limes), some other parts slaked a long time after, and falling in powder may disintegrate some portions of the mortar.

It is, however, very difficult to ascertain the presence of the *chaux limet*, but as far as I can judge, I do not think there is much probability of finding them amongst the generality of "glooming."

My opinion however, is, that the best and cheapest way to deal with the manufacture of "glooming limestone" consists in the following plan—

1st.—To burn the "glooming limestone" in a kiln, as per Plate XVI Before burning the kiln must be, if necessary, carefully plastered, both outside and inside, with a mortar composed of four parts of clay and one of lime. First a layer of 6 inches of coal is to be put, then 3 inches of "glooming," then 3 inches of coal, and then 3 inches of "glooming," &c., the last layer must be of "glooming" proceeding from the last burning, and which not being perfectly burnt is generally called "refuse glooming." With some limestones 2 inches of coal will suffice. I have noticed that owing to this being built generally in a careless manner, the loss of caloric must be enormous in them. The firing of the kilns may be begun when three layers of stone have been put in it. A portion of the openings may be shut, leaving sufficient room for ventilation according to

* Densell for "kankur lime" containing 26 to 34 per cent. of clay

† An explanation of this term will be found in Treatise on Limes and Cements. *Chaux* & *limes* are limes obtained from stones containing too large a proportion of clay to be hydraulic limestones and too small a proportion to be cement stones.

the state of the weather. It will be advisable, as a preventive against the effect of the stormy winds, when the fire is likely to arrive to the uppermost layer, to have screens of corrugated iron put on the top of the kiln in the direction of the prevailing wind. Lime is frequently very badly burnt, solely from want of this precaution. When the unloading of the kiln is commenced, the iron bars are to be taken out, and then $\frac{1}{3}$ rd of the total height of the stone inside may be discharged, and the kiln reloaded. It will be advisable, when the kiln is in full blaze, to refit the bars every eight days, and by such means to remove the ash from the ash holes, and enliven the fire.

2nd.—As soon as the lime is burnt, it is to be taken out of the kiln *immediately*, and the stone separated from the ashes. The limestone is then set against a wall in layers of about 4 inches. On each layer water is to be thrown by means of garden watering pots. A heap of about 5 or 6 feet high may be made, and the ghooting may be left in this state for about four days; the top of the heap should be watered a little on the second day. After the expiration of four days, it must be sifted through a sieve of 8 meshes to one inch.

It will be judicious always to put the burnt stone in large and high heaps, because by this being done, the heat will be concentrated, and the slaking facilitated. As regards the sifting, I think for large works it will be better to use a revolving sifting machine as used in France.

3rd. The lime will then be pulverized in a soorkee mill separately, or mixed with the sand necessary for the mortar.

Sand mortar.—*I would advise the use always of sand, instead of soorkee,*

* The advisability of this depends entirely upon nature of lime. "Experiments show that a mortar composed of one volume of fat lime, and two volumes trass is injured if a portion of the trass be replaced by sand." Gillmore, para. 560. when sharp clean sand of middling size is obtainable. Soorkee ought

to be used only when sand cannot be obtained, and for this reason :

If the lime is very hydraulic, the soorkee which is very often made from bricks imperfectly burnt,


* May even act prejudicially, *vide* note on next page.

acts only as an inert body; in such a case sand, which is harder, has evidently the advantage over soorkee; sand has also the great advantage that it is generally much cheaper.


MANUFACTURE OF CEMENT IN INDIA.

LIME KILN.


Section on C D




Plan



Section on A B



Plan



In the case of ghooting lime, it is only after the fourth day the mortar has been used, that watering can be commenced.

In the case of fat lime, the hardness of the lime is caused by the crystallization of the calcareous carbonate recomposed ; if the setting is allowed to take place too soon, an incomplete crystallization will be attained, together with some carbonate in a pulverulent state.

In a hot climate like that in India, in the case of hydraulic mortars, which take a long time to arrive at the maximum of hardness, it is absolutely necessary to proceed with the watering until the mortar is pretty hard both outside and inside.

Puzzuolana.—There is a kind of puzzuolana which is, I think very commonly found in India, in places when laterite exists ; it is made of red ochre earth. The ochre I received from Orissa has yielded a good result, and I have since found ochre of about the same description at Midnapore, which promises the same results.

By using this puzzuolana with mortar in the following proportions :—

| | | |
|---------------|--------|---------------|
| 3 Sand, | } or { | 1 Sand. |
| 2 Lime, | | 1 Lime. |
| 1 Puzzuolana, | | 1 Puzzuolana. |

the quickness of setting of the mortar will be augmented to a great extent ; the cost of such a puzzuolana on the spot, as far as I can judge now, will be from 4 to 6 annas per cubic foot.

Concrete.—In the concrete I would advise also the use of sand, instead of soorkee.

To ascertain the quantity of mortar necessary for the making of a good


* The volume of mortar should, however, concrete, it is necessary to take a always be somewhat in excess of this, to water-tight box measuring one cubic allow for imperfect mixture. foot, and fill it first with khoa, broken stone, or pebble (which are to be used in the concrete), and then with water. The quantity of water is then to be measured, and this will be found equal to the quantity of mortar necessary to fill interstices.

As regards broken bricks or stone in small pieces, the quantity of mortar required for 100 cubic feet of broken bricks, varies from 46 to 50 cubic feet of mortar.

In the case of pebbles and small round stones, 100 cubic feet of stones will require from 37 to 40 cubic feet of mortar.

MANUFACTURE OF CEMENT IN INDIA

BETONNIERE



For mixing khaor or stones with mortar, I strongly advise the use of a "Betonnier" of the kind I have seen used in France, of which I annex a drawing (*Plate I. VII.*) I gave last year to the Executive Engineer, Cossye Division, a sketch of such a "Betonnier." Some were made accordingly by him, and have been used with great success in his works.

The mode of using such an apparatus consists only in throwing the materials inside by the opening (a), and in taking care to throw alternately two baskets of khaor and one of mortar, in the proportions of the concrete are 2 to 1, and thus the whole comes out from the machine by the opening (b) perfectly mixed.

With a "Betonnier" 1,000 cubic feet of concrete can be manufactured easily per day.

The cost of one will be about Rs. 150.

P. D.

RECORDS OF EXPERIMENTS.

Sir,—Mr Elwes' suggestion, in your last Number, is an excellent one, and seems to be in accordance with the views of the originator of your publication, who stated that he hoped the Indian Professional Papers would become a "Store house of facts."

Taking advantage of your invitation, I forward you some notes and make no apology for their being rough and brief, I hope others may follow my example in sending you extracts from their note books.

I think it is desirable that it be understood that "Notes" of this sort should not be too naked, as their value to the profession in general may be greatly impaired by want of explanations concerning the conditions and circumstances under which the data have been obtained and established. The value of data will much depend on the sources whence derived, the means used to acquire them, and the authority by which their accuracy is vouched for.

Local facts will be of undoubted value to local practice, but as far as possible data should be made to furnish us with general standards of comparison.

Observations.—The weight of a cubic foot of metal = 37 lbs., of stone from which produced = 150 lbs., voids 4½ per cent; weight of sand 100 to 103 lbs., voids 27 per cent, weight of lime 1000 5½ lbs. per cubic foot, packed 65½ weight of cubic foot of ground pumice 35 lbs., weight of cubic foot of cement, loose 95 to 20 lbs; weight of shingle 114 lbs. per cubic foot. Pumice mortar requires 24 hours to set before it can be put into water for experiment.

The column of "No of Experiment" is not that of the experiment, but merely of this list for reference sake. The cement was Portland Cement of from 150 to 112 lbs. per square inch tensile strength after 7 days' immersion. The lime was of the class of kankur-lime, rather poor and slightly hydraulic.

The pumice was from Alden, and was ground fine in a flour mill, and was attached. The sand was river sand from trap formation, rather water worn, and of the same class as the shingle. The shingle was obtained from the mouth of a tidal river, containing about 25 to 30 per cent of sand. When shingle is shown without addition of sand, the natural proportion of sand found in the shingle was allowed to remain, i. e., the shingle was not screened, when sand is shown separately, the shingle was screened (screen 4 meshes to the inch) and the proportion of river sand returned. In making the concrete the mortar was first made in edge mill, and the shingle or metal added. The metal was trap road metal broken to 3 inch cubes. The cement blocks were placed in the water within 24 hours after being made and broken on removal. The lime blocks were only kept watered and covered by mats.

Analysis of Alden Pumice, by the Chemical Analyst to Government of Bombay

The mineral is made up of two principal constituents—i. e., Gypsum, of which it contains 46.05 per cent, and, 2nd, 52.11 per cent of mixed silicates of alumina and iron, magnesia and the alkalis, the silicates of alumina and iron predominating. Annexed is a table showing the percentage of the principal component of the mineral.

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----|----|----|----|-------|
| Hygrosopic water, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1.81 |
| Sulphate of lime, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 36.41 |
| Water of hydration, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 9.67 |
| Silica, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 31.00 |
| Alumina, .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 6.17 |
| Sesquioxide of iron, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 3.05 |
| Magnesia, .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .57 |
| Alkaline bases water and loss, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 12.32 |
| Silicates, | .. | .. | .. | .. | 52.11 |
| 100.00 | | | | | |

With reference to the two questions regarding the mineral submitted to me, viz., (A), should the mineral be heated before being mixed with lime for the purpose of preparing hydraulic mortar? and (B), what quantity of lime ought to be mixed with the mineral for such purpose? I am of opinion that practical experience in heat calculated to decide both questions, but theoretical considerations lead me to believe (A), That the mineral ought to be subjected to a moderate degree of heat before being mixed with lime, and (B), That the mineral ought to be mixed with about half its weight of rich burnt lime. I must, however remark, that these statements ought only to be used as a guide for practical experiments, the obscure nature of the chemical change undergone by hydraulic mortars in the process of hardening, rendering it impossible for me to give any more decided opinion.

NO. 2.

Record of experiments on the resistance to crushing of different descriptions of stone, used in Bombay Public Buildings, made by Mr. Muncherjee Cowasjee, Assistant to the Architectural Executive Engineer and Surveyor Bombay, in 1871.

| Size of piece tested. | | | Crushing weight per square inch. | Remarks. | No. | Size of stone tested. | | | Crushing weight per square inch. | Remarks. |
|-----------------------|----------|------------|----------------------------------|----------|-----|-----------------------|----------|------------|----------------------------------|----------|
| Height. | Breadth. | Thickness. | | | | Height. | Breadth. | Thickness. | | |

COORLA STONE.

A yellow or cream colored trap or basalt of Bombay found at Coorla.

Rejected owing to accident to Machine.

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|-------|-------|-------|--------|--|----|-------|-------|-------|--------|---|
| 1 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12,014 | | 12 | 1 | 1 | dr. | 14,763 | |
| 2 | | | | | | 13 | 1 | 1 | dr. | 14,212 | |
| 3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 7,200 | This stone bore about 200 lbs. more at a previous loading but the weight having been removed to change the bearings, on re-application the specimen crushed as recorded. | 14 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 13,680 | Very fine grain, with a bluish tinge, began to split at about 13,000 lbs. |
| 4 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 9,224 | | 15 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | dr. | 17,147 | |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7,993 | | 16 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 10,872 | |
| 6 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 10,270 | | 17 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 10,224 | Hard bluish tinge. |
| 7 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 8,619 | | 18 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 16,480 | |
| 8 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | dr. | | 19 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 19,120 | |
| 9 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | dr. | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | dr. | | | | | | | |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11,016 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 9,719 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 14,256 | | | | | | | |

Giving an average of 12,219 lbs. per square inch.

BLUE TRAP.

POREBUNDER STONE.

A calcareous grit composed of foraminiferous shells and a few grains of quartz joined by solution of shells and recrystallization.

The ordinary trap of Bombay, obtained from the quarries of Shewree and Nowrojee Hills.

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|-------|---------------------------------|
| 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2,088 | Ordinary Stone. |
| 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2,394 | Superior Stone of coarse grain. |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2,394 | Superior stone of coarse grain. |
| 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2,502 | Ditto. |
| 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2,880 | Ditto. |

The above gives an average of 2,451 lbs. per square inch.

| | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|--------|--|
| 1 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 8,208 | Called by workmen Wugheer stone, peculiar hard basalt. |
| 2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 13,680 | |
| 3 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 16,420 | |
| 4 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 13,320 | |
| 5 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 11,112 | |
| 6 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | dr. | |
| 7 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 1 1/2 | 12,856 | |
| | | | | 12,240 | |

The above gives an average of 12,548 lbs. per square inch.

| No. | Size of piece tested | Crushing weight per square inch | | | Remarks |
|-----|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | | Height | Breadth | Thickness | |

HEMUGGUR STONE
A moderately hard fine grained siliceous sandstone, used in caps

The above gives an average of 5 125 lbs per square inch

| | | | | |
|-------|---|---|----|-------|
| 4 608 | 4 | 4 | dr | 5,510 |
| 5 256 | 4 | 4 | dr | 5,510 |
| 3 14 | 4 | 4 | dr | 5,510 |
| 2 14 | 4 | 4 | dr | 5,510 |
| 1 1 | 4 | 4 | dr | 5,510 |

Large block used for
Cut from side of a
Post Office building

RANSOM'S PATENT STONE

Made at Bombay by Mr. P. S. Smith with siliceous sand from Cutch and Ransom's process

MORTARS

| No. | Mortar pieces tested | Crushing weight per square inch | Remarks |
|-----|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
|-----|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------|

Mortar from Apollo Pier (18 months old), made of equal parts of sand, Salsette lime and punice ground for 10 minutes in an edge mill, driven by machinery

These specimens were cut by the masons chisel out of a heap of waste mortar that had been exposed in the open air on the works

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|-----|
| 1 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 510 |
| 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 440 |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 360 |
| 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 270 |
| 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 740 |
| 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 090 |

The above gives an average of 535, nearly

| | | | |
|---|---|---|-----|
| 1 | 3 | 1 | 510 |
| 2 | 3 | 1 | 440 |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 360 |
| 4 | 3 | 1 | 270 |
| 5 | 3 | 1 | 740 |
| 6 | 3 | 1 | 090 |

365
228
233
237
180

This set of experiments is not very reliable owing to the difficulty of cutting prisms to an exact shape

(About 26 months old) used in the foundations of the New Post Office, made in equal parts of sand and Salsette lime ground twice for two hours in a mill at an interval of four days

The above gives an average of 264

RECORDS OF EXPERIMENTS.

BRICKS.

| No. | Size of pieces tested. | Crushing weight per square inch. | Remarks. |
|-----|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|
|-----|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|

ORDINARY CULLIAN BRICKS.

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|------|--|
| 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 390 | Dark red. |
| 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 370 | Do. |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 390 | Light red. |
| 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 420 | Yellowish red. |
| 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1100 | A selected piece, hard and well burnt. |
| 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 380 | |

The above gives an average of 508.

MUNICIPAL PANWELL BRICKS.

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|-----|--|
| 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 900 | Cracked at 810. This piece was soaked in water before being tested. |
| 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 890 | |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 780 | |

The above gives an average of 856.

PANWELL BRICKS.

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|-----|
| 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 320 |
| 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 360 |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 350 |
| 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 200 |

The above gives an average of 307.

NOTE.—These experiments were made under my direction and supervision with a machine similar to that used to test cement on the Kurrachee Harbour Works, described in No. II A. (Extra No. for April, 1870) of the first series of these Papers, and I believe them to be accurate. The power of the machine did not allow of large specimens. The ends of the stone bore at first on slips of sheet lead, which was afterwards changed for card board, as being practically better.

J. H. E. HART.

